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A Brief Foreword on Perspective(s) and Argumentations

'I am a historian before I am a Christian; my object is simply to find out how the things actually occurred.'

– Leopold von Ranke

This admittedly lengthy document is a dense compilation of shorter unpublished notes and unfinished essays written largely between October 2014 and October 2015 about the subject of international fascism. What began (for me) as a simple intellectual political inquiry soon grew into a larger, much more complicated story containing elements of mob power, personal greed, clan territory and generational xenophobia wrapped within a caul of historical verisimilitude. In short, there was far, far more to the question than I had previously thought possible and the journey lead me in directions that I did not necessarily expect.

The intent of the initial essay I had in mind (I thought) was simple. It was an honest attempt to address three fundamental questions:

1. What is fascism;
2. Why does fascism continue to influence the post-World War Two/European Holocaust era;
3. Why is so little done about it until long after it has become fatally destructive.

At the risk of sounding counter-productive, I was not as concerned here with 'finding answers' (per se) as much as I was with identifying causes. And, I do not believe in the mainstream social reliance upon using moral arguments as a means of self-defence against philosophies and organisations that are historically recognised as known killers of entire people(s) makes for credible logic either.

As investigators and as people we must look deeper than the lingering after-taste of Adolf Hitler's sexed-up promotion of well-frocked fascism because he wasn't the first. Even Benito Mussolini, who began building his private Fascisti Italia during the struggle of the Great War was, clearly, the first modern fascist, not the first fascist.

When Il Duce boastfully identified Imperial Rome as the original far-right corporatist state, he was right. But even then, he was still speaking as a Europocentric grounded xenophobe. It might never have occurred to him – or any other European for that matter – that the ancient (and not-so-ancient) empires in Greater Asia; The Americas; the Arab World or within Continental Africa might also have gone through periods of fascist upheaval within their own respective (and culturally relevant) ways.

Politically (as well as historically) speaking, why would this be out of the question? As an Indigenist analyst, I certainly viewed this as a distinct possibility. And, I wanted to see if my suspicions held any water from a confessedly partisan perspective of deconstructive objectivity.
What I mean by stating that is this: my analysis here seeks to factually address the dogged persistence of fascist ideologies within the international sociopolitic. But having said that, it would be very inaccurate (and dishonest) for me to not admit that my personal experiences – having been born and raised within an overwhelmingly racialist and punitive pro-Eurocentric environment – also have critical bearing upon the perspectives and conclusions manifested within these commentaries. As they should, since the perspective(s) of the victims of fascism are just as important to understanding the problem as is studying fascist actors themselves.

But this does not (or should not) stand to mean that my speaking on and against fascism is inherently negatively prejudiced simply because I am ‘of colour’ and ‘Indigenous’.

It is what it is. I am a victim of fascism, as are many other POC’s, socially marginalised persons and Original Peoples. And frankly, I make no apologies for this, or for the possibility that this history may lead to a particular bias – on my part – against the far-right. And because of this, I fully expect the bile-gnawing pseudo-intellecutals of the right-wing internet trolling lobby to lazily proclaim this (and the author) to be hopelessly racist against the poor, misunderstood Christian White man who only wanted to help-out the non-European World by enslaving and maiming everyone in sight in the name of G-d. (Praise Jesus)

They will (as they have in the past) argue that everything written here is auto-magically biased. If that is so, then virtually everything that has ever been written about the history of the world by Western European and Euro-Settler academics should be regarded as equally suspect. All of it. Rudyard Kipling (for instance) took it upon himself to define the moral characteristics of White Power for everybody and was taken seriously only because he was an Englishman who had by chance of birth, hatched in one of the more important provinces and not Britain proper. Nothing else.

Noting the Errors of Intellectual Impunity

I’ve already admitted to my own biases and why. Which is far more than I can about those I am writing about. And I also confess here, without any reservation, that I harbour a very special personal disfavour towards those who admire fascism’s chief historical personality, Adolf Hitler as a hero and what his German Nazi Party politically and morally represented, planned for and ultimately carried-out in the name of ‘Aryan’ racial purity. Frankly, I hate such people as the heartless monsters they really are.

And my prejudice extends itself to the Mother Church for its historical observance (and promotion) of acceptable xenophobia and Providence-inspired ethnocide, (See: the ‘Cum Nimis Absurdum’ [‘Since It Is Absurd…’] and ‘The Final Solution’ / ‘die Endlösung der Judenfrage’) and for her wilful collaboration with Hitler and Mussolini, both confirmed Catholics who misused the influence of the Papacy to pacify their populations. Plus: both leaders enjoyed the quiet patronage of Pope Pius XII and the Central Intelligence Agency within their globally-stationed clergy network. Why am I so upset with the Catholics? Because their able assistance extended the treachery of the German Nazis by decades all across the world. And they should never be forgiven for it as an organisation. (See: ‘Nazi Ratlines’)

I am inspired to stand squarely in opposition to the far-right because it needs to be done. Few people today are aware that the Nazis faced strong, internal opposition from intellectuals, artists and a politicised working-class who struggled clandestinely against the fascist politic within their own respective fields. One does not need to be anything special to know the difference between right and wrong.

However, there were some, a group of extremely brave university students, who were overtly unwilling to allow fascism to destroy their country without a fight. Founded by a former Hitler Youth member turned

---

1 ‘Cum nimis absurdum’ - Leges et ordinationes a iudaeis in Statu Ecclesiastico degentibus observandae Paulus episcopus servus servorum Dei, ad futuram rei memoriam. - Laws and ordinances to be observed by the Jews living in the Holy Paul, bishop, servant of the servants of God, for future memory of the matter. (via: ariberti.it - http://wp.me/p4b9PN-kn)
antifascism activist, Sophia M. Scholl (a personal hero of the author) and her brother Hans F. Scholl (children of the anti-Nazi Liberal Democrat Robert Scholl) lead 'die Weiße Rose', (the White Rose) began the first citizen effort within Nazi-era Germany to oppose the Hitler Regime. And without the use of bullets or bombs, the members of the White Rose simply handed out information leaflets to the public, using the power of words supported by facts to expose the dangers of the NSDAP to the country.

For these efforts, the Scholl family and their compatriots were beheaded by the state on charges of treason. And although fascism marched on, (with venture capitalist assistance) driving Germany into ruin, rubble and disgrace, the tragedy of what happened to the Scholl family divulges the innate power of their movement and the principles it was based on. The Scholl's were right. The right-wing was wrong. And if the European Holocaust is a testimonial to fascism's sense of inhumanity, then the actions of the White Rose and their commitment to Cartesian common-sense and basic social justice in trying to prevent it from happening is a testimony to the international antifascist resistance. This is the ideal that I wish to defend. And this bias is clearly reflected within the text.

Illustration 1: Sophie Scholl and members of The White Rose – Source: http://tinyurl.com/3f8k38h

Again, I make no apologies for this position. Nor for my attitude. And in a logical and sane post-World War Two (WW2) world, I would not be obliged or expected to, by sensible people in an advanced, cosmopolitan society. However, the world (conceptually speaking) and the sometimes outlandish human activities that drive it, do not (always) operate logically. And fascism is not in any way a logical political philosophy. And fascists, by any measure, are not reasonable people.

The insular culture of the political far-right – whether the general public wishes to accept it or not – is self-centred, corporatist in nature and is buttressed by military interests who understand their historical relationship to the power structure. And while this is true, most of our focus (as the public) has been centred upon the aggressive tactics of visible hate rather than the working mechanics of ingrained disparity. Far-rightism and its variations have done irreparable damage to each and every society that has allowed it to develop into a viable political base.

And given this history, instead of meaningful dialogue on the subject, I see and hear quasi-moral excuses, accepted lies and outright legal permission given to opportunistic racists, professional right-wing terrorists and habitually abusive law enforcement authorities so long as they do not attack the ownership organs of the state. This should frighten the general population – especially those who incessantly complain of 'government overreach' – but it doesn't. As long as Africans; Indigenous Peoples; Jews; Muslims and Homosexuals are their main targets, the Europocentrist does not and will not see this as an important problem. They, are not the primary victims. And when they are, you will then see government and civil action move in position to protect themselves, not the victims.

Fascism, like xenophobia and colonialism, is an all-or-none creature that eventually will consume itself whole. And like any other vampiristic organism, the fascist/right-wing mentality will do what it thinks it must do in order to survive as well as thrive. If this means knowingly manufacturing broad public consent through the promotion of fear and confusion, the ultra-conservatives will gleefully do so. Just as the Blair and Bush governments did to falsely go to war with Iraq. (See: Downing Street memo) Without the threat

---

5 "Rekia Boyd shooting: protests after Chicago police officer found not guilty | US news | The Guardian" ( http://twitthat.com/nXR9w ) - Associated Press in Chicago - Tuesday 21 April 2015 22.40 EDT
6 Trickling Texas: White Republican pretends he’s black – to win election — RT USA http://ow.ly/OUJg4 - Published time: November 11, 2013 15:11
7 The Downing Street Memo :: What is it? ow.ly/TpDo1 [http://downingstreetmemo.com/]
of fear and the promotion of paranoid loathing, the far-right cannot function. Because they have nothing to offer the average person not obsessively interested in tribal warfare; misogyny; aimless amusement; pointless violence; individual political/social gain or the allure of short-term/high-profits.

Now, if it can be agreed that xenophobia; theological literalism and far-right, totalitarian opinions on social 'harmony' are not logical, scientifically coherent ideas or are (in any way) directed towards improving the quality of life for all humanity, why are we still discussing the possibility of extreme right-wing politics – at the internationalist level – in the 21st century?

And further, given the trail of misery and destruction wrought by fascism, why are there masses of people(s) around the world today using violence and hate-speech to impose these ideologies upon societies that do not want it?

This series of collected (unfinished) commentaries was my humble contribution towards addressing this most serious issue. May other anti-fascists find it (in some way) useful towards that effort.

– TheAngryindian
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Investigating the Political Roots of Modern Hate

'Gestern am späten Abend schaute ich eine weiße Rose. Man sagt, weiße Blumen seien für die Toten - aber Tod, Liebe und Jugend sind eins. (Die Toten, soweit die wirklich in uns leben, leben ja nur verklaßt als Bilder leuchtender Jugend!) Deshalb auch ist gerade die weiße Rose in ihrem Duft und ihrer zarten Reinheit, Sinnbild ewiger Jugend.'

'Yesterday, late in the evening, I saw a white rose. They say that white flowers are for the dead - but death, love, and youth are one.. (The dead, as long as they really do live on in us, just live more clearly as images of the brightness of youth!) And so the white rose is already a symbol of eternal youth, in its fragrance and in its tender pureness.'

– F. Rook, in a letter to Lilo Ramdohr, 1941

For this writer, working on this paper has been an intensely laborious and personally taxing endeavour. I first began this work in Sept-October of 2014 as I usually do with any subject, by compiling open-source news reports, government-collected data and relevant supportive information to review and analyse a particular subject. And when I began to look at international fascism (again) I relied on this methodology to arrive at a deconstructive conclusion to help explain the issue.

Generally, I apply a deconstructive focus by looking at the functional contradictions within political and social systems and how these oppositional factors relate to Indigenous societies and pre-colonial cultural structures of the Fourth World, its peoples and their survival. And that was precisely my intent when I began thinking (hard) about the brutal daylight killing of a serving British soldier on English soil by two Afro-Brit
Muslims in the later half of May of 2013.\(^8\)

I was – like most people – deeply shocked by the senseless murder of Fusilier Lee Rigby and I was intensely angered with his killers, Michael Adebolajo, \(^9\) (29) and Michael Adebowale\(^10\) (22) for essentially painting an intelligence officer's bulls-eye target on the head of every African male in the United Kingdom and across the Anglophone World.\(^11\)

By all accounts, (and aside from the emotive manner in which the UK press reported on the victim) Fusilier Rigby was a respected professional soldier (he had served honourably in Afghanistan) who was stationed on home ground (at the Royal Artillery Barracks) when he was murdered (and publicly butchered) in reckless retribution for the considerable collateral damage created in the Arab and Muslim World by the petroleum wars.

There was no credible or justifiable purpose in the act. It achieved absolutely nothing politically or socially positive for Muslims, Africans or any other minority in Britain. And it gave a massive black eye to the greater Muslim World who loudly rejects the violence coming from all sides since it is they who bear the brunt of the conflict. Not just the combatants.

The act had absolutely no quelling effect on the British government's military campaigns in Muslim lands. And it also came at a time when people were living politely (but nervously) with their Muslim neighbours as the inarticulate thugs of the English Defence League (EDL) were allowed to intimidate Black and Brown Britain in the public streets.

The English far-right and sympathetic Tories capitalised on the shock-value of the act – because it happened during wartime – in a global conflict that has no actual identifiable enemy, observable rules or predictable endgame. A dangerous, creative-destruction (schöpferische Zerstörung) balancing game just ripe for conjecture, exploitation, abuse and exuberant flag-waving bravado.

And it wasn't just the usual far-right nutters that attempted to make political capital out of the situation. Nearly everyone connected to the intelligence community in a supply and service capacity benefited from the fearmongering as did the politicians on either end of the political spectrum who they (actually) represent in Parliament and elsewhere.

Those on the right-wing who have been calling for a sharp increase in military involvement in the Arab World also got what they have been asking for as a result of what happened. But in the end, how is any of this benefiting the average person in Britain? It isn't. None of it is.

The threat itself is very real, but the way in which the authorities are addressing the terrorist problem is creating a greater problem than the original cause – which is the unfair and inequitable influence of European political and military power within Arab lands and their strong-armed support for Arab and African dictatorships that routinely violate the most basic concepts of universal human rights.

---

11 nigeriavillagesquare.com (05-25-2013)
Unternehmergeist Während Krieges  
(\hspace{0.01\textwidth}The entrepreneurial-spirit during wartime\hspace{0.01\textwidth})

'Capitalism is the astounding belief that the most wickedest of men will do the most wickedest of things for the greatest good of everyone.'

– John Maynard Keynes

Like the US 'War on Drugs', the 'War on Poverty' and the purportedly anti-Satanic mission of the Spanish Inquisition, the 'War on Terror' (WoT) and the 'War on Cops'\(^{12}\) (WoC) in the United States is semantic balderdash. It is hot, politicised hate-speech with real-world damages for ethnic minorities and the poor – and short-term profits and a license for indiscriminate murder for the ownership-class.

The creation and dissemination of such false moral panics is nothing new. They are generally used as a classic political ploy to pretend that something progressive is occurring when exactly the opposite is happening. And predictably, this is done strictly for the material benefit of a small group of arse-clutching insiders who are personally profiting from the sociopolitical and/or economic instability being caused by their narcissistic decisions.

For instance, the Bush family, which wields a considerable amount of (undue) influence in US politics, is a major player in the Carlyle Group, a war-profiteering defence contractor\(^{13}\) that (up until the 2001 terror attacks on New York City and Washington DC) included the family of Osama bin-Laden as major investor.\(^{14}\) And they profited very handsomely from the US imperial war machine long before that and did very well for themselves (ironically) right after the 9/11 event occurred.\(^{15}\)

In fact, on September 11\(^{16}\), 2001 – the very day three, terrorist-commandeered aircraft attacked NYC and the nation's capital – Osama bin-Laden's brother, Shafiq bin-Laden was attending a Carlyle Group business meeting at the Ritz-Carlton hotel in Washington DC along with President George Herbert Walker Bush and former secretary of state James Baker, (who just also happens to be a Bush-family lawyer).\(^{16}\)

This has become especially true as of late. Ever since the use of intentionally applying physical and psychological torture as a tool and indiscriminate social terror as a tactic because legally acceptable operational concepts in the United States. Torture is being employed with zeal by all of the major and minor combat players involved in this ‘hot’ and ever-perpetuating conflict.

And for the past year and more, the insidious fascists of the emergent Islamic State in the Levant (ISIL/DAESH) led by Sunni extremist Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi have made the torture of kidnapped (renditioned) civilians and the (un-Islamic) execution of captured antifascist fighters (via beheading)\(^{17}\) available on social media, encouraging curious viewers to spread their electronic-

---

\(^{12}\) Radley Balko, 'Once again: There is no 'war on cops.' And those who claim otherwise are playing a dangerous game.' - The Washington Post http://ow.ly/S8QKA - September 10, 2015

\(^{13}\) The Iron Triangle — The Carlyle Group Exposed http://ow.ly/P68bx

\(^{14}\) KURT EICHENWALD, 'Bin Laden Family Liquidates Holdings With Carlyle Group', (Published: October 26, 2001)

\(^{15}\) WSJ.com - Bin Laden Family Could Profit From a Jump In Defense Spending Due to Ties to U.S. Bank http://ow.ly/P68Xt


\(^{17}\) Steven Sotloff beheaded by Islamic State - latest - Telegraph http://ow.ly/P2Xw6 / By Andrew Marszal, and Raf Sanchez - (9:15PM BST 03 Sep 2014)
version of terror to the Chinese sweat-shop produced Apple iPhones\textsuperscript{18} of the ‘wicked’ Western World.

And it is, in this sense – aside from the outstanding moral questions about harming innocent individuals – that the ‘Woolwich Attack’ was critically pivotal. The act did more to hurt Muslims at home and abroad rather than to ‘help’ them.\textsuperscript{19} In fact, their brutal stupidity has helped further the ongoing chaos in that it provided the ‘proof’ paranoid political rabbits needed to ratchet-up an already destructive set of security polices on a silver platter.

The corporatists and war-profiteers within the private sector used the fright-power of the incident to push UK civil liberties into even more draconian spaces of official vagueness.\textsuperscript{20} And David Cameron was allowed to press-on – with public support – as Uncle Sam’s faithful major-domo in Iraq, Afghanistan and Africa.

In short, Michael Adebolajo and Michael Adebowale failed to shock White England into forcing its leaders into ending the petroleum war. Instead, they ignorantly enabled the conflict to continue by killing someone, literally for nothing. Thoroughly destroying their own lives, as well as their families, in the process.

When I first sat down to try to make sense of all this, I wanted to understand why two UK raised British-Nigerians would decide to undertake such a barbaric act. Frankly, I wanted to come to grips with how two western-educated Black men could be convinced that they had a justifiable motive for murdering someone, (anyone it seemed) who emerged from the barracks when they got there. I was also struck by what happened after the attack.

After striking Mr. Rigby with their vehicle as he attempted to cross the road, stabbing him repeatedly and then attempting to decapitate him in the street, one of the assailants, Adebolajo, had this to say to the thoroughly horrified crowd:

‘The only reason we have killed this man today is because Muslims are dying daily by British soldiers...and this British soldier is one. It is an eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth. By Allah, we swear by the almighty Allah we will never stop fighting you until you leave us alone. So what if we want to live by the Sharia in Muslim lands? Why does that mean you must follow us and chase us and call us extremists and kill us? Rather you lot are extreme. You are the ones that when you drop a bomb you think it hits one person? Or rather your bomb wipes out a whole family?’

– ‘Woolwich attack: the terrorist’s rant’ – Telegraph (2013)\textsuperscript{21}

Here is where things tend to get a bit sticky. And while we can all agree that what occurred was reprehensible, it still bears mentioning that the way in which the news media (perhaps acting under official direction) is handling this is highly problematic.

Even if a d-notice was eventually issued to prevent certain aspects of the attack from being made known to possible accomplices, it did not help matters to ratchet-up the ‘Them-vs-Us’ rhetoric by presenting the Woolwich killers as the ‘New Face’ of Black British Islamic terrorism.

Mostly, because the discourse on the subject of terrorism during a ‘War on Terror’ is hamstrung in ways that are immediately intimidating. This is particular true for those who are actually charged with the responsibility of providing accurate news information to the public.\textsuperscript{22,23}

---

\textsuperscript{18} Chinese Reporter Slips Inside The Foxconn iPhone 5 Assembly Sweatshop | Cult of Mac http://ow.ly/S186z
\textsuperscript{19} How Arabs view the anti-mosque movement | Foreign Policy http://ow.ly/P6axW
\textsuperscript{20} The End of Encryption? NSA & FBI Seek New Backdoors Against Advice from Leading Security Experts | Democracy Now! http://ow.ly/PiO6o
\textsuperscript{21} Woolwich attack: the terrorist’s rant - Telegraph http://ow.ly/OXWFY
\textsuperscript{22} US embassy cables: ‘The job of the media is not to protect the powerful from embarrassment’, Simon Jenkins - The Guardian http://ow.ly/OZDgB
\textsuperscript{23} “10 Most Censored Countries - Committee to Protect Journalists” ( http://twitthat.com/73BqW )
Even in the United States of By-God 'Merica. Citizen journalists such as the Australasian chief of the stateless news agency WikiLeaks, Julian Assange\(^{24, 25}\) and United States Army intelligence analyst Chelsea Manning are being severely punished for releasing classified evidence to the public of US war crimes with the 'Collateral Murder' video and report detailing the infamous 2007 Apache helicopter attack upon innocent civilians in Iraq which killed 12 non-combatants, including two Reuters news service employees.

According to the US federal government, these people are held guilty for exposing the soft, white underbelly of the Anglophone adventure in post-Saddam Occupied Iraq. Although they, unlike the commanding officers and soldiers involved, have not actually physically or psychologically harmed anyone.

Likewise, dedicated US intelligence officers turned whistleblowers such as Jeffrey Sterling and John Kiriakou have been prosecuted and (wrongfully) convicted under the 1917 Espionage Act,\(^{26}\) an archaic and rarely used legal bludgeon ordained by the 'progressive', Ku Klux Klan-supporting Woodrow Wilson administration to address (and suppress) anti-war sentiment and internal subversion during World War One (WW1).

It should be noted here that while most of those prosecuted or threatened with this law were either left-wing labour figures; political radicals suspected as communist spies (Julius and Ethel Rosenberg)\(^{27}\) of religious pacifists, two conservatives, former RAND Corporation analyst and US Marine Corps Lieutenant Daniel Ellsberg and former CIA and Booz Allen Hamilton employee Edward Snowden have had the law applied to their actions as well. And I only stress this because the politically conservative-inclined names I mentioned in the list above were 'insiders' who believed in and lived and worked within the system itself.

For all accounts and purposes, they were not 'victims of the extant system but (by their own admission) were beneficiaries of it. They understood intimately and from positions of privilege what laymen (such as myself) can only speculate about. And the truth bothered them enough to urge them to do something positive and progressive about it. In fact, both Ellsberg and Snowden – between them – have done more to positively validate the claims of the investigative left-wing public sphere than any committed socialist talking-head or communist apparatchik ever could do.

Which makes sense, since one must fully understand a thing in order to know how and why it works the way it does. And this is elemental towards knowing both how to control something, (for the better) and how to deconstruct and repair a faltering system (in favour of something better). Simply being angered by a situation does not solve the situation itself. We must understand how the system works, dispassionately. Which is not as necessarily easy as it sounds.

Political as well as research objectivity is a learned skill. Very few people are truly (naturally) non-judgemental without some sort of training and/or significant life-experience as a guide to thinking 'outside' of 'something' without an emotive attachment. Fascists certainly are very poor at this. And are (as a rule) belligerent at the suggestion that objectively and insight may actually be a virtue.

\(^{24}\) Julian Assange interview - Al Jazeera English http://ow.ly/P0urY -- 22 Dec 2010 13:52 GMT  
\(^{27}\) Son of Julius and Ethel Rosenberg: "My Parents Were Executed Under the Unconstitutional Espionage Act — Here’s Why We Must Fight to Protect Julian Assange" | Democracy Now! http://ow.ly/P6oFo -- Thursday, December 30, 2010
The Beginning of Wilful Clarity and Authentic Patriotism

'Bombing for peace is like fucking for virginity.'

– Anti-Vietnam War Protest Placard (1969)

In the case of Mr. Ellsberg, he was a vital, intellectual component of the analytical process that navigated the Cuban Missile Crisis as well as the US invasion and occupation of Việt Nam when the US overtook 'responsibility' for the French loss of Indochina following the defeat at Bataille de Diên Biên Phú. It was Ellsberg, then working at the Pentagon, who first reported the Gulf of Tonkin incident to Secretary of Defense Robert S. McNamara signalling the beginning of the real conflict.

He later served as a civilian in the US Defense Department (1964) working for Assistant Secretary of Defense John McNaughton and with the US State Department (1965) to work under OSS veteran Edward Lansdale out of the US Embassy in Saigon. By 1967, Ellsberg began work with the 'McNamara Study Group', helping to produce the documents he would later leak to the corporate newsmedia as the 'Pentagon Papers'. Not exactly the resume of a left-wing hippie, is it?

The same can be said of Edward Snowden, a self-identified United States Libertarian. Again, this is not a surprise. Very few well-read political liberals or objective critics of Ron Paul's neo-Nazi connections are likely to work for a contractor of the National Security Administration (NSA).

The inherently invasive aspects of the work, for the most part, repels most free-thinking people. But few libertarians are interested in anything other than their own personal desires, opinions and financial security, so for them, its just a job.

They also generally disapprove of 'collectivism' in any form, unless it is necessary to their own survival or personal independence. And Mr. Snowden has made it very clear that he was concerned about how his private life would be impacted by the out-of-control surveillance-state and did what he did to protect his own interests. Which is not to say that his actions were not consciously undertaken to benefit others besides himself. And while I find few links of commonality between myself and Mr Snowden (who is a Dr. Ron Paul supporter as well as a US Libertarian) I have to respect his unique (among political folk) personal commitment to his political beliefs and dedication to sticking to his ideals.

Partisan politics aside, these are people who have been unfairly penalised under the 'two-faced democracy' of

Illustration 5: Daniel Ellsberg in Vietnam - Source: http://tinyurl.com/os8bdtx

32 Et tu, Mr. Destructo?: The Ron Paul Political Report: Special Issue on Race Terrorism : http://ow.ly/P72nL - (December 3, 2011)
33 Ewen MacAskill, 'Edward Snowden, NSA files source: "If they want to get you, in time they will"' | US news | The Guardian / http://ow.ly/P7eC2 - (Monday 10 June 2013 11.03 EDT)
a race-conscious, nationalistic set of ethics. Ellsberg realised this during his service for the State Department in Occupied Vietnam. Chelsea Manning experienced this she was sifting through sensitive intelligence data that showed professional US soldiers committing war crimes. Snowden saw this as he came to see the potential for unchecked, widespread abuse within both the system itself and in the people who want(ed) to be involved in such work.

These are people, generally, who actively objected to the use of war, violence and economic subterfuge as an operational basis for American commerce. And for this they should be respected, protected and honoured as the political and democratic heroes and heroines that they are.

Only a fool and the zealously blind patriot could believe – today – that the invasions and occupations of Iraq and Afghanistan were not about western control over the Arabian petroleum resources of those countries. Or deny that the Iraqi Ba'athist (fascist) leader Saddam Hussein was a CIA operative and asset for more than 40 years. No one aside from the non-news-reading willfully ignorant disputes this.

And as a young man, Hussein was a leading member of an armed gang of killers sent by 'The Company' to assassinate then Iraqi Prime Minister Gen. Abd al-Karim Qasim, most likely as a means to effect Egypt's Gamel Abdel Nasser and the United Arab Republic (UAR) and as a means of unbalancing Qasim's pro-communist government. He failed. But the Qasim government eventually fell after a Ba'athist coup successfully assassinated the leader in 1963, (See: the 'Ramadan Revolution'). Immediately following the overthrow, CIA officers began providing Ba'athists with 'wet lists' of known Iraqi communists who were soon corralled, harshly interrogated and summarily executed.

As author Seán Mac Mathúna has detailed in his report: ‘CIA Coups in Iraq in 1963 & 1968 Helped Put Saddam Hussein in Power’:

The CIA were also closely involved when in 1963, the Baathists overthrew Qassim. This time Qassim was killed, but the Baathists held power only briefly, setting off a period of coups and more instability in Iraq. Said K. Aburish, who worked with Hussein in the 1970s, and author of 'Saddam Hussein: The Politics of Revenge', has said that the CIA's role in the coup against Qassim was 'substantial'. The coup resulted in the return of Hussein to Iraq - he was immediately assigned to head the Al-Jihaz al-Khas, the clandestine Ba'athist Intelligence organisation. As such, he was soon involved in the killing of some 5,000 communists.

Mac Mathúna goes on the state:

CIA agents were in touch with army officers who helped in the coup, operated an electronic command centre in Kuwait to guide the anti-Qasim forces, and like in

---
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Indonesia in 1965, supplied the conspirators with lists of people to be killed. A former senior CIA official said: "It was a bit like the mysterious killings of Iran's communists just after Ayatollah Khomeini came to power in 1979. All 4,000 of his communists suddenly got killed." Aburish confirms this saying that:

'The relationship between the Americans and the Ba'ath Party at that moment in time was very close indeed'.

This is supported by Miles Copeland, a veteran CIA operative, reported in the United Press that the CIA had enjoyed "close ties" with Qasim's ruling Ba'ath Party, just as it had close connections with the intelligence service of Egyptian leader Gamel Abd Nassar. In a recent public statement, Roger Morris, a former National Security Council staff member in the 1970s, confirmed this claim, saying that the CIA had chosen the authoritarian and anti-Communist Ba'ath Party 'as its instrument'.

There is an important distinction to be made in this regard. Although the term 'Arab Socialism' is highlighted when discussing Ba'athism, in truth its political value is closer to the 'socialism' within Nationalsozialistische Deutsche Arbeiterpartei than with orthodox Marxism.

It is a misnomer. And 'Al-Ba'athiyya' or 'Saddamiyya', Saddam Hussein's particular version of Ba'athist ideas, argued against a broader Arab nationalism in favour of an imperial role for Iraq with Baghdad as the political, cultural, economic and military centre of the entire Arab World. Even Josef Stalin, Hussein's acknowledged political role model, never dreamed of such.

And just as Adolf Hitler and Heinrich Himmler did with the Ahnenerbe, (Godwin's Law aside for a moment) the Hussein government attempted to instigate an Iraqi Spring through preternatural revisionism by co-opting the antediluvian Mesopotamian Empires as the literal predecessors of the modern Iraqi State. Benito Mussolini did much the same thing by promising to revive the ancient classical Roman Empire across North Africa\(^{40}\) (Libya), Abyssinia (Africa Orientale Italiana), the Balkans, and beyond.

And like the Italian and German fascisti, Saddam's Ba'athist government was staunchly anti-communist; anti-socialist; (in the internationalist sense) anti-labour and extremely punitive to political dissenters and ethnic minorities. The displacement of the Marsh Arabs\(^{41}\) and the use of (US supplied)\(^{42}\) chemical weapons against the Kurdish People(s) (See: the 'Halabja Massacre')\(^{43}\) amounted to acts of anti-Indigenous genocide.\(^{44}\)

An eight-year-old Senate report confirms that disease- producing and poisonous materials were exported, under U.S. government license, to Iraq from 1985 to 1988 during the Iran-Iraq war. Furthermore, the report adds, the American- exported materials were identical to microorganisms destroyed by United Nations inspectors after the Gulf War. The shipments were approved despite allegations that Saddam used biological weapons against Kurdish rebels and (according to the current official U.S. position) initiated war with Iran...This record is no argument for or against waging war against the Iraqi regime, but current U.S. officials are not eager to reconstruct the mostly secret relationship between the two countries. While biological warfare exports were approved by the U.S. government, the first President George Bush signed a policy directive proposing "normal" relations with Saddam in the interest of Middle East stability.

\(^{40}\) Africa: Italian Colonies - THE LEGACY OF FASCIST COLONIAL RACIAL POLICY - Italy, Italians, Jews, and Colonization - JRank Articles http://ow.ly/P7ykH
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Looking at a little U.S.-Iraqi history might be useful on the eve of a fateful military undertaking.

– ‘Lest we forget just who gave Saddam those WMDs’ – dailykos.com / Jun 24, 2006

And like his European counterparts, the old tribal traditions were allowed (for the most part) a place within politics and law as well as the xenophobic idea of an ethnically-superior Al-Iraqi [Arab] 'Warrior Race' that would rule the whole of the (claimed) Arab World. 45

The United States government knew of this information since they became aware of him in the late 1950's and maintained their working relationship with him all the way through his ascendency to full political power as the undisputed strongman of Iraq. 46

Hussein's fascist tendencies, his xenophobia and his personal penchant for violence were no secret to the west. They viewed these negatives as attributes, and they supported him when they deemed it necessary.

Again, none of this should come as a major surprise. The xenophobia and caste prejudices of the Arab fascists are in many ways a direct reflection of European interference in Arabian lands since the first of the European tribal wars (World War One/WW1). And this mindset is mirrored in the crafted predictability of the western-based intelligence community and its thick-headed foreign polices. Not to mention the unconscionable behaviour of many of its agents in the field.

It is also important to note that the 'Ugly American' is a real train-of-thought that operates within the minds of United States and United Kingdom policy makers. But this attitude is not unique to the US. And if we, (meaning everyone living with a moral centre) actually want to prevent terrorist acts such as the Rigby murder and a whole host of other avoidable social ills, it is imperative that we all make an effort to understand – not sympathise with – the root causes of politically-inspired antisocial violence. 47 And clearly, this is not being done by the people we expect to protect us. And it isn't because they are hearing impaired.

They simply don't care.

Public Comprehension versus Political Diarrhoea

'A wise man makes his own decisions, an ignorant man follows public opinion'

– Chinese Proverb

As I feared when I began writing about the Woolwich tragedy, the UK government never critically addressed the attack other than to use it politically as a dog whistle call to the right-wing against the minority and immigrant sectors of English society. British Muslims 48 in particular. Having said that, no one, other than stalwart apologists within the Muslim community and some utterly passive fools in the Progressive Movement, will argue that there isn't a reasonable concern about Islamic extremism in the United Kingdom.
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There is.

And it is indeed reasonable for a population – and its representative government – to view individuals and organisations that preach hatred towards people(s) whom they disagree with and violence against civilian society and the state with some suspicion. To argue otherwise is simply ridiculous.

It isn't Islamophobia to factually point out that there are fundamentalist religious radicals who do indeed preach violence against Christian Europe (and the Buddhist east). But it is Islamophobic to not discuss why. And is quite possible that even if the western powers – represented by the Roman Empire – had never invaded Western Asia that (a) schism might still exist because of the usual political/territorial/ethnic differences.

Or not. We will never know since Europe's history in the Arab World since ancient times has been more than troublesome. And many modern xenophobic White Europeans are still harbouring a ridiculous resentment over the fall of the Visigothic Kingdom (regnum Tolosanum) to the Umayyad Caliphate which conquered Hispania in 711. Although Islamic rules were not forcibly imposed upon the non-Muslim subjects of the new government, contemporary Christian hard-liners falsely portray the cosmopolitan Islamic-lead society of Al-Andalus as viciously Judeophobic and anti-Christian which is not true.

Iberian Christians were allowed both self-rule and their own legal system (Forum Iudicum) just as it was before the Muslims arrived. The Sephardic Jewish population received the very same courtesy and respect. Discrimination came in the taxation of non-Arabs and the sociopolitical racialism and violence shown towards the Berber population who were a major part of the original invasion.

Knowing this in central to understanding the extremist movements in the Arab and Anglophone Worlds today. Why? Because it is important to differentiate between the modern fundamentalist and what was happening in Al-Andalus.

The insular, extremist worldview of the contemporary and historical Muslim fascist in regards to the Islamic faith (by fiat) dictates that those who are not practising Islam – *exactly the same way as they do* – are 'non-believers' and are deserving of death. Which is a viewpoint no different than any far-right Christian opinion which was fully-active during the Reconquista, during the Crusades, during African and American settler colonialism and throughout both WW1 and WW2.

The Coming of the (Violent) Son of Man

*And starward drifts the stricken world,*  
*Lone in unalterable gloom*  
*Dead, with a universe for tomb,*  
*Dark, and to vaster darkness whirled.*

— George Sterling, 'The Testimony of the Suns'

As someone with a passion and respect for history, I am of the opinion that once strict, 'end-timer' religious orders begin defining people, tribes and countries as collectives 'without beliefs', bad things happen. Many belief systems – those that aggressively seek to add to their flock, like a pimp collecting young women for prostitution – have spread their faiths via the use of the sword and mental confusion, not love. Judaism is not.
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a 'peaceful' religion. Nor is Christianity. Not by a long-shot. So in effect, and in light of the fact that the Abrahamic faiths all claim to speak for the very same sky-deity, none is any better than the other.

However, religious conflict is not something to take lightly. Part of the danger in it all is that such affairs are rank with political and economic ambivalence and emotional fidelity to a single goal. Another point, is that the belief systems in question behind these dire issues are themselves, extremely contradictory, self-serving and resistant to reason.

In fact, they are all rooted in the same psycho-neurotic nonsense and can be used to justify many varied ideas for many different reasons. And making an effort to comprehend the differing ways a religion can be interpreted is the first step towards separating the truth from fact and reason from rank extremism.

Religion, as far as this writer is concerned, is more trouble than it is worth. And when I state that, I am referring to all religions without fear or favour. Even Buddhism – one of my personal philosophies – which is not technically a faith-based religion has become of late a racist, ultra-nationalist monster in Myanmar/Burma.

Even the Anglophone heroine Aung San Suu Kyi has done precious little to help address the genocidal plight of the Rohingya People or criticised the nation's Buddhist leadership on this issue. Which is reprehensible given her stated politics and the international support she has earned from human rights activists. It simply looks as bad as it sounds. And it is a damned shame.

Unproven Beliefs Versus a Materialist Politic

'However many holy words you read, however many you speak, what good will they do you if you do not act on upon them?'

– The Buddha

There is absolutely no logical, material or substantive proof for the existence of a Supreme Deity. Zero. What began as a philosophical concept to explain nature has become a hard and firm belief in and of itself. That for reasons far too numerous to list here, has been a central part of human society and understanding ever since the birth of fire. Religion also serves to define and divide peoples, one-from-one another, brother-from-brother, one country from another. And state-organised religion(s) have proven to be more of a hindrance to human development than as a cure for human ills.

What I find most puzzling about it all is that centuries past the materialism of the European Enlightenment, the scientific and literary advances of Al-Andalus, (the best of the old-world west) and the splitting of the atom, the concept of deity, an emotive rather than a reality-based ideology, is still as strong today as it was in the ancient past.

And, if the truth be told, all of it – each school of thought and every doctrine of theological apologia – is based entirely upon something that, frankly, does not and never did actually exist.
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I think that this is important to mention. Because the majority of the mainstream press was more interested in talking about Islam as an 'evil religion' than with critical analysis about the political and historical background of Michael Adebolajo's calm and blunt statement to startled onlookers in the streets of Woolwich. This, I think, was a big mistake. And it was purposeful.

And this error was made worse by lazy police professionals who were willing to allow the Rigby Murder to rest at the Jihadist-as-nutcase level without connecting the Woolwich Attack to both Britain's involvement in the WoT and excessive anti-Muslim/anti-Black/anti-South Asian racial profilling by UK law enforcement. Further, there is also strong evidence that M. Adebolajo was not only renditioned, gaoled, tortured and sexually abused by members of the British and Kenyan intelligence services by mistake in 2010 but that an attempt was made to recruit him for clandestine service as an informer within the British Muslim community. 57 58

I wish to be clear here. I mention the latter not as a 'justification' for Adebolajo and Adebowale, but because these connections are being overlooked in favour of cultural xenophobia and simple greed on the part of those who truly believe that the White World 'owns' the whole of the world, lock stock and barrel with the benefit of quarrel. And because, I am finding it very difficult in a WikiLeaks interconnected universe to separate the WoT (or free-market capitalism in general) from the animosity imperialism creates wherever it places its grubby fingerprints. 61

These are the very sort of conditions that make it possible for confirmed radical clerics who use religion as a shillelagh – such as Anjem Choudary taking easy advantage of this anger, pain and uncertainty.

If Michael Adebolajo, who attended the same mosque as Choudary in London, had not been abused by the state and by Africans working for British intelligence, could he have been driven to cold-blooded murder simply by hearing the fiery speeches and angry rhetoric of the Muslim far-right?

Islamic fascists like Choudary blame the west, correctly, for invading and co-opting their nations wealth. And this is an argument that is difficult to counter because it is true. But if you listen carefully to what he says in his talks, it is obvious that he (and the others like him) would still be strongly antagonistic to Great Britain, regardless of the UK's relationship to his traditional homeland. This is because Islamic clerics such as Anjem Choudary are fanatics.

They are not thinking or behaving as responsible leaders of their communities. And they are not truly willing to be martyrs for their causes either. For this, they dispatch the gullible and the stupid while they cower behind their boastful fire-breathing and punch-drunk grandstanding with carefully picked words borrowed

58 Woolwich attack: Michael Adebolajo was asked to work for MI5 claims friend - Telegraph http://ow.ly/P4hUA - 12:56PM BST 25 May 2013
59 Conal Urquhart and Vikram Dodd, 'Woolwich suspect's friend arrested after appearing on Newsnight' | UK news | The Guardian http://ow.ly/P4idV - Friday 24 May 2013 20.01 EDT
61 Confessions of an Economic Hit Man, by John Perkins--the shocking real-life story of how America built an empire on third world debt http://ow.ly/P4iYo
62 Rob Cooper, 'Hate preacher Anjem Choudary blames presence of British troops in Afghanistan for Woolwich killing as he admits to attending the same mosque as suspect' | Daily Mail Online http://ow.ly/P4jq6 -- Published: 09:02 EST, 23 May 2013 | Updated: 13:17 EST, 23 May 2013
from their claimed religion(s). Extremists are selfish cowards. They childishly want what they want, despite the needs and concerns of the rest of the world and they are willing to burn the world to a crisp if they cannot have what they want.

The extremists are wrong on many, many fronts. Dead wrong. And despite its many glaring faults, its hypocrisies and its co-opted Euro-Christian heritage, the United Kingdom is still a (marginally) fairly democratic nation with a hard-won (and selective) tolerance for religious (and political) diversity. Precisely the exact sort of tolerance and diversity that makes delivering hate speech from a bully-boy pulpit within Great Britain possible in the first place.

It is not ‘pro-British’ to admit that there is more free-speech allowed in Manchester than there is in Mecca. This is a fact. And this is where the anger of the Muslim extremists comes through, because if people in Great Britain do enjoy more free-speech rights than others living in many parts of the Arab World it is because the need for a political and social bludgeoning in the UK is different and for different reasons. And it is vitally important to understand the distinctions.

One good way to evaluate a society is to observe how dissenters are treated by both the state and the general population. When dissenters speak out, the pressure and punishment they receive is always relative to the perceived threat they represent to the extant order. So when political dissidents in the Anglophone World speak out, beatings; unlawful surveillance and framed-up gaolings are not uncommon. And there have been documented occasions of known (and proved) political murders that have had hard effects on certain areas of a given society and its economy.

But political murder in the west is not a rule of thumb as it was in say, East Germany and Romania. This is because most western societies – due to its hard-won democratic structures – can adjust to progressive change. The flexibility offered by democratic political institutions allows for not just growth, (which is unduly focused on) but for adaptation and maturation to coping with changing realities.

In short, democratic states can survive change. Hard-line states, of any persuasion, cannot do this.

In the Arab World, which is primarily governed by royal lineages and military/political linkages, almost all dissent is regarded as an act of high treason. And this attitude and action especially applies to the touchy subject of public calls for free-speech. An act that in most Arab states operating under the strict Sharia justice system would cause a dissenting individual (and perhaps their entire family) to be punished by the government and/or tribal courts with a traditional sentence of death by beheading.  

Let me be clear here about something else, I am not in any way suggesting that there exists a ‘better than’ factor between the Eastern and Western concepts of justified oppressions. Just the opposite. The west claims to be a democracy, the Arab World does not make such a claim. This too is a fact that is difficult to ignore. If the claimed democracies do not live up to their own rhetorical songs of virtue, that is another matter entirely. And that too, is another major contradiction.

We must be willing to acknowledge not just black from white, but the areas of grey that exist between the two extreme spectrums. These are paradoxes the right-wing extremists and apologists cannot answer logically. And it seems that the left-wing also has a problem with this, and not just because of pressure from the right. But from the extremists within their own ranks. Why? Because one problem with the left-wing – outside of the Eastern Bloc and Latin América – is the reluctance to defend what has been won through working-class struggle. The far-right has become quite expert at selectively exploiting real social and political problems as a means of swaying public opinion towards their own narrow views. And they have

---

also made it a habit (like many Zionists) to take credit for certain civil liberties and necessary progressive changes that they had absolutely nothing to do with.

This is a serious problem. Also, those of us who are ideologically opposed to the extreme right-wing – leftist intellectuals in particular – ignore (at their peril) the elements of this that are not directly connected to politics. Namely, the combined psychological dynamics of faith; cultural identity and territorial ancestry or, territorial seizure and their relationship to the politics-of-the-moment.

Which leads us into a region of debate that Marxist thought is very negligent in dealing with very effectively. The subject of course, is one of 'race' and why it should not matter (very much, if at all) to an advanced, educated society.

**Left-Wing Racial Objectivity (Maybe)**

One of the common excuses offered for why a person or organisation might be dedicated to the total destruction of communist thought is not what most observers expect to hear. The usual rhetoric that is zealously bandied about by the right-wing media talking-heads is dedicated to harping endlessly about left-wing ideals being dedicated to destroying individual freedoms and with ending the (supposed) free-market. But what their own internal media – the 'insider' information that is more intimately spread between believers and advocates – says is a bit different.

These issues are certainly mentioned, but the main concern of right-wing politics and of fascist movements is their strong – obsessive, really – belief in the superiority of the 'Pure Race'. And this is true regardless of the ethnic group in question. Revisionist Afrocentric purists are no less xenophobic, or right-wing, than their Caucasian and Asian counterparts all across the world. In these cases, the documented history of Europocentric racialism – against them as distinct people(s) – is (unfairly) used as a rationale for employing and justifying race-conscious ideas and practises that clearly contradict the entire point of an (honest) liberation movement.

In other words, if the right-wing despises not so much the leftists but Marxism in particular, it is because orthodox Marxism does not (officially) recognise racism. Does racial bias exist within the communist/socialist/anarchist worlds? Of course. Anyone who denies this either ignorant of the 'ism' or simply living in lefty-style denialism. Racism has always been an issue of contention within the left-wing and probably always will be as long as 'race' is used as a barometer.

The American writer Jack London – well known for his hatred of (and rank hypocrisy towards) African Peoples aside – and other socialists, such as American Federation of Labor (AFL) founder Samuel Gompers, who was Jewish were openly bigoted against non-Europeans. Even within the ranks of the labour movement today, many unions still marginalise themselves (unofficially) along racial lines. All of this is true, but as with everything else that happens in human events, this dynamic isn't the whole story. Many socialists and communists – like most White People(s) – were just as concerned about preserving their social privilege back then as they are today. And the left-wing xenophobic reactions seen peppering the Bernie Sanders presidential effort is empirical evidence of this.

One reason race is negated as a vital issue is because Marxism denies the concept of 'race' as un-scientific and opposes its recognition because on principle, it is (almost) always wielded as a negative working component of the class-divide. And because of this, many White leftist activists can subconsciously refuse to consider (or accept) how the far-right sees 'race' (in order to counteract it) or even how Indigenous Peoples are harmed through (racist) mandated assimilation programmes.

---

Because White People 'see' racism without experiencing it themselves, their personal connection to this injustice is blurred by unearned privilege. A sociopolitical privilege very few White People (not just Caucasians) are unwilling to renounce. This, is a serious and problematic contradiction. And it should be addressed because failing to do this isn't being analytical at all, its being judgemental, which isn't helping the situation one iota. And it is empirically indicative of the sort of embedded racialism that many ethnic scholars of colonialism (such as Roxanne Dunbar-Ortiz) have been talking about for generations.

Looking back at the Woolwich Attack, there was very little in the way of any serious public discourse on how (or why) two Black Englishmen would murder a British soldier in the UK in response to its military policies and its more questionable actions against civilians occurring abroad. This is not to dismiss the condemnation the perpetrators received and will have to accept (deservedly) for the remainder of their natural lives. But it still stands to reason that simply hating them personally (and Islam in general) without comprehending what caused them to want to do such terrible thing in the first place solves nothing. And regrettably, all the heavy-handed security measures currently available (and in the foreseeable future) will not prevent another 'Lone Wolf' attack like this one from happening again.

The Woolwich Attack, I argue, could have been prevented though sound political and police policy, (with the appropriate oversight). Not stormtrooper, 'French-in-Algeria' sort of tactics that encourage clandestine resistance. We can either choose to ignore the causes of the attitudes and the (very real) prejudices against what is happening internationally, or, we can (as rational societies and individuals) keep on as we are doing, allowing the ownership-class to dictate the terms of human co-existence for their own benefit.

Without a collective investment in mutually-respectful, mutually-profitable way of living, human-on-human conflict on a grand scale will continue for the foreseeable future.

**Imperial Brigandage and the Free-Market**

’No wonder Kurtz put a weed up Command’s ass. The war was being run by a bunch of four star clowns who were gonna end up giving the whole circus away.’

– Captain Benjamin Willard, 'Apocalypse Now'

Believe me when I assure you that I am not original in saying any of this. All seasoned intelligence officers, professional policemen and women and honest economists know this. Yet, the vast majority of the professional individuals sitting in decision-making (and intelligence) positions are not listening.

The real question is why, since an important part of their job is heeding the counsel of the experts, their own people in the field. Those who are on the front-lines, speaking the languages; eating the food; populating the alleyways, side-streets and tea houses of the ‘enemy’.

More importantly, where an opportunistic paper jockey sitting in the capitol sees statistics, the intelligence officer/operative or 'beat' cop sees (and experiences) a human face and the anguish of perpetual western-led interference, economic fleecing and unnecessary, bigoted disrespect behind the grimaces.

The great minds and deep pockets in the west that are directing the struggle for capitalist hegemony are not very concerned about the human damage that occurs as a result of their business practices. And this is a major part of the problem. It is also the main reason why the Islamic State in the Levant has become a major threat to world peace.
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Had there been no Bush/Cheney invasion of Iraq, (no underhanded double-dealing with 'friendly' Jihadists-for-hire)\textsuperscript{71} and no Shia-vs-Sunni sectarian conflict,\textsuperscript{72} the hard-fascist Islamic State (which has surpassed Al-Qa’ida in both extremism and violence)\textsuperscript{73} could and would not have arisen out of the western-encouraged effort to topple the Ba’athist government in Syria.\textsuperscript{74}

In trying to play one far-right group against another, the west created a monster.\textsuperscript{75} These Islamic fascists are more radical than those of the recent past. And they are sweeping away the modern Arab World through the unbridled utilisation of promiscuous destruction. And nothing living across the Levant – people, places and things – is spared from their fundamentalist wrath.

The Islamic State (as a political body) acts without regard for the welfare of others they view as weaker than themselves. And in their extremist quest to take over the entire Arab World, they are giving no quarter to anyone. They are a clear and present threat to world peace. No one (credible) denies that. And as I have mentioned earlier in regards to the far-right links between the Woolwich Attack and hate-clerics such as the British-born Islamist shite-kicker Anjem Choudary, the IS would want to wage the exact sort of campaign they are waging now, only strictly on theological grounds.

But for the present, the IS, like the western-armed and allied Arab governments they wish to topple, use violence and terror because it is their preferred way of doing business.\textsuperscript{76} And they learned their trade from the best in the colonialism and imperialist industry.\textsuperscript{77}

And when materially wealthy, but militarily weak countries refuse to hand-over their territory and natural resources to invaders and venture capitalist investment, the imperial machine dispatches the jackals. Paid, professional operatives that specialise in weakening a society from the inside without their realising what happened to them, when it started or how to make it stop. As 'The Fighting Quaker', United States Marine Corps Major General and later anti-fascist activist Smedley D. Butler succinctly put it in his famous anti-war book, 'War is a Racket' (1935):

> War is just a racket. A racket is best described, I believe, as something that is not what it seems to the majority of people. Only a small inside group knows what it is about. It is conducted for the benefit of the very few at the expense of the masses.

> I believe in adequate defense at the coastline and nothing else. If a nation comes over here to fight, then we'll fight. The trouble with America is that when the dollar only earns 6 percent over here, then it gets restless and goes overseas to get 100 percent. Then the flag follows the dollar and the soldiers follow the flag.

> I wouldn't go to war again as I have done to protect some lousy investment of the bankers. There are only two things we should fight for. One is the defense of our homes and the other is the Bill of Rights. War for any other reason is simply a racket.

> There isn't a trick in the racketeering bag that the military gang is blind to. It has its "finger men" to point out enemies, its "muscle men" to destroy enemies, its "brain men"
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to plan war preparations, and a "Big Boss" Super-Nationalistic-Capitalism.

It may seem odd for me, a military man to adopt such a comparison. Truthfulness compels me to. I spent thirty-three years and four months in active military service as a member of this country's most agile military force, the Marine Corps. I served in all commissioned ranks from Second Lieutenant to Major-General. And during that period, I spent most of my time being a high class muscle-man for Big Business, for Wall Street and for the Bankers. In short, I was a racketeer, a gangster for capitalism.

I suspected I was just part of a racket at the time. Now I am sure of it. Like all the members of the military profession, I never had a thought of my own until I left the service. My mental faculties remained in suspended animation while I obeyed the orders of higher-ups. This is typical with everyone in the military service.

I helped make Mexico, especially Tampico, safe for American oil interests in 1914. I helped make Haiti and Cuba a decent place for the National City Bank boys to collect revenues in. I helped in the raping of half a dozen Central American republics for the benefits of Wall Street. The record of racketeering is long. I helped purify Nicaragua for the international banking house of Brown Brothers in 1909-1912 (where have I heard that name before?). I brought light to the Dominican Republic for American sugar interests in 1916. In China I helped to see to it that Standard Oil went its way unmolested.

During those years, I had, as the boys in the back room would say, a swell racket. Looking back on it, I feel that I could have given Al Capone a few hints. The best he could do was to operate his racket in three districts. I operated on three continents.

The (by then) retired USMC general was in a good position to know about this side of American capitalism. Following his discharge from the Marine Corps, Butler was approached by a man (suspected to be Gerald MacGuire, a financier and commander of an American Legion post) claiming to represent a small group of 'concerned citizens' seeking to stop the United States from 'going communist'.

The fascists wanted to recruit the retired republican party (GOP) member Gen. Butler due to his conservative values and his strong popularity among soldiers and veterans, hoping he would lead their military plan to overthrow the Franklin D. Roosevelt administration via an armed coup d'état.

This conspiracy, which became known as the 'Business Plot' or, 'The Wall Street Putsch' was an (aborted) attempt to ride the momentum of popular discontent and economic mayhem during the Great Depression. These animosities were made worse when numbers of disgruntled WW1 veterans and their families flooded into the US Capitol demanding an immediate cash payment of promised wartime service bonuses in 1932.

This 'Bonus Expedition Force' (BEF) or 'Bonus Army' after a period of time was violently removed from government property by both Gen. Douglas MacArthur and (then) Maj. George S. Patton with the last ordered US Army Calvary charge on US soil. General Butler had made an appearance at the protest encampment to support their demands. And he was very critical of the Herbert Hoover administration and the US Army following the smashing of the veterans encampment. The corporate interests behind the fascists wanted to use Butler's influence among these angry veterans to convince the more hardy (and right-wing) among them to arm-up against their own government as the right-wing had done in fascist Europe.
The fascists made a fatal mistake when they choose to recruit Gen. Butler. Like a true American patriot, he went along with the planners so long as to learn who and how many were involved in the plot. He later told his story to a congressional committee which lead to a federal investigation and a hearing. But that was all. No one was ever prosecuted for the conspiracy and the entire event was simply forgotten. 

### Provoking Hatred for War-Play and Profits

'At Geneva other countries would have agreed not to use aeroplanes for bombing purposes, but we insisted on reserving the right, as D[avid Lloyd George] puts it, to bomb niggers! Whereupon the whole thing fell through, & we add 5 millions to our air armaments expenditure.'

– Countess Lloyd-George of Dwyfor, (CBE)

The class-conscious and racialist dynamics behind the Business Plot is no different than the hysterical, right-wing fearmongering heard today from the North American right-wing. The Roosevelt administration had angered the ultra-conservative businessmen of the period who panicked at the 'socialism' of the 'New Deal'; an expanded role of government investment in the public sector and the change in the Gold Standard which alone, caused many conservatives to panic audibly in the streets.

The long-held conservative belief that a currency not backed by gold is inherently unstable and dangerous to private wealth and business inspired many zealots in the right-wing and the far-right to curse President Roosevelt as a 'Jewish-Controlled communist dupe' of the Soviet Union. And many still make the same claims today, criticising taxation, equal marriage rights and social justice progress as examples of 'Cultural Marxism' and Jewish-led plots designed to destroy private ownership for the state-sponsored support of non-White minorities and the working (Caucasian) poor.

These ideas of course are based on the smoke and insecurities of White settler paranoia. There is no 'plot' by Jews (or anyone else) to undermine White America. What is at question however is 'White Power', which is not the same question at all. In fact, it is a false accusation grounded in the very same pro-Eurocentric xenophobia that buttressed the rise of fascism in western and eastern Europe in the first place.

White People living within an overwhelmingly European-dominated worldview perceive, wrongly, that any sense of 'loss' or 'control' they feel (personally) is just cause for reactionary rhetoric and action. No matter how unprincipled the response may be. They 'feel' and 'think' that they are being persecuted, when they are not. And most any sort of retaliatory action to people of this mindset is justified as 'necessary' to bring about the 'truth' of their message.
One good example is young White Supremacist Joshua Bonehill, a neo-Nazi from Somerset who has made a career out of purposefully misidentifying people in Britain as Homosexuals, Muslims and paedophiles as a means of causing them distress and possibly physical harm. Through his website Daily Bale, Bonehill ran a one-man character-assassination programme ostensibly to 'shame and confuse' the far-right's active left-wing and antifascismus opposition. As reported by the RationalWiki:

He was also charged with sending numerous messages online to Margaret Chapman identifying her as being responsible for abusing children which was false between February 21 and 23. He was further alleged to have sent to the same victim an online “wanted” poster identifying her as a paedophile which was false and designed to cause distress to the recipient.

A further allegation that he pursued a course of conduct amounting to the harassment of Sara Roocroft between February 25 and March 13 in that he posted articles online claiming that she had made derogatory comments about the death of Lee Rigby and posted numerous articles online claiming that she was responsible for abusing children was charged.

He was also accused on March 5 of sending to Joseph Carlisle online messages claiming him to be Jewish, homosexual and a convicted paedophile which was false and designed to cause distress to the recipient.

– ‘Criminal charges and investigations’, Joshua Bonehill-Paine - RationalWiki

And although he was found guilty this year of of six counts of malicious communication and sentenced to gaol, Bonehill received only a suspended sentence. As reported in the dailymail.co.uk, Bonehill 'smirked' in the dock and mocked the situation saying later that he had, 'absolutely no remorse for them [the victims] whatsoever'.

And while I choose to focus of Bonehill, he is only one of many which can be found on the (necessarily) liberal and democratic resource of the World Wide Web. On anonymous message boards such as 8chan (or infinitechan) and White Nationalist hubs such as Stormfront and Jew Watch, the message is brought home that White Christians and their 'way of life' are in danger from Jews, Blacks and mixed-race 'mongrels' who wish to breed the White Race out of existence. The White Supremacist argument is that they are facing a 'White Genocide', so whatever actions they undertake are 'necessary' for their ultimate survival.

And as retrograde as these ideas are, they are accorded ample coverage and journalistic respect in the Age of Obama. Which says a great deal about how far to the right the United States and the rest of the Anglphone nations are ethically if not morally.

On the other hand, people who are more than just upset or dismayed because their entire village has been obliterated to make way for a exclusive resort or an oil derrick, receive no such objectivity. Despite the fact that the anger that these people feel, is real. And the scars they bear, are real too. Even if they are immediately visible to the observer.

Another fact is, they have begged the western democratic powers and the 'new-and-improved' capitalist roaders in mainland China to stop the carnage and sit at the diplomatic table (with respect). This has been roundly and repeatedly ignored. So the anger goes unnoticed, unacknowledged and it continues leak new channels like foetid stillwater seeping from a rotting gourd into a fresh cup of honeyed Assam tea.
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This is the bitter taste of imperialism. And without showing regard for the concerns of the victims, their fears or the welfare and lives of their families, the governments and citizens of the west look extremely bad. And worse, most, if not all of this carnage is as preventable as it is profitable. Especially in the oil-rich sands of the so-called 'Middle East' where everyday people in the occupied Arab nations are dying everyday simply because they are standing in the way of the Seven Sisters oil cartel and other related industries. And, because of Europocentric cultural and ethnic arrogance against the western idea of the Oriental Arab.

The potential for wholesale, frenzied acts of violent retribution against the Anglophone World and the European Union are very real. No one is denying that. But if heavy-handed, people-suppression programmes are not working, (and they don't up to a point) what will work? Exploitation is just too powerful of a narcotic for the capitalist junkie. And who is willing to step away from the increasingly profitable conventional anti-terror gravy-train? Not the capitalists or the politicians who work for them (or own them). That is for damn sure.

Facing Cthulhu Who Sits by the Door with a Chinese made AK-47

'The object of terrorism is terrorism. The object of oppression is oppression. The object of torture is torture. The object of murder is murder. The object of power is power. Now do you begin to understand me?'

— George Orwell, '1984'

Terrorism is wrong. That is a given. No matter who does it, no matter what the reason. No excuses. No pardons. Only, what exactly is 'terrorism' and who is the end-authority that decides what is – and what isn't – terrorism.

When I looked about to find a definition of terrorism, I learned that there is no single definition. The About.com website for instance lists no less than three different interpretations in the United States alone. And since the US (and the world) believes that North America is the sole source of international morality and knowledge, let us begin by looking at one such perspective via the FBI:

The unlawful use of force or violence against persons or property to intimidate or coerce a Government, the civilian population, or any segment thereof, in furtherance of political or social objectives.

As opposed to the US Department of Defense (DOD) Dictionary of Military Terms definition of terrorism as:

The calculated use of unlawful violence or threat of unlawful violence to inculcate fear; intended to coerce or to intimidate governments or societies in the pursuit of goals that are generally political, religious, or ideological.

Confused yet? Then to top it all off, there is the US Law Code which is used as a basis for all legislation passed and enacted throughout the entire country. According to U.S. Code Title 22, Ch.38, Para. 2656f(d):

(d) Definitions

As used in this section –
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(1) the term “international terrorism” means terrorism involving citizens or the territory of more than 1 country;

(2) the term “terrorism” means premeditated, politically motivated violence perpetrated against noncombatant targets by subnational groups or clandestine agents;

(3) the term “terrorist group” means any group practicing, or which has significant subgroups which practice, international terrorism;

(4) the terms “territory” and “territory of the country” mean the land, waters, and airspace of the country; and

(5) the terms “terrorist sanctuary” and “sanctuary” mean an area in the territory of the country –

(A) that is used by a terrorist or terrorist organization –

   (i) to carry out terrorist activities, including training, fundraising, financing, and recruitment; or

   (ii) as a transit point; and

(B) the government of which expressly consents to, or with knowledge, allows, tolerates, or disregards such use of its territory and is not subject to a determination under—

   (i) section 2405(j)(1)(A) of the Appendix to title 50;

   (ii) section 2371 (a) of this title; or

   (iii) section 2780 (d) of this title.

To state that this is all vague and (purposefully) broad in its collective scope is an understatement. And as the famous (or infamous for ‘joining the system’) American activist Tom Hayden has pointed out, not only are the nation's intelligence agencies bending the law and public opinion to get away with spying on domestic anti-war and social justice activists, but now activism – from the left-wing – is viewed as dangerous enough to demand:

‘whether the FBI has expanded the definition of domestic terrorism to people who engage in mainstream political activity, including nonviolent protest and civil disobedience.’

Think about this for a moment. If the pacifist Catholic Worker movement and the Quaker Church can be treated as credible concerns to the US government while the Ku Klux Klan – a domestic terrorist organisation – can operate above-board while making open and repeated (actual) threats to violently disrupt and dismantle the American system of government in favour of a 'Whites Only' nation free of non-European
immigrants, what is really going on here?89 99
Anyone who tries to claim that the Ku Klux Klan is not a terrorist organisation is either a fool, or a liar.100
The history and behaviour of the multi-generational organisation, which began in response to the end of
African slavery, has been one of anti-African, anti-Indigenous, anti-Jewish and anti-Catholic intimidation,
assault and of murder101. To deny this is insane. Or, it is a clear sign that the sociopolitical supremacy of
the Anglophone-lead world system is vitally important, if not sacrosanct, to the individual who is defending
their existence.

Unorthodox Reflections in a Caucasian's Eye

There is a blatant double-standard at play here. Black American activist and known victim of violent police
brutality, Sister Assata O. Shakur has made history not for her support of the US African independence
movement, but for being the first female to make the US's most wanted terrorist hot-list.102 She was
demonised and objectified as threat to the natural White-controlled 'social order of things' as was the
(original) Black Panther Party for Self Defense.

Let us please remember that it is a well-established fact103 that the Klan and other far-right groups and their
sympathisers have been deeply embedded in law enforcement,104 the correctional system and the US military
since their very beginning.105

When White Supremacist groups and internet hustlers like Klan-supporter Nathan Ener106 get
away making threats and boasting about their record of acts against the citizenry, it is obvious
that they are allowed this privilege because it is precisely because what someone in power wants to
happen.

The White Supremacist groups are 'White', and therefore, they enjoy a certain-level of clandestine
and/or passive-support from both law enforcement and the larger Caucasian and Caucasian-aligned
general population.

This is why I argue that 'acts of terror' are not wars waged by 'other means'. They are cowardly acts aimed to frighten and unnerve the civilian population, not the political leadership, the police and/or military. The target of terrorism is the general population. Period. And at the risk of earning a bollocks fatwā from some half-arsed wannabe Ayatollah, I defy a hard-line, far-right supporter of indiscriminate violence – from the Islamic fundamentalists to the neo-Nazi theorists – to refute this logically and historically. It simply isn't impossible. And all arguments to reduce, rationalise or
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minimise the horrific intent and results of terrorism are – by fiat – suspect.

Authentic, as opposed to ‘professional’ revolutionaries understand the wages of violence and repression. That is why they rebel in the first place. They struggle to end the violence and the exploitation. They were victims themselves. But as with anything else dealing with human nature, victims often morph into victimisers when afforded the chance to abuse someone else. (See: ‘State of Israel’)

Professional terrorists (the army of the new oppressors) know full-well that their actions do not sway governments or law enforcement agencies. Rather, they are fully cognizant that their punishing blows create even more police repression upon the civilian sector – and they ‘hope’ that the eventual, ham-fisted abuses of the state's bullies will drive the disaffected (especially the youth) into accepting their way of thinking as ‘logical’.

Further, they fully expect their behaviour to keep the politically unconnected masses in line with their style of social and political structure after the ‘struggle’ is over. With theologically-based right-wing terrorist movements, this is the preferred method of securing the overall rule of one’s own faith over the competing factions not of your tribe. Which to this writer says that religion and the belief in a Supreme Being is not only rooted in logical nonsense, but a dangerous nonsense based on tribalism of the worst sort.

False Faith in Proven Falsehoods, Simony and Avarice

To be clear, I am not – and have never been – against people practising/believing in spirituality. That would be hypocritical of me and of what I do in my private life. Having said that, what I do have a problem with is the notion that certain 'systems' of spiritual thought with the usual corresponding ties to political power are not to be questioned or criticised as everything should be. And I still abhor the way in which both mainstream media and the world's governments allow 'accepted' religions a free pass from their direct relationship to extremist activities from all sides and angles of the problem.

Let us return to the base of the issue, the terrorist him/herself. Chiefly, they seek to harm and punish those who do not accept their beliefs and politics. In some cases, the violence is anger-reactionary, aimed against people whom they hold broadly responsible for abuses they have suffered at the hands of others. In example, blaming the general society of their nation (or another) for not protecting them, or at least, speaking up on their behalf, when they were in need of help.

And while this is all true, I am still of the mind that most of the people behind these acts would be cut-throats and joy-killers in any case. Politics, or no politics. These are the sort of people our mothers warned us about who walk around in search of people to fight so as to take out their own frustrations on an undeserving victim. Usually, because they either are too afraid or too militarily unable to respond.

This is why I have never accepted the claims made by most terrorist actors that their true goal is to 'hurt' or dismantle the system for the benefit of the oppressed masses. I just don't. I fully believe that they simply wish to hurt people. Period. Only a pitiless person could or would harm those who done them or their families no direct wrong. Their single motivation is the application of fear. Nothing more, nothing less.
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And it is foolish (I think) to reject the psychological (and historical) fact that there are individuals, for various reasons, who live for no other purpose than to cause other people (and animals) pain, discomfort and death. These sorts of human aberrations are rebels who do not need a cause or a reason to kill and main, just an excuse. Nothing more.

But what I am concerned with (as we all should be) is the other end of the spectrum. The sort of anti-social violence that is the result of anger and the welling of animosity (unnaturally) fuelled by indiscriminate violence, physical assault and constant, humiliating treatment. It is an issue that does not receive much attention. And this (I think anyway) is a problem within a problem. As it is when we ignore the fact that terrorism is also often intentionally used to influence a political situation in favour of a government's national objectives. (See: 'Operation Northwoods')

In the case of the SOI, the terrorist organisations that laid the military groundwork for the formation of the state did so by fighting first the British then the Arabs and later helping the British (and the Americans) fight the Arabs. Before and after 1947-48. And during the Second World War, Lehi and the Irgun both worked hand-in-hand with the European fascist community. A fact that the civilised world has managed to forget all about when discussing international terrorism versus the 'right' of oppressed people(s) to resist those who are oppressing them.

For further reference in regards to international law:

- Resolution 1514: Declaration on the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples
- Resolution 1541: United Nations definition of what a colony is, and what self-determination represents

Both the political right and left (yes, they do actually exist) have a problem accepting the notion that liberation movements outside of subcontinental Europe or the Anglophone World are not as 'legitimate' as White freedom groups and actions. And this double-standard extends itself – hypocritically – to the suppression of internally-colonised ethnic/religious groups in democratic nations as well as the not-so-democratic nations.

This is a fact. And it is also a fact that the countries who use violence, torture and political chicanery to prevent oppressed and exploited peoples from addressing their issues are, all, strongly supported by the United States and the other powerful nations of the 'First World'. And until this is honestly dealt with in a material as well as philosophically way – with an honest attempt to effect real change – the problems of terrorism, major and minor crimes on the local and international level, will continue.

Especially if the corporate-sector can continue to benefit from illegal money laundering and financial gains from war, crime maintenance and imprisonment-for-profits.

It just makes sense.

The 'Revenge Paradox' and Other Slave Surprises Upon the Empire

'Hamas is regularly described as 'Iranian-backed Hamas, which is dedicated to the destruction of Israel.' One will be hard put to find something like 'democratically elected Hamas, which has long been calling for a two-state settlement in accord with the international consensus'—blocked for over 30 years by the US and Israel. All true, but not a useful contribution to the Party Line, hence dispensable.'

– Noam Chomsky, 'Gaza in Crisis: Reflections on Israel’s War Against the Palestinians’

To deny that it is unreasonable or unexpected for rational people who have been routinely and unfairly marginalised; gaolled; tortured and sexually assaulted, or for those who have lost family to state and/or invading soldiers, abusive police agents or robotic, joy-stick driven drones to hold grudges is intellectually ludicrous. Revenge is a very human emotion, even if it isn’t always logical or justified.¹¹³ And obviously, when people are trapped within extreme mental states, they are rarely rational or objective.¹¹⁴

Whether or not people with a normal concern for peace and order – or politicians worried more about reelection than reality – wish to accept this or not, this dynamic matters.¹¹³ As the old adage goes, 'a wounded animal is a dangerous animal'. Human beings are no exception. Oppressed people are eventually going to fight back, if not from anger – from a fear of self-preservation – if they feel that they are left with no other choice. And it is seriously foolish to deny this. As articulated by Prof. Noam Chomsky in, 'Hegemony

---
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Revenge knows few limits when the privileged and powerful are subjected to the kind of terror they regularly mete out to their victims.

And perhaps it is this specific psychological dilemma (and explanation) that can be logically applied to understanding (if not combating) an important aspect of the problem.

The more revolutionary-minded amongst the readership may have taken offence to the last line, but I ask that you go back and read it again, objectively. Understanding the revenge motive in terrorism is only logical, if for nothing else, as a means of stopping terrorist violence. Some (on the far-left and Afrocentric end) will read this as a broad suggestion to repress and eliminate revolutionary movements too.

Frankly, it depends on the 'revolution' in question, their motives, and their ethical grounds for resorting to political violence. Is the situation in question one of group self-defence against a clear case of targeted, xenophobic repression? Or is it one of elitist-minded vengeance against the state authorities – such as with the case of Zionism during the British Mandate or with the Taliban in Afghanistan – behind the application of brutal force against the public sphere.

Obviously, not all self-identified revolutionary movements/actors are made from the same cloth. Nor do many of them remain honestly rooted in their own orthodoxies for very long. Things change. What could possibly be argued as 'justified action' by the Irish Republican Army (IRA) in the 1920's during their never-ending, sectarian quarrel with the British Empire is little more than Irish organised criminal activity in 2015. The geopolitical world order has changed several times since the beginning of the IRA's campaign to liberate Éire from Great Britain and Ireland has changed along with it.

But what hasn't changed is the way in which the UK/Irish intelligence services respond to the very perception of a threat, no matter how faint. Even if no such threat is found to exist, every effort is made to insure that one will actually exist by knowingly maintaining the exact conditions that encourage angered retaliation in the first place. Think, Buamáil an Ómaigh, or, what happened around the horrific Omagh bombing of 1998 if you still do not get my gist.

Situational arbitrary abuse, official subversion, illegal sabotage and traditional British ethnic bias over the decades lead to The Troubles that began in the 1960's and did not actually end for good until early 2000. And what started out as localised self-defence measures to counter against the violence of an invading army and its auxiliary clandestine Unionist/Loyalist paramilitaries later became drug dealing street gangs following Sinn Féin's occupation of Stormont and the Good Friday Agreement (GFA). This was partly by design and partly by accident. But one thing is certain, Britain's undercover agents – both IRA and Loyalist – are as much responsible for the current situation as is anyone else directly involved with modern crime in Ireland.

Their job was to internally bad-jacket the legitimate liberation struggle, which in turn brought the criminal
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element out to the forefront without the necessary discipline of a political footing. They were (and are) more interested in profits (and aggro) than in state-building politics. And the average Irish citizen (and British taxpayer) has to deal with the social and political consequences of all this.

The bottom line is this: The ultraconservative intellectual social current(s) that develop within subjected populations singled-out for popular abuse are not only usually negative, but are also bombastic and often violently reactionary towards both the oppressor and to those whom the angered believe to be sympathetic with the oppressor. And in most of these situations, there is no intellectual middle-ground for dialogue or resolution which attracts those who are blinded by anger and hopeless personal circumstances into considering extreme options.

In short, adverse social and economic conditions frequently generates the sort of sentiment and casual talk in protest of said adverse conditions also (by fiat) creates avenues by which anti-social extremists (will) find willing ears.\(^\text{119}\)

Adding on the natural animosity, their anger is ratcheted-up as more and more people begin adding additional (negative) bits and pieces to the flow that were not a part of the original transmission of chatter.\(^\text{120}\) Some, because they think such behaviour is funny and others, because they truly believe what they are saying. And these false rumours, fed by incendiary rhetoric, exacerbates an already inequitable and disjointed situation into an incomprehensible mess of 'committed confusion'.\(^\text{121}\)

When falsehoods, rumours, innuendo and outright lies become accepted as fact, abject paranoia and fundamentalist attitudes (will) often fill the void created by the absence of reason or rational inquiry. This is an important issue. For (many) people struggling to avoid violence, starvation and ethnic/cultural humiliation, living with anger is an everyday, inner-conflict. And for many of those who has been tortured and worse by the more powerful, the strain is unimaginable.\(^\text{122}\)

If their anger cannot be channelled through forgiveness and some sort of inner, personal equilibrium, the deep desire to return the favour, to someone – possibly anyone – places us all at risk.

This is fair to say. Because the Rudyard Kipling-esque, xenophobic, self-centred interests of the economic, political and military elite (in that order) becomes our problem as civilians when their actions affect our private and public lives and welfare. The world, believe it or not, is not theirs alone to do with as they will.

And the point is that we, the people of the political and cultural Western World – if democracy means anything at all – have the power to stop the madness. Provided of course that the legislative process is allowed to function as it is supposed to.

This another reason why the oppressed peoples of the Third and Fourth Worlds – those who do not have the privilege of living in a voting democracy – cast a shady eye towards the west and the United States in particular. They feel that if the citizens of the democratic nations are not voting against imperialist wars of aggression, or, vociferously denouncing their war-hawk leaders in an effort to stop the abuses they are forced to live with, that the people of these countries 'hate' them because of who they are. Are they wrong? Probably not.

The very least the citizenry of an oppressive power can do is to respect the intelligence – if not the emotional angst – of their country's victims. Despite all of the vociferous grandstanding and nationalistic slogans broadcast to the people(s) of the world, defenceless peoples around the world are being bombed back into the stone-age by the western powers because their ancestral territories are sitting on top of a lake of sweet crude or other substantial raw mineral wealth.\(^\text{123}\)

---
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Why the Fascist Analogy is More Than Fair

Le us remember who the victims really are. These are people who are told around the planet (at great expense)\textsuperscript{124} that the Anglophone World is the best thing going since religion.\textsuperscript{125} This is a false propaganda dynamic that we in the west ignore at our own peril.\textsuperscript{126}

It is also a historical fact that many people and populations will resort to their religion(s) and culture(s) when times are hard. And for people suffering in silence and dying in pain because of foreign, mostly western imperial greed, really, the only thing that they have is their faith in a deity that will, at some point, take righteous vengeance against their oppressors on their behalf.

In short, when people are in stressful or desperate situations, they are more likely to stick with what they know (from experience) than with an uncertainty. (See: \textit{Ellsberg Paradox})\textsuperscript{127} So culture; language; ethnicity and 'race' become important, emotional landmarks that can often trump logical clarity regarding real-world problems and solutions to pressing concerns.

This is the psychological basis of fundamentalism, be it religious or political. Think about it. We see these very same dynamics in the European football terraces every season. And let's not fool ourselves,\textsuperscript{128} many of this lot are practising fascists.\textsuperscript{129} This is a fact. But the cause that they rally behind is based squarely on hate and an aversion to a cosmopolitan-style civil order.

There is another factor I wish to point out, the rush to minimise the thought-processes of fascism and empire as mere 'accidents' of history. The nations, governments and corporations that engage in such brigandage – such as: China-in-Tibet; Russia-in-Chechnya; Indonesia-in-Timor-Leste/West Papua\textsuperscript{130} and the Arabisation of the Sudan\textsuperscript{131} – are not 'stupid'. Just the opposite. They act with an intent and with a with a definite purpose.

The public is in gross error when they reduce the carnage and sword-waving behaviour of their governments as mere idiocy. This is wrong. Their actions may seem awkward, but they are not random. And the people who rise to high-levels of political leadership and command may act foolishly, but they are not lacking in intelligence. Neither are the terrorists on all sides of this unstable equation.

Illustration 16: British Football gangs fighting opposing supporters - Source: \url{http://wp.me/p277Sm-AL}

This is in sharp contrast to the UK domestic terrorist Michael Adebolajo who was – factually speaking – telling ‘a truth’ in his bloody-handed roadside statement, but in an extremely wrong way. And his rash and angry act of politicised revenge did absolutely nothing to change the circumstances he sought to address.

There is another factor I wish to point out, the rush to minimise the thought-processes of fascism and empire as mere 'accidents' of history. The nations, governments and corporations that engage in such brigandage – such as: China-in-Tibet; Russia-in-Chechnya; Indonesia-in-Timor-Leste/West Papua\textsuperscript{130} and the Arabisation of the Sudan\textsuperscript{131} – are not 'stupid'. Just the opposite. They act with an intent and with a with a definite purpose.

The public is in gross error when they reduce the carnage and sword-waving behaviour of their governments as mere idiocy. This is wrong. Their actions may seem awkward, but they are not random. And the people who rise to high-levels of political leadership and command may act foolishly, but they are not lacking in intelligence. Neither are the terrorists on all sides of this unstable equation.
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Intellectuals, especially the more public variety, have perpetuated this myth and this is a common, elitist, knee-jerk mistake. If, not a conscious diversion in subconscious defence of their own sense of privilege. My criticism here is centred on solving the problem, not perpetuating it.

And choosing the 'simple' route is psychologically much easier than having to answer the larger problems with a more complicated set of solutions, (or better, an equalising resolution). Especially if those solutions might threaten one's own social standing or sense of unearned privilege within the extant system.  

For many, if not most, White People, there is no easy answer to this conundrum. And I am in no way attempting to provide one. But there are some obvious questions we all should be asking. If it is obviously not materially productive to (habitually) continue tap-tap-tapping in the dark with short-sighted, xenophobic politicking and policing, why do it? Why continue to hover the discussion on international and domestic terrorism (and on the terrorist him or herself) at questioning the mental state of the perpetrator(s) when we know what it is that is giving the extremists their political currency in the first place?

In short, why won't the bigots, capitalists (and the intelligentsia) not admit that, in truth, they are a chief part of the ongoing problem itself?

The Elemental Lightness of 'Creative Destruction'

'Le secret des grandes fortunes sans cause apparente est un crime oublié, parce qu’il a été proprement fait.'

'The secret of the great fortunes without apparent cause is a crime forgotten because it was done properly.'

– Honoré de Balzac

The economic and cultural-classes we (working people) euphemistically refer to as the 1%, the people who literally own the known world, cannot function without the exploitation of the masses and the physical destruction of the planet we all must share in order to survive.

Industrialists of all shapes; sizes; nationalities (dual-nationalities) and religious creeds have engaged in destroying whole communities, entire forests and an increasing number of ancient, irreplaceable historic antiquities in order to mine the terrain for natural resources and industrial structural development. And sometimes, even to get across a valid ecological protest in a destructively disrespectful manner.

If entire communities are disrupted or destroyed, that's just a part of doing modern business. And the insular
The culture of far-right vulture capitalism is willing to allow for the damage.

This is no different today that it was with the national culture(s) and leadership of the empires of the past. Both Imperial Greece and Rome were at heart, brutal, exploitative societies ruled by elite classes of landed gentry and wealthy businessmen and who also controlled the purse strings of the military and police forces which safeguarded their privilege.

Illustration 18: US Army torturing non-combatants - Source: Unknown

While Greek city-state military forces were largely independent of each other and acted in their own interest, the Roman Army was a unified, professional military system often lead by corrupt generals who could be paid (or swayed by promises of personal gain) to support one politician or another or become literally, assassins-for-hire in the service of a rich, equestrian seeking more power.

But at their philosophical social core, the classical Greek city-states and Imperium Romanum were both violent, caste-conscious societies run internally as well as externally by the wealthy. The saying 'Behind every great fortune is a great crime' does much to explain the culture of personal advancement (if not survival) within Roman society depended a great deal upon one's willingness to engage in questionable actions against others. Even family members. So it stands to reason that if the Greek and Roman states were to engage in territorial expansion and the forced assimilation of smaller and weaker groups into their own fold, wholesale murder and devastation of pre-existing peoples and cultures was a tactical necessity.

'Imperialism has been the most powerful force in world history over the last four or five centuries, carving up whole continents while oppressing indigenous peoples and obliterating entire civilizations. Yet, it is seldom accorded any serious attention by our academics, media commentators, and political leaders. When not ignored outright, the subject of imperialism has been sanitized, so that empires become “commonwealths,” and colonies become “territories” or “dominions” Imperialist military interventions become matters of “national defence,” “national security,” and maintaining “stability” in one or another region'

– Prof. Michael Parenti, 'Against Empire'

The British Empire was no different. And while not quite what it was at its prime, England still prefers to see itself only in terms of bringing 'White Civilisation' to the genetically savage, 'half-man', darker peoples of the world. The poor, wretched little gnomes who previously sat about wallowing in their own faeces and theological ignorance until the White Man decided to 'save' them from themselves.

Its bastard cousin the United States too speaks of 'Manifest Destiny' and the march of White Supremacy – as ordained by Providence – from sea-to-sea. The crowned republic of (occupied) Canada just claims to be a White Man's country and leaves the discussion there without providing an explanation. Probably because its just too embarrassing to tell the truth.136

136 Unsolved murders of indigenous women reflect Canada's history of silence | World news | The Guardian
Economic Terrorism as Kabuki Theatre

Conquest – of any sort – is bloody. And winning the conflict also means 'earning the right' to articulate and commandeer the process of domination as one wishes. So, processes such as imperialism (and colonialism) then will almost never be regarded as a bad thing within the perspectives of the powerful home nations. Their societies have (or believe that they have) a direct, personal investment in the exploitation of other, weaker countries. While in contrast, the very same process is deeply detested and patiently resisted wherever it chooses to plant itself. And what seems like the obvious, simply isn't ever asked: why should people – any people – be comfortable with, or take pride in, being exploited?

In the case of modern France, its colonial history is taught in a positive light mostly because it is mandated by government law. In Great Britain, Canada and the United States, it may as well be the law since time and time again, official and unofficial attempts to either dismiss or rationalise the Euro-Settler history of forced displacement crop up on a regular basis.

I mention imperialism here in an examination of fascism because the links between the two are (mistakenly) seen as mutually exclusive. Ideologically, both practises reinforce and feed from each other with demands for ultra-patriotism and nationalistic xenophobias aimed at 'defending' the citizen-soldier's personal 'investment' in the national pilferage effort. Just as classical Greek and Roman culture promoted the warrior-race ethic in popular art, writing and professional sports such as the gladiator system of mainstream entertainment, modern 'tough-guy' spaces exist today in much the same way for the very same purpose.

The Nazis did this when they aggressively co-opted the music of visionary Richard Wagner and the über-nationalist political leadership of Frederick the Great within the mysticism-laced nationalistic curriculum of the Hitler Youth. Mussolini had favoured bringing the public ego in the past-glories of the old Roman State and the military history of Julius Caesar. Thus, bringing the state-thug mentality and the culture of the mob as social arbiter together as one.

In cultivating an honourable status to the connecting of a 'warrior history' (real or not) with the nationalist present, fascists fabricate a blood-race link between the conqueror past and the imperialist/colonialist present. The mainstream media saturation is so complete, even benign forms of entertainment media such as cooking shows boldly feature 'integrated' aspects of this militaristic over-stimulation. Popular male-dominated recreational activities and spectator sports such as Mixed Martial Arts (MMA) are littered with neo-Nazis in training for the upcoming 'race war' they hope to spark. And the same can be said for the narrow social spaces where extremely violent video games based on crime and warfare and the over-saturation of war-toy appendages and military themes in film are popular.
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Again, I am not in any way original in noticing these trends and how they relate historically and politically to what we term fascism. And as economist Joseph A. Schumpeter noted in his famous work 'Imperialism and Social Classes':

‘There was no corner of the known world where some interest was not alleged to be in danger or under actual attack. If the interests were not Roman, they were those of Rome's allies; and if Rome had no allies, then allies would be invented. When it was utterly impossible to contrive such an interest--why, then it was the national honour that had been insulted. The fight was always invested with an aura of legality. Rome was always being attacked by evil-minded neighbours, always fighting for a breathing space. The whole world was pervaded by a host of enemies, and it was manifestly Rome's duty to guard against their indubitably aggressive designs. They were enemies who only waited to fall on the Roman people.'

This is very easy to understand in terms of the terrorist and sectarian crisis going down all over the entire Middle East after Saddam Hussein. The west can speak of 'freedom' and 'democracy' till their throats go dry, but the impetus for US/UK involvement in Arab countries and in Africa is oil. And that resource has been seen as 'Black Gold' since the middle of WW1.

Once the European economy began to rely on petroleum, these regions became a priority and access to oil resources a national directive.

**Rationalising the 'Bombing of Niggers'**

We are being very neglectful when overlooking the function and purpose of Europocentric-generated Arab cultural and ethnic demonisation for the psychological benefit of western minds. And we cannot ignore the need for propaganda in this regard. Even if such an analysis is painful because it makes us take account of our own gullibility. And because it makes wanton murder, as such what occurred when Winston S. Churchill (then Secretary of State for War and Air under liberal Prime Minster David Lloyd George) ordered the Royal Air Force (RAF) to blanket-bomb and strafe indignant Iraqi Arabs in 1920 more palatable to the taxpayers in the home country.

Illustration 19: British Army Saracen APC destroyed by IRA landmine killing one soldier, 1975 - Source: Unknown

Without the application of 'war psychology', imperialism is impossible. Leaders know that the whole of the
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collective public will must be in support of the war effort or military action. If not, chances are wars and other long-term conflicts may, (or will) fail without public support.

World leaders might call for war, but average citizens are required to pay for them. With both their money, their faith in the righteousness of the state and their lives. And most importantly, civilian populations must be encouraged by fear of invasion or the destruction of their homes to fight.

This is another psychological factor that governments the world over understand well. This is why propaganda aimed at the general public through pop-culture is regarded as a priority before (and during) wartime. Because without a defensive rationale, it is very difficult to make a settled and economically secure populace want for war and the risk of personal destruction and loss that comes along with it.152

This was the issue with the 'Vietnam Syndrome'153 and the necessity, as expressed by the neo-Conservative planners behind a low-key Washington DC based think-tank called the 'Project for a New American Century', (PNAC) for a 'catastrophic catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor' to galvanise the changes they recommended in US foreign policy.154

PNAC (1997 to 2006) was a well-connected right-wing policy group with political links to the neoconservative American Enterprise Institute (AEI) and the paleoconservative-leaning owners of Koch Industries. PNAC's stated mission during its significant run was to advocate and lobby in favour of undisputed US military domination over, well, everything.

Essentially, PNAC argued that the United States should enjoy 'full-spectrum' domination across the globe and working control over the 'international commons' of the World Wide Web as well as outer-space. These ideas were detailed within its infamous, 80-page policy paper: 'Rebuilding America's Defenses: Strategies, Forces, And Resources For A New Century' and put into practise by the Republican administration of George W. Bush and Richard Cheney. The ongoing embedded US military and private military contractor (PMC) conflicts in Afghanistan and Iraq are the end result of these polices.

Anglo-Amerika Über Alles

The PNAC paper proposed a doctrine of 'core missions' for the US military industry centred on formulating a plan to develop the will among troops and the public to – 'fight and decisively win multiple, simultaneous major theater wars' – and the patriotic fortitude to 'perform the constabulary duties associated with shaping the security environment in critical regions.'

Conspiracy theories aside, it is pretty difficult to dispute the fact that without the questionable 2000 election of George W. Bush,155 and the terrorist attacks that occurred on September 11th 2001 PNAC would just be a
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The moment the attack happened, (we can dispute the details later) the neocons who sat-in-wait at the Pentagon and the US Defense Department saw a window of opportunity and took US policy as far rightward as the 'moment' would allow.

With Mr. Bush (and his shady family connections) appointed to the high office, the men who steadily pushed for an imperial mandate got exactly what they wanted. The rest of us got unending war in the Muslim World and uncertainty, state and public paranoia and widespread distrust at home.

And as the war profiteers watch the electronic ticker-tape, the innocent, when not cowering in fear, are busy burying their dead, in search of a solace that is never coming as long as there is something in their lands worth stealing.

And let's fact it, war is big business. And the public pays for it. It is the tax-payer who is supporting the bill for expendable munitions, more attack aeroplanes, outdated war tanks and various makes of newfangled hand-held weaponry. Not the industrialist.

And why is this? Why are working people, the common subjects who receive nothing from the gains of empire, supportive of empire? Is it nothing other than bragging rights because he or she was born or naturalised under the flag of the victors?

The non-thinking patriot takes a perverse pride in the killing-power of the nation. They also romanticise and deify the men (and women) who handle the hand-to-hand labour of building empires out of whole cloth without understanding or asking why. This is clear.

And seemingly, no amount of human carnage can compel the right-wing (or ultra-conservative leftists) to seek another alternative to using (or threatening) open warfare. And what is worse about all of this is the natural, bully-boy inclination to 'unleash Hell' against all chosen enemies, no matter how defenceless or unwilling to fight they may be. As explained by Jonathan Glancey, discussing W.S. Churchill's role in terror-bombing in the Middle East for the Manchester Guardian:

"The British responded with gas attacks by the army in the south, bombing by the fledgling RAF in both north and south. When Iraqi tribes stood up for themselves, we unleashed the flying dogs of war to "police" them. Terror bombing, night bombing, heavy bombers, delayed action bombs (particularly lethal against children) were all developed during raids on mud, stone and reed villages during Britain's League of Nations' mandate. The mandate ended in 1932; the semi-colonial monarchy in 1958. But during the period of direct British rule, Iraq proved a useful testing ground for newly forged weapons of both limited and mass destruction, as well as new techniques for controlling imperial outposts and vassal states."

He continues on to mention the possible motive for such brutality:

"The RAF was first ordered to Iraq to quell Arab and Kurdish and Arab uprisings, to protect recently discovered oil reserves, to guard Jewish settlers in Palestine and to keep Turkey at bay. Some mission, yet it had already proved itself an effective imperial police force in both Afghanistan and Somaliland (today's Somalia) in 1919-20. British and US forces have been back regularly to bomb these hubs of recalcitrance ever since."

– Jonathan Glancey, 'Comment: Gas, chemicals, bombs: Britain has used them all before in Iraq' - The Guardian, (19 April 2003)
'War is war', but Mr. Churchill was an unrepentant and unapologetic imperialist. And he was not above suggesting the use of 'new' weapons against rebellious Arabs or indigenous South African tribal groups who got in the way of British commercial or strategic interests. And while I am certain that many of his 'scorched earth' ideas developed somewhat during his formal military service, I am of the mind that his more murderous attitudes came *afterwards*, once political issues and personal advantage became more important to him.

I feel that he first learned of the value of total-war while working ostensibly as a war correspondent during the often rule-free 'Eerste Vryheidsoorlog' or, First Boer War, (some have suggested that he was actually operating as an intelligence man during this period) and as a prisoner-of-war (POW). He later observed, first-hand, the use of hard-edged warfare by British and commonwealth troops who were trying desperately to adapt to the unconventional guerilla-tactics of the White farmer's resistance during the Second Boer War.

English frustration with fighting on African terrain, where a number of conventional British Army field procedures were often inefficient and counter-productive, encouraged colonial troops to adopt aggressive, *take-no-prisoners* views towards the Boer. Which in turn, continued to fuel the Boer/Afrikaner resistance against English Rule long after the official conflict had ended.

Much as with the reaction of Germany following the end-war terms of WW1, humiliation was seen as a key factor behind the lingering attitude of Boer non-compliance and ongoing aggression against English colonialism in White Africa. While the word 'terrorism' is rarely used today in reference to the Boer post-war resistance, as far as the British government and newspaper reading public was concerned, the Afrikaners were just that, a race of English-hating terrorists.

The war was tough for the British Army. And the Boers were roundly despised as a psychotic, unwashed rabble who sat about in filthy clothes, recklessly making White African babies only so as to raise them to cut an Englishman's throat. The technical decision to tactically utilise ultra-harsh methods was reflected in Churchill's (almost respectful) remarks on the necessity for a firm hand in the English war against the White farmers:

*The individual Boer, mounted, in a suitable country, is worth four or five regular soldiers. The only way of treating them is to either get men equal in character and intelligence as riflemen, or failing that, huge masses of troops..., there is plenty of work here for a quarter of a million men and South Africa is well worth the cost in blood and money. Are the gentlemen of England all out fox hunting? For the sake of our manhood, our devoted colonists and our dead soldiers, we must persevere with the war.*

One cause that made this sort of negative war-behaviour psychological easy (besides skilled guerrillas) was the imperialist perspectives of the 'invaders'. Not the mention the White Supremacist feelings of the settlers towards the native African.

The non-English speaking subsistence-farming Boers were not viewed as 'Acceptable Whites' by Whitehall or Fleet Street and were therefore, deserving of a sound trashing for the good of the empire and on general principle.

Ironically, (or expectedly) after the British and the Boers had settled their primary differences, they united
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forces and went back to the job of depriving indigenous Africans nations of their lands and resources for the benefit of the European-settler population (British and Boer) and zealous industrialists such as Cecil John Rhodes.  

Competitive Euro-Settler Praxis in Africa

Sure, the Anglo-Saxon English-speaking government of the United Kingdom and the Afrikaans-speaking Dutch, Germans, and French Huguenots of the Zuid-Afrikaansche Republiek (ZAR/South African Republic) and the and the Voortrekkers of the Orange Free State (OFS) were xenophobic towards each other. But indigenous Africans were scorned by both settler and imperialist alike.

The African was 'Black' and therefore, sub-human. Eugenically residing somewhere (far low) beneath the Christian Anglo-Saxon who felt that he stood head-and-shoulders above (at least culturally) the average Voortrekker. This is an important point, because the armed conflicts between the Boer Republics and Great Britain in southern Africa were at heart, 'White men's affairs' fought between two European invaders in order to finally ascertain which of the invasive White powers would 'own' Southern Africa outright.

But there was a problem. The indigenous Black African nations such as the Sotho; the Zulu and the Xhosa Peoples were there first. And they were not particularly happy about these rude, strange-looking humans from another island who were demanding to take for themselves everything (including people) within their sight. Agreements, or no agreements.

The native Africans were not stupid. They, like Indigenous Americans, understood early on that the Europeans were not leaving. And as feared, the Boers began enslaving native Africans and imported (renditioned) Asians from the very first days of their physical presence. By the time Great Britain began exercising its direct control over the Cape Colony, those Boers with commercial interests in exportable goods became very, very wealthy. Which was one reason why so many were incensed when the UK formally abolished slavery altogether in 1807.

But what seemed like social progress was in effect, a back-handed move. Because by 1809, the English Crown recognised the pre-Apartheid 'Hottentot Law' – a mandate imploring all non-Whites to possess travel passes (stamped with their owner's name) when seen in public. Further, any African caught without such a pass was subject to being 'claimed' by any Afrikaner as their own property or sold at public auction. This was occurring, legally, although slavery itself had been officially barred throughout Britain.

This dichotomy was threatened in 1833 when Christian missionaries and social liberals successfully compelled the government to outlaw 'all forms of slavery' throughout the entire British Empire. South Africa's entire European population, not just the Boers, were immediately angered by this. The Afrikaner businessmen and farmers who existed on the lower-ends of the economic scale and owned fewer slaves were particularly livid.

They refused to pay Blacks wages and could not afford to recruit enough White workers (willing to do the work) needed to keep their farms running. On the other end of the scale, wealthy Boers complained about the

---
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decline of the economy, the public safety of White women and children and the certain ruin of South Africa 'when the Niggers take it all back'.

In response to this, many farmers (Voortrekkers) packed up and left the Cape for the wilderness for the interior and the Transvaal. And despite all of their grumbling, the British troops garrisoned in Africa never attempted to stop any anti-English Boer from leaving. And besides, the White Africans were not exactly going empty-handed. They had the Sand River Convention (1852) and the Bloemfontein Convention (1854) recognising the 'rights' of the Whites have two Boer Republics 'Zuid-Afrikaansche Republiek' (Republic of the Transvaal) and the 'Oranje-Vrystaat' (Orange Free State). Native Africans were left with nothing, whilst standing on their own ancestral land.

Adding further insult to injury, and because of the overwhelming military power of both the Boer settlers and the English 'occupiers', indigenous Africans were compelled to choose sides between one of two (racist) White masters. Black Africans also fought in the Anglo-Boers Wars. Boxed into a hopeless situation – much like Calaban's plight in William Shakespeare's 'The Tempest' – the role (and ultimate betrayal) of indigenous Africans in these conflicts has been minimised to utter invisibility.

Without pay, without food rations or medical aid or provided any form of housing. Indigenous Africans were required to work for Whites (on both sides) in exchange for food or a place to sleep. Racial segregation in the Boer and British war encampments was rigorously enforced and for the same reasons. And, they also suffered in the first known concentration camps. A tradition that was continued for many years, long after the Afrikaner's war with the British was settled at a negotiating table where White men decided between themselves the fate of the Black indigenous African.

Freedom? Maybe Next Time Kaffir!

'I hope I die warmed by the life that I tried to live'

– Nikki Giovanni

Despite an unofficial verbal understanding between the British and burgher (Afrikaner ) militiamen to not train or arm the Kaffirs as combat participants, both powers broke this rule very early on. This angered the White Africans so much, some took to murdering any Black seen with the English on sight. As one Australian colonial soldier is rumoured to have said after being in-country:

'The Johnny Boer is keen on shooting the Nigger just as soon as look at him'.

The British were also known for their bigotry. But they were not killing Africans on sight. Not in this conflict anyway. Whites on both ends of the argument disregard the fact that the British-Boer Wars occurred on previously occupied ground where the vast majority of the human population was indigenous to the continent.

This arrogance also sidesteps nasty questions about the unfair Euro-settler acquisition of territory that had ancestrally belonged to the resident nations who are native to the region in the first place.

Many politically conscious Blacks and Coloureds (including many educated South Asians) in Africa hoped that a British win over the Boers would improve their own sociopolitical situation. While they did not
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expect the United Kingdom to leave, they wanted to believe that there would be a slight opportunity for advancement within a British-ruled Africa. The South Asian (Indian) community was particularly favourable to this perspective. Many like then, immigrant lawyer MK Gandhi to show loyalty to Britain if they wished to achieve their freedom. Thus, the Ambulance Corps was formed in Natal, was and became active on the British side during the early months of the war.

Even W.S. Churchill – no friend of the coloured man anywhere in the world – was taken aback by the frank racism of the average Boer towards the Black African and its relationship to settler anti-British sentiment. This virulent hatred was made clear to him when he was subjected to a political lecture (of sorts) on board a train by a Boer overseer (Spaarwater) en-route to a prisoner-of-war camp in Pretoria:

'We know how to treat Kaffirs in this country. Fancy letting the black filth walk on the pavement! Educate a Kaffir! Ah, that's you English all over. No, no, old chappie. We educate 'em with a stick. Treat 'em with humanity and consideration – I like that. They were put here by the God Almighty to work for us. We'll stand no damned nonsense from them.'


While this is not discussed much today, a large part of the compromises between the Boer leadership and the British Crown was the UK's reluctance to impose any sort of racialist-neutrality in any of the post-war societies between Afrikaner settlers, the Indigenous African population(s) and the emergent Coloured-caste(s) (Kleurlinge). Under the Cape Articles of Capitulation (1806) following the Battle of Blaauwberg, Great Britain was not required to force the Boer governments to abide by the Slavery Abolition Act of 1833 did not try too hard to make them either. Because in truth, they didn't care for Africans any more than the Afrikaners did.

It is (I think) also important to consider here that the 'Great Trek' of 1835-to-1845 was a typical 'White Flight' reaction against the British policy of (marginal) social equalisation between the 'White' settler-class; the native 'Black' caste and the mixed populations (Kaapse Maleiers) that were settled in the original Cape Colony (Provinsie van die Kaap die Goeie Hoop).

Modern Afrikaner nationalists and pro-Boer racialists might prefer to talk about British oppression, but the White settlers left because they were terrified over the idea of having to share air with the native Africans. They felt that they were being 'forced' to accept Blacks and Coloured as equals when in truth, they were not. Regardless, some several thousand trekkers picked up their stakes in the Cape Colony and moved further into the heart of the country. History matters. And these divisions, which may not be codified in law any longer, remain a source of ethnic and class animosities in post-Apartheid South Africa.

Clearly, the legally mandated system of Apartheid in the White Afrikaner Republic of South Africa was the eventual legal result of these attitudes. And rivers of blood – mostly of politically-active Blacks and their White compatriots who stood firm in the struggle against state Apartheid – have flowed freely to end that

Illustration 24: Modern Boer extremists - Source: http://tinyurl.com/nw832lo
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injustice. Their struggle has made the red earth of Africa even more crimson. And we would be remiss in our study here to not acknowledge that systems of Apartheid (official and unofficial) in African and elsewhere are 'crimes against humanity' and a stain upon the concept of universal justice.

What Britain did in South Africa created the conditions for Apartheid. So as we move forward with this, please bear in mind that in order to put a final end to all systems of injustice, inhumanity, exploitation and genocide on the continent of Africa and around the world, economic power – not just ethnic hate – matters to an appreciable degree in understanding human-on-human exploitation.

Oppression and exploitation are not mutually exclusive issues. One factor does indeed effect the other. The lesser (but no less important) dynamics of emotive hatreds and prejudice are only superficial manifestations of a more structural problem of accepted, institutionalist abuse.

For example, the Euro-settler Boer rebellion was strong. But not strong enough to stop the British Empire. And despite the Boer guerilla effort, heavy losses for the British encouraged retaliatory acts against the White farmers in the field. The first cases were mostly individual acts of unwarranted atrocities by angered English troops.

But this soon expanded to extralegal field executions and the razing of entire towns. War crimes by the British Army against the Boers are well documented. And this development was allowed to fester in varying degrees because the UK military leadership could observe the demoralising effect of such tactics on both the fighters themselves and among the non-combatant Boer population which was providing material and moral support to the resistance.

The Boer War was a bloody affair. However, once the hostilities are concluded, both groups representing 'White Power' in Africa eventually decided to settle their differences by using the Black Man in Africa as the economic exodus fodder of choice. The native African was viewed – on paper and in person – as a semi-human bargaining chip in the post-war negotiations mapping out the 'balance of power' between the European interests in that part of the Dark Continent.

Since that time, this idea has been refined, expanded and systemically used everywhere military-strong nations have saw for themselves a vital economic interest. Ask the Kurds; the Palestinians; the Hawai‘ians and any North or South American Indigenous Nation you might care to name. And once the major opposing military forces come to terms, the common people suffer the indignity of being relegated to the 'spoils of war'.

This is most certainly true in the oil-rich Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA); in Mesopotamia; (Iraq) the Gulf States and then Africa and the petroleum countries of Latin America. Further, the North American role in the Middle Eastern energy industry has increased (not decreased) following the fall of the Hussein government in Iraq.

This is critical, because the originally projected output from Western Asia is not matching the estimated increase in the usage of petroleum globally. Capitalism has pushed massive growth on an oil-dependent path, but production (or extraction) has been on a notable decline from the traditional sources. Hence, Africa and South America have now become hot focus-regions for capitalist investment as well as intelligence and combative attention in the international WoT.

---

Misidentifying the Mainstream Dolts From the Real Brownshirts

'Racists love Black People and hate Black People. It's always been that way. Lynch one, impregnate another.'

– Teri Lahmon

Ultraconservative-minded folk believe, by their own admission, that they are a special variety of Homo sapiens sapiens, subject to different laws of the natural universe. In short, these are extreme egoists who generally find fault in everything and everyone – except themselves. This is the 'bootstrap' crowd, the avowed individualists who 'do things' without relying on others. Not exactly the sorts of individuals who feel a humanistic, or perhaps natural, responsibility towards respecting and contributing to the common welfare and the greater good.

No, these are the mavericks. And such persons are partial to having things done 'their way', without the input of others. Often, not because a different idea is necessarily bad, but simply because it wasn't their idea. This is especially so if the (different) people or ideas in question are deemed entirely alien to their own immediate social environment and individual experience.

For such people, living with a perpetual sense of paranoia at a elevated-emotional level, the world is hopelessly haunted with demons, evils and monstrosities. These are ethereal chimeras that reflect their own inner fears and anxieties rather than identifying real world problems or, solutions. And this is especially so under stressful environmental and interpersonal conditions that can often stimulate some – already disturbed people – to adopt a hard-line, frequently paranoid approach to the rest of human society.

Conservative politics and its parallel social culture reflect this fear in the name of defending society and personal liberties – from what they claim – is an ongoing war between their favourite chief deity and the primordial forces of evil. This is an extremely simple-minded world view, found mostly among people with extremely simple minds. And worse, this grand ignorance is ostensibly respected as a legitimate school of thought.

This remarkably reductionist dichotomy is faithfully articulated by its proponents with an equally simplistic dichotomy: the 'Good' is said to be 'represented' by people who are (exactly) like themselves in comprehension and fearfulness; and the 'Evil' is understood to be any person, any fact or any ideal that falls counter to their self-centred beliefs.

These are folks who argue (incessantly) that they are being terribly oppressed by a slew of contemptible, almost ethereal external forces they often conceptualise through various elitist cultural concepts of Caucasian ethnic superiority; Western civilisation; fundamentalist religious faith and the political extensions of these fallacious beliefs.

This is true. And this is a very serious question that so far, I rarely see or hear being asked within the political or ostensibly multicultural pop-culture discourse. And when I say this, I am including all marginalised ethnic/religious groups and the communities and nations they represent. The actual peoples and populations who are subjected to targeted persecution and imperialist arrogance because they are powerless to prevent their fleecing and who are taught to believe that they do not have a right to struggle against their exploitation and/or extermination.

This is an important point, because the subject of institutional abuses against the general public is routinely ignored or avoided by both the victimisers and the victimised, a situation that serves to perpetuate intergenerational social unfairness. Both groups have become uniquely accustomed to the accepted inequality of the masses and both wholly subscribe (for very different reasons) to the dodgy axiom, 'survival of the fittest' – the core pseudo-scientific argument for Social Darwinism and fascist concepts of 'racial hygiene'.

(See: 'The Biological State: Nazi Racial Hygiene, 1933–1939' - ushmm.org)

True Lies and Wilful Acquiescence

In short, it is those who own the system that help perpetuate gross, public misunderstanding. I can say this because the rich do literally own the means of information production. The collective poor do not own or operate CBS, MSNBC, the Wall Street Journal or FOX (non) News. And only a right-wing, Kool-Aid addled fool really believes that the major corporations are in reality 'just people too', surviving wage packet-to-wage packet like everyone else.

This is a real attitude. And it is indicative of the noticeable 'dumbing-down' that has been achieved in the US by the general population. Because an educated, thinking populace would not allow for their own implosion strictly for the material benefit of the rich and powerful. That would simply not be logical.

But fascists and professional neoconservative paranoiacs never allow logic to get in the way of a good whining session. In their view, they are the victims. And in this jaundiced sense, it will be argued by the pseudo-intellectuals of the right-wing FOX non-News – 'fake-it-until-you-make-it' lobby – that this commentary is biased from the very beginning (as I readily admit) and therefore, is useless as an objective disquisition against their philosophies.

After having studied the politics of fascism and the public record of harm and a host of other, wannabe tin-gods have done to the world, these complaints ring hollow to me. Of course, I reject these false victimisations as the repetitive, reactionary and reductionist gripes that they really are. Hitler, Mussolini, Franco and Pinochet – to a man – all claimed, at one point or another, to have been persecuted by sinister conspiracies of the left-wing that necessitated their own category of brutality and domination.
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They insulated themselves from any civic responsibility for attaining universal justice and equality, while accusing the marginalised and the powerless for the severity of all of society's ills.\(^{184}\)

This is a common, faux-complaint issued only to draw critical attention away from the sort of values they actually stand for.\(^{185}\) And it is also a cheap, fall-back manoeuvre designed to redirect law enforcement and public focus towards the perceived 'threat(s)' they argue are (falsely) presented by their picked targets. This too is a common factor of the political cycle of hatred, the gross utilisation of convenient and/or establishment prejudices\(^{186}\) to sidestep logical thinking, the nemesis of reactionary, right-wing chicanery.

Because for this intellectually-mangy crowd, anything that annoys them personally, including state-subsidised medical care\(^ {187}\) and science\(^ {188}\) is on equal par with Satanism and the certain downfall of western civilisation.\(^ {189}\) The total loss of sanity observed among many within the US Republican Party after the election and re-election of Barack H. Obama is also a large part of this disturbed meme.\(^ {190}\)

The ominous construct that there are 'strange sub-humans' sitting on crates in darkened rooms, thinking of ways to hurt them specifically, as private individuals is an egotistic, me-centred, White racist fantasy reeking of 'Central Position'. (See: 'African Genesis' by Robert Ardrey, 1961)

The belief that every single person in the world is rallying forces just to come after 'You' specifically is clinically described as paranoia.\(^ {191}\) And this is the sort of baseless fear that lies at the bottom of far-right and fascist politics. It is a vital part their world-view. And it is the counter-productive ideological parcel of ultraconservative paranoia.

**The Tao of Self-Centred Xenophobic Angst**

The right-wing has it very, very confused. Racism, racial bias and institutionalised ethn/c/racial/religious marginalisation is very real. And they should know this, since a large part of their political history as Europeans and Euro-Settlers has been dedicated to fighting for racial marginalisation. And in this effort, many ultra-conservatives regularly breach the accepted levels of civil and logical social conduct.

Social media is a good example of this. I can think of (very) few political liberals, progressives or communists who identify European women who associate with and marry African men, as 'Mudsharks'. I just don't. I also don't know of any card-carrying Democrats who have touted or defended the US Republican Party's bizarre concept of 'Legitimate Rape'.\(^ {192}\) And that's just the tip of a very dangerous ideological iceberg.\(^ {193}\)

---
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Much like the 'interracial rape fetish', these wacky ideas say more about the people who hold them than it does about the people they accuse. If anything, the perpetuation of widespread poverty; selective under-education and the wild, 'ghetto fabulous' anarchism of the underclassed is in truth, a problematic result of class-conscious selfishness. Nothing more. Nothing less.

Greed makes for poverty and war, not fairness. And it is not 'liberal' or Utopian (as it is used pejoratively) to think that social fairness and basic human respect is something all people, including the wealthy – should be in principle – struggling for. And the people who argue the most for such narrow moralistic values always seem to break the rules when it is convenient for them while denying others the same courtesy.

It is not disrespectful or cruel to argue that personal mental health issues may have had some influence on the presidential decisions made by Mr. Ronald Reagan while he was in office. Which is ironic since when he was alive, he did everything he could to reduce or eliminate federal funding and Republican Party support for mental health care in the United States. Much like George W. Bush, an admitted drinker and drug user, who showed little sympathy during his political life for other addicts in his own domestic polices while he was in office.

And it is not wrong or vindictive to cite the ever-increasing number of anti-gay, Homosexual conservatives preaching one thing while practising yet another.

One more solid point is this: the targeted groups (and sub-cultures) these bigoted, narrow-minded conservatives like to warn others about are not the choleric, sociopolitical human difficulties they are often painted to look like. Because they (and we) choose to be more focused on the social results of inequality rather than the causes, the exploitative and tribalist issues that lie behind these problems will remain exactly the same. And without change, there is no justice, much less progress.

This is why it is clear from where I am standing, that it is primarily the self-indulgent, Bible-thumping Christians, the Torah-waving Jews and the Quran-quoting Muslims (including some robed and tattooed Buddhists) who are actively working against peace and universal brotherhood. Not the poor; politically-aware ethnic minorities; 'radical' left-wing; progressive Jews or the last remaining principled atheists who are not secretly in favour a 'nicer' form of Eurocentric hegemony.

---
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Modern Alchymists and Old-G-d Soothsayers

The broad mainstream speaks enthusiastically of wanting to ‘fix’ things, but this is just talk. The fact that this is not happening to any appreciable degree means that there are powerful interests, deep within the controlling superstructure, that are actively resisting it. Usually, by childishly dismissing the subject of social justice itself as foolish and impractical, left-wing idealism. And by pretending that the feeble and the impotent are capable of influencing (without drastic means) the politics of their own conditions.

The strong know that this is a falsehood of their own promotion. So if the world is indeed, wrong, it is the fault of those who control its ways and means. Therefore, laying blame for the world's ills at the feet of the downtrodden is as absurd as it is cowardly. And this is exactly the paradigm in which negative sociopolitics are a direct reflection of the general apathy (and arrogance) of the larger populace. And the moneyed upper-classes, especially those living within the claimed democracies, that are the primary culprits responsible for these sorts of imbalances in the first place.

To loosely paraphrase John Jay, those who own the country, govern it. And are therefore, it is they who are responsible for how things are and correcting the imbalances. And we can go on like this all the way down the line. The right-wing, no matter which country or group they claim to represent, are as a rule, pathological liars. They just can't help it.

Even the often reported incidences of street violence the right-wing leaning media harps on and on about from ethnic minorities and immigrants pales in comparison to the actual statistics of White-on-White-crime in most of North America.

For example, a 2011 US Justice Department statistic report (PDF) shows that of the 2,695 (investigated) murders in which the ethnicity of the victim or victims was documented, the perpetrator was generally of the same background. So if you are White, Caucasian, Christian American, more than likely, so is your potential killer. Not, a crazed member of minority group.

No, right-wing politicos are not victims. Ever. And no, they are not an oppressed group being demonised because they represent an unspeakable ‘truth’ about a hidden and nefarious political reality. The unsurprising fact is, they represent exactly the sort of social and moral problem they so vociferously rail against. And if the far-right is about anything at all, it is thoroughly dedicated to oppositionism; revisionism and the total destruction of the democratic sphere.

If the neoconservative right-wing and far-right are (ever) persecuted at all, it is only because they begin to represent a grand, historically-regressive, anti-social negative that simply cannot be controlled (any longer). This is not up for debate. Fascism is extremely reckless and nihilistic down to its very core. And the long, horrible trail of destruction left by fascism, both human and material, proves this out. If Germany looks good today, it is only because US citizens paid to have it rebuilt for them as wealthy Germans, not being tried in Nuremberg, invested their funds in American-owned banks. (See: the ‘Marshall Plan’)
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And Nazi apologists can feel free to cite the Dresden Bombings all they like, (because it happened)\(^{211}\). But Germany after fascism – after the camps, ovens and gas chambers – deserved to be rendered into a stark, disgraced cabbage patch instead of only being politely split-up. Even then, Germany was still allowed to reunite (Deutsche Einheit) and become a world power again. And sometime after that, they resumed their work with their right-wing Croatian (Ustaše) allies and ripped-up the former Yugoslavia with another Balkan War.\(^{212}\) from the 'What social or political 'good' did Mussolini and Hitler ever perform? Was the Lebensunwertes Leben\(^{213}\) programme of racial hygiene, the state plan to eliminate those deemed 'Life Unworthy of Life' a 'progressive' or humane process?\(^{214}\)

### The Besieged Perspectives of Rank Denialism

'There is a phrase used commonly in medicine: "true, true, and unrelated." It is meant to remind physicians not to confuse coincidence with cause. That kind of skepticism, while a fundamental tenet of scientific research, is less easily understood by laymen.'

– Michael Specter, 'Denialism: How Irrational Thinking Hinders Scientific Progress, Harms the Planet, and Threatens Our Lives'

Fascism and its related philosophies is bad news. Just look at its public record. Was practising fascism any good for Portugal? Or Spain? Or Syria? Or Germany? Or Uganda? Or Chile? I say, let us ask the ghosts and surviving relatives of the victims if they feel themselves 'fortunate' to have their names listed as a statistic on some bureaucrat's ledger sheet.

No. Fascists are not victims. And they are rarely oppressed by the upper and middle-classes. And on the odd occurrence when they do actually face resistance from the state, civil society or a unified (and non-pacifist) anti-fascist resistance, (as in 1930's Spain) it is generally not without reasonable cause and/or necessity.

Fascists and other ultra-conservatives are an admittedly violent lot.\(^{215, 216}\) And Europe's socialists and communists agitated bravely against the authoritarianism and xenophobia of the far-right from the very beginning.\(^{217}\) And Stalin's noted malevolence aside, it was the anti-faschismus activists, intellectuals and armed fronts of the left-wing that stood up in Western Europe to stop fascism.\(^{218}\)

Not the leading capitalists, industrialists or politicians\(^{219}\) of the 'free world'. The moneyed-classes were much

---
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more interested in making a profit from the churning chaos. And they actively supported fascist and totalitarian governments whenever they could.220

I also wish to point out here that my analysis (and conclusions) while not entirely non-biased, are not aimed at insulting or demeaning any particular nationality; religion or ethnic group of people(s). And this includes the respective backgrounds and heritages of the mindless, narrow-minded bigots who are the principal subjects of this commentary. I have been heavily criticised by some anti-racists and antifascists for suggesting this, but I stand by my position.

Casually disparaging the ethnic, national and cultural backgrounds of those that are xenophobic, (be it out of revenge, done defensively or as a display of actual bias) is not simply wrong-minded, it is patently counter-productive to effectively addressing (and solving) the problem itself.

I am not asking victims of racism to turn the other cheek, but I am saying that fighting fire with fire – in many cases – simply encourages a larger blaze which consumes more than you initially intended. This serves no good purpose. The point should be to put the consuming flames out, not to exacerbate them. And frankly speaking, as a self-defined political (and psychological) demographic, racial supremacy advocates cannot be – and should not – feel insulted when they are (correctly) identified as being what they are.

These people are bigots. And they always, through the haze of their syllogistic lunacy, justify their biases on the fact that most social systems, to one degree or another, recognise some form of ethnic exclusivity, caste-exploitation and relative social marginalisation(s). An anti-human line of reasoning that the fascist fully subscribed to. Racial separatism – like Holocaust Revisionism – is a core belief in far-right and fascist metaphysics, so when their opponents point out the obvious, it shouldn't be an issue.221

This is one salient reason not to take the right-wing or fascism seriously as a philosophy. Its innate sense of avarice and the unquestionable neoconservative predilection for torture, war and violence is of course, disturbing. But its most frustrating idiosyncrasy is its entirely hypocritical denialism. You cannot promote a racist set of critiques and sociopolitical programmes and then complain about being called a racist. The right-wing should really stop pretending that being accurately identified as a collection of xenophobes is anything other than a statement of fact.

Such acts of obvious ridiculousness and factual hypocrisy are not funny. They are annoying, socially counter-productive and in the end, dangerous.

Observing Damaged Feelings on the Far-Right

Perhaps I should (again) make clear what this work is about. Meaning: this isn't a rhetorical harangue against 'White People' or those who define themselves as 'White'. This is about fascism and the far-right, which is only deemed to be a Caucasian issue only because its most recognised proponents are from Europe and its most noted victims are European as well.
So, lest there be any (intentional) misunderstanding about this commentary, I want it clearly understood that I offer no excuses or apologies for my prejudice towards any and all advocates of fascism and its sister-ideologies: anti-intellectualism; nationalist xenophobia(s) and the use of lies and thuggery in politics.

Why should I (or anyone else for that matter) feel the need to 'apologise' about being opposed to organised political hate? What I have listed above are provable, documented facts about fascism, not the personal opinions of a raving-mad; angry-without-a-cause; 'anti-European'; Indigenous American (by way of the little-recognised 'Indian' slavery system) of joint Gullah/Ashkenazim/Ilokano colonial slavery stock.

No matter how you look at it, fascism is wrong. It is hateful and it is destructive to those who practise it as well as the victims of its creed(s). It is not simply a negative system of antiquated beliefs – by its very ideological nature – it is a political crime against all of humanity, if the concept of 'humanity' is to have any philosophical bearing at all. I stand firm on this. And I make no apologies for being an unrepentant, hateful bigot against a train of thought that reduces human beings into compartmentalised check-boxes subject to state-sponsored marginalisation and mob-rule liquidation.

I need to state this because almost any commentary that calls into question the legitimacy of right-wing ideas is auto-magically accused of a deep-seated, irrational hatred for Western Europeans; European civilization and/or the Caucasian human group, (i.e., the 'White Race'). Inquisitive discourse on the issue of right-wing populism is generally and defensively dealt with by accusing critics of anti-White racism and hatred. This is much like the utter, pseudo-religious confusion that arises from any objective investigation into the political and military actions of the State of Israel (SOI) in Occupied Palestine (OP) or its surrounding regions.

This is something to consider, because no matter how objective the criticism, the chances are high that it will be (purposefully) misinterpreted as anti-Jewish ranting, even if the critics are themselves, Jewish and pro-Zionist. Which is wrong on a multitude of levels. We all must be willing to examine what is behind injustice. And those who identify themselves as religious believers are not exempt from such objective criticism.

If my analysis regarding fascism and its numerous varietals offends or embarrasses any one particular ethnic group, country or faith, the larger question should be 'why'? Far-right ideas and tribal-like xenophobia(s) are not exclusive to Western Europe or any particular historical period. This should be obvious.

But it is clear that many people do not (really) understand how institutionalised racialism; xenophobia; misogyny and class-division(s) actually work to invisibly oppress an entire society. Nor do many comprehend the fundamental differences between left and right orientated politics or the influence of religion on these dynamics.

No matter how it is dressed up, fascism is still a maleficent political and moral wrong. All educated people are aware of this. Yet, due to the captive nature of extant popular culture and its contradictory caste-arrangements, ultra-conservatives and the far-right are portrayed as helpless victims living in a state-of-siege against a secret, joint Communist-Islamic alliance that is obsessed with destroying the “White World'.

A Spook-Haunted Lily-White World

According to this demented perspective, the United States and the rest of the Anglophone World is under the control of any number of alien (sometimes extraterrestrial) entities facilitating a supposedly unseen, but no less violent, 'White Genocide'. They believe that divorce; abortion rights; Islam; atheism; Buddhism; miscegenation; non-White immigration; Yoga; vaccines and fluoridated water are all part of a quiet plan to eliminate White Christians for the Devil.

223 "Anti-racist is a code word for anti-white" - RationalWiki ( http://twitthat.com/UeK2C )
224 "Anti-Racist Canada: The ARC Collective: Boneheads Are SO Cute When They Think They’re Clever: Bob Whitaker's Mantra" ( http://twitthat.com/mgJo8 ) - 21 July 2011
225 The Lizard People - disinformation http://ow.ly/Pjc0V (By majestic on November 7, 2014 in News)
226 The Reptilian Elite - Conspiracy Theories - TIME http://ow.ly/Pjc7G
Scared yet? If you live in the United States, perhaps you should be. According to Majestic writing over at Disinfo.com:

Back in April of 2013, Public Policy Polling conducted a poll about conspiracy theories like aliens, an impostor Paul McCartney, and, of course, lizard people. And the polling organization found that 4 percent of Americans believe in lizard people, while another 7 percent were unsure. Taken to its absurd extreme, that would imply around 12 million Americans, Philip Bump, a lizard person scholar and writer at the Washington Post, found. (Public Policy Polling is a serious outlet, but it’s also known for some trollly polls, so these results have to be taken with a grain of salt.)…

– The Lizard People – disinformation (11.7. 2014)

The adherents of the most common extremist philosophies circulating today believe that international organisations like the United Nations (UN); the elite membership of the Bilderburg Group or antiquated, long-deceased secret societies such as the 'Illuminati' and the Freemasons control and manipulate the world behind the scenes.

Others say that they can 'prove' that a Jewish cabal of mediaeval rabbis (quartered somewhere in Tel Aviv) have commandeered a silent coup in the United States through a proxy, 'Zionist Occupation Government' (ZOG) with inside assistance from the Brotherhood of the Freemasons (BOF) and the American Israel Political Action Committee, or, (AIPAC).

These aptly called 'conspiracy theories' are nothing more than hodgepodge-laden, tall-tales of baseless nonsense fuelled by the violent, masturbatory fantasies of right-wing hoodlums who are still afraid of the dark.

And ironically, had it not been for the democratic spaces of the World-Wide Web, (WWW) most, if not all of these nutty opinions, would have expired through incessant laughter long ago.

The internet has (accidentally) done more to help project the revisionist taradiddles of the Hitler-lovers; wannabe, self-described experts (on every arcane subject imaginable); the idiotic anti-vaccine lobby and other exhibitionist displays of the perverse, than anything else.

Because without the liberal concept of free speech, (an ideal all fascists openly mock and reject) no one would pay these mentally-ill people a single moment of their time.

This is an important point. Especially since it is accepted that many well-meaning people – not educated in fact-based research techniques or qualitative, critical inquiry – may be prone to accepting questionable data simply because they choose to believe it. That is the operational goal of a good propaganda endeavour – to influence the perspective of a targeted audience (or individual subjects) – without the subjects being (made) aware that they are secretly being manipulated.

The dynamics of (crowd) psychology are not very different. Except in its extended scope which is designed to reach more people collectively. And it certainly isn't hard to perform, provided that the principle agent is skilled, and the necessary psycho-social conditions are present and active.
While it has become a tiresome cliché to cite the Nuremberg rallies (Nürnberger Parteitage) as the prime example of negative mass psychology, the macabre fundamentalist appeal of faith healing (See: televangelist ‘Benny Hinn’)\(^\text{227}\) and mass evangelical ‘revivals’ in the United States are not very different. The abject majesty of the cinematography found within Leni Riefenstahl’s notable and infamous film, ‘Triumph des Willens’ (1935)\(^\text{228}\) cleverly masked the fiendish underbelly of Nazi ideology through visual obfuscation. The Catholic Church (as represented by the Holy See) also holds mass rallies on a regular basis within the Vatican City State and can easily lay claim leadership over millions of followers around the globe.

Say Goodbye to a Providence That Never Existed

A Muslim fanatic and a Christian fanatic, a Jewish fanatic, a secular fanatic, an atheist fanatic, a communist fanatic - all of them are the same. The thinking that, ‘If you don’t think like me, that if you are not with me, then you are against me;’ this is something to condemn.

– Marjane Satrapi

I am not saying this to be offensive, but common-sense dictates that there is absolutely no material reason why anyone should believe in the literal existence of a Supreme Being. None. And it isn’t unfair or vindictive to suggest that a unshakably strong belief in religion is one good example of desire-based decision making. Which it is.\(^\text{229}\)

Yet, almost all of (known) human society has entertained some form of traditional spiritual belief(s) in deity/spirits and disciplines that serve to (help) define an individual, a people and a society. This is obviously a normal, psychological aspect of human nature (I suppose).

And as such, it deserves our understanding. But this should not be interpreted as a broad license for faith to hold sway over human problems and interactions in place of science and logic.

Religion (like some schools of politic) is based squarely upon a set of respective faith(s), not fact(s). The more common superstitious ideals such as faith healing;\(^\text{230}\) and the belief in a life-after-death\(^\text{231}\) are universal because they address the basic human issues all people must face, sickness and death.

Where these philosophies go historically is also highly indicative of the psychology and social mentality of the peoples and groups involved.

For example, in the United States where Protestant Christianity is deeply embedded, variations exist that have no equivalent elsewhere. Uniquely Euro-settler religious practises such as snake-handling in

---

\(^\text{227}\) "faith healing - The Skeptic’s Dictionary - Skepdic.com" ( http://twitthat.com/HfWta )
\(^\text{229}\) "Kathleen Taylor, Neuroscientist, Says Religious Fundamentalism Could Be Treated As A Mental Illness" ( http://twitthat.com/PVDFI ) - The Huffington Post | By Meredith Bennett-Smith - Posted: 05/31/2013 12:21 pm EDT Updated: 06/06/2013 7:57 pm EDT
\(^\text{231}\) Afterlife Knowledge: The truth about life after death http://ow.ly/PiikS
Appalachia; evangelical \textit{born-again virgins}; fundamentalist Catholic and \textit{new-age Bible} exorcisms; \textit{Flat-Earth theories}; \textit{The Rapture}; Purgatory and the growing neo-Creationist belief that Jesus the Christ \textit{walked with dinosaurs} are treated quite respectfully, despite the nuttiness of the concepts and the not-real-science some employ to explain the 'G-d reality' behind these beliefs.

I still find it quite odd that Western Europeans and their colonial offshoots have forgotten (or don't care) about how Judeo-Christian (notice how Islam is not included?) spiritual traditions came to be adopted by Europeans (or Africans for that matter). And further, I have noticed far more believers are reluctant to accept (not understand) why these antiquated, desert-dwelling metaphysical conjectures – that were initially shaped around an emotional belief in a 'creation story' – are not equal to factual data.

The anti-intellectualism in all of this is telling. And the right-wing are good at denying science and reason through psycho-babble and 'newspeak' when hard reality is in direct contradiction to their ideas. This is another dynamic of the fundamentalist underpinnings of fascism. However, these nonsensical ideas are taken seriously by more than a few, otherwise reasonable people who reject established history (simply because they don't like it) sneer at basic logic and condemn Cartesian common-sense when it contradicts their personal beliefs.

---

233 New Age Bible Versions, by Gail Riplinger http://ow.ly/Pik7m
234 Flat Earth Society Claims Round Earth A Conspiracy Theory | David Reneke | Space and Astronomy News http://ow.ly/Pia1v
This is why fundamentalist religion is the emotional breeding ground for fascism. And unless checked, it will expand and attempt to persevere by maintaining a combative emotional (and social) state until the need for it, (meaning resistance to its politics and paranoias) has either ended, or has been defeated beyond mending itself.

This is not a matter of opinion. It is a fact. And just as any honest examination into the material processes of colonialism exposes the indubitable dehumanising aspects of the practice, any critical review of ultraconservative societies divulges equally negative dynamics and sociopolitical consequences. And these observations too will be minimised and explained away by the more fanatical elements of the right-wing as left-wing overtures to 'communist tyranny' and quite possibly, even the 'Homosexual Agenda'.

Why is Hitler's Fascism More Popular Than Mussolini's?

Theodore Herzl is the father of Zionism. He was a Jew that at the turn of the 19th century said - "this land is our land, God wants us to live there". So he went to the Jews of Europe and said, “I want you to come and join me in the land of Israel”. So few went, Herzl went into depression. Those who came founded Israel; those who did not went through the hell of the Holocaust. Then god sent a hunter. A hunter is someone who comes with a gun and he forces you. Hitler was a hunter. And the Bible says - Jeremiah rightly? - “they shall hunt them from every mountain and from every hill and out of the holes of the rocks”, meaning: there’s no place to hide. And that will be offensive to some people. Well, dear heart, be offended: I didn’t write it. Jeremiah wrote it. It was the truth and it is the truth. How did it happen? Because God allowed it to happen. Why did it happen? Because God said, “my top priority for the Jewish people is to get them to come BACK to the land of Israel”. Today Israel is back in the land and they are at Ezekiel 37 and 8.

- Pentecostal Christian-Zionist Pastor John C. Hagee

Moreover, I personally find it patently bizarre that far-right and fascist ideologies are still taken seriously as a legitimate political philosophy after the human damage of World War Two (WW2). Honestly, how do contemporary people – explain to future generations – that in such a technologically-advanced, thoroughly cosmopolitan and internationalist 'age of information', intelligent people were still trying to decide if the xenophobic; misogynist and hopelessly infantile ideals of the far-right were deserving of 'fair' consideration?

After the travesty of the European Holocaust, why is the subject of right-wing extremism still respected (as it undoubtedly is) as a polite perspective deserving of objective acknowledgement within modern politics? And further, why is it that fascism and far-right terrorism is only denounced by the extant social and political authorities when the victims are either Jewish, or in some other capacity, representative of the Zionist occupation of Arab Palestine?

Past and present history has made it perfectly clear how fast things can change when there is a breakdown of ethical integrity within a developed society. And all educated people – at least after World War Two (WW2) – are aware of what can result from the development and propagation of territorial-supporting 'Master Race'.

237 Ed Mazza, "Tea Party Candidate Says It's OK To Stone Gays To Death" (http://twitthat.com/q4tVm) - Posted: 06/12/2014 12:43 am EDT Updated: 06/12/2014 11:00 am EDT
theories; popular belief in xenophobic, esoteric mysticism\textsuperscript{238} and its vigorously venomous mainstream stepchild, organised religion(s)\textsuperscript{239} and far-to-the-right, neoconservative politics.\textsuperscript{240}

Yet, every ten years or so, someone, somewhere is dedicated to keeping the fascist flame alive in the hope of springing forth a new, more improved far-right revolution, makes a bid to fight for their political beliefs. And it has happened, in one form or another, on every continent and in most 'advanced' societies known to the human record.

Understanding this is a first-step (one can only hope) to dealing with and perhaps, finally ending the regurgitation of extremist ideas in world and local politics. The second-step is to educate ourselves (and others) about what fascism is – and what it isn't. A damn good start would be remembering that fascism, as a social process, did not begin with Adolf Hitler, nor did it end with his and Eva Braun's planned suicide\textsuperscript{241} underneath a crumbling, Russian-besieged Berlin in der Führerbunker.

This is a fair point to raise. Because in many disturbing ways, the end of WW2 was simply a brief transition (under force) to reinvent European fascism under a new set of rules for a new world power structure. It is a political fact that far-right theories – not Nazism – was allowed to flourish in Western Europe after the war to resume the original (stated) mission: 'Stop Communism' by any means available.\textsuperscript{242} Even if it means betraying your wartime ally for post-war economic, political and military advantage.

Which is exactly what happened under the watch of President Truman. As Soviet leader Josef Stalin openly fretted about the possibility\textsuperscript{243} of the scattered ash-dust of Hitler's dramatic, Wagnerian-style funeral pyre serving as an inspiration for the rise of another Nazi Reich, US government agents secretly spirited away as many Germans and Croats they could\textsuperscript{244} after hostilities were over for their own use.\textsuperscript{245} And possibly – according to the Federal Bureau of Investigation's (FBI) own publicly released documentation\textsuperscript{246} – perhaps even Hitler and Frau Braun too\textsuperscript{247} for other, as of yet, unexplained reasons. That is, if (and this is a big if) these rumours are based upon genuine (intelligence) data.\textsuperscript{248}

I am only going on with this tangent because I think that it is applicable to understanding the wide breadth of the subject at hand. And while I do seriously doubt the theory, several pieces of verified wartime information do intrigue me.

\textsuperscript{238} (In German) Vortrag von Victor und Victoria Trimondi, 'WIEN ALS BRUTSTÄTTE DES OKKULTEN FASCHISMUS' 2003 -(Buchpräsentation von HITLER – BUDDHA – KRISHNA)

\textsuperscript{239} Religious Racism – Jesus Saves « Black Graduate Student Association http://ow.ly/CPEHu

\textsuperscript{240} Neo-Nazism and xenophobia in the post-Soviet states: is there an antidote? - - The Voice of Russia: News http://ow.ly/CPEYr - 10 November 2012, 15:46

\textsuperscript{241} How did Hitler really die? - Arts & Culture - Jerusalem Post http://ow.ly/LKROm - By HANNAH BROWN \ 12/29/2012 20:25


\textsuperscript{243} Tony Halpin in Moscow and Roger Boyes , 'Battle of Hitler’s skull prompts Russia to reveal all' - Times Online [http://ow.ly/LLYYS] - December 9, 2009

\textsuperscript{244} "The CIA and Nazi War Criminals" ( http://twitthat.com/JRsP ) -- National Security Archive Posts Secret CIA History Released Under Nazi War Crimes Disclosure Act - National Security Archive Electronic Briefing Book No. 146 - Edited by Tamara Feinstein - February 4, 2005

\textsuperscript{245} "Documents Shed Light on CIA's Use of Ex-Nazis" ( http://twitthat.com/Fo1Z6 ) - By Scott Shane, New York Times - (06/06/06)

\textsuperscript{246} The link to the PDF of this released file (in the text above) was provided by 'redflagnews.com' – who also published within their original article the .gov link to the original data hosted on the FBI's official servers:


\textsuperscript{247} "Did Hitler Really Commit Suicide? - WAR HISTORY ONLINE" ( http://twitthat.com/FmYVP ) -- Apr 19, 2014

\textsuperscript{248} "Hitler and Eva Braun fled Berlin and died (divorced) of old age in Argentina « The Salfordian" ( http://twitthat.com/Gd7As ) - October 17th, 2011

Illustration 35: Adolf Hitler - Source: http://tinyurl.com/n3lxdy3
The official record says that Hitler and Braun committed suicide (according to Unterscharführer Rochus Misch) and their remains were cremated by his personal adjutant and SS-Begleitkommando man, Otto Günsche.

To add more confusion, there was at least one known Hitler doppelgänger, Gustav Weler, who was found dead by Soviet troops, executed with a single round to the head.

And based on his physical appearance, agents of SMERSH (Spetsyalnye Metody Razoblacheniya Shpyonov - Red Army intelligence) had finally thought it was all over.

That was, until he had been examined more closely and it was medically established that the corpse was not Adolf Hitler at all. And when the UK Telegraph reported in 2009 – 'Adolf Hitler suicide story questioned after tests reveal skull is a woman's' – the mystery deepened further still. Add to all of this the documented fact that the German Kriegsmarine Unterseeboot vessels U-530 (surrendered in July of 1945) and U-977 (surrendered in August that same year) ended up in Argentina, South America. Long after Oberbefehlshaber der Kriegsmarine Karl Dönitz dispatched stand-down orders to all field crews signalling the effective end of the war. Does this definitively mean that Hitler did manage to escape? Who knows.

This isn't a major secret, it is a matter of the public record. Meaning, there was a confluence of interests between these entities that was far stronger than the conflict that (briefly) divided them. So, even if the 'Hitler in Argentina' rumour isn't true, (it probably isn't) in many ways, the often favourable behaviour of the US economic and spy communities towards people and organisations clearly associated with the far-right makes such a scenario seem highly plausible. If not altogether, theoretically likely.

---

249 "BBC NEWS | Europe | I was in Hitler's suicide bunker" (http://twitthat.com/8sayd) - Page last updated at 08:02 GMT, Thursday, 3 September 2009 09:02 UK
252 "U-boat Archive - U-530" (http://twitthat.com/DMO9d) - http://www.uboatarchive.net
253 "Submarine U-977 | World War II Database" (http://twitthat.com/xkyPd)
254 "Adolf Hitler suicide story questioned after tests reveal skull is a woman's - Telegraph" (http://twitthat.com/5u11v) - By Andrew Osborn in Moscow, 7:00AM BST 28 Sep 2009 – "Russians insist skull fragment is Hitler's - CNN.com" (http://edition.cnn.com/2009/WORLD/europe/12/10/hitler.skull.debate/index.html) - CNN Editor's Note: Watch Matthew Chance's report on Hitler's skull tonight on Connect the World at 9pm GMT, 4pm ET
255 Uki Goñi, 'Tests on skull fragment cast doubt on Hitler suicide story - Bone with bullet hole found by Russians in 1946 came from an unknown woman, not the German leader' - Sunday October 04, 2009 - aytimes.lk
256 "Recording of Nazi officers who found Hitler's body released - Telegraph" (http://twitthat.com/X4PU0) - By Allan Hall in Berlin - 2:51PM GMT 12 Jan 2010
258 "How the Allied multinationals supplied Nazi Germany throughout World War II" (http://twitthat.com/fvakc) - Posted By Red Marriott - Dec 13 2006 16:19
Common Ideological Compliance with Rightist Beliefs

I feel that it is very important to point out that Hitler was not the first world leader who believed that he (or she) existed only to rule everything from one end of the world to the other. And he was also not at all unique in his desire to centralise all social; economic; theological and political control into a singular and compact, psycho-cultural meme focused upon a sole concept of 'The Leader' and the country as one.

Ask any competent historian about China during the Qin Dynasty (under Qin Shi Huang) and its political carry-over by the Han Dynasty. Is it exactly the sort of corporatist-state that developed during the mid-1930's Europe? Of course not. That would be illogical. China is in Asia and it has its own respective historical patterns of sociopolitical development. The same can be said for Africa; the Americas; the Arab World; all of Greater Asia and the Pacific Peoples if their traditional ways recognised clear social-divisions.

Most advanced societies, including many Indigenous nations and peoples, (such as the speculated class-divisions institutionalised among the people of Rapa Nui) observed some form of hierarchy (Fengjian-system in China) and traditional (class) division of labour.

And to be clear here, I am not suggesting that the ancient Mayan Empire was a de facto fascist-state either. But it was a functional empire. Which means that it had to have practised some form of centralised, political and economic power for a selected class, buttressed through the military and a strict observance of an exploitative social order, most likely via a common religious belief.

We have seen this grotesque play before. And the xenophobic rush to examine and define nearly everything through a monolithic reliance upon Europe is a big mistake. Indeed, fascistic, ultra-conservative elements exist in many, ever-varying interpretations that (naturally) reflect the regional and cultural peculiarities of the respective population's local thuggery.

This is a fair statement based on observable history. Under far-right, or ultra-conservative governments and social systems, human beings, simple, everyday people, are arbitrarily reduced from being individuals with names and histories into faceless numbers, mindlessly listed on an emotionless tally sheet. One side of the page condemns an unfortunate to a lifetime of exploitation and misery. The other, subjugates 'the unnecessary' and those deemed 'unworthy of life' (Lebens unwertes Leben) to the immediate indignities of the human exploitation; 'cleansing' and/or perpetual detainment industries.

This is what the fascistic sociopolitical process is all about. The creation of a systematised programme of social, psychic and physical death that will continue so long as it suits the desires and/or needs of those who own and operate the functioning machinery of the state.

This is true. And it is also what happens when capitalism – used not as a specialised tool of commerce, but as the root system-of-rules for all economic life itself – is allowed to operate without restriction(s) or oversight from an objective, neutral authority. People, countries, animals and the health and sustainability of the environment become secondary in relation to desire-based, materialist goals that further reinforce social inequalities and entrench class-based divisions.

Illustration 37: Mayan Social Structure Pyramid – Source: Unknown
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259 'Life Unworthy of Life' http://ow.ly/CLBwQ -- Life Unworthy of Life: A Holocaust Curriculum by Dr. Sidney Bolkosky, Betty Rotberg Ellias and Dr. David Harris, Adapted for online use by Dr. Jamie L. Wraight
Historically speaking, Karl Marx was right when he detailed the obvious negativities of mass industrialisation; the necessary roles of imperialism and colonialism to creating and sustaining markets for manufactured goods and the unjustness of unchecked capitalisation.

One does not need to be suffering from a severe case of devout Marxism to understand that the unhinged pursuit of personal profit; the eternal struggle of class-conflict; the mandated observance of just one (or any) religion and a cut-throat social arena for economic competition certainly directly influenced the inevitable rise of fascism in Europe.

As did the preposterous, racialist theories of many (traditional) esoteric European secret societies and the totalitarian leadership of the Catholic Church. Their undue spiritual influence on the thinking of some of Europe's brightest minds is a well-documented, if not widely known (or understood) historical fact.

And I agree, with many other analysts, that had the Popes of the era excommunicated Benito Mussolini, Adolf Hitler, Francisco Franco and other extreme right-wing heads-of-state – within their Catholic domain – the European Holocaust and WW2 could have been avoided.

The civilised world, in hindsight, roundly denounces the semi-automated process of selective xenophobia and reductive social engineering in fascist Europe before and during WW2. But simply looking at and frowning ritualistically in rememberance of the human carnage of the concentration and death camps of mid-century Europe is not enough. The ideological behemoth that the mid-20th century fascists gave birth to must be put to earth with a stake as one would dispatch the un-dead vampire of European folklore.

Understanding the Issue is to Counteract it

'This war will be the war of fear. It is in fear that it is being prepared. People are allowing it to come on slowly, with a kind of ecstasy. They believe in it like they believe in chiromancy, like a confessor, like everything that releases them from forging their own destiny. They love their fear; it reconciles them with themselves, it suspends the faculties of the soul in the same way as sneezing and diarrhoea. And that threat that weighs on their heads conceals from them the empty heavens: it’s a roof. And in the meanwhile, the terrorized governments observe each other. When in a panic a nation will make too abrupt a gesture, the others will leap at its throat'.

– Jean-Paul Sartre, 'The Time of the Assassins' (1946)

Let us get back to basics. Fascists do not believe in regret. The insanity of the Holocaust Denialism school is proof of this. Through the crafty use of highbrow, pseudo-scientific materials such as the easily discredited defence of German Holocaust denier Ernst Zündel (on trial in Canada for propagating hate literature) known as the Leuchter Report and by way of think-tank sounding extreme-right organisations like the Institute for Historical Review, (IHR) fascist ideas live on.

And unfortunately, this sum-all attitude flatly ignores the concrete reality of other, equally horrific atrocities. And it rudely disrespects those who were (and are being) abused as 'unworthy' of any

260 Did Six Million Really Die? - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia http://ow.ly/PiDUx
recognition (at all) as victims of ultra-conservative politics and xenophobia. 262

The focus of post-WW2 Anglophone society has been (purposefully) centred upon the nightmare of what the Nazis (not the Ustaše) have done to European Jewry and little else. Homosexuals; communists and socialists; Turks (Almancı); Roma; the bi-racial Afrodeutsche (children born of Sudanese-German); political dissidents such as the White Rose Society 263 were simply not recognised by either the German government or the international public until very recently.

And outside of the personal narratives of those who survived the purges, the history of non-Jewish victimisation during the European Holocaust has been subsequently ignored by most of the mainstream.

First, the victims we are correctly, yet selectively, inclined to hold dear to our collective hearts are – nowadays anyway264 – regarded as 'White' and therefore, worthy of being thought of and respected as victims.

The second major factor involves protecting the 'legitimacy' of the State of Israel (SOI) as just that, a 'White', Euro-settler colonialist-state, situated smack-dab in the middle of the most volatile, petroleum-rich nations in Western Asia.

In the making of moral, often quasi-theological argument(s) to support the existence of the SOI rather than a legitimate land claim based upon international law and recognised ancestral habitation and historical occupation, the 'original' land question becomes (intentionally) muddled.

The highly emotional subjects of the European Holocaust and the sordid history of Christian-instigated pogoms are unambiguous examples unforgivably cruel, anti-human acts of hate against Jewish Peoples.

But this sad record has, more often than not, been misused; misappropriated – and through an elaborate confusion of revisionist pseudo-history and rank hypocrisy – been misapplied to Muslims, although (true) anti-Semitism began with the Judeophobic policies of the Catholic Church.

Revisionist Zionists, the extreme far-right of a right-wing movement, made it very clear that they were committed to 'doing what was necessary' to take Palestine in the name of Jewish nationalism, not a Biblical destiny. (See: Vladimir Jabotinsky, The Iron Wall: We and the Arabs' - 1923)265

A Cruel and Acceptable Double-Standard

European Jews have suffered an unforgettable and unforgivable experience as a people. And because of this odd, moral dichotomy, the racist and genocidal aspects of the 'Palestinian Question' and the larger issue about Zionism and its relationship to fascism both in Europe and in the Arab World are – dialogue-wise – non-starters. Because these points, as factual as they are, can and will be easily dismissed as anti-Semitism and radical anti-Europeanism instead of a logical, intellectual and legitimate right to voice resistance against colonial oppression.

263 History is a Weapon: The Six Pamphlets of the White Rose Society http://ow.ly/LIMVq
265 *First published in Russian under the title O Zheleznoi Stene in Rassvyet, 4 November 1923. Published in English in Jewish Herald (South Africa), 26 November 1937.
The brutality of al-Nabkahl266 (The Catastrophe) and the horrific massacres of defenceless Palestinian refugees at Deir Yassin (April 1948) and Sabra and Shatila267 (September 1982) have proven beyond all reasonable doubt that Zionist policy was actually carried out at the government-level. For example, the Sabra and Shatila event was a joint Israel Defence Forces (IDF) / Kataeb Party Christian militia (Phalange) operation against landless Palestinians in a refugee camp268 and (mostly Shia) Lebanese in Beirut, Lebanon.269 Yet, no one has been held accountable for it at the government level. And since the 1970's, the common language has become increasingly interchangeable with zealous support for the SOI wavering between a 'fighting Zionism' and a peaceful, Jewish-only 'democratic' nation with a unique – 'God given right to exist'.270

In brief, this train of thought began in the 1940's through Anglo-Israelism271 and the public interest in favour of politically-expedient excuses that would replace the blaming of Jews (and early multiculturalism) for the all the ills of the world with Islamophobia.

The (Often) Phoney War

Political observers are aware of the technical fluidity of politicised hate. Just as a number of committed American Klansmen have been known to keep secret their back-door relationships with 'Brown Comfort' women, sometimes, European Nazis have worked side-by-side with their Muslim counterparts.

And it goes much, much deeper than the oft-mentioned former Grand Mufti of Jerusalem Hajj Amin al-Husseini, a hardcore far-rightist and serious Judeophobe who worked for the Nazis (against the British) during the occupation of the British Mandate.

Far-right Zionists cannot help themselves from repeatedly raising this historical connection, as if it proves beyond all doubt that Muslims (in general) and Palestinians (in particular) hate Jews and want to destroy the Jewish State in the Levant. No one denies that the link exists. The dishonesty comes from refusing to acknowledge this fact without relaying the entire historical context.

Yes, the Grand Mufti was a Muslim who actively recruited Eastern European fascists in the Balkans to fight for the Axis Powers. And yes, the Grand Mufti hated European Jews with a passion. He was an extremist. And again, no one who truly understands the issue contests this. But what is not mentioned when this subject is brought up is the fact that Great Britain – the colonial occupier of Mandate Palestine – was at war with Germany and the Grand Mufti al-Husseini wanted to work against England, his direct oppressor. Germany's war stance against the Allies and the Grand Mufti's shared far-right ideologies were close enough to make this a legitimate political decision. Then there is this to consider, Croatia was ideologically and politically aligned with the German far-right on its own accord before the continental war began. And what
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aid and comfort the Grand Mufti did provide to the Nazi government was materially peripheral. And he had absolutely no effect on either the German-Croatian European fascist bond or, any measure of influence upon the international war itself.

If the Zionists really want to talk about Nazi collaboration, they could talk about when the Jewish terrorist group, the Stern Gang (Lehi) made overtures to the both the Italian as well as German fascists to be their covert paramilitaries against the UK in British Palestine.

Part of the idea was to earn a deal that would help them quickly populate Palestine with European Jewry fleeing the racial violence overwhelming Europe.

Another part of it was because they actually admired European fascism, mostly the Italian variety – for the obvious reasons. And they also wanted to found their own far-right state in Palestine in place of the Mandate. So like the Grand Mufti, Lehi had political reasons for their choice to work with the Nazis. The enemy of my enemy is my friend.

And I can go even further. Zionist apologists would also do well to study the records of Baron Leopold von Mildenstein, an aristocratic German who was also a qualified officer of the Schutzstaffel and his lifelong friend, Kurt Tuchler of the Zionist Federation of Germany. Both men travelled to British Palestine in 1933 to support a Nazi plan (drawn by Adolf Eichmann) for the non-violent transfer of European Jews out of Europe. (See: the ‘Haavara Agreement’)

Worse, hardline Zionists have a hard time trying to explain away an odd move by the Minister of Propaganda, Dr Joseph Goebbels, who in 1934 ordered the minting of a commemorative coin with the Nazi’s Swastika on one side and the Jewish Star of David on the other.

Later in 1937, the left-wing Haganah (founded by Ze’ev Jabotinsky) dispatched a man to liaison with the Nazi government (reportedly Feivel Polkes) with an offer for the Zionist militia to work clandestinely for the SS in return for Nazi funding of Jewish colonisation in occupied Palestine.

And it was around this period that the Haganah too had invited a high-ranking Nazi to visit Palestine. The German official was Adolf Eichmann, a Special Officer of the Nazi government's Department of Jewish Affairs/IVB4 in the Reichssicherheitshauptamt – (RSHA/Reich Security - Main Office of the SS).

He was also working for the Central Emigration Office (Zentralstelle für jüdische Auswanderung) which expedited the removal of Jewish families out of Germany and other areas of Nazi-controlled Europe. Eichmann had learned to read and write both Hebrew and Yiddish and educated himself in the history and culture of the Jewish People(s) as a means of 'efficiently' getting them out of Europe with as little fuss as possible.

A project many in the United Kingdom and the United States were quietly in support of even if they could not say so openly.

---
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Moral Masturbation and the Zionist Project

'Palestine belongs to the Arabs in the same sense that England belongs to the English or France to the French. It is wrong and inhuman to impose the Jews on the Arabs... Surely it would be a crime against humanity to reduce the proud Arabs so that Palestine can be restored to the Jews partly or wholly as their national home'

– Mahatma Gandhi

Theodor Herzl, the father of modern Zionism, taught his followers that it was the literal presence of Jews in gentile countries that gave rise to anti-Jewish hatred. As he wrote in his book, 'Der Judenstaat,' (The Jewish State):

The unfortunate Jews are now carrying the seeds of anti-Semitism into England; they have already introduced it into America.

Although many far-right and occultist Europeans have called for Jewish expulsion, Zionists too called for the exit of Jews from gentile societies to a territorial 'of their own' so as to transform the European Jew into a landed nation like other peoples. They felt (and still believe) that Zionism without land meant nothing.

And they chose to engage in colonialism – at a late stage – which meant that a political alliance with the same anti-Jewish European powers they wanted to separate from.

Herzl was very interested in using Judeophobia against itself. Arthur Balfour, known for his conservative anti-Semitism, sponsored a bigoted law to prevent Eastern Europe's Jewry from escaping the pogroms by fleeing to Great Britain.

He was approached by Zionist advocates very early who supported his ideas (for their own reasons) and the 'Balfour Declaration' provided the support they needed.

But as then Prime Minister Lloyd George pointed out, at that time, showing respect towards the Jewish People was good for the Allied cause, even if they really didn't care about Europe's Jews one way or the other.

Dedicated Zionists could care less if their benefactors were biased against them or not. And they tried to use both European anti-Semitism and expansionist colonialism to attain their own country in the middle of the 20th century.

All of this is true. And none of this information should come as a big surprise to those who truly (try to) understand the utter strangeness of political extremism. In theory, these are all-or-nothing philosophies.

But there are often bleed-lines where the psychological madness of the conservative (not necessarily political) crowd allows for marginal diversity. This is also precisely the case between extremist Islam and extremist Christianity.
Strange Bedfellows?

What unites them both is their desire to violence and a pathological hatred of Jews as 'lesser beings'. Arab hatred towards the Jew is historically speaking, very new. It was learned behaviour, for the most part, and in recent times driven by Mid-East politics and Zionist proxy-colonialism.

At this point, I am certain that some readers (perhaps even yourself) are prepared to dismiss my thoughts on these matters entirely. Before you do, please consider this: I think it is (objectively) fair to say that the average US citizen has no educated idea about the historical links between the racist far-right in Europe and the Islamic far-right in the Arab World. Or, that these connections are very deep and that they began before, (and grew in intensity) in-between the world wars (WW1/WW2).

The historical and political link that brought the two perspectives into alliance was a common enemy, the British imperialist system. This factor was also an issue that caused the Irish Republican Army (pick a sect/version of your choice) to align with the Irish-hating Nazis (during wartime) as a means of gaining their full independence from Britain.

When Fascists Swap Spit and Share Weapons: Beware

\begin{quote}
\textit{Once there was The People - Terror gave it birth;}
\textit{Once there was The People, and it made a hell of earth!}
\textit{Earth arose and crushed it. Listen, oh, ye slain!}
\textit{Once there was The People - it shall never be again!}
\end{quote}

\textit{– Rudyard Kipling, 'As Easy as A.B.C.'} (1917)

No matter how you look at it, fascism is wrong. It is hateful and it is destructive to those who practise it as well as the victims of its creed(s). It is not simply a negative system of antiquated beliefs – by it very ideological nature – it is a political crime against all of humanity. If the concept of humanity is to have any philosophical bearing at all.

I stand firm on this. And I make no apologies for being an unrepentant, hateful bigot against a train of thought that reduces human beings into compartmentalised check-boxes subject to state-sponsored marginalisation and mob-rule liquidation.

Anyone, or any philosophy, that teaches a view of fascism as a valid political choice, in any form, or that it deserves a 'fair chance' to be respected as a doctrine and accorded equal access to the political and social process – is dangerous. I cannot overemphasise this. After the horrors of the camps as explained during the Nuremberg Trials\textsuperscript{275} the 'Iron Fist' of Augusto Pinochet (1974-1990)\textsuperscript{276}, the racist insanity\textsuperscript{277} of the Sabra and Shatila massacre\textsuperscript{278} or the blood-soaked Rwanda genocide\textsuperscript{279} – is, by fiat, a living example of the dangerous fool. Such a person should be avoided, shamed and shunned by all people of conscience everywhere as a clear and present threat to world peace and human continuance.

In a very real sense, the creeping political slide to the right and the far-right we are watching goose-step
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across the world map will certainly shape the economic currents of the next few decades. If, it is not addressed logically and locally on the public level. Governments and private corporations cannot be expected to be interested in stopping far-right, populist movements, unless, they present a clear and present danger to the existing order or economic control over vital resources.

This is where the political left – especially in North America – has ideologically fallen short. And there are valid reasons for this. Namely, the threat of state-supported, right-wing repression and intimidation. This factor alone – the possibility of retribution from the extant social system – that has indeed stopped many left-wing progressives, moderate communists (and some sane conservatives) from effectively working against the development of the far-right in their respective countries.

If you doubt this, I ask that you simply pick up a major newspaper and notice the headlines. Between the sensationalism and celebrity gossip, bits-and-pieces can be ascertained – because of the efforts of investigative journalists – on the effects of right-wing terrorism from fundamentalist Muslims; Christians and Buddhists (in Burma/Myanmar) on the common people(s) of their respective societies.

This is not conjecture. Neoconservative movements have a bad and an irrefutable record of causing massive social upheavals in the Arab World; Africa; South America and in Southeast Asia. The United States too has witnessed several organised fascist attempts to replace the nation’s democratic system of governance. (See: the 'The White House Coup')

And far-right groups from the White Supremacist terrorist organisation the Ku Klux Klan (KKK) to the rabidly anti-communist John Birch Society (JBS) have been very public about their desire for a more corporatist form of oligarchic privilege and the state-sponsored privatisation of the national infrastructure. And they do not deny that they are willing to do this via an armed conflict against the US federal government.

And make no mistake, right-wing terrorism has taken its toll in the Anglophone World as well. And has done so for many, many centuries. Far-right – often state-sponsored – clandestine movements and neo-Nazi cabals in Western Europe have been responsible for multiple acts of terror against Jews; ethnic minorities and immigrants as local police forces stand-by and watch.

Even when authorities are made aware of far-right hooligan plans to perform violent acts and/or, other forms of political terrorism against social minorities and the left-wing, they do very little to prevent such events from happening.

While the DNC and GOP may be busy trying to usurp each other politically, both factions have a long, undeniable and shameful record of finding consensus when it comes to suppressing Indigenous and African aspirations to actual, as opposed to theoretical liberation, free from White cultural and political supervision.

The dominant Europocentric culture under the weight of its own barbarity attempts stubbornly to perpetuate

---

282 Revealed: how the FBI coordinated the crackdown on Occupy | Naomi Wolf | Comment is free | The Guardian http://ow.ly/PpXJZ - Saturday 29 December 2012 09.58 EST
284 History Channel: ‘The Fascist Plot to Overthrow FDR’ (FULL Documentary) http://ow.ly/PiSP1
285 How the KKK Preys on American Veterans: VICE Reports (Full Length) - http://ow.ly/PiUB3
the myth that once ‘official’ hostilities ended the hatreds, fears and attitudes of White Christians and their sense of social entitlement dissipated as well is a barefaced lie.

Cagey, neo-Confederate justifications for White Power by the evangelical religious-right have returned to the news headlines. Christian fundamentalists who have hatefully rejected Charles Darwin only to rip-off and distort his ‘atheist’ ideas under the term, ‘Social Darwinism’ and the expansionist Europocentric version of the ‘Law of Nature’.

Ang Kahangalan ng European Kolonyalismo
(The Absurdity of European Colonialism)

Gods always behave like the people who created them.

– Zora Neale Hurston

The much lauded ‘Age of Discovery’ may have been great for White Europeans, but their incredible stroke of luck began a never ending death-cycle of maniacal Euro-settler belligerence, insatiable avarice and the brutal application of bigoted class-conscious guile for just about everyone else. This is true. But don’t expect Europeans or the Euro-American settler-class to tell the story straight.286

From their unapologetically jaundiced perspective, it was ‘they’, the ‘Master Race’ of Reconquista-Christian Europe who brought enlightenment, justice and the word of the one true God to the simple-minded, grub-sustained, pagan gnomes existing feebly outside of the ‘Known World’.

This is the fictional narrative that asserts utter chaos engulfed the universe until a self-aware White male finally emerged from the caves and hillsides of Western Europe, ready to take his rightful place at the top of the human pecking order. And for those who are inclined to attribute everything to supernatural forces as predestined by Providence, (the ‘Black Sun theory’)287 the patronizing presumption that White Western Europeans are spiritually fated to rule over and to care for the lamentable ‘sub-races’ is a real call to duty.

This line of thinking believes that the sole function in life for a non-European ‘Half-Devil/Half-Child’ is to serve the wants and needs of the ‘Master Race’ until death, when ‘good’ savages shall receive their just ‘reward’ in the Judeo-Christian-Islamic hereafter.288 Forever and ever. Amen.

Regressive Anti-Humanistic Disciplines

If any of this metaphysical schlock sounds strangely familiar to you, that’s because we have all seen this disappointing play acted out several times before. This was exactly the sort of snarled, inner-sanctum mysticism that was taught and propagated by the propaganda ministers of the classical Roman empires,289 the Catholic Church and later by the Third Reich.290

Indonesia employs a similar sort of romantic lebenstraumpolitik to vindicate their brutal military activity in Papua New Guinea (PNG) and East Timor.291 292 The nationalist Buddhists are doing something similar in Burma as the western-supported political dissident Aung San Suu Kyi observes and says nothing.293 And China’s colonial programme of occupation and aggressive ‘Sino-Conversion’ of the Tibetan Nation into a

290 'The Führer Makes History 1933', Winterhilfswerk Booklet for 1933 http://ow.ly/cTkQg
291 Human rights violations in Papua have increased in recent times, says one observer.
292 THE EAST TIMOR STORY http://ow.ly/cuOGk
population of assimilated ‘pseudo-Han’ is no less viciously ‘White Racist’ than what is practised in Canada; Australia; New Zealand; Mexico; the State of Israel or the über-hypocritical United States of ‘America’.  

This is the very same attitude was the main ideological impetus behind The Crusades which included the invasion of ‘The New World’ on October 12th, 1492 CE when the unannounced arrival of a xenophobic, Church-financed military expedition from Spain landed in the Caribbean with a divine mission: master and enslave everything and everyone they ‘discovered’ in their travels by force of arms in the name of blue-blood Europe and the Romanised version of the Palestinian deity.

From the genocidal mayhem waged against the Taino Nation by Cristoforo Colombo, (the ‘Bringer Of Christ’) and his fighting sailors to the racist, anti-government rhetoric and mass-murderous violence of zealous, Christian neo-Nazi American military veterans such as Wade Michael Page, J.T. Ready and Timothy McVeigh, the history of the European in the Americas has been a saga of White racist angst, class-distrust and compassion-less, anti-social terrorism. The stark reality of White American history is one of dark conquest and malice exhaustively marked as ‘civilisation on the march’.

The Dogmatic Ennui of Pseudo-Rationalism

Ask any First Nations survivor what happened when Europe met the ‘People of the Lands of Strong Winds’ and then, maybe, we can finally come to terms with the US-led turmoil that was Vietnam; Laos; what really went down in Korea; the current direct occupations of Iraq and Afghanistan and the current wind-up going on in the latest re-colonisation (or imperialist rape) of Mother Africa.

It is the American colonialist’s version of Goebbels’ institutional ‘Big Lie’ and it signifies the carefully hidden fear and loathing most White folks harbour of losing it all’ to the savage ‘Darker Races’ of the Earth they believe are always plotting to take back by force what was stolen from them through malevolence.

Not a word of this is true of course, but when have hard, observable and demonstrable facts ever prevented ultra-conservative viewpoints from angrily bullying logic and reason into bloody, silent submission? And remember, according to the accepted version of the ‘official story’, this is being done in the name of God.

And while the original may have been revised somewhat to suit contemporary European paranoias, this very same script can still be seen today within the reactionary ‘White Panic’ efforts of Euro-supremacist politicians who are desperately eager to ostracize entire swathes of historical fact purely in favour of their own self-centred and xenophobic revisionist his-story of ‘Caucasian Truth’.

Under this incredibly reductionist idea, ‘G-d Wills It’ for the White Man to rule over all other nations. And Anglophone societies, historically speaking, have taken this mantra to heart and continue to run with it in the modern day.

And like jealous Gods, they live in dire dread of the day the meek of the Earth might actually read the New Testament section of the Christian Bible and decide to actualise Jesus the Christ’s Essene message of universal human liberation from class and colonialist oppression.
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This unfortunate attitude isn’t just a matter of slothful indifference. All colonialists and imperialists, (White Americans in particular) fully believe that they are entitled to the Earth and everything in it and on it. Universal sustenance and social justice are not issues that bother them very much. Their concerns lie elsewhere, primarily with the efforts deemed necessary to maintain positive political control and White social supremacy at home and across the planet.

The European-American (like the British and the French) attitude is one of contempt for those who are physically incapable of defending themselves from being fleeced.

And as the Indian-born, English-blooded poet of the Euro-imperialists, Rudyard Kipling put it in his famous ode to global White supremacy:

Take up the White Man's burden--
Send forth the best ye breed--
Go bind your sons to exile
To serve your captives' need;
To wait in heavy harness,
On fluttered folk and wild--
Your new-caught, sullen peoples,
Half-devil and half-child.

Take up the White Man's burden--
In patience to abide,
To veil the threat of terror
And check the show of pride;
By open speech and simple,
An hundred times made plain
To seek another's profit,
And work another's gain.

— Rudyard Kipling, 'The White Man's Burden' (1899)
The history is genocide is not pretty. And it is long. Recorded genocides have occurred in Western and Eastern Europe; the North and South Americas; the Middle East and throughout Asia and most of Africa long before the 20th century arrived.

And as many Indigenous activists and scholars have (correctly) pointed out, including some right-wing, revisionist historians, German government planners and Hitler himself (in a more personal way) were fully aware of the US Native American reservation system, as well as the British-installed camps for Orange Boers in their struggle to contain resistance during the 'Tweede Vryheidsoorlog', or, Boer War.

One (far-right) writer in particular is often quoted as some evidence of this historical connection:

‘Hitler’s concept of concentration camps as well as the practicality of genocide owed much, so he claimed, to his studies of English and United States history. He admired the camps for Boer prisoners in South Africa and for the Indians in the wild west; and often praised to his inner circle the efficiency of America’s extermination – by starvation and uneven combat – of the red savages who could not be tamed by captivity.’


It should be made clear here that the late Mr. Toland was a well-respected, published historian who wrote about many wartime subjects, (especially Japan) and Hitler was one of those topics. However, it is important to realise that Mr. Toland was also recognised as a supporter of the IHR, a questionable, paleoconservative group that denies that the European Holocaust occurred and seeks to reinvent and implement many of Adolf Hitler's social and political policies.

The group also disseminates anti-Jewish propaganda and other 'intellectual' hate materials from such noted neo-fascist oddities as: David Irving; Fred A. Leuchter; Ernst Zündel and Robert Faurisson. IHR is also known for its willingness to align themselves with far-right, Arab Judeophobes and Muslim-centred Holocaust Denial propaganda portals such as the Swedish-based Radio Islam (not to be confused with RadiOIslam.com) broadcast from Chicago, USA.

White Entitlement and a Faith in Exploitation

This is the fundamental colonialist narrative. It is the legend that speaks clearly of the enormous might of a racist (and misogynist) Palestinian sky-god, the power of the gun and the adventurous, bloody-knuckled
spirit of roughneck, White homesteaders (and business tycoons) braving insubordinate savages and the ravages of the wilderness in persistent search of a dream.

Contemporary White Americans and those who blindly believe in them can afford to ignore the gruesome track record of Christian Euro-American brigandage and ethnocide simply because it was all done on behalf of creating a social environment that suits their own interests. (Fact check: Euro-Americans do not experience the insult or the danger of racial-profiling in the United States, but ethnic groups that frighten White people do.308)

This is obvious. And let us also be clear here about something else: despite vociferous emotional claims to the contrary, today’s White Americans and all immigrant-classes, regardless of their national or ethnic point of origin, directly benefit from the results of both Indigenous and African genocide in North America.

And it is this specific interest for colonial and social self-preservation that drives the racism that informs what we understand as the ‘American’ psyche. The condescendingly vapid belief that stipulates, ‘What is good for White People is good for the World at Large’. Why? Because ‘God Wills It’ If ‘we’ want to take it.309

This was the precise mindset behind the violent, Reconquista-era expansion of poverty-stricken Europe. The push for further ‘blue-blood’ occupational activity abroad was initially focused on the ‘Holy Lands’. This later included Africa and the ‘New World’ where both European nation-states and the Catholic Church conspired and competed to dominate the twin continents of the Americas by any means necessary.

The fundamental emotive origins of all this can be drawn from the existing record of papal bulls issued by the Mother Church such as the Quantum praedecessores (1145); Audita tremendi (1187); Dum diversas (1452); Etsi cuncti (1456); Inter caetera (1493); Romanus pontifex (1452); Precelse Denotionis (1514) and other written European legal orders designed to ‘authenticate’ the right of ‘permitted’ Europeans to claim territories, resources and peoples, at a personal profit, in the name of their home nations.

In other words, the Conquistadors claimed a ‘right’ to appropriate what did not actually belong to them. Secure in the knowledge that they were given a mandate to aquirre under a capricious authority on the ethical principle that because a European power extended its arbitrary consent, that was all that was needed.

The wishes, desires and general welfare of the subjected Peoples involved were thoughtlessly dismissed then just as much as they are rudely spurned now and consciously ignored in favour of what is best for White society as a whole.

Americans of all shades are probably not as stupid as all this sounds, but it is necessary to point out here that the historical literacy of the average US citizen is a national embarrassment.310 In fact, it is an international disgrace.311 While Americans mindlessly and arrogantly parrot the sing-song populism of their vision of the ‘good society’, very few seem to be in either aware or very interested in the fact that in 2008 their country

308 The Persistence Of Racial And Ethnic Profiling In The United States | American Civil Liberties Union http://ow.ly/cFyg9
310 American Students Don’t Know Much About History - Truthdig http://ow.ly/cTnvT
311 Americans don't know civics - USATODAY.com http://ow.ly/cTnOg
was ranked 97th out of a field of 140 others in terms of social peace, military activity and a basic regard for universal human rights.  

Interestingly that year, the previously ultra-violent, slave-trading cultures of Scandinavia rounded out the top three and South American countries with historically poor human rights records such as Chile scoring reasonably higher than the US. At the time of this writing, the US ranked 88th just behind the oil-soaked, US supported dictatorship of Equatorial Guinea and right before the newly minted state-capitalist, People's Republic of China. That says something, doesn’t it?

Das Hitlergruß in Nord- und Südamerika

’In actual fact the pacifistic-humane idea is perfectly all right perhaps when the highest type of man has previously conquered and subjected the world to an extent that makes him the sole ruler of this earth… Therefore, first struggle and then perhaps pacifism.’

– Adolf Hitler

The popular mythology of institutional social justice as a creed in the Américas is just that, a myth. The US, Canada and Mexico are all at their base, colonialist nation-states created by invading Christian Europeans. The United States in particular is a colonialist nation that lives in denial of itself.

Built squarely upon the institutional discipline of Indigenous American genocide, the human trafficking and brutal enslavement of Africans and ‘Indios’ and several populations of Asians, it has all been hailed as a justified exercise.

The process itself, articulated through the purely xenophobic, pseudo-theological concept of ‘Manifest Destiny’ is a quasi-religious excuse offered to explain why Euro-Americans came to dominate a previously heavily populated set of continents without breaking any eggs, (or heads).

In order to claim dominion over the land and the Indigenous Peoples within it, the invading interests involved had to – as a matter of physical and psychological necessity – deny the literal reality of the people(s) they had to exploit or extinguish in order to accomplish their goal.

So it is entirely disingenuous to pretend that racial/ethnic stratifications in North America do not exist. They do exist, no matter how many times the White American tell him or herself and their friends that it was ‘they’ who brought the concepts of peace, democracy and human freedom to the ‘Savage Races’ of the ‘known’ world.

This is true. And it is also true that due to its colonialist origins and the racialist maintenance of unearned White Privilege in the Americas, the extant sociopolitical system we are all required to observe as a barometer for evaluating ‘normalcy’ cannot help but be reflected within both the national narrative and the sociopolitical structure of the nation.

If the conservative mindset of the White settler population is one of European privilege, it stands to reason that many of those who are über-conservative in regards their nationalist identity would do what they ‘felt’ was necessary to maintain their place in the system.

An Imagined Case of Christian Caucasian Entropy

The xenophobic, false and historically errant ideology of (or belief in) a monolithic and homogeneous European racial, cultural and theological supremacy is an ugly reality of everyday life in the United States and the rest of the Anglophone world. If you doubt this, simply look around and take in the actuality that is all about you. White racism and White racial privilege is a real phenomenon. And only a fool or a modern US neocorporative Republican or Libertarian would argue otherwise.

The entirety of the Americas north and south is hopelessly saturated with European racialism and it is a considerable weight upon the shoulders of modern society. But in the United States – the land of (conventional) common-sense and (monitored) free speech – institutional xenophobia is practised while at the same time, it is broadly denied.

If seems to the read that I am being redundant in mentioning this, please consider that current events in the US make it clear that the White mainstream simply isn’t listening to the victims of its apathetic xenophobia. And it also means, sadly, that many American citizens and residents, in fact – most American citizens and residents – barely remember or are willing to acknowledge the more sordid details behind their own national identity.

For instance, the modern US Republican Party is incredibly fond of incessantly talking about and dreaming of yesterday, when the then Abraham Lincoln-lead GOP freed African slaves as a means of breaking the economic back of the Confederate rebels of the Antebellum South.

Conversely however, they never tire of justifying the blatantly pro-White, racialist nature of the contemporary GOP on the basis of that anti-slavery platform of its far-flung abolitionist past.

What they say is technically true. The Republican Party back in those years was the radical, 'liberal' political alternative to the rabidly conservative Democratic Party of the period. But that was how long ago?

After the demise of the Whig Party in the 1850's, many of its members (in the north, like Mr. Lincoln) switched their allegiances to the new Republican Party while many former Whigs in the southern states either left politics altogether or joined the Democratic Party. This occurred during the Reconstruction period, when some ex-Whigs attempted to try again by working within the system becoming the liberal-wing of the southern Democrats.

The influence of the Whigs in the south lasted until the passage of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. This was done (again) chiefly because the first law, the Civil Rights Act of 1866 wasn't worth the paper it was printed on.
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Republican Newspeak and the Southern Strategy

There is no place in modern Europe for ethnically pure states. That’s a 19th century idea and we are trying to transition into the 21st century, and we are going to do it with multi-ethnic states.

– US General Wesley Clark

It is here in which the Republican Party of today comes off as looking either nitwitted or as simply bad liars. Yes, it is technically true that the Republican Party ‘freed the slaves’ and that more Republicans had (in the end) voted in favour of the Civil Rights Act than did Democrats when the act was finally passed. No one who knows anything about the history of the struggle denies that. But understanding the history is yet another matter. Especially for the Republican Party of Donald Trump.

The contemporary Republican/Conservative dispute on the ‘race problem’ is purposefully misinterpreted, which is ridiculous since anyone with a serious interest in the subject can simply seek out and analyse the data from the period. For example, the Civil Rights Act itself endured the longest filibuster in the history of the Senate, and as Louis Jacobson of politifact.com has shown:

The Civil Rights Act -- which is best known for barring discrimination in public accommodations -- passed the House on Feb. 10, 1964 by a margin of 290-130. When broken down by party, 61 percent of Democratic lawmakers voted for the bill (152 yeas and 96 nays), and a full 80 percent of the Republican caucus supported it (138 yeas and 34 nays).

When the Senate passed the measure on June 19, 1964, -- nine days after supporters mustered enough votes to end the longest filibuster in Senate history -- the margin was 73-27. Better than two-thirds of Senate Democrats supported the measure on final passage (46 yeas, 21 nays), but an even stronger 82 percent of Republicans supported it (27 yeas, 6 nays).

The primary reason that Republican support was higher than Democratic support -- even though the legislation was pushed hard by a Democratic president, Lyndon B. Johnson -- is that the opposition to the bill primarily came from Southern lawmakers. In the mid 1960s, the South was overwhelmingly Democratic -- a legacy of the Civil War and Reconstruction, when the Republican Party was the leading force against slavery and its legacy. Because of this history, the Democratic Party in the 1960s was divided between Southern Democrats, most of whom opposed civil rights legislation, and Democrats from outside the South who more often than not supported it.

– 'Steele says GOP fought hard for civil rights bills in 1960s', PolitiFact, (05.25.2010)

So using strict maths, a far higher percentage of Republican Party representatives in 1964 voted to end racial discrimination. Was it because they favoured American Africans more than did many Democrats? Or was it because of the fact that so many of those racist Democrats who opposed the bill were from states where the Confederate battle-flag not only still waves, but is posted higher than the US national flag in the wake of a White Supremacist terrorist attack in 2015?315

Clearly, the location of the voting blocs was a Mason-Dixon line issue of Jim Crow316 in the south versus the
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unofficial ‘stay in your own neighborhood Nigger’ segregation in the northern areas. A practise that continues today. Whites in the northern cities were content (at the time and today) to live with minorities in the same city, provided that they did not live next door to them.

And the other fact the Republican confusion experts either get wrong or just flat-out lie about is the reality that the Civil Rights Act was the birth-child of two Democratic Party administrations (not Republican).

And they refuse to acknowledge that dissident progressives (Whigs, maybe?) within the Democrats played a pivotal role in getting the law supported and passed at all.

And besides, it is simply discreditable and shameful to claim that the Republicans did all of the work when it was a law created by the Democrats and pushed by loyal party representatives through the process. And in the end, it was signed into law by a president who was a Democrat from Texas.

So when a Republican or Libertarian tries to take credit for ‘liberating’ Black People and ‘passing’ the Civil Rights Act, ask them why they are taking credit for something that First: happened more than a hundred years ago when the political climate (and goals) were very different and Second: why haven't Republicans been at the forefront of doing something concrete about White xenophobia and racism in the United States instead of just blaming Democrats for it?

The only reason the bill was passed (in my humble opinion) was because racial hatred had so poisoned the national climate in which President John F. Kennedy's assassination took place, the political will was there to make it stop. The United States in the 1960's was a divisive time. And riots were destroying major inner-cities after the assassinations of Dr. King and Robert Kennedy. Plus, the violent-era of the civil rights struggle came on while President Lyndon B. Johnson was still bogged down in Southeast Asia trying to suppress the Communist-lead Vietnamese.

Let’s not get confused here. LBJ was not pro-Black. He was a Texas politician. And if it took shaking hands with civil rights leader the Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. before the world's cameras to stop the race riots, he was willing to do it. After all, according the background history of his often dodgy political career, LBJ wasn't above doing what he thought was necessary in order to cover his political arse. This is what happened. And while contemporary Euro-settlers may prefer to excuse premeditated Indigenous and African genocide as innocent ‘tit-for-tat’ ethnic sparring, the fact remains that White Americans historically have a lot to answer for. They refuse to face their own negative national history while selectively cherry-picking and
disparaging the cultural differences and political records of other nations and cultures. There is little acknowledgement of what is wrong with the US as a political state and as a social organism. Just take a sober look at recent history.

Mitt Romney and Revisionist Euro-Racism

Because we - as Germans burdened enough - Could be the suppliers to a crime
That is foreseeable, wherefore our complicity Could not be redeemed through any of the usual excuses.

– Günter Grass, ‘Was gesagt werden muss’

America is not the world. It just believes that it is. And like the proverbial self-obsessed emperor who foolishly parades in the high street without a stitch of clothing, the accumulating (and justified) international criticisms directed towards Pax Americana in the end are for naught. No one of any influence in the United States is listening to reason. And the recent outburst of violent White racist diarrhoea that was Wade Michael Page has ultimately and objectively proved this analysis to be right and exact.

Free speech comes with a responsibility. And if you notice, American conservatives like to abuse this right at every given opportunity. This is why Election 2012 has turned out to be more about the problems of White emotional Panic than about seriously addressing the pressing issues of the nation. And this is why Mitt Romney’s ridiculous ‘Anglo-Saxon’ statement deserves our attention and analysis.

I am of the opinion that Mr. Romney’s Lester Maddox media moments are not simply accidents of idiotic reasoning. His spokesperson’s public comments about ‘fixing the racial ties’ between the US and the UK that were ‘fractured’ by Mr. Obama’s unforgivable Black skin were deliberate. It was done to relay an international statement of racist ambitions to those who still respond to antiquated American segregation-speak.

For US ears, ‘Anglo-Saxon’ means only one thing -- ‘White Power’ -- and the paid thinkers inside the
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Romney camp know this. They decided that the time was right to slip-in some racialist code words that could help muster indignant republican fence-sitters more concerned with the rise of Sharia law than where their next paychecks are coming from. Tossing racist mud in the air may be dirty politics, but it works like a charm because no matter how deceitful the efforts are, all of it will always manage to stain everything it touches to some degree or another.

It is the pragmatic application of Heinrich Himmler and Rudolf Hess’ metaphysical ideas on Aryan-pagan mentalism. One must spiritually develop the ‘strength of will’ to lie to your quarry with a straight face. Simply lodge all the caustic defamations you like, and later, you can simply pretend that you never said anything of the kind. That’s the whole point of White Privilege in the Americas, the inherent ‘right’ of Caucasians to alter the ‘truth’ at their own choosing. While pretending that nothing amiss is happening. When things are indeed, terribly wrong. 

Don't Blame Me, I'm Just a White Man

If you write about the history of slavery, you become used to the pattern: No matter how many accounts you cite from ex-slaves, people often say they need more information before they can accept what former cotton pickers say about how cotton picking worked. And when we’re talking about contemporary events, the presumptive doubt is just as bad.

– Ed Baptist

Now, if Republicans were at all serious about GOP support for minority rights, they would cite President Dwight D. Eisenhower’s passage of the 1957 Civil Rights Act which offered federal support for equal voting rights for Africans in the United States. They could also point to (then Democratic) Senator Strom Thurmond, a real-life pro-segregation hypocrite (Thurmond never admitted in his lifetime that he had fathered a daughter with his family's 16 year old black house-servant) from South Carolina who stood in noisy protest for more than 24 hours in a desperate attempt to stop the bill from passage.

It was the longest recorded filibuster ever tried by any member of the house, simply to deny government protections for universal voting rights.

But so far, I have yet to hear any Republican shill with access to the public newswires ever mention this significant GOP accomplishment. Perhaps its because most don’t know about it. Or most likely, because to discuss this issue in depth would bring up the real conflict that caused southern Democrats to renounce their party membership and convert to the Republican clan.

For one thing, when LBJ put his signature to the 1965 act, he reportedly made an off-the-cuff comment saying he was, ‘signing away the south for 50 years’, which proved true. The Democrats lost the loyal vote of the most virulent racists left in the now, neo-Confederate, 'Dirty South'.

These are the hardcore xenophobes who now run roughshod over the modern Republican Party. Political

Illustration 50: Senator J. Strom Thurmond - Source: Unknown
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bigotry in the United States might have begun with the stance of the original Democratic Party, but since the 1957-1964 period, the hard racists, the right-wing extremists and the vast bulk of the Christian fundamentalist movement(s) have been a core constituency within the Republican Party and remain to be.

What’s even more preposterous about all this segregationist silliness is the audacious cowardice of the main players behind it all. The UK Telegraph’s Jon Swaine accurately reported exactly what Mr. Romney’s ‘anonymous’ campaign advisors told him, so the GOP allegation that the British press got it all wrong, this time anyway, is patently false.

As published in the Telegraph, 24 Jul 2012:

'We are part of an Anglo-Saxon heritage, and he feels that the special relationship is special,” the adviser said of Mr Romney, adding: “The White House didn’t fully appreciate the shared history we have'.

Now, GOP apologists might assert that Mr. Romney never said any such thing, but the news reported his words exactly. And my response, at least in brief, is that this is all an exercise in pointless, populist, prime-time press drivel worthy of the late George Wallace.  

I can say this because it means absolutely nothing politically since Mr. Obama hasn’t deviated from the Bush/Cheney implementation of the Project for a New American Century (PNAC) agenda one tenth of one iota. [See: ‘Rebuilding America's Defences: Strategies, Forces And Resources For A New Century']

Meaning, that the simple-minded conservative commissars who regularly and rudely scold the Obama administration’s political efforts are speaking utter nonsense.

And the rubbish quote about ‘America's political differences end at the water’s edge’ is just that, more hypocritical, typically conservative, nonsensical propagandistic garbage. The reader should take not that Mr. Romney is saying all this as he campaigns overseas and accepts money from foreign interests silently fronted by US expats who of course expect some sort of return on their investment.

I for one find it very interesting that the Tea Party conservatives, who viciously slam anything and everything they consider to be ‘un-American’, seemingly have no ideological problems with Republicans campaigning overseas to plead for money from strange foreigners. In short, it’s hypocrisy. Pure, Republican, political hypocrisy.

Republicans (White, Black or otherwise) cannot have it both ways. Either they support equal rights, or they do not. They do not.  
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Centennial Xenophobic Amnesia

As a people we are fighting to maintain the Heaven-ordained supremacy of the White Man over the inferior or Coloured race; a white flag would thus be emblematical of our cause. Upon a red field would stand forth our South Cross, gemmed, preserving in beautiful contrast the red, white and blue.

– William T. Thompson, April 23rd, 1863

The truth hurts. Sometimes it doesn’t. But one thing is certain, often the genuine truth behind populist, partisan politics and its first-cousins nationalism and xenophobia can beleaguer both the oppressor as well as the oppressed. Meaning, the built-in biases of the mainstream consciousness simply cannot allow for this kind of dual-state reality while proclaiming ethnic-neutrality and open-book democracy at the same time.

It is past time for North Americans to face the reality of what the rest of the world is trying to say to us: the United States is in fascist free-fall. Elementary democratic concepts of common-sense, due process and the rule-of-law have no appreciable effect on those who control or benefit from the abuses of the extant system. Peaceful protest is violently repressed in the United States. Intelligent calls for much needed domestic review and reform are ridiculed, blackballed and publicly disregarded as examples of ‘rampant leftist’. Anti-war sentiments by citizens of a democracy are falsely demonised as treasonous activity. Religious fundamentalism has again become a major factor of the US political process and in elections despite the fact that the US Constitution defines such requirements as unlawful.

And last but not at all least, the entire world is a witness, thanks to the Internet, of the resurgence of acceptable racism in the republic under the watchful eye of the first Black US president who has said and done absolutely nothing to address the historical injustice of American racism. His West-Indian Attorney General attempted to open that door, but Mr. Obama immediately shut it in favour of the traditional White racist points of view he personally believes in.

Illustration 52: William R. Hearst (a Nazi sympathizer) standing with several German officials - Source: http://tinyurl.com/o8qedo4
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This is a serious problem, because his public, lackadaisical remarks towards those asking him to deal with issues that might upset the delicate sensitivities of White Folks has allowed for the return of open racism in official and public spaces.\(^{346}\) His utter refusal to speak out against Europocentric prejudices tacitly assents to the naked debasement of ethnic minorities in the corporate-owned media, the callous economic marginalisation of the poor, the recent rush to pursue a new military occupation of Africa and the widespread practise of cold-blooded police brutality against non-Europeans, (African males in particular).

The people of the United States attentively dismiss the existence and persistence of institutional White racism, chiefly because it tends to work strongly in their own favour. When American socialist (and the 33rd Vice President of the United States) Henry A. Wallace warned against the rise of fascism in North America he was called ‘Joe Stalin’s puppet’, which was untrue since Wallace is on record for criticising the USSR when he felt that they were wrong-headed.

But his anti-war position was purposefully misinterpreted as pro-Soviet leading him to publicly step-back from many of his stances in the midst of the US-lead anti-Korean/anti-Chinese war of capitalist expansion. (See: ‘Fatherland Liberation War’ and ‘Operation Killer’)

How many White Americans are willing to admit that the Knights of the Ku Klux Klan, which has existed in strength since Reconstruction, is allowed to continue simply because the vast majority of Europeans in the US personally identify with their message?

In fact, the United States government actually refused to fully ratify the United Nations 1948 Convention on the Crime and Punishment of Genocide\(^ {347}\) in a racialist effort to protect the American KKK, (as opposed to the anti-colonialist Katipunan, or, KKK movement in the Philippines). Because if the US were a full signatory to the convention, it would be bound by international law to address the issue of White Supremacist terrorism in their own country. Thereby – requiring the suppression of institutional racism and and traditional American social marginalisation.

This isn’t usually admitted to much less articulated very often anywhere, but this is the emotive foundation of the much larger problem. White people benefit from pro-White racism, so it stands to reason that if we are to understand ethnic bias and racism, (there is a difference) we need to establish who stands to gain from such an arrangement, who does not and why so many Europeans get visibly uptight at the very mention of the subject in generic discourse.

The United States is an insular, selfish, materialist-driven culture lovingly nurtured by a perpetual GMO diet of nationalistic, pro-war news media, pro-colonialist education and lowbrow ‘reality’ entertainment. And the chasm between what the United States of America says it stands for and what it actually does in practise at home and abroad is as wide as any ocean one cares to name.

I know it is a bit redundant, a cliché of classical proportions actually to point out the immediately obvious, but those who still live their lives vicariously through the power of their national flags need to be reminded of the fact that their self-righteous, corporate-controlled view of the world is little more than a splendid, Walt
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\(^{347}\) Approved and proposed for signature and ratification or accession by General Assembly resolution 260 A (III) of 9 December 1948 - [http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CrimeOfGenocide.aspx] Entry into force: 12 January 1951, in accordance with article XIII
Stochastic Fluctuations

This is the real world. And Europeans (and those who pathologically identify with them) at some point in time are simply going to have to accept and live with the fact (and the collective moral responsibility) that their societies have been constructed upon the violent subjugation of other peoples. This is historically incontrovertible. Unless of course, you are a fellow-traveller of the US Tea Party or a dues-paying advocate of Dr. David Duke’s European-American Unity and Rights Organization (EURO).

In the final analysis, the concepts, grand spectacle and blood-stained ramifications of White European Power dynamics is an undeniable sociopolitical fact of life the United States, Mexico, Canada, Australasia and everywhere else Europeans enjoy influence. If the reader denies this, I dare say that the reader simply isn’t willing to look at the reality of the Anglophone world, how it attained power and how it maintains its unfair authority over global political and cultural life.

This is indeed a ‘White Man’s World’. And it is extremely critical for the reader to be aware of the considerable cognitive weight of this paradigm upon the hearts and minds of the non-White masses.

No nation anywhere can expect to survive intact upon a bedrock of lies. This is why our writers and philosophers repeatedly advise us to periodically review the stories a country tells itself for historical accuracy and ethical honesty. Nations must always be audited for truthfulness. Especially when it is abundantly conspicuous to anyone looking that the stated ideals of a nation are not always reflected within its internal or external actions.

Unless we begin to make a concerted effort to understand (and accept) how Europeans in the United States came to be a world power, like post-war 1940’s Germany, White Americans will continue to live within the emotional stupor of an ancient and grand pure-Aryan experience that never actually existed. It is a colossal fiction birthed from the psychological need to justify White belligerence and the unfair cultural and economic control of Europeans over other human groups.

Today’s Republicans take an infantile delight in pointing to the 1868 Democratic ticket of Seymour and Blair who unapologetically hoisted the campaign motto: ‘This is a White Man’s Country, Let White Men Rule’ in a spiteful effort to discredit their DNC opponents about their political past. What they neglect to tell the world is that by 1968, times had changed. And the prospering assimilationist Civil Rights movement compelled the Ku Klux Klan to seek greener pastures. And the republican party was ready to welcome them (and did) with open arms.

And while active Europocentric racism was indeed a major attitudinal component of the original Democratic

---
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Party, it is entirely hypocritical to raise this issue as a bludgeon while haughtily absolving themselves of any
guilt or responsibility concerning the barefaced racialism the neo-Confederate inspired GOP is engaged in
right now.\textsuperscript{353} In all truth this is a very strange conversation, especially in light of the fact that the presence of
\textit{John Derbyshire at the last CPAC Pow-Wow} was a definitive statement announcing a White racist agenda for
the Republican Party for all to see and hear.

The Republican argument that Mr. Barack Obama is the internationally acknowledged leader of a
covert pro-Black, pro-Muslim, \textit{anti-Christian socialist takeover} of the United States \textit{is simply
demented}.\textsuperscript{354}

The Obama’s are strict, class-conscious \textit{Black assimilationists} who staunchly admire and \textit{defend everything ‘Anglo-Saxon’ America really stands
for}. And any well-read citizen aware of the \textit{Obama record on human and social rights} fully
understands this.

Apparently, its not only the \textit{hardcore partisan Republicans}, \textit{pot-smoking Libertarians} with gay friends and
what’s left of the \textit{John Birch Society} who seriously believe that the Democratic Party \textit{is part of a secret plot
to undermine the country}.\textsuperscript{355}

The US has allowed itself to plunge deep into a perfect witches brew of collective stupidity, hypocritical
morality and a condescending sense of allowable plutocratic coercion that makes \textit{the Borgia family} seem
meek by comparison. White Americans and those they have conquered are mistakenly led to believe that
life under White Christian rule is the best of all possible worlds.

The \textit{baseless fear amongst White Americans} that Barack Obama is secretly working to shift power away
from the global Anglophone elite to the \textit{Black and Brown skinned Muslim world} is widespread. And no
matter how conciliatory this president has been to his detractors, they still insult him racially at every given
opportunity despite the fact that they have absolutely no logical reason to complain about him.

\textbf{Normal and non-Normal Randomness}

"Criticism in a time of war is essential to the maintenance of any kind of democratic
government".

---

\textit{Robert A. Taft}

Let’s return to Bishop Romney’s ‘Anglo-Saxon’ comment for just a moment. On the face of it, most White
Americans and their sycophants see ‘No big deal’ and ‘Absolutely no racism’ in Mr. Romney’s \textit{antiquated
ethnic-conscious statements}.\textsuperscript{354}

That’s not really a big surprise since most Americans really do believe the false \textit{cultural and historical
sentiment behind ‘Manifest Destiny’ anyway}.\textsuperscript{355}

For these obstinate folks, \textit{America is a White, Christian country}. Period. And it should be clear to all by now
that the \textit{Putney Swope} election of Barack Obama to the American presidency means very little with respect
to the vociferous character of \textit{White racist American realpolitik}.
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The practice of racialised political rhetoric in the United States is unfortunately nothing new. But the animosity directed towards Mr. Obama by his political as well as social opponents certainly is.

And it should concern everyone, not just the African community in the US. Anyone interested in genuine democracy, human justice and social peace is naturally dedicated to fixing what is wrong with the American past and present, not going backwards.

And all conscious Americans should be on the alert when US politicians triumphantly use coded language as a means of stirring up ethnic animosity in spiteful search of a political triumph.

The ‘Southern Strategy’ is not a fiction. And White voters in the US fall for it every time.

And if we – meaning sensible people who reside in the United States and elsewhere – are to make any sense out of what happened to the several Americans who were shot and killed by a highly-trained, White Supremacist propagandist and former psy-ops US military veteran at their place of worship, it is first important for us to deal with the real gist of Mr. Romney’s international Herrenvolk declaration in Britain and its direct relationship to a rather large set of unsavoury facts.

First, we can all begin by admitting to ourselves (and then to the rest of the world) that what happened at a Sikh Temple in Wisconsin is not an isolated case. This is merely one more incident in a long line of similar events.

At some point in time Americans are going to have to admit that this sort of ethnic violence, White, Christian racist violence, has been a major social factor in the Americas ever since covetous, post-Al-Andalus Europeans decided to claim the independent twin continents for their very own.

The European invasion and domination of the Americas was no accident. And it did not occur peacefully. It was a awful process of ethnic cleansing, territorial displacement and the sin of human bondage. It was also the beginning of the destruction of two entire races of peoples for the material benefit of the European power structure and their illiterate and unsophisticated poverty-stricken masses.

This is the ideological face of colonialism. The unconscionable exploitation of the weak by the strong. The argument that says no matter what the situation is, White always makes ‘right’. Always, without fail. And this is another reason why the racist right-wing should be called on their ideological hypocrisy against the Obama administration. Why? Because the war-hawks too have very little to grumble about despite the bombast of their boundless animosity.

The conservatives get all the government money they ask for and then some while their fellow citizens go hungry and the national infrastructure depreciates around their ears. Except (certain) White people. So does the State of Israel. Including White Supremacist organisations the corporate-owned media now defines White Supremacist organisations as ‘civil rights’ groups.
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Uncle Sam, Mr. Obama and White Protectionism

‘The great mass of people will more easily fall victim to a big lie than to a small one’.

– Adolf Hitler, ‘Mein Kampf’

It’s past time to face the fact that the United States is a nation based upon a conquerors concept of White, Christian capitalist rule. And politically, both Republicans and Democrats have actively participated in using White institutional racism as a tool whenever it suited either party's national or local agendas.

One end of the political spectrum is not 'better' than the other in this regard. And the inane discussion(s) over which US political party is more racist than the other is a mind-rattling waste of time that could be used doing something progressive about the situation itself.

First Steps: Every literate person in the United States today should be aware, by now, that the original Democratic Party was viciously anti-Indian and anti-African to the point of on-sight, opportunistic violence. This is true. However, it is utterly and unforgivably ridiculous to try deny that the progressive, Grand Old Party (GOP) of yesteryear is the very same political party of today. That simply isn't true.

It fact, its simply ludicrous for educated persons to even think such a thing. Everything changes. And the Republican Party that used to symbolise fair and equal treatment and representation in the United States is certainly a thing of the past. In numerous, rather insidious ways, the modern GOP has been the US political party at the forefront of defending traditional White Privilege. And individual Euro-settlers, steeped in the heavily hateful, dank fervour of conservative protectionism cannot, it seems, distinguish right from racist wrong, until they are actually caught in the act.360

Liberating the Truth from Absurdity

The current GOP is nothing at all like it was at its early founding. If it were, things in the US would look radically different. For instance, when was the last time the GOP went after the Ku Klux Klan since the Civil Rights Act of 1871? Especially after the Greensboro Massacre?361 One can be forgiven if a person of African descent in the United States refuses to consider the country safe of Black People(s). Because it isn't.

Republicans will talk until their faces are blue about how 'Lincoln ended slavery...' while ignoring that it was President Ulysses S. Grant who actually led the fight against the right-wing terrorist organisation and used federal troops to literally break the back of the Klan.

They also fail to acknowledge that many of the White Supremacists who were successfully prosecuted, faced federal juries that were comprised of ex-slave majorities who sent a vast majority the Klansmen who were prosecuted to prison.

So if the modern Republican wishes to claim that the Klan was effectively nullified by the GOP, that's o.k. because it is true. But they don't. Why? Because the meat and potatoes of the act was intended to address the racial violence of the Confederate holdouts in the post-war south, the hooded terrorists who were violating the rights and safety of the former slaves.
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However, right after these advancements, Reconstruction was taken apart under the watch of another Republican, Rutherford B. Hayes, who tried to enforce the laws on the books and attempted to promote racial equality with Africans and a liberal (yet still colonialist) Indigenous plan for assimilation nationwide.

Politically speaking, it wasn’t his individual fault that he failed to fix the major problems, the southerners who were still bitter about losing the war commanded the votes and economic support he needed in order to maintain federal control over much of the Rebellious South.

For one thing, the sympathetic racists angrily protective of the pro-Confederate south were politically a Democratic Party majority in the Congress. And no matter what President Hayes wanted to happen, the Euro-settler White bigoted majority – regardless of party affiliation – was not interested in giving fair play to either ‘Red’ Indians or ‘Black’ Africans. Not if they could do anything about it.

The principal factor here being that the political party allegiances of the bigots was not the deciding issue. Rather, it is the inarguable fact that the bigots in question – those who were working against both national reunification and civil rights – were all White men, making anti-progressive decisions, for the expressed benefit of protecting the privileged status of all White men in the country.

The Social Taboo Against Fighting Against Nazi Recidivism

If the ‘race problem’ was as politically partisan as the modern Republican Party would like us all to believe, you would think that the GOP would be full-on anti-Racist; anti-Klan; anti-Nazi and especially anti-Confederate since they love pointing out that the south was Democrat Country when it was legal to own Black folks. But are they?

The answer to that question is a resounding ’No’. Today’s Republican Party is loaded-full with unbridled hate and loathing right up to its eyeballs in the Age of Obama. And it has been like this ever since the John F. Kennedy administration ’insulted’ the White democratic-voting Deep South by reluctantly backing Dr. Martin Luther King and the mainstream of the Civil Right Movement in the mid-1960’s.

Since that move, the US Republican Party has shifted from its past support for African emancipation and equal rights in all accommodations to backing African indentured servitude, social injustice and legal non-existence.

When US presidential candidate, closeted Mormon priest and all-around capitalist wunderkind Mitt Romney had the unmitigated gall to relay his true feelings to the peaches-and-cream complexioned Tories currently heading the British government about his personal Europocentric views, he proved my point exactly.

So did the wannabe White rapper, Kid Rock who defends his cultural appropriation of American African
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musical styles while waving and defending his use of the treasonous and anti-African Confederate battle flag\textsuperscript{364} after a right-wing White Supremacist terrorist (with pro-Apartheid sympathies) made it embarrassing to the GOP and the National Rifle Association (NRA) while caught-up in a desperate election cycle.\textsuperscript{365}

These people may be card-carrying and voting Republican Party members, but they are not, in way honest conservatives. Just the opposite. These are people who abuse the rights guaranteed to all in First Amendment to deny others their rights under the law, or anywhere else.\textsuperscript{366}

For example, the individuals and groups who are steadfast in their support for the post Civil War usage and state government display of the Confederate battle flag (and private display of the German Nazi Party banner) can bank on the remarkably 'liberal' free speech protections provided in, and under, the US Constitution.

But if anti-racism activists and social dissenters try to open-up a respectful dialogue, the charge of anti-White racism\textsuperscript{367} emerges and common-sense along with diplomacy goes out of the window.

But when Native Americans, American Africans and other minorities petition the federal government and their Euro-settler neighbours to recognise their basic rights, these neocons will wail about 'White Rights'\textsuperscript{368} and their mythological fear\textsuperscript{369} of a 'White Genocide'.\textsuperscript{370} And worse, many of these balmy people\textsuperscript{371} will do whatever they can – legally or extra-legally – to repudiate non-Europeans and their right to equal justice.\textsuperscript{372} And don't be fooled, this sort of race-baiting nonsense is occurring on both sides of the pond.\textsuperscript{373}

Getting the Story About the American South Straight

'My buddy, [George C. Wallace]...was either a liar then or he's a liar now.'

– Lester Maddox commenting on the 'reformed' racist politician's post-segregation comeuppance.

As I have said many, many times before, the Democratic Party does indeed have its own share of biased angst against Indigenous Peoples and the ex-enslaved African\textsuperscript{374} – like just about everything else that is institutional about the United States. And plenty of Democrats can be cited for saying and doing racially biased things.\textsuperscript{375}

But let's get serious. How many politicians in the modern Democratic pool have stooped so low as to openly use anti-Mexican racism as a primary campaign talking point?\textsuperscript{376} None. How many Republicans? Most of
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them, including Latino politicians who still expect to earn their community's votes.

Most of the Republicans who are running for the high office after Mr. Obama steps down have made disparaging statements about what they would do to Spanish-speaking Indigenous People's from Mexico and other parts of Latin America.

This is not an idle threat, given that the US had no compulsions about herding Japanese Americans into domestic concentration camps during WW2. But one particular presidential hopeful is the American megalomaniac junk-bonds mogul and Nativist firebrand Donald J. Trump, who is making himself very visible by raising White Identity politics during a very racially contentious period.

Donald Trump has absolutely no respect for the fact that he is stoking the segregationist racial fires right after nine Black Americans – one of them a state senator – are killed in a White Power terrorist attack, in a historically African church, (AME) on US soil.

These were his fellow countrymen and women. And the best he can do at a time like this is to tell White Americans that they have a legitimate right to embrace their White Supremacist attitudes and their unearned White Privilege.

Trump is a staunch 21st century Republican and an antiquated throwback to the Lester Maddox/Howard 'Bo' Callaway days of two-faced, pro-White racial superiority politics.

Back when ethnic or colour-conscious social separation was expressed as a 'beneficial' tradition that positively served both Whites and non-Whites because G-d wanted it that way.

For those of you too young to remember the rabid segregationist Maddox, here is a refresher:

Lester Maddox came to the public's attention when openly refused to acknowledge the 1964 Civil Rights Act and denied Africans equal accommodation to service when they tried to eat at his Pickrick Restaurant very near the campus of Georgia Tech. In fact, Mr. Maddox was so determined to prevent Black students from eating there, that July, (as reported by the New York Times):

Illustration 59: Lester Maddox, future governor of Georgia, picketing in Atlanta in 1965 - Source: http://tinyurl.com/p72vhs3
When the three black men tried to buy some of his chicken in July 1964, Mr. Maddox waved a pistol at them and said: "You no good dirty devils! You dirty Communists!"

he picked up an ax-handle (from the retail section of the business) on one occasion and physically threatened a small group if they did not go away and allow him to practise racialism in peace. He later entered politics as a Democrat and rose to serve as both Governor (1967-1971) and as Lieutenant Governor to (then) Georgia Governor Jimmy E. Carter (1971-1975).

When he was in power, Maddox ordered for a commanding role of riot-police in the funeral procession for the assassinated Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. after denying him the honour of a state funeral by citing the civil rights leader as an 'enemy of the country' and demanded that all US and state flags fly at full mast (Maddox himself was later given this honour by Republican Governor Sonny Purdue).

And he avidly supported Democrat George C. Wallace Jr. and the retired U.S. Air Force General, Curtis 'Bombs Away' LeMay, on a strong pro-segregation, anti-Black platform running as American Independent Party presidential candidates in 1968.

Oddly enough, as a politician Maddox helped many liberal social reforms that benefited minorities in his state become law, including the promotion of conservative Africans into law state enforcement and municipal government.

A part of his prison reform effort was the appointment of an American African as the head of the Board of Corrections and he also allowed for the first Africans to serve on the nation's draft boards. But even with these political 'advancements', Lester Maddox as an individual was still a hardcore racist.

For instance, Maddox was a full-member of the Council of Conservative Citizens (CCC) and personally believed that Africans were inferior 'Mud Races' and that Apartheid was Divinely proscribed in the Bible. All his life he suspected that the Civil Rights movement was a Communist plot against White Christian Americans and he actively opposed a number of social equality measures being pursued at the time all across the country.

In the case of Republican Party, their man Bo Callaway, most famous for being the southern campaign director and populist mastermind behind Richard M. Nixon's presidential campaign for using the racialist political tactic that came to be known as the 'Southern Strategy', is the GOP link to the traditional White Supremacist ideals of the old south.

US-based Political Science and co-founder of Forward Progressives, Allen Clifton wrote in his piece, 'The Truth About Republican Racism and the "Southern Strategy'' that Callaway was the subject of an interview published in the New York Times on the issue of political manoeuvring in the post-Civil War south:

'From now on, the Republicans are never going to get more than 10 to 20 percent of the Negro vote and they don't need any more than that...but Republicans would be shortsighted if they weakened enforcement of the Voting Rights Act. The more Negroes who register as Democrats in the South, the sooner the Negrophobe whites will quit the Democrats and become Republicans. That's where the votes are. Without that prodding

---
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The GOP knew full well that in order for them to win in the south, they needed to cull disappointed bigots in the traditional DNC by appealing to their deep-seated xenophobia. And they were perfectly willing to do it. Anything it seems, to 'win'.

The Dependence upon Extraordinary Delusions

So now I repeat for the sake of the hopelessly deluded – that it is factually true that most Democrats in the US south were extremely, unapologetically racist in the past – including President Harry Truman, who was noted by the national press for his rakish sense of bigotry. But, it was Truman – despite his personal biases – who ended legal discrimination in the US Military (Executive Order 9981) and even created a presidential-sponsored committee on civil rights in 1947. In spite of this, Mr. Truman remained a bigot for the rest of his life. That was his prerogative. But while his Democratic administration was in effect, a number of liberal politicians from both main political parties began working together to end institutional discrimination and segregation in the south. (Not the north, incidentally.)

However the issue came to a head during the Democratic National Convention of 1948. A platform call was announced for the Democrats to step-up support for Black Americans with Civil Rights legislation. And after a verbally contentious argument on the floor, dozens of the attending delegates decided to walk out and boycott the rest of the convention.

This difference of opinion was the birth of the short-lived 'States Rights Democratic Party' or, the 'Dixiecrats' – who were promoting Strom Thurmond for US President – and who were dedicated to defending 'states rights' as a means of preserving White Power in the geographic south.

These southern Democrats, who were more loyal to their 'race' than they were to their political party, brutally fractured the DNC. They left the Democrats simply because they refused to accept the end of Jim Crow Apartheid.

And what this point in history also shows – to those who still ridiculously deny documented US history – was that when the split occurred within the Democrats, that shifted their more committed racist members towards the Republican Party which had (by now) adopted the thoroughly racist platform of the original DNC and their Jim Crow-expressed, retrogressive ideas.

Illustration 60: President Harry S. Truman, 33rd President of the United States (1945–53) - Source: http://tinyurl.com/n3rouwp
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So whether the contemporary, ditto-headed dedicated-followers of the Grand Old Party wish to accept any of this or not is another matter entirely. This is the authentic history of how liberal Republicans went from being a progressive political party to an embarrassing clutch of arse-clowns seeking attention any way possible. Anything, in order to 'win'.

And this is exactly – and I mean exactly – the sort of underhanded. Racist campaigning that Donald Trump wants to represent. Here is what he says, not what I say he says:

'When Mexico sends its people, they're not sending their best. ...They're bringing drugs. They're bringing crime. They're rapists. And some, I assume, are good people.'

While totally anecdotal, I wish to point out here that I have had several recent discussions with self-satisfied, self-identified US Republicans who have all incessantly pointed to Trump's classic Mighty White qualifier: '...They're rapists. And some, I assume, are good people' comment as 'proof' that he isn't a 'racist'. 'Trump is just stating facts...' I was told. But really, his broad accusations are not statistically accurate at all. In fact, they are all complete bollocks. Because if the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) can be believed, almost 83% of Caucasian violent crime victims (based on the most recent publicly available data) were murdered by other Caucasians in 2011. Not by Mexican immigrants.

As comedy entertainer and writer Kerry Coddett explained in her recent article, 'White on White Crime: An Unspoken Tragedy':

In America, whites commit the majority of crimes. What's even more troubling is that they are also responsible for a vast majority of violent crimes. In 2013, whites led all other groups in aggravated assault, larceny-theft, arson, weapons-carrying, and vandalism. When it comes to sexual assault, whites take the forcible rape cake. They are also more likely to kill children, the elderly, family members, their significant others, and even themselves! They commit more sex-related crimes, gang related crimes, and are more likely to kill at their places of employment. In 2013, an estimated 10,076 people died in the U.S. due to drunk driving crashes. Driving while drunk is almost exclusively a white crime because everyone knows black people prefer to drink on their porches or inside their homes.

– huffingtonpost.com – [03/02/2015]

And according to the recent mainstream news, White Americans are the driving force behind the (popular) return of intravenous drug use. White America, is seems, is in denial about the alarming rates of White folks using heroin again. Laura Miller, writing about the issue for Salon.com had this to say in reference to what author Sam Quinones’s spoke of in his book, 'Dreamland: The True Tale of America’s New Opiate Epidemic':

The current upsurge in heroin addiction attracted little attention at first because its roots lie in what Quinones describes as “voiceless parts of the country — in Appalachia and rural America.” In the unconscious moral mythology of America, small town and rural life is associated with hard work, safety and wholesome virtues, while heroin is an affliction of big cities, with their vice, crime and scary dark-skinned residents. To admit
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that poverty and despair, irrespective of race, fosters heroin abuse is to undermine entrenched, unspoken prejudices that equate this particular addiction with the moral and cultural weakness of “other people.” Nevertheless, the meth plague, which flourished in many of the same communities, drew plenty of notice. America’s smack crisis snuck up on us by beginning as a legal, and then a quasi-legal phenomenon.

If you are shocked by any of this, don't be. This is what the United States looks like without the limelight. Which is not the say that the US is the worse country in the world. That, would be utterly ridiculous. The United States like any other nation suffers from the disease of apathetic self-evaluation. Which is of course, a condition not at all unique to North America or (for the sake of argument) the subcontinent of Europe.

Where the US should be taken to task is on the subject of its sociopolitical hypocrisy in relation to its 'we can do no wrong', nationalistic rhetoric. The US is a free country, but the stark reality of it practise is very, very different.

In the United States for instance, where African citizens are often fitted-up on false charges, physically abused and very often killed or crippled by local law enforcement, Caucasian-on-Caucasian violent crime goes unacknowledged and unmentioned. This makes absolutely no sense. Especially since the vast number of mass-shooting incidents in the US involved Euro-settler perpetrators.

On the other hand, Africans, Native Americans and Spanish-speaking Indigenous Peoples are unfairly racially-profiled by police, intelligence agencies and by the civil society at large. And Muslims are, at the moment, another community facing very serious and often unfair surveillance and discrimination. (See: 'Gallup poll: 'Islamophobia: Understanding Anti-Muslim Sentiment in the West

When far-right populist (wannabe) politicians like Donald Trump and the rest of the GOP whine to each other (and the rest of us) about being identified as the bigots and race-baiters that they really are, just remember that it makes sense to take into account the political histories of both US parties, not just the picked items of one's choosing. And it is also very important to remember that it is demented to argue that the GOP is exactly the same, pro-equality progressive party of Mr. Lincoln in the 21st century. Just as the Democrats are no longer the viciously racist, pro-segregation party it once was and has not been since the late 1960's.

For contemporary Republicans who have endorsed the publishing of revisionist history schoolbooks that deny the horrors of African slavery and Japanese-American internment, Trump’s verbal abuse of the Mexican population of the United States is par for the course. And it is entirely characteristic of true-blue believers in hopelessly partisan, Republican narrow-mindedness to argue in favour of something they know full-well to be wrong. Wailing on endlessly about the sordid (past) 'history of racism in the Democratic Party' while doltishly ignoring the regular racism of Rush Limbaugh, Glenn Beck and Bill O’Reilly that is

---
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happening today ridiculous and insulting to the ideals of the original GOP.

Frankly, the only reasonable response to such a query is to ask the person in question if they are defending far-right ideas because they are in actuality, fascists themselves. It is a very elemental, yes-or-no question. And the answer (unless you are speaking to a professed extremist) is almost always dependent upon the general state of the social mainstream at the time.

If things are going reasonably well, most people will not admit to biased attitudes. Even when pressed. But in times of sociopolitical and economic uncertainty, prejudices soon become rationales for gross intolerance and convenient justifications for the physical expression of hostilities. Once that line has been crossed, the apathetic relaxation of the normal rules for acceptable human conduct takes its own course.

Observing the Nativist Cry For Pity and Revenge

Many realize the 'open borders' movement is a thinly-veiled attempt to dilute and thereby destroy all white nations — Africa for the Africans, Asia for the Asians, White countries for everybody ... White people are libeled and demonized by cultural Marxists in academia, vilified by public slander and robbed of the right to self-identify all in order to instill a destructive sense of false white guilt... These malevolent social engineers yearn for a blended humanity in white nations — mocha-skinned people and societies without race by homogenous default. What they never mention — and what is left to nationalists to publicly emphasize — is that this plan for 'diversity' only applies to white nations.

– Christian Miller, 'White Genocide Evidence Project'

On July 7th of 2015, a group of protesters arrived at the offices of the 'Immigrant and Refugee Community Organization' (IRCO) in the city of Portland, Oregon with signs and leaflets with the message:

'Diversity = White Genocide'

Other placards mentioned such fears as, 'Globalism is imperialism' and the baseless, but widely held suspicion that abortion rights in the United States is really about the covert elimination of White Europeans through medical attrition. What did any of this have to do with IRCO? Nothing. IRCO is an immigrant-assistance organisation that helps on average a thousand people from around the world, many refugees, successfully settle within the US.

As a non-for-profit NGO, Portland has benefited from their work in helping people legally settle in the US to become productive, law-abiding citizens that help contribute to the betterment of the entire nation.

But when the protesters were queried as to why they were picketing an immigration centre, the response was that they were there to alert Portlanders that the act of immigration itself is a direct 'attack' on the White Race in North America and that this was another form of benign 'White Genocide'. A nonsensical and hysterical set
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of conspiracy theories that have been circulating in one form or another like the 'Hitler Flu' since the end of the American Civil War.

Recent news reports of similar situations like this are rising all across the country. Including in the more cosmopolitan centres of gentrified city-life in Seattle, Washington. But many are now questioning why there would be any sub-level mainstream interest (at all) in White Supremacist ideologies being that the PNW is so far removed geographically and culturally from the side of the country that literally fought over these issues for decades.

Regretfully, I (objectively) articulate this stated confusion as a grand and apathetic oversight that is potentially threatening to the various minority communities of the Pacific Northwest. Because if they were consciously aware of the long history of White Supremacist activity in the region, they would be much more pro-active in directly dealing with a festering – and admittedly violent – pro-fascist problem.

A short history lesson is in order for the initiated: in the case of the Pacific Northwest, the organised modern White Power movement began to make itself known in the mid-to-late 1980's due to two infamous White Supremacist agitators.

One end of the movement was lead by Texas-born Bob Matthews, a dedicated White Supremacist, National Alliance member and the main ideological force behind the neo-Nazi terrorist organisation that called itself the Brüder Schweigen or, 'The Silent Brotherhood' (known to federal and local law enforcement as The Order).

The other was personified in the image of Pastor Richard Butler, main head priest of the race-conscious Church of Jesus Christ Christian and ceremonial commander of the Aryan Nations White People's compound located in Coeur d'Alene, Idaho.

Although The Order was involved in a number of illegal and seditious activities, they are best remembered for the planning and eventual assassination (by David Lane and others) of controversial Denver, Colorado Jewish talk-radio personality Alan Berg in 1984. Under the leadership of Matthews, The Order robbed banks, private businesses and armoured car transports to in order to fund their plans and the activities of other White Power groups clandestinely working across the country. A major part of this seditious plan including the counterfeiting of US currency for the intended purchase of military-grade weapons and as bribe resources to help coerce corrupt police and politicians.

Their downfall eventually came when two of their members were caught passing some of their bogus bills and one of them (Tom Martinez) turned into a government informer that ratted out the entire group to the FBI.

By the time federal authorities found him in a house on Whidbey Island in Washington State, Matthews was prepared for a stand-off and after barricading himself, he opened fire on agents during a two-day ad night siege which left Matthews dead – via a Wagner-esque like immolation scene – when agents fired military-grade flares into the structure, setting-off boxed ammunition and other stored weapons in the house.

419 White Supremacist “Mantra” Literature Appears In Seattle Neighborhood » ADL Blogs http://ow.ly/PBGQ2 - July 9, 2014
420 National Alliance | Southern Poverty Law Center http://ow.ly/PCo3d
422 Aryan Nations - Metapedia http://ow.ly/PC9Bo [Note: This is a far-right friendly website]
After his dramatic and fiery demise, the rest of the Bruders Schweigen cabal was finally rounded-up and tried on multiple counts of conspiracy; sedition; printing counterfeit money; transporting stolen currency across state-lines; racketeering and various Civil Rights violations. It is relevant here to note that in 1984, associates of The Order followed instructions from Bob Matthews to assassinate a fellow White Nationalist, Walter E. West of Athol, Idaho on suspicion of indiscretely chatting about the group's activities. This was before they decided to kill Alan Berg (who was Jewish) for standing up to the Denver Klan which earned him a spot on a White Nationalist hit-list.

Around this same time, Pastor Butler's merry little band of separatist neo-Nazis, quartered next-door in Idaho State, used to tout themselves as the last bastion of 'Pure, Christian Whiteness' in the US. They operated openly in northern Idaho -- up until a lawsuit forced the group to fold their tents in 2000 when they were trounced into financial bankruptcy by the Southern Poverty Law Center (SPLC) who represented one of their victims.

Despite this setback, many of Pastor Butler's former 'church members' and (internet admirers) have kept on working to establish their goal of creating an exclusively European-American, 'White Quarter' in the US Pacific Northwest. And they openly argue that the use of right-wing terrorism (WCC 'Pontifex Maximus', Matthew F. Hale is currently serving a 40-year prison sentence for attempting to have a federal judge assassinated) and the treacherous practise of race-baiting troll attacks on social media channels are just some of the 'legitimate means' they intend to use to bring about a race-war.

Caucasian Panic and Fear of the Unknown

'Killing Japanese didn't bother me very much at that time... I suppose if I had lost the war, I would have been tried as a war criminal.'

– Curtis LeMay

For this crowd, facts simply do not matter. 'Winning' does. And if they have to lie, cheat, steal and kill in order to archive a 'pure' White 'homeland' for themselves, they will do whatever they deem 'necessary' (as groups and as individuals) to get what they want. And the internet is rife with grotesque content promoting far-right extremist views under the 'protections' of the First Amendment and other highly selective free speech traditions that legally allow for fascist race-baiting propaganda and intentional racial provocation.

---
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And like clockwork, expect the far-right to block, stutter and childishly evade when they are forced to explain their habitually-obtuse personal behaviour.\textsuperscript{438}

And when backed into a corner, they will flat-out lie to your face\textsuperscript{439} in emotive defence of their chosen philosophies. When you need to create false controversies out of thin-air as a means of rallying your ditto-heads together, you never really had a solid or logical base to begin with.

Only, I perceive something different. Because I for one do not truly believe that what the US fascists really want is an exclusive bastion of like-minded White People. Are they seeking fellow-travellers to look backwards with as a group, seeing only a segregated future for just themselves?

Oh, they want a sociopolitical situation of unchecked and unapologetic White Power, sure. But in order for that to happen, there must always be an enemy \textit{in which to direct their self-hate}.\textsuperscript{440} All of the time. And in an all-White World, how would the human social divisions these people believe so strongly be drawn?

In other words, without ethnic and racial minorities in which to exploit and measure themselves against, who would the 'lower-classes be? Who would be required to do the dirty, under-appreciated 'Nigger Work' that makes for a functioning society? (See: \textit{'A Worker Reads History} by Bertolt Brecht)\textsuperscript{441}

Think about this for a moment. Because if all White Men are created as equal under the Caucasian G-d of Providence and genetically capable of becoming independently wealthy billionaires who never have to lift a finger to do anything other than count their money, who does the actual hard labour to make that possible?

Most of these racialist über-capitalists are not even in favour of paying wages – much less taxes – to common workers, although they need their input in one form or another.

This amended form of right-wing Anarchism, nowadays called Libertarian-politic (or sometimes Third Way Fascism) in the United States, contradicts itself on a constant basis.

I have actually asked White Supremacists these questions (in person) and received only blank stares and complaints and talking points about Black people on welfare and Black-on-White rape conspiracies. Not one person of this ilk gave me a direct answer. Because they could not explain themselves and remain logical at the same time.

I did not (and could not) get a straight answer because for starters, most if not all of these nebbishes never
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considered (really) what an all-White world would look like. They think they have an idea. But in reality, they don't. They have no clue as to just how reliant Western Europe is – both as a subcontinent and as settler-state extensions of colonial enterprises – to the non-White World.

And in truth, I think that many of the more intelligent fascist-leaning followers of the far-right have always understood this. Their argument (some of the more honest advocates anyway) is that Europeans are a numerical minority (and always have been) which necessitates their (unfair) control over the world to ensure their own viability and survival as a geographic entity.

To me, this is exactly the same thing as the principle of the playground bully:

_In truth, I am weak individual, but in threatening those whom I can intimidate, that act gives me power._

Or, articulated another way:

I can justify this behaviour – and all of the associated attitudes – by arguing that because of my (assumed) 'precarious' position in world society as a geographic minority from a subcontinent where many raw materials are not available, I have to be a racist and I have to be belligerent because it is in my best interests as a member of the 'White' minority.

These are Dead End Kids-era, pauper's pleas to excuse, not explain, why they are behaving selfishly _and like street hooligans without a care in the world._

This is clearly insanity. And this is one major reason why I cannot understand the reluctance on the part of the US Left to stand up to the madness of the far-right. For example: either the right-wing war-hawks that control the GOP want the Obama administration to destroy the Muslim World: (Bachmann To Obama: ‘Declare War’ On Islam | Liberaland - September 27, 2014) or, they want him to be a peace-leader: (Bachmann Says Obama Will Cause World War III : Political Wire - April 9, 2015) They cannot make up their minds.

But they will try to undermine any sort of a peace with Iran, although Iran has not done anything to harm the United States. Ever.

And let's face this too, it is much, much psychologically easier to continue blaming the victims of injustice than it is the injustice itself.

When White Supremacists and Europocentric apologists resort to faulting Black People(s) for not being able to prevent the Transatlantic Slave Trade (and for African slavery in general, another ugly and perverse revisionist fib) than the White generations of the past they (knowingly) pull attention away from those who allowed for the institution of ethnic injustice – and – the contemporary White Folk who passively – as
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well as aggressively — allow for modern abuses right now. And when the abuses come from law enforcement, what is the real discussion supposed to be about?

When the White Power intellectuals raise the usual talking points about Black inferiority by pointing to Africa's lingering, generational poverty as a clear example of African genetic failure, they do so from a position of absolute ignorance. Even the name 'Africa' comes from outside of Africa. And outsiders have always felt free to define Africans as they wanted to see them, not as they really are. Africa looks the way it does because of White People.

This is true. And yes White Arabs (not my definition) also did their fair share of irreparable damage too, (and still do). But the hard reality is, White Westerners are primarily responsible for the current shape of the continent. The entire world in fact – since the White Supremacists insist that non-Whites are useless and have done nothing substantive in human history.

Flags, 'Race' and Fascist Foot-Twiddling in the Media

'When science and the Bible differ, science has obviously misinterpreted its data.'

– Henry Morris

The nonsensical cry for 'White Rights' in a world totally dominated from top-to-tail by White Europeans is as puzzling as it is bizarre. What they should really admit is that the entire White Power movement, (at least in North America) has been more about poor White People's aspirations than it has been about the larger issue of 'race'.

I can personally think of very few White Folks – out of the thousands I have known, lived, loved and worked with over the years – with a good education, a steady job(s) and financial security to be a mad, raving bigot. Unless of course, it was a part of a desired political agenda in which 'race' would be a deciding sociopolitical dynamic that worked to their own interests or advantage. But have I known bigoted and racist White People with money, learning and social status? Yes. Plenty in fact.

But frankly, they were far more sophisticated (and dubious) in their practise of racism than were/are their low-brow contemporaries. Their sense of anti-White racism is based on the preservation of elite privilege, while the White man in the street waving an anti-Unionist Confederate Flag is simply angry because as a White man in the United States, capitalism left him out of the loop. And he feels, deep-down inside, that as an exalted 'White Man in America' that he deserves more than the average non-White person in the US, or anywhere else in the world for that matter.
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So instead of blaming the Anglo-Saxon moneyed-class who is actually preventing him from doing better than he is, the White right-wing bigot chooses to blame Indigenous Peoples (for being in the way) African Peoples (just because he can) and Jews and Homosexuals (just because everyone does at some point after the Catholic Church did). At its essence, its just old-school racialised 'excuse politics' for socially low-economic, paranoid Caucasians who are unwilling to accept personal responsibility for their own failures. Nothing more, nothing less. And the Caucasian-saturated themes of mainstream news media have helped in large part to bring these points across to the gullible masses through the constant abuse of their position as trusted sources of information.

On Why Facts (not speculation) Should Matter Most

The pop-cultural media Presentation of false 'Poor White Victim' scapegoats as right-wing political theatre is nothing new And this is also the entire crux the the recent Confederate Flag 'debate'.

Because there is no doubt in anyone's mind that the whole point behind the banner is the preservation of White Power in the Americas. As was Dylann 'Storm' Roof's terrorist attack against the Gullah People's Church to 'protect' White women from Black men. Various 'White Men's Marches' held around the Anglophone World have largely failed to garner anything other than fringe support from the right-wing with the more committed neo-Nazi types seeking solitary pursuits of lunacy. Even in the United Kingdom, which the younger generations tend to forget, fought Adolf Hitler directly and hard.

For quite some time, Britain stood almost alone against the Third Reich while US industrialists, Wall Street stock managers and merchant banking interests continued to do business with the Germans.
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Don’t believe me on this, believe the southern Confederates themselves. Who like the German, Croatian and Japanese fascists, were happy to document the horrible atrocities they practised against their fellow human beings for posterity. Since they did not think that what they were doing was ‘wrong’, these far-right murderers were prepared to boast about their exploits after the end of the ‘cleansing’ programme.

And thanks to the existence of archived newspaper data, we can trace the exact meaning behind the flag to a series of editorials published in 1863 by William T. Thompson (a co-creator of the ‘Stainless Banner’ along with William Ross Postell) written for the Savannah Morning News:

As a people we are fighting to maintain the Heaven-ordained supremacy of the white man over the inferior or colored race; a white flag would thus be emblematical of our cause. ... As a national emblem, it is significant of our higher cause, the cause of a superior race, and a higher civilization contending against ignorance, infidelity, and barbarism.

– This Blog Gently Scolds Fascists: ‘What’s The Confederate Flag All About, Anyway?’

So when you hear the right-wing defend their defence of the Confederate battle flag as a symbol of ‘Southern Culture and Pride’, now you can be certain about what it is that they actually stand for.

In brief, the Confederate Flag (in any form) symbolises a lost fucking cause that was based on an economic system of human slavery and white supremacy. Just as the rise, fall and eventual rise again of the Klu Klux Klan terrorist organisation symbolised many angry White southerners who (are still) refusing to admit that their seditious act of treason against the US government was ethically and politically wrong. But no matter, ‘White makes Right’. All the time.

And it isn’t a big surprise either why so many White Supremacists and anti-government organisations around the world utilise the US Confederate battle flag, because it serves two main functions:

1. The display of the NSDAP (Nazi Party) national flag is illegal in Germany and many other nations around the world. The Confederate battle-flag has no such German/EU (or US) legislation banning its use.
2. And because it is a symbolic reminder of both slavery and White racial violence against African
Peoples, it boldly represents a negative attitude towards all non-Europeans and religious minorities. 483

And that was exactly the point when a band of Confederate flag bearing protesters showed up at an Oklahoma State school building in which US President Barack Obama was later to speak. 484 They kept telling the local newsmedia over and over that their protest wasn't 'about racism'. Which as we have seen above, is a complete lie. 485

Our new Government is founded upon exactly the opposite ideas; its foundations are laid, its cornerstone rests, upon the great truth that the negro is not equal to the white man; that slavery, subordination to the superior race, is his natural and normal condition.

– Alexander Stephens 486 (Vice President of the Confederate States of America; US Representative from Georgia – before and after the US Civil War – and Governor of Georgia from 1882-1883)

The 'debate' is over. The Confederate South (like the Boers who fled the Cape Colony) was founded on – and went to war with the US federal government to preserve – White Power in the southern states of the American Union. And no matter how much the US right-wing will try to twist it, the entire attitude towards effectively dealing with the 'race issue' is centred squarely upon the burning question of accepting the existence of White Privilege. 487 And further, the (reluctant) willingness of the Caucasian masses to finally let that unfair and uneearned historical sociopolitical advantage go?

The Black Bogeyman From Kenya

Only reason we found out about mugshots of black men being used for shooting practice is because one cop recognized her brother on target.

– Michael Skolnik, 12:13pm via Twitter 488 489

The supposedly uniquely 'American' concepts of fairness, co-operation and the universal recognition of the most basic human rights only matters to the conservative American (and Confederate) flag-waver when it is a cause they consider to be a challenge to the maintenance of collective White social power.

And it doesn’t seem to matter much to Barack Obama either 491 who only (generally) speaks up against discrimination when it comes to defending his own class of fellow Talented-Tenth Negroes 492 and the gay voting bloc. 493 His financial providers (at least during his first term and most of his second) haven't received
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one-tenth of the public discipling Mr. Obama has accorded to his own community. In fact, it is very difficult to understand why White racists would have anything against Barack Obama at all. He believes in the ‘rightness’ of White America even more than they do. So much so that he has always seen fit to openly disparage the American African population, his own community and electoral base, in a fashion worthy of any of his republican opponents. So really, what are they talking out?

His ‘I'm not the president of Black America’ remark, as usnews.com reporter Kenneth T. Walsh keenly pointed out was an embarrassing olive branch to the racist far-right:

...President Obama is defending his refusal to develop an agenda designed specifically to help African Americans.

Anti-White Obama? Where? When? How? What exactly has Mr. Obama done to drive the White Christian race down from their ‘former’ majesty and total control over the whole of the planet and every thing on it? And what has he done to concretely address the everyday negative issues Africans and other People-of-Colour face in the United States? Yes, to a very limited degree.

It takes a special sort of stupidity for any White American to truly believe that Barack Hussein Obama hates White People. And it begs the question as to why the neo-Confederate propaganda gendarmerie would zealously work overtime to wrongfully discredit both the Obama administration and the entire Obama family as White-hating; covert-Islamic; Black Power extremists when they are nothing of the kind?

On the other hand, the corporate-owned mainstream media now defines violent, neo-Nazi vigilante White Supremacist organisations as ‘civil rights’ groups. Even when their leaders commit crimes against minors, mass-murder and suicide.

And the neoconservative religious leaders who are purposefully preaching the public to fear the man and to their gullible congregations are all totally off-piste when they accuse Mr. Obama of being a 'secret-
Muslim’. Because it simply isn't true. In fact, the Obama administration has outdone the Bush/Cheney White House in the dirty game of killing Muslims in the Arab World – including a number of innocent victims – wholesale.

It stands to reason that if he was as pro-Muslim as the far-rightist scaredy cats claim that he is, why would he be as harsh as he has been towards them policy-wise? Guantanamo Bay is still in operation, despite Mr. Obama's promises to close the human-rights violating military concentration camp based on the US-embargoed island nation of Cuba.

Second, the evangelical Christian cult is spreading like the flu in both ‘godless’ Africa and ‘Red China’ after having hopelessly infected the Americas, Australasia and the Pacific. And it was funded by US taxpayers.

The US-Israeli war against Arab terrorism and moderates seeking dignified self-determination continues and the electronic surveillance businesses are making record profits worldwide. In the meantime, Muslim Arabs are dying every day due to drone strikes. And not just terrorists. (See: ‘The Drone Papers’)

And the sleeping continent of Mother Africa is being ambitiously re-colonized by its former colonial masters in the west and neo-exploited by the new captains of Chinese industry, anxious to strip indigenous Africans of their natural resources.

As far as gun rights and Ammosexuals go, credit-card purchased and licensed firearms are legally welcomed in schools, on campuses, parks, pubs and churches. And this is just the short list.

---

511 ohchr.org: Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions http://ow.ly/PIEIA
512 US drone strikes could be classed as war crimes, says Amnesty International | World news | The Guardian http://ow.ly/PIEX7 - Jon Boone in Islamabad, Tuesday 22 October 2013 08.11 EDT
516 The Obameter: Close the Guantánamo Bay Detention Center | PolitiFact http://ow.ly/PIFCD - By Tim Ryan on Thursday, February 27th, 2014 at 2:10 p.m.
517 Scott Lively, ‘Kill The Gays’ Bill Supporter And Evangelist, On Trial For Crimes Against Humanity http://ow.ly/PIKKj - he Huffington Post | By Meredith Bennett-Smith / Posted: 01/07/2013 12:42 pm EST Updated: 01/07/2013 3:08 pm EST
520 Israel, master of the aerial drone, to develop robot submarines - UPI.com http://ow.ly/PIlpx - Feb. 3, 2014 at 4:05 PM
522 theintercept.com: "The Drone Papers" ( http://twitthat.com/ZSyQp )
523 “We Come as Friends”, a Look at Contemporary Colonialism in Africa, a New Documentary from Hubert Sauper, the Director of “Darwin’s Nightmare” - disinformation http://ow.ly/PINOQ - By salviad on January 29, 2014
525 Georgia law allows guns in some schools, bars, churches - CNN.com http://ow.ly/PIOrg - By Devon M. Sayers and Eliott C. McLaughlin, CNN, Updated 2112 GMT (0412 HKT) April 23, 2014
The International Fascist Ties That Bind

'The Park Service should be ashamed of themselves.'

– Rep. Randy Neugebauer (R-TX)

scolding a park ranger for closing the World War II Memorial, after he voted to close the memorial as part of the Republican government shutdown

Anti-war Obama? Where? When? Just what news media/source are these fools reading? And why don't more über-conservatives ask (more) intelligent questions of the people who inform them and for whom they vote?

The sad fact is that the Obama administration has, from day one, expanded and permanently embedded more US Military and Private Military Contractors (PMC) or mercenaries and their garrisons all around the world than previous US governments. The business and war-profiteers community can't complain, they get all the money they ask for and then some while their fellow citizens go hungry and the national infrastructure depreciates around their ears.

The State of Israel (SOI) gets far more than their fair share of US resources to support their colonial project on behalf of the west and the Seven Sisters oil cartel. Yet, some have openly suggested that the right-wing elements within the SOI intelligence services go to the ultimate extreme in order to insure that the almost unlimited political and economic support (and fidelity over hating Iran) provided by the United States to buttress Zionist colonialism and Apartheid remains a one-way valve.

These trifling, little modern-day White Christian Crusaders are getting exactly the sort of anti-Muslim war they demanded of the Obama administration. And innocent, defenceless and nameless non-combative Muslim peoples, many of them Indigenous Arabs, are dying every day from the invisible terror of unmanned US drones piloted by
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seated American gamer enthusiasts, nibbling away on capitalist produced fast-food snacks as they remove Black and Brown dots on a computer monitor.\textsuperscript{538} So again, what is the 'real' complaint when the racist White Christian World\textsuperscript{539} is getting exactly what they want?\textsuperscript{540}

Connecting the Capitalistic, Geographic and Psychic Dots of Power

I also realized that my college professors had not understood the true nature of macroeconomics: that in many cases helping an economy grow only makes those few people who sit atop the pyramid even richer, while it does nothing for those at the bottom except to push them even lower. Indeed, promoting capitalism often results in a system that resembles medieval feudal societies. If any of my professors knew this, they had not admitted it - probably because big corporations, and the men who run them, fund colleges. Exposing the truth would undoubtedly cost those professors their jobs - just as such revelations could cost me mine.

– John Perkins, ‘Confessions of an Economic Hit Man’

Despite the vociferousness of many of my political critics, I have never supported the candidacy, election or politics of the Obama administration. I feel the need to say this in print (again) because it has become crystal clear to me that the US climate of political discourse is lodged at a level of abject idiocy.

We are stuck in a juvenile-like system that views not insulting a politician personally with full-compliance and individual allegiance with their policies. This is foolish. And I simply have no interest in limiting my intellect to such retrograde ideas. It is counter-productive and a proven waste of my time.

My opposition to the Obama political train was (and is) based on his known record as an assimilationist American African with a questionable history of personal support for maintaining both Pax Americana as well as the traditional US capitalist status quo. I was opposed not so much Mr. Obama as a person, but as a politician. I am (still) opposed to his politics. But I have – and will continue to defend him – as a Black man when he is being attacked simply because he is a Black man.

And not just because it is a case of unfairness, but because -- we are both Black Men. And I refuse to demonise the man – in this unapologetically racist, White world – simply because he is an assimilationist Negro. I reserve that sort of ire for the shuffling House-Niggers and opportunistic Boss Negroes that go out of their way to betray dissenting and rebellious slaves over to the tobacco-chewing lynch mobs.

Mr. Obama's apathetic passivity is just that. To do-nothing on this particular subject. But he is not handing American Africans over to the Klan mobs. What he does is much worse, he sits by while they knot the rope, knowing full-well what they are planning to do without intervening or at least, calling out the racists as the anti-American rabble that they really are.

& A | Akbar Ahmed | March 25, 2013
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I condemn his belief in the extant system. I condemn his active participation in exacerbating the return of direct White European colonialism to Africa and the Arab World. And I certainly condemn him for not addressing the domestic ‘racial problem’ head-on as People-of-Colour are being dramatically culled by right-wing, reactionary US law enforcement officers and agencies as if urban America were a free-for-all killing ground.

And as far as the official record is concerned, Mr. Obama and his government has saved capitalism from itself, provided lower-cost medical care to millions of working-class people who need it and had Osama bin-Laden killed on his watch. Although questions linger as to how it really went down.

On top of this, he has greatly expanded the influence, power and contingency strike-range of the US military machine (both state and private) and his support for the CIA’s partisan war-drone campaign in Africa and Western Asia has increased the non-combatant collateral damage rate at a steadily progressive pace. Which is doing nothing positive for US foreign relations or the War on Terror. (See: ‘Drone attacks ‘create more enemies with every innocent person killed’, Russia Today/ Nov. 19th, 2013)

So really, what are the US Republicans, the far-right Tea Party and the dope-smoking homosexual-friendly Libertarians really complaining about?

For one thing, it’s clearly Mr. Barack H. Obama’s bi-cultural, ethnic physiology and his nappy hair – not his conspicuous warmongering; whistle-blower stomping or his passivity concerning racist police violence – that irritates them. Some White People simply hate the first Black president because he is a Black Man. Period. And many far-right, extremist White Supremacists running about in the United States hate him to the point of wishing him (or inspiring others to do him) harm?

His far-right critics cite his ‘anti-Americanism’ (because his middle-name is Arabic); his hatred of White People (although he was raised by Caucasians) and his ‘membership’ in radical Islamic organisations such as the ‘Muslim Brotherhood’ (which is simply loony) as a justification for their agitated animus. Because in truth, it can't possibly be his 'Blackness', since that is in visibly short supply when it really counts.

However, the main problem with all of this is that it is all based on nothing. Absolutely nothing. Except racialist hatred and the certain fear of social change and a (possible) loss of elite-group (i.e., 'White')

541 DailyKos: Obama SAVED the US Economy - Running Away From that Record Would be Suicidal in 2016

542 Obamacare Helped Pull Uninsured Rate Down to 10.4% in 2014 - Bloomberg Business http://ow.ly/SmanB


544 Lisa O'Carroll, 'Seymour Hersh on Obama, NSA and the 'pathetic' American media' | Media | The Guardian http://ow.ly/SmciF - Friday 27 September 2013 09.54 EDT


548 "Ted Nugent just called Obama the n-word — even if he insists that he didn't - Salon.com" ( http://twitthat.com/6aNOG ) Thursday, Jun 25, 2015 5:14 PM UTC


sociopolitical and cultural hegemony, privilege and power.

And according to the Pew Research Center and the newspapers who have asked various people, I am right. Most White Republican Americans do not accept Mr. Obama as an American and many American Africans do not perceive of Mr. Barack H. Obama as a 'real' Black Man. And because of the idiotic, One-Drop Rule loophole, the US far-right has taken idea this and run with it as far as they can go. And despite what many of my Afrocentric associates may have to say, it isn't about Mr. Obama's 'diluted' African DNA structure or his suspected low Melanin count either. His African genetics do not inform his political decisions any more than George W. Bush's White Anglo-Saxon Protestant ethnic background has any cognitive influence on his being perhaps the most embarrassing US president in recent memory.

The Long and Short of it

Barack H. Obama is an American president. Meaning: he – like all of his predecessors – will do what is necessary to continue maintaining 'full-spectrum dominance' no matter what the cost to human society at home or abroad. (See: Zbigniew Brzezinski – 'The Grand Chessboard') And whether the US right-wing wishes to accept it or not, whatever current war and imperialism problems he faces in office were inherited from previous administrations. And that includes many of his domestic problems that are past policy holdovers from members of his own party.

Any other frenzied speculation without the benefit of factual evidence is nonsense and should be regarded and handled as such. Having said that, The US plan to prolong hegemony is not strange and it isn't unique to the United States. All currently recognised countries are the end-result of past wars of aggression, expansion and mutual defence.

This is why the subject of nationalism is a multi-dimensional issue. One that is trapped within an endless array of multi-dynamic, true contradictions and highly desired false expectations which cross cultural and (theoretically impassable) philosophical borders. Without a working and sustainable infrastructure within peer-recognised parameters, you do not have the basic ingredients for a sovereign country. That is simply elemental to our comprehension of the subject. And this is why, I think, it is important to delineate between collective nationalist goals and the economic and territorial aspirations of an private ownership and/or military-class seeking to act only within its own selective interests. 'Democratic' nations (ideally) seek to...
achieve peer-recognition through a broad consensus, versus (allowing) the major decisions to be formulated and enacted without much – or any – public input.

The first model illustrates group, or, collective survival needs and to a (arguable) degree, individual concerns and private interests. The latter framework envisions a top-down ruling order of limited individual autonomy (for commoners) and unhinged personal freedom for an elite-class via the standing monarchy; a privatised corporatist state (fascism); or by direct state-fiat (communism). And in all seriousness, the current economic troubles of the capitalist system is primarily due to a lack of respect for the basic system-of-rules that prevent the rampant abuses we are all witnessing right now.

The Business of Mayhem

Economic and political de-regulation – was and is – the primary culprit of a corrupted, national political system. And when societies allow for the moneyed-classes – people with wealth and close, personal connections to the nerve centres of the establishment, the military and the government itself – to influence state policy, expect (only) a small group to benefit from their input at the material expense of the masses.

This is a clear abuse of neoliberal free-market capitalism. And mistreatment of this liberalism is seen most readily within the highly-profitable, western-dominated, military-industrial complex. War is big business.  

Especially when it comes to imperialist countries, such as the United States. Nations like Saudi Arabia and the Jewish State in the Levant (JSIL/SOI) revolve their entire societies and national cultures around constant warfare readiness.

And the United States, the United Kingdom, the Republic of China and the Russian Federation and all of the other major world powers spend more for the ‘defence’ of the commercial sector (add domestic law enforcement, court and incarceration costs) than on the maintenance of their own national infrastructures or home populations. See: 'The SIPRI Military Expenditure Database' - [milexdata.sipri.org]

Further, this bad habit of choosing massive arms expenditure to support the business-class does little more than quietly transfer a nation’s wealth towards the richest segments of the population via both internal favouritism and accepted political and military corruption. Multi-national corporations such as Monsanto and Wal-Mart can only be as large as they are – as private business concerns – because of the massive military and economic support they receive from the US government and banking institutions.

In short, without socialism for the rich and free-market capitalism for the working-classes, the current system simply doesn’t work. In fact, it just cannot work.  

---
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Transitioning From Castillian Neocolonialism to Latin Neo-Fascism

'Our thinking is as follows: The investments of the socialist states in their own territory come directly out of the state budget, and are recovered only by use of the products throughout the entire manufacturing process, down to the finished goods. We propose that some thought be given to the possibility of making these kinds of investments in the underdeveloped countries. In this way we could unleash an immense force, hidden in our continents, which have been exploited miserably but never aided in their development. We could begin a new stage of a real international division of labor, based not on the history of what has been done up to now but rather on the future history of what can be done.'

– Che Guevara, 'At the Afro-Asian Conference in Algeria'

Capitalism is just one of many workable economic systems. And like all economic philosophies, capitalism has its pluses and minuses. But the erroneous idea that capitalism is the be-all and end-all of human economic activity is a complete fallacy. It simply isn't true. 567

What's worse, the reading public (due to intensive war-porn commercialism and apathetic consumption) 568 continues to believe that we can compensate for the accelerating erosion of our manufacturing base and our domestic employment haemorrhage to western-owned and operated firms that are embedded within poor, foreign countries through the use of massive military spending to 'defend' this vicious cycle of exploitation.

US political critic Professor Noam Chomsky (and others) have defined this negative conundrum as 'Military Keynesianism' in nature, a perversion of the idea that by simply circulating currency to encourage spending, a capitalist country can avoid economic pitfalls. 569 570 The impression that armed conflicts and opportunistic wars over the western control of petroleum resources can indefinitely sustain the capitalist way of life 571 is painfully ignorant of the basic facts. 572

And it was this civil reluctance to check the political power of the US oligarchs following the assassination of President John F. Kennedy 573 that solidified the government-private sector link in the first place. The US House and Senate 574 575 oversaw all of this and still does. 576 And the neoconservative, neoliberal, post-WW2 attraction in the Americas towards far-right and neo-fascist ideologies had much to do with this.

The story of how Depression-era, war-based economics 577 in the United States (of America) after the attack
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against Pearl Harbor and the war against fascism in Europe was used to install fascism in Latin America. A move that has negatively impacted the entire region for generations to come.

Neoliberal Lebensraum

Fascism is a major factor in the politics of South America. And right-wing governments in the Central and South Americas are responsible for millions of violent deaths and multiple thousands of unsolved disappearances. (See: ‘Operation Condor’) Some because of political reasons, others, simply because of their social status as Indigenous Peoples who were judged to be of no value to the economies of the new government system(s).

It is easier to understand what happened in South America by looking at the history of the 20th century on the continent. Many of these governments were lead by pro-Nazi dictators who were part of the 'Draganović Ratline' system, the main aid channel used by Nazi and Ustaše officers fleeing from the Soviet military intelligence services (GRU) immediately after World War Two.

When Allied occupation troops did apprehend those on the list(s), the fascists were often turned over to the British and US intelligence community who then decided what to do with them.

Some of the accused were tried at Nuremberg and others were allowed to be summarily executed by Partisan courts and/or local constabularies and sometimes angry mobs seeking revenge. But not all war criminals were treated the same.

Many, like the infamous SS medical doctor, Josef Mengele (The Angel of Death) lived pretty much in the open and passed away of natural causes a free man. The international authorities were always aware of where he was, but never acted on the information.

The chief SS commander of the Gestapo in Nazi-occupied France, SS-Hauptsturmführer Klaus Barbie, ('The Butcher of Lyon') survived the war to work for the spy services of the new West German government and with the US CIA. But even before that, he is said to have worked first for the US Army's Counter Intelligence Corps (CIC) who hired Barbie right on the spot and shielded him from war crimes prosecution in France, an ally.

SS-Sturmbannführer Werner von Braun had made use of slave-labour during the war, but was allowed to import his entire staff to the US aerospace programme and became an American hero in the sciences, academics and intelligence. (See: Operation Paperclip) The wartime US Office of Strategic Services (OSS)
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learned that the Catholic Church was secretly harbouring selected high-value German, French and Croatian war criminals and scientists.\(^{588}\)

And they also were aware that Pope Pius XII was covertly working in concert with Nazi post-defeat plans, fabricated before the end of the war, to ensure that the Third Reich would sustain itself in one form or another after Adolf Hitler's death. Instead of trying to stop the underground rail-road for Nazis, the newly-created Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) courted the Catholic Church as an ally and used their networks to funnel wanted fascists out of Europe to South America.\(^{588}\)

Besides, it wasn't exactly an accident that European fascists were welcomed with open arms in many South American countries, German experimental 'Aryan communes' were established in the Américas long before the Third Reich came into being. Deutschstämmige immigration to Anglophone and Latin American nations with many Volga Germans fleeing Russian bias, arriving in Argentina during the mid-1700's.

**Hating Karl Without Knowing Anything About Him**

*Fascism is a religion. The twentieth century will be known in history as the century of Fascism*

– Benito Mussolini

The rest of the civilised world laughs out loud at the West. And with good reason, our faults are visibly noticeable and in direct contradiction to what we profess.\(^{590,591}\) Their faults are quite discernible as well, however, very few of the examples I could list as highly stormy on the issue of human rights have ever claimed to be the most free nation on the face of the Earth.

As the late Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. pointed out to the American African community, the United States committed itself when it said that it was a free nation under ‘G-d’ and that China, Iran, Russia, either of the Koreans – or any other nation the US is currently in ideological quarrel with – has ever said anything of the sort. But the US did make such an argument. And it has failed miserably to live up to its own ethical standards.\(^{592}\)

Early professional propagandists with fascist leanings such as Edward Bernays showed the corporate-class just how easy it was to convince the general public that an American capitalist fib was always better than a communist’s accurate economic analysis. Conservative political theorists like Leo Strauss openly suggested that the use of ‘noble lies’ and other forms of sexed-up nationalistic fluff were vitally necessary to give individual capitalist western societies a collective consciousness and national identity.\(^{593}\)

All of this was done in the name of combating communism. Which we are all told in the Anglophone world is just two faint degrees shy of pure, unadulterated evil. This attitude is nonsensical on a variety of levels, especially since the historical record simply does not demonstrate any of the passionate, East-West propaganda provincialisms to be valid.

---
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The cultish mania in the capitalist world surrounding prejudices against Marxist ideas isn't based on anything real. And it never was. Which is not to say that Communism and the Socialist ideas that came before it are in any way, 'perfect'. But it remains true that the political left – at least theoretically – has always been about some form of utilitarian collectivism for the benefit of the whole society. Fascism is quite open about its intention to do just the opposite. As Adolf Hitler put it himself:

"The [Nazi party] should not become a constable of public opinion, but must dominate it. It must not become a servant of the masses, but their master!"

Chairman Mao's China, somewhat unfairly, receives more than its fair share of this blame, although its form of communism was more Chinese than it was Marxist. Enver H. Hoxha, if we can choose one example, set the bar for left-wing anti-libertarianism with his heavy-handed Albanian politics.

In brief, many left-wing government, like much of the Eastern Bloc, had more to do with totalitarianism than Karl Marx. This is true. And critics of socialism and communism are indeed correct in pointing out that the political left has its own share of nastiness to address historically. But they are entirely disingenuous when they suggest that socialism and communism (in particular) deserve to be disregarded and/or oppressed because they supposedly represent 'naturally violent' political systems. This simply isn't accurate. And accounts of the supposed 'Communist Body Count' ignore certain facts like:

1. Of the societies that transitioned into communism, (generally through armed revolution) not one emerged from an actual democracy or 'free state'. Marxist struggles usually begin as a response to authoritarian or monarchism.
2. In almost all cases, (except Cambodia notably) revolutionary governments once installed were (again, not in all cases) forced to confront the long-lasting results of generations of systemic social neglect as well as the inevitable internal squabbling and power-struggles that (can) occur between competing personalities following a protracted struggle.
3. Lastly, each and every communist state since the Russian Revolution has faced a clandestine militant effort by capitalist nations to reverse the gains of the new system. (See: 'Siberian Expedition')

Further, these critics are entirely off-base when they broadly equate the generally (and often forcibly) race-neutral, politically repressive methods used by far-left states, (such as Yugoslavia under Josef Tito) with the

---

"Chairman Mao Zedong - Source: Unknown"
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clearly ethnic/tribal inspired violence, slavery and systemic liquidations of fundamentally xenophobic, fascist governments.  

The one exception to this rule has been the USSR, which did specifically target Jews and other Indigenous ethnic minorities for marginalisation (though not elimination) under the totalitarian dictatorship of Joseph Stalin, a notorious Judeophobe who was (curiously) himself of Indigenous Georgian heritage. Using the policies prodrazyvorska and dekulakization as an excuse, Stalin's racialist-driven policies over the years – a mixture of state terror, cultural destruction and intentional starvation – attempted to clandestinely reduce 'non-White' classed populations of continental Russia and planned to forcibly assimilate the remaining few who managed to survive. (See: Holodomor and the Mongolian purges.)

---

**Fallacies and Phantasies of the 'Master Race'**

'Perhaps we shall also have to hold in check other coloured peoples who will soon be in their certain prime, and thus preserve the world, which is the world of our blood, of our children and of our grandchildren.'

– Heinrich Himmler

Hard-left Stalinist apologists may wish to excuse these acts, primarily undertaken by the People's Commissariat for Internal Affairs (NKVD) headed by Lavrentiy Beria (reportedly, he was personally introduced to President Roosevelt at Yalta by Stalin as , ''...our Himmler'') but that just isn't possible. Which goes to prove that historical denial is a constant for the political left as well as it is for the political right.

But saying that doesn't go far enough. If you, dear reader, are one of the (thankfully) few who truly believe in your heart that all of this anti-fascismus talk is nothing more than left-wing, anti-establishment, exaggerated hype, I (humbly) suggest that you are either a (closeted) fascist fellow-traveller yourself, a truly classical neoconservative thinker, or, you simply are not paying attention because you don't have to.

And if you are a member of the 'White' (not simply European) social order, you have the option to do just that. Social minorities (by default) and sociopolitical outcasts (by circumstance) by design, do not for one fundamental reason: because if they actually had democratic sociopolitical power, they would, logically, change the system into something different. One would hope, towards something more egalitarian that serves both individual and communal needs within a fairly liberalised, yet ideologically-sound, system of compassionate social justice and logical economic fairness.

At the very least – the kings and queens; the rabbis, the Pope, the priests and the imams; the landed gentry; the upper-spectrum(s) of the merchant classes and the worm-like sycophants who assist them all – would know and experience the rightful rages of the thinking masses.

---
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This, as the political scientists and philosophers tell us, is the footing of a 'positive revolution' (e.g., the South American Bolivarian Revolution) as opposed to the 'negative revolutions' observed in fascist Europe and South America. But in contradiction to the much maligned Prussian-born philosopher Herr Friedrich Nietzsche, order does not always emanate from chaos.

This was particularly true in his own case: his uniquely anarchist-flavoured; politically-neutral; egotistical philosophies concerning the nihilistic psychic superiority of the Übermensch have been broadly misrepresented by desperate European far-rightists ever since their first printing. And not just by Adolf Hitler and the Ahnenerbe. Many far-right propagandists made use of this material and many contemporary fringe 'experts' still do. This makes sense, since the confusion began on the basis of a carefully constructed fib.

Truth be told, it was Nietzsche's own far-right leaning sister, (much like Sigmund Freud's socially ultra-conservative daughter, Anna Freud), who did much to shape, if not outright corrupt, the public's misunderstanding of the original (and often contradictory) meanings of his unconventional ideas.

Unlike her brother, Frau Elisabeth Förster-Nietzsche was a deeply committed political conservative, an unrepentant Judeophobe, a practising vegan (like Hitler) and a founding member of the Nueva Germania Aryan project in Paraguay.

She eagerly took over managing and editorial control over Herr Nietzsche's manuscripts after his debilitating psychological collapse rendered him incapable of communicating with others, (much less writing complex, metaphorical philosophies).

With her founding of the Nietzsche-Archiv and a selective editing of his writings, (with short-lived assistance from Rudolf Steiner, an esoteric, utopian Europocentrist if there ever was one), she launched the false impression that the name of 'Nietzsche' is synonymous with Nazism, Eugenics and 'scientific racism'.

This is not accurate on a variety of levels. First off, Nietzsche and Hitler were not contemporaries. Nietzsche passed away in 1900 after losing his mind in 1889. The German Workers' Party, (DAP) did not begin until 1919 with its second, much larger incarnation, the Nationalsozialistische Deutsche Arbeiterpartei (NSDAP) going public in 1920. Its chief speaker, public organiser and policy propagandist – a certain Corporal (Gefreiter) Adolf Hitler who was working as an undercover intelligence operative for the Reichswehr – became its acknowledged Führer by 1921. The rest, as 'they' say, is history.

Secondly, most people who still argue this erroneous position are not fully aware (or don't care) that Frau Förster-Nietzsche had published 'The Will to Power: Attempt at a revaluation of All Values' posthumously, after selectively choosing material from his unpublished notes; ghost-rewriting (or plainly forging) whole passages as if they were his and basically bullying her fellow editors into reinterpreting his form of
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individualist anarchism into a völkisch doctrine of Western Euro-centrist, Germanic romanticism.

Further, philology experts (Mazzino Montinari and Giorgio Colli respectively)\textsuperscript{617} have come to the objective conclusion – after they had examined the original papers in Leipzig against the first publication based on the 'Großoktavausgabe' and later editions – Frau Förster-Nietzsche had knowingly injected her personal, anti-Semitic biases into her brother's Nachlässe, under his name.\textsuperscript{618}

Moreover, many if his critics in this regard are also not generally aware that Herr Nietzsche had not spoken to his right-wing sister for many, many years. Their relationship was traditionally disharmonious because he was repelled by her bigotries and headstrong devotion to fundamentalist, Germanic racialism. (He didn't like her husband, anti-Jewish race-baiter, Bernhard Förster either.)

And while Nietzsche has been wrongly misrepresented as the creator of the philosophical grounding for Nazism,\textsuperscript{619} he had in truth openly criticized anti-Jewish bias and xenophobic nationalism in the German-speaking world. His famous break with the famous Richard Wagner was in large part an ideological reaction to the German artistic community and its support for pan-Germanist ideas and helping make the hatred of Jewish peoples acceptable:

\begin{quote}
'The literary obscenity of leading the Jews to slaughter as scapegoats of every conceivable public and internal misfortune is spreading.'
\end{quote}

\textit{– F. Nietzsche} (1886)

So in essence, the White Power anthem: 'The Will to Power' does not – and never did – actually exist as an actual pro-Aryan document much less an idea. This does not in any way suggest that like other educated Europeans of his era, that he was not a xenophobe\textsuperscript{620} when it came to dealing with non-Europeans and at times, perhaps a passive misogynist.\textsuperscript{621} But like many other right-wing revisionist arguments\textsuperscript{622} grand historical fallacies and outlandish racial claims\textsuperscript{623} made by political conservatives, so-called Libertarians and those of the far-right,\textsuperscript{624} the Nietzsche -as-Nazi idea is too, based on spurious scholarship, wild conjecture and outright lying.

This familiar pattern of using false history, pseudo-science and brute force to achieve ultra-conservative social, theological and political changes is not unique to the far-right. But outside of Josef Stalin's brand of murderous, historically revisionist totalitarianism in the Soviet Union (Holodomor) and the 'brain-drain' genocide that occurred in Democratic Kampuchea under the Khmer Rouge,\textsuperscript{625} no other left-wing state has administratively 'planned' to liquidate entire human populations at once.

And despite the often outrageous claims made by pro-Batista conservatives and the rabidly right-wing Cuban expatriate community ensconced in Miami, Florida,\textsuperscript{626}\textsuperscript{627} the Castro government did indeed carry out (often rash) executions during and after the civil war.\textsuperscript{628} Mass killings, possibly. Were the series of political executions a genocide, as some of the more nutty Cuban fascisti vociferously suggest, absolutely not.
Believe it or not, this is an actual opinion. And it is a falsehood that is entirely based upon a cloddhish understanding of what *genocide* actually means, purposely concocted by right-wing Cubans themselves. Further, it is a rank insult to those who have suffered through authentic cases of real *ethnic cleansing*.

Like it or not, the Castro faction was dealing with an immediate, post-revolutionary situation following a very violent and repressive, US-backed dictatorship. The Castro post-revolutionary courts generally (officially speaking) attempted to kill the state-sponsored killers of the old regime.

And while it is no secret that numerous policeman and soldiers of the Batista government were accorded quick field-trials under the Argentinian Che Guevara – mostly for the sake of appearances – given the circumstances, Cuba's communists were much more forgiving (and true to their stated ideals) than their ideological opponents. And even, many other left-wing revolutions or governments.

The Cultural Revolution that took place under the direction of Chairman Mao wasn't exactly a picnic for political/religious dissidents; homosexuals; *ethnic minorities* or Indigenous Peoples. (See: [Tibet/Uyghur/Hui](http://is.gd/2Fj2Eq) Nor was the vengeful fervour of the October Revolution of 1917 in Russia under *Vladimir Lenin* or the pro-capitalist French *revolutionary terror* under *Robespierre*.

This, was/is political violence. However, immediately following decidedly right-wing revolutions – social undesirables; political dissidents; the elderly and infirm and most of the intelligentsia are *always* liquidated in the name of the 'race', the religion and the state. This, isn't about politics. This is something else.

It is also a reminder of the darker depths of the human experience. For a solid, non-Nazi historical reference on this, please review the public documentation concerning the nations of the Balkans under Fascism during World War Two. While modern scholarship likes to focus almost exclusively on the German Nazi Party and their well-documented genocidal tendencies, the Independent State of Croatia (*Nezavisna Država Hrvatska*) and their overeager go at engineering racial purity received a stupefying pass.

We tend to forget that the Croatian nationalists led by the far-right *Ustaše Party* and it's malevolent, wartime Poglavnik, *Ante Pavelić* were wartime allies of the *Axis Powers*, (13th Waffen Mountain Division of the SS Handschar - *1st Croatian*). And like Germany, (and Japan to some extent in terms of the *Ainu* and Ryukyu Peoples) fascist Croatia was responsible for its own premeditated programme to 'cleanse undesirables' via race-laws and designated living areas enacted to target *Serbs*; *Romas*; *Jews*; *Slavs* (including *Bosniaks*) and some resistant *Albanians* not keen on the *Partia Fashiste e Shqipërisë*, (the Fascist Party of Albania was a part of the xenophobic killing apparatus in the Balkans too).

---
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Illustration 76 Holodomor victim - Source: http://tinyurl.com/p2zaq3l
'Race', Nationalist Eugenics and Human Culling

'Nationalism is an infantile thing. It is the measles of mankind.'

– Albert Einstein

Eastern Europe had its own 'killing fields' where numerous Serbians, Jews, Roma and other minorities lost their lives in the name of ethnic-cleansing. These 'unacceptable' ethnic minorities, along with numerous political, religious and social dissidents, caught beatings, bullets, beheading(s) and the certain risk of internment in one of Croatia's numerous concentration camps.

The worst of these was Jasenovac, a literal charnel ground of lingering death that murdered at least 700,000 humans beings out of pure, ignorant hatred. The exact number of victims is not known and in truth, it really doesn't matter. Because it did indeed happen.

But what does matter for our purposes at present is that by the end of the conflict, fascist Croatia knowingly exterminated whole swaths of people, yet no one talks much about it. Why is that? Jews were victims in Croatia, certainly. But so were many Serbs and Roma, so why is this not discussed?

I argue that these particular acts of right-wing ethnocide are ignored, chiefly, because of who the victims are. Both the Serbs and the Roma are peoples who have known prejudice and marginalisation over the centuries for various reasons throughout Europe. So many, that it would be too numerous to list here.

But suffice it to say, Western European biases being what they are, Eastern European people(s) are not exactly respected very much or treated very well either. In fact, depending on who you might ask, they are outright hated by most of the rest of 'White Europe'. So it stands to reason that 'their minorities' would not fare much better.

During the reign of the Poglavnik, the Holy Mother Church, which could have excommunicated all of Europe's fascists (perhaps saving millions) and did not, actively sided with far-right governments and participated in facilitating their xenophobic polices. Just as they did during the Crusades and the Reconquista.

Further, the Ustaše spared no moral or financial expense in pursuit of achieving their goal of liquidating any Serbians found to be living in Croatia, Bosnia and Herzegovina and anywhere else they claimed dominance (under German patronage). The Ustaše government established a racialist policy of ethnic-exclusion in 1941 and began implementing elimination programmes just as horrendous (on many levels) as the Germans.

Personally speaking, I find the total breakdown of human morality in the Balkans a fair refutation of the European right-wing assertion that only nations with non-White populations (usually African) experience such atrocities. This is patent nonsense. Not one African or Semitic 'Asiatic' had anything to do with the barbarity between Serbs, Croats, and ethic Albanians in the former Yugoslavia. Nor were any non-Europeans (other than perhaps the British Mandate of Palestine-era Grand Mufti of Palestine, Haj Amin al-Husseini, and

---

636 Category:Resistance members killed by Nazi Germany - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia http://ow.ly/GCxwp
637 The Jasenovac Extermination Camp - "Terror in Croatia" -- HolocaustResearchProject.org http://ow.ly/GzJoA
639 "Catholic clergy involvement with the Ustaše - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia" ( http://twitthat.com/e4KCO )
even then. It was for strictly political reasons) involved with the Domobran, or any other political or military organisation calling for and acting towards ethic cleansing within the Balkans.

Illustration 78: Ustaše Poglavnik Ante Pavelić - Source: http://tinyurl.com/7sslj6

The data I mentioned in the material above is a fair accounting based upon the observable facts.\(^{640}\) And given the vast abundance of documented data,\(^{641}\) it would be absurd to argue otherwise.\(^{642}\) Right-wing advocates are very good for lecturing about the inherent criminality of left-wing states and activists/thinkers,\(^{643}\) without associating politically rightist states with the very same offences. (See: ‘UN Report Documents Torture, Police Violence in US’ | Global Research)\(^{644}\)

And when they do, they always assume that the centre-right, pro-capitalist, entirely Western Europocentric position is always the sole fulcrum by which all other things in the universe are measured.\(^{645}\) It is also equally wrong-minded (and elitist) to assume that all civil-crisis events that rise to include indiscriminate assaults; mass-killing; rapes and the use of terrorist violence can always be attributed to a particular political (or religious) position or ethnic group and a matter of genetics.

The fact is, most, if not all, civil conflicts are borne of long-standing grievances over territory; (water/hunting/grazing areas; farmland and living areas) between tribal/national groups; (families/clans acting as mutually-aiding collectives) that often become irrationally rationalised down to ethnic/cultural oversimplifications (xenophobia) that are more emotional at that point than logical.\(^{646}\)

These institutionalised prejudices often become traditions, which in turn, lend themselves to popular social
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justifications for the exploitation and scapegoating of social outsiders. foreigners and/or those viewed (and feared) through religious piety as the proverbial, black-hearted 'Other'.

**Thoughts and Observations on Social Movements and Political Violence**

> Following the outbreak of 1936, no mainstream (Zionist) leader was able to conceive of future coexistence without a clear physical separation between the two peoples — achievable only by transfer and expulsion. Publicly they all continued to speak of coexistence and to attribute the violence to a small minority of zealots and agitators. But this was merely a public pose. Ben Gurion summed up: 'With compulsory transfer we (would) have a vast area (for settlement)...I support compulsory transfer. I don't see anything immoral in it...'

– Benny Morris, 'Righteous Victims'

Human history does not – and never did – emerge from a geographically-neutral vacuum. As respective peoples, we all come from human groups (or Tribes if you like) that came together as a means of mutual survival. The individuals within these 'primitive collectives' developed techniques needed for survival in their respective environment(s). Thus, forming the common practises that eventually become the 'traditions' we recognise as a 'culture' for a given 'society' within a regional location, legally understood to be a country.

And when political organisations (with a flag) develop in these countries and settle (more or less) upon accepted territorial boundaries, we call this the 'state'. Which, to be honest, is another tribalising dynamic that is related and relevant to the subject of fascism. But at this juncture is frankly, a subject that is far beyond the scope of this paper and which richly deserves a deeper study of it own.

Having said that, states, for the most part, rely on a country's traditions and culture(s) to define their countries through the concept of 'national identity'. But state agents often go further by using national identity as a means of rationalising social and religious marginalisation. And when this sort of ethnic prejudice has been allowed to go beyond a certain point historically, very bad things have happened.

History is never static. It moves and grows all the time. And once a day has passed, it has happened. There is no going back. Having a sense of this as a historical process is very important to comprehending why ultra-conservatism and political fascism as an idea is so deeply lodged within many human societies. Why is this? Because the issue of human tribalism – like human sexuality – is a profoundly visceral question that is not easily dealt with.

There is a drive for sexual activity – which is naturally derived from the human need for organism reproduction – and there is a drive for the emotional comfort of familial belonging to a human group which has its roots in the earliest days of human existence. The psychological and instinctual survivalist co-factors that inform our basest drives as living things are great. And very few people can, without emotion, separate themselves personally from social issues that call into question both their own tribalism concerns and their need for the basic necessities of life. Thus, tribalism – past and present – is rooted in the emotional needs of the individual and his or her respective (sociopolitical and economic) position.

These perspectives are manifested as expressions of 'political opinion' in contemporary parlance which is used to explain a number of varying ideas that can most always be traced back to ancient concerns (and
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ignorances) that are conveniently masked as 'modern issues'.

This self-centred emotional sense of 'Central Position', (I suggest reading Robert Ardrey's, 'African Genesis' for more on this) prevents many people from being logically objective about many social justice issues. Racialism in particular. This is especially true with Western European people(s) who hypocritically deny, every day, their own 'primitive' tribal paradigms and prejudices or the fact that belligerently impose this system upon the whole world.

Whether we like it, or not. (See: 'The Project for the New American Century' or, 'PNAC') They pretend, as if 'World Wars' one and two, were not based upon centuries of intra-European rivalries that were clearly 'tribal wars' over European subcontinental Lebensraum, or 'living space' and economic controls.

And let's face it, Adolf Hitler and his Nazi Party did not exactly come out of a cultural vacuum either. His decidedly right-wing revolution was based upon centuries of overtly Judeophobic Catholic tradition and Europocentric psycho-esoterica concerned with a revisionist 're-awakening' of a conservative racial purity ethic, (völkisch beliefs of racialism) within certain 'elite' Western and Northern European nationalities. (See: 'Helena Blavatsky')

In order to understand and effectively address injustice, one must (first) be willing to learn how exploitation arises, functions and attempts to defend itself. It is also important to understand that it is a combination of competing internal and external factors; the dynamics of class/caste exploitation(s); handed-down misogyny; oppressive xenophobia(s); legal social marginalisation (and genocides) that create the raw, pent-up anger that stimulates the victimised and downtrodden towards supporting unpleasant, blood-soaked revolutions.

Saying that 'war is wrong' is a given. No one, other than the truly crazy and unashamedly greedy amongst us, 'like' warfare and conflict. The documented fact that modern nations must be tricked into supporting national war efforts proves this out. Authentic revolutions are usually hard-fought, bloody engagements in which no one listens to each other and too many innocent people are killed simply because they were in the wrong place at a bad time. The 'Cold War' was to a large extent a working outline of this in practise.

Neither the capitalist 'West' (which started the needless conflict right after World War Two) nor the Communist 'East' as they attempted to play catch-up cared much about the collateral human damage left over from their quarrels. As is the perpetual capitalist-led wars 'declared' against poverty, crime, drugs and (overseas) terrorism that has had an end-result in the unnecessary and astronomical death statistics of

---
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Poor Men (and Women) in Jackboots Waging Chaos Under Flags

Violence begets violence. Believe me when I say that. And because of this and many other reasons, I (personally) do not support armed revolutions that are not supported by a politically competent, popularly recognised form of (underground) civilian government. A (disciplined) military and intelligence infrastructure are necessary organs of any functioning government. But logic dictates that these operative parts should never be allowed to form the basis – nor the sole focus – of any human society.

I do believe in the principle of rational self-defence. I do not, however, believe in vindictive, reactionary politics or hold to the idea that violence (or passive) acts of revenge will bring back the dead.

Even when there are victims who have every right to be angry and unforgiving towards those who have harmed them and the society that allowed for the abuse to happen in the first place, there are better, and more permanent ways to achieve justice. Anger, like anything else involving human emotions, can often be woefully misdirected. And retaliation can (and will) be meted out towards target(s) who have absolutely nothing to do with the original cause. This is a very touchy subject, because no one really knows just how many stories of 'collateral' human-on-human brutality are not being told. Such as the sexual abuse of German Women by Russian soldiers during the second European tribal war and the fact that during the genocidal '100 Days of Blood' between ethnic Hutus and Tutsis in Rwanda during the 1990's, ethnic violence towards the indigenous Batwa minority went virtually unreported in the world's news media.

Space limitations prevent me from providing a more detailed list of such offences, but trust me when I say that the list is long and incredibly sordid. But for clarity, let us swiftly deconstruct these two well-documented examples. Please note that I choose these specific cases because one is exclusively 'White' or European (if Russia is considered to be a part of Europe, proper) the other is exclusively 'Black' or 'Sub-Saharan' African (because simply being African isn't enough).

In the case of Russian troops sexually assaulting and otherwise abusing German females and young people living in Soviet-occupied regions during and right after the war, the general excuse was Stalingrad. *(Cases of US troops during this period in Japan using the attack on Pearl Harbor as a rationale were not much better.) In Rwanda, the stated justification was that of a reversal of past and recent-past exploitative caste divisions.

Regardless of the illustration, these examples present clear instances of populist, human-on-human explosions of brutality and the passive vindications offered for justifying knee-jerk, asinine actions.
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Furthermore, these examples of generalised public mayhem also display violence during a deteriorating social disorder as: instantaneous, opportunistic (meaning that the perpetrators were largely taking advantage of an apparent weakness in the social order) and once the aggression began to move beyond the initial outburst or event that triggered the schism, wildly indiscriminate and ultimately meaningless.

Incoherent Aggro, Psychology and Political Sustainability

This is important, I think, to consider as well: while there may be some degree of loose planning by a core cabal of instigators plotting to exacerbate existing animosities, crowd-violence itself is incrementally dynamic once it begins. This is, I find, particularly true within populations that are socially marginalised, who observe strict tribal/subcultural divisions and who are confined psychologically (and physically) by tradition, bullying and/or subjective jurisprudence.

This observation applies to both the master as well as the slave. Because both are – for the most part – making decisions, (as groups and as individuals must) that operate from the particular class/caste; racialist/colonialist pecking order one is accorded into by the extant society/power.

Logically, just as these factors can influence the personal life, our political and social policy decisions/positions are also largely based in consideration of these dynamics as well. And I also am of the mind that there is a larger, very important point that deserves to be stressed here: that when it comes to race, racialism and abuse, both victimiser and victim will think, if not act, in accordance with what they believe to be in their best interest. At the time.

That as it is, the Soviet example involving state-sponsored military units harming civilians serves to confuse this discussion. Because unlike Rwanda's ethnocide, the Russians were led by a professional officer cadre that for the most part, did little to stem the abuse.

What I am saying here is this – that while a particular event in the war was used as an explanation for the Soviet army's mad rape-spree across German and German-speaking Europe during the conflict, there was nothing material to gain from the action, other than psychological warfare against the Germans.

While we are always led to believe that the military represents personal discipline, if not the dutiful observance and rule of civil law and ethical conduct, this is not always the case. I suspect that once some Russian troops broke discipline and began assaults, Soviet field officers simply allowed male-chauvinism and xenophobia to take its own horrible course.

After reading much of the available material, it seems clear that the focus was primarily concentrated upon individual soldiers/combatants humiliating, beating, robbing and sexually-exploiting – not simply annihilating – their hopelessly defenceless victims. In other words, the violence did not have any meaning to it other than the bloodlust of the violence itself.

Rightist Xenophobia and Unmistakable War Madness

The infamous 'Rape of Nanjing' in the 1930's, which wasn't official at all, is one glaring example of this. The anti-human, genocidal brutality of the Imperial Japanese Army (IJA) in Asia and in China – in particular during the second of the Sino-Japanese conflicts (1937 through 1945) – had no rhyme or reason to it other
than hateful, culturally-supported racism against the Chinese as a people.\textsuperscript{661} There was never a military objective gained from the atrocity.

And xenophobic hate against the Japanese as a 'race', more so than as a military occupation, only increased. Thus, making the Japanese occupation that much more difficult to maintain. Not due to the native population's motivation for politics, but because they feared for their very survival.

I can point to numerous examples of this. For the United States, Lieutenant William Calley and the Pinkville, or My Lai Massacre in US-occupied Vietnam serves as a reminder that barbarity is no respecter of ethnicity or nationality.\textsuperscript{662} The same can also be said for the demise of the French Empire in Indochine (Vietnam); Repiblik Ayiti (Haiti) and Algérie Française (French Algeria) and for the same reasons.\textsuperscript{663} After years of wielding, mostly ineffective, heavy-handed and extremely racialist policies, negative ‘blowback’ and the loss of their colonies was the end result.\textsuperscript{664}

In many ways, it was a conscious decision on the part of the French government to mistreat the civilian population through the military and the constabulary as an intimidation tactic.\textsuperscript{665} Hindsight tells the French public today that it was their own nationalist apathy towards the hostile conduct of their soldiers that perhaps encouraged what became a series of successful armed resistance movements rather than diplomatic resolutions.\textsuperscript{666}

So as we move forward, we can clearly see that representatives of any people(s), nationality, religion or DNA can be capable of rape, pillage and certainly murder. And without reservation, we can confidently dismiss the xenophobic argument(s) proposing that there is a marked, cognitive difference in violent tendencies between Whites and non-Whites as patently false.\textsuperscript{667} Because it always was false.\textsuperscript{668} Violence, political or otherwise is not in any way dependent upon one's ethnicity, national origin or DNA. Logic readily observes the possibility of (antagonistic) cultural influences; medical and/or psychological ill health and the impact of the social environment as notable elements in the subject of violence. Not race.

If human aggression is anything like water, it will always level itself out and seek its own course. The subject of violence then, at least within this context, should be viewed as an ugly but omnipresent element of human, as well as political activity. Let us be clear, violence, in any form, is always bad. But it happens. Tempers can rise when personal needs (and/or desires/obsessions) are unfulfilled or ignored. So it stands to reason that if we – reasonable people – really want to put an end to a world that is ruled by unreasonable people through might and force, we need to make an effort to understand:

1. Why Does Violence Exist?: What are the psychological/sociopolitical factors behind human aggression? What are the particular dynamics of social conflict(s) that can trigger (and possibly) exacerbate a violent social episode? And now that we understand that violent behaviour is more
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than just a set of legal considerations, how does this relate to politics, war and social change?

2. Why and How Violence is Used as a Tool: This is a dynamic that is rarely explored by the general public until long after an event has happened. In this regard, violence is merely another element; factor; or (possible) tactic that should be (objectively) regarded as an ubiquitous, unpleasant and (operable) element within sociopolitical and economic analysis.

If one is serious about playing (and winning) the liberation game; preventing political hostility; ending racialism or simply interested in effectively stopping (all) terrorist violence, it is imperative that we (normal folk) make a sincere effort to understand why people either choose, or are forced, to resort to uncivilised methods. Within societies and subcultures where people are 'forced' or compelled to resort to violence in order to survive, (perhaps because they are trapped in a literal 'dog-eat-dog' environment) it is pretty obvious that social justice, education and economic equality is what is needed to rectify the situation.

In situations where Kings/Queens; nation-states; multi-national corporations and their private armies wage warfare simply to protect the (legal) economic pillaging of an entire country or the exploitation of a defenceless ethnic group(s), what is their reasoning? How does one justify the robbery of a 'weak', sovereign nation and its entire natural resource reservoir by an international, mega-military ‘superpower’? These are questions that the conscious person should be asking. First, of themselves, then of each other. Then they should ask those who represent them, (or claim to rule over them) a simple question, 'Why'?

To stop violence, we must put an end to the causes of violence. In mindlessly disallowing those who are quick to fall back on brutality as a means of solving a problem as mere 'savages', we deny ourselves the chance to stem, prevent and do away with the role of brutality in social and private life. Perhaps entirely.

We need to listen. This is very important. We need to understand that by listening, we will come to an understanding, (or, 'over-standing') of the 'problem' or problems that led to the conflict in the first place. This does not in any way mean that we are obligated to believe in, or even accept an idea or action that goes against our better judgement or core sense of personal moral justice.

We need to listen.

I can not over-emphasise this enough.

To really hurt the violent-minded walking amongst us, we must deny them the ideological 'sea' (so to speak, in terms of Mao and revolutionary dynamics) in which to swim. If people have what they need to survive, they do not utilise violence. It really is that simple. It is the greedy who resort to violence as an instrument of disruption and of intimidation. It is the nervous and the insecure who succumb to force as a means of inducing the sort of change they desire. For themselves. And themselves alone.

In a world of moral and political uncertainly, one cannot afford to ignore the pragmatism and insight of the traditional, Soviet-era Politburo mantra (probably culled from seasoned officers of the KGB):

'Hope for the best and plan for the worst'.

Organised Colonialism and 'Accidental Genocide'  

'Those who commit the murders write the reports.'

– Ida B. Wells

I am not making a judgement call or even a moral argument here. I am merely presenting the objective reality as I see it. And in my humble opinion, allowing tactical violence to morph into a 'way of life' is no way to build a 'new' world. No matter which way you look at it, the notion simply isn't logical. Just the
This is also why I maintain that many movements (and rebellions) that mount armed fronts without a grounding in anything other than political/social angst, tribal divisions and/or religious faith(s) eventually betray their efforts to the bad. (See: Zimbabwe in relation to the 'Gukurahundi' in Matabeleland and Robert Mugabe's infamous 5th Brigade)

Many populist movements – due to serious ignorance, a hypocritical lack of personal discipline and an absurd reliance upon a single, fundamentalist theology – become immediately dangerous to the very people they are purporting to save. This is true. And it is also true that half-arsed, 'quasi-liberation' efforts led by charismatic, often deified leaders in allegiance with loud-mouthed, like-minded protagonists with big knuckles, often have no clear idea of what kind of society they actually hope to establish and/or, how to go about it.

This is a dangerously serious issue. And I am in no way discounting the problems of counter-revolutionary subterfuge by former colonialist and imperialist powers either. Or the behind-the-curtain and man-in-the-crowd roles mercenary agent provocateurs; apolitical double agents and outright ideological traitors play in political theatre. Having said this, it does not generally help the situation (or progress) to place the entire blame for a failed liberation movement on the interference of external forces.

An assortment of very damaging forms of organisational and physical sabotage can very easily develop from within a movement organically. And sadly, most activists and revolutionaries almost always fail to consider this dynamic until it is too late to repair the damage done.

Movements, organisations, governments and churches are made up of human beings who are subject to human idiosyncrasies and joy and fear. And all human interaction in larger groupings is potentially subject to internal squabbling. Be it over ideological/political differences, national; religious; racial/ethnic/tribal rivalries; monetary concerns or simple petty jealousies between members, personal frictions can bring down a movement, an organisation and/or a government.

I stress this, because many people speak casually of 'revolution' without really understanding what it means. This is an important point because revolutions based upon ignorance/anger/fear/power – instead of reason/theory/end-game/reconstruction often descend into inter-group factionalisms and personality struggles that usually end in bad governance, the erosion of human rights and numerous dead civilians. The strongmen who lead the mobs during the civilian crisis might make for good dictators, (or secret police) but very few will ever be known for being good statesmen or administrators.

Any fair examination of past and present Third and Fourth World governments can attest to this as a pragmatic fact. This is particularly true when one considers the culture(s) of most 'post-colonial' states, as they often reflect, or mimic the institutionalised sociopolitical paradigms (and histories) of their former masters. This can either be (in some aspects) a positive development, (e.g., ending slavery, famine) or a negative process, (e.g., introducing slavery, genocide).

On the negative, let us quickly look at one good example; the 'post-Colombian' countries that have emerged from Spain and Portugal's (Ultramar Português) adventures invading, occupying and fleecing the Americas
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(República Brasil) and parts of Asia (Macau) and Africa (República de Angola). The primary footsoldiers of that effort, the Conquistadores-class, (the first serious invaders of the twin American continents) were themselves a people barely 'liberated' from Moorish rule (under al-Andalus) by 'New World First Contact' in 1492. And much like the British who followed them later, these were mostly indigent people: largely uneducated sheep herders; subsistence farmers; day labourers and petty criminals who excelled, it seems, only at waging campaigns of war and brigandage.

This is a fact. Even then, their victory was in and of itself an accident of odd circumstance. In the Americas, Indigenous Peoples were invaded and overturned by men who were mostly raised in situations where humans and farm animals shared the same living-spaces, which in turn, (accidentally) gave them an immunity to the toxic diseases they brought along with them to the 'New World'.

Add to this combination: large war-horses and expert equestrianism; (American Aboriginals had never seen such a creature and were horrified by the animals) zealous desperation; (most Conquistador leaders burned their ships to impress upon their troops that there was no going back) plus the hatred the Aztec and Incan Empires had sown among the militarily weaker nations within their claimed domains did as much damage as foreign diseases.

Both the Spanish and the English quickly became aware of this queer advantage and sometimes did what they could to speed-up the process. While most informed people are aware that the bodies of deceased victims of disease were often catapulted over the ramparts of cities and forts since time immemorial, the introduction of deliberate biological warfare in the Americas began with the British.

The commanding British general overseeing the 'French & Indian wars', (or, Seven Years' War) one Lord Jeffrey Amherst KCB and his adjutants, worked out the details of introducing smallpox to Indigenous North Americans in a series of revealing internal dispatches. Historians such as writer Peter d'Errico have found credible, empirical supporting Indigenous scholars and activists who have raised this issue in the US and point to military correspondence suggesting the distribution of smallpox-infected items by British Army Colonel Henry Bouquet while parleying with local Indigenous Nations pursuing the Indigenist Pontiac Rebellion against the United Kingdom.

As many credible sources (Wikipedia included) have cited, the public record makes clear that Colonel Bouquet more than likely carried out his orders as directed according to a trade of postscripted notes to Lord Amherst in 1763 such as this one on July 13th:

P.S. I will try to inocculate the Indians by means of Blankets that may fall in their hands, taking care however not to get the disease myself. As it is pity to oppose good men against them, I wish we could make use of the Spaniard's Method, and hunt them with English Dogs. Supported by Rangers, and some Light Horse, who would I think effectively extirpate or remove that Vermine.

- [jpeg]
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In another postscript, Amherst responds to this on the 16th of July in the affirmative:

P.S. You will Do well to try to Innoculate the Indians by means of Blankets, as well as to try Every other method that can serve to Extirpate this Execrable Race. I should be very glad your Scheme for Hunting them Down by Dogs could take Effect, but England is at too great a Distance to think of that at present. - [jpeg]

And as The Straight Dope reports, on the 26th of July, Colonel Bouquet send a message back:

'I received yesterday your Excellency's letters of 16th with their Inclosures. The signal for Indian Messengers, and all your directions will be observed.'

Mr. d'Errico of the University of Massachusetts has collected a wealth of material on this subject, including images of surviving evidence of army correspondence. He also argues that conservative US historian Francis Parkman had mentioned in his writings yet another postscript as part of an older dispatch from Lord Amherst to Colonel Bouquet openly speculating over the feasibility of planting disease within the nations and communities confederated against them:

'Could it not be contrived to send the Small Pox among those disaffected tribes of Indians? We must on this occasion use every stratagem in our power to reduce them.'

– F. Parkman, 'The Conspiracy of Pontiac and the Indian War after the Conquest of Canada' (1886)

This really happened. But the denialism surrounding this issue, (from angry Euro-settlers in particular) while unfortunate, is not a big surprise. In fact, it is to be expected. Few, if any, colonialist states have wilfully identified their empirical history as a negative. And most of the debate centres on whether the plot was actually carried out at all, with many US historians defensively leaning towards the negative, despite the availability of documented evidence to the contrary. (See: 'The Siege of Fort Pitt')

Including the fact that upon being repatriated from the Americas, Lord Amherst was, after a time, elevated to lieutenant-general (1765) and later, a colonel of the 3rd Regiment of Foot (1768). Apparently the army approved of his leadership and wartime efforts. Because it really doesn't seem like he was punished for committing a failed campaign, (or a genocide) does it?

First Steps to Pax Americana Co-Opting Everything in Sight

In brief, the Europeans who invaded the Americas were not racially superior, they were just lucky. They struck at a time when many southern Indigenous nations and cultures were long past their glory days and suffering a range of issues from ecological overuse to social burn-out.

This happens to all advanced societies and Aboriginal America was not immune to the normal arc of a civilisation in decay. And these pre-existing factors helped contribute to the decline of Indigenous America. All Europeans did in South America was to push a weak man whilst he was stumbling. In North America, all was fair in love and war against 'savage' Indigenous Americans standing in the way of 'Manifest Destiny'.

In other words, what we see politically and well as socially in modern Latin America is the accidental end-
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result of a sad, colonial turn of unfortunate events, not the 'natural' ascendancy of superior Western Europeans over Aboriginal 'half-man/half-devils'. Many factors, not just warfare and class-conflict, bear weight upon the course of human history. Sometimes the invaders are simply lucky. Sometimes, they are not.

For one good example of a reversal of colonialist fortunes in the Americas let us look at the Norse. The 'Vikinger', (Vikings, or, Norsemen) the first Europeans to physically enter the 'New World' – more than 500 years before Christopher Columbus invaded the Taino Nation – never successfully conquered the Americas and we know why. The Indigenous population(s), who were called 'Skrælings' by the Norse colonists, did not delay in defending themselves and their 'original communities' against the invading 'Kabluna' (Europeans) once it became obvious the Vikings fully intended to use the sword as they did in Europe as a means of taking over the region they called 'Vinland'.

As documented by Norse historians themselves in 'Eiríks saga rauða' (Saga of Erik the Red) and the Flateyjarbók (Flat-Island Book) entry of 'Grænlendingasögur' (Saga of the Greenlanders) and despite the noted discrepancies between accounts, it is pretty clear that North America (including Greenland/Grönlannin geographically) was not a 'barren continent'. As these archaic manuscripts note, the Vikings located modest structures and organised groups of 'different people' using hide-boats and tools.

Meaning, the Norsemen themselves recognised these people(s) as having occupied and adapted to the icy realm, long before they, or any other European had arrived. Further, this data corresponds greatly with the extensive body of modern genetic, archaeological and linguistic investigation which has proven, conclusively, that bands of migratory peoples from Greater Asia travelled and populated all along the Beringia Straits, entering; exiting (and probably at times, being trapped by climate changes) and settling in the Americas for many millennia. The entire upper Northern Americas (including Greenland) are known to have been host to various Paleo-Eskimo (pre-historic) people(s) and Paleo-Arctic cultures many, many centuries before North European settlement.

Illustration 86: When the Norsemen first invaded the Americas - Source: http://tinyurl.com/p6nn7ab
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And ages before the Common Era, the Saqqaq; Independence I & Independence II cultures; the Dorset and the Thule People (Ancestral Inuit, not to be confused with the völkisch, semi-occultish Thule Society of early 1900's Germany) roamed and harmonised with the Arctic region as free men and women. The names of the Sadlermiut; Yupik peoples; Inupiat; Beothuk are names that correctly and scientifically identify the history of the Peoples of the American Arctic.

It is strictly racist and revisionist to pretend that the belief in an antiquated myth and the desire for a Solutrean Hypothesis as another 'Great White Hope' represents anything more than another, pseudo-intellectual attempt at falsely demonstrating a mythical culture of White superiority.

The names of the Sadlermiut; Yupik peoples; Inupiat; Beothuk are names that correctly and scientifically identify the history of the Peoples of the American Arctic. It is strictly racist and revisionist to pretend that the belief in an antiquated myth and the desire for a Solutrean Hypothesis as another 'Great White Hope' represents anything more than another, pseudo-intellectual attempt at falsely demonstrating a mythical culture of White superiority.

The Vikings did indeed reach North America. And reality of the Vikings in the Americas is thus: European interest began with Bjarni Herjólfsson and his crew sighting the landmass after they were, by chance, blown off their original course sometime in the year 1000.

An organised expedition, captained by Leif Eriksson – the son of Eirik the Red (Eiríkr Porvaldsson) and the first European to land in North America proper – along with a small crew, followed Herjólfsson's accidental course and successfully located terra firma. Upon making landfall, the party constructed a small camp, briefly surveyed the region and entitled their claim, 'Vinland' before they later decided to return to Greenland. (See: 'L'Anse aux Meadows')

So let us now admit that the colonialist hype within the nationalist mythology of the United States, (of America) the 'Columbus Discovery' of the 'New World', is factually wrong. But this fact is not raised to suggest that the Norsemen and women who entered 'Vinland' were any less inhumane. A later attempt at Norse expansion, this time led by (Leif Eriksson's brother) Thorvald, settled in at the earlier encampment with a larger band, livestock and plans on establishing a permanent settlement.

False Expectations of a 'Master Race'

However, as they began to venture along the coastline and further inland, they begin to find material signs of habitation and eventually, they encounter the landlords, the 'Original Inhabitants' themselves. The 'meeting' does not go well. Upon locating a desirable area to cultivate, the Vikings happen upon a small group of Indigenous Peoples minding their own business and decide to kill them, whilst they are slumbering. For no apparent reason other than (it would seem) an unbridled sense of cowardly criminality:

Thorvald to his companions: "Now will I that we fix up the keel here upon the ness, and call it Keelness (Kjalarness), and so did they. After that they sailed away round the eastern shores of the land, and into the mouths of the firths, which lay nearest thereto, and to a point of land which stretched out, and was covered all over with wood. There they came to, with the ship, and shoved out a plank to the land, and Thorvald went up the country with all his companions. He then said: "Here it is beautiful, and here would I like to raise my dwelling." Then went they to the ship, and saw upon the sands within the promontory three elevations, and went thither, and saw there three skin boats

---
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(canoes), and three men under each. Then divided they their people, and caught them all, except one, who got away with his boat. They killed the other eight, and then went back to the cape. and looked round them, and saw some heights inside of the frith, and supposed that these were dwellings. After that, so great a drousiness came upon them that they could not keep awake, and they all fell asleep. Then came a shout over them, so that they all awoke. Thus said the shout: "Wake thou! Thorvald! and all thy companions, if thou wilt preserve life, and return thou to thy ship, with all thy men, and leave the land without delay."

– ‘The Norse Discovery of America’ – A.M. Reeves, N.L. Beamish and R.B. Anderson (1906)695

According to Norse chroniclers, the first meeting between Indigenous Peoples of the Americas and European explorers began with Vikings cruelly spilling the blood of Aboriginals as they slept. Thus, it was the Vikings (not Columbus) who began the pattern of anti-Aboriginal genocide that has continued in one form or another into the present day.696 This means that Columbus (the 'bringer' of Christ) was not the originator of anti-Indigenous violence, he was merely a late-comer to the party.

And in many ways, he was simply lucky. Because along with his crew and later Conquistadores, he found it easy to quickly subjugate the culture(s) encountered in the Caribbean since these were people(s) unaccustomed to large-scale acts of belligerence or violence-prone, disease-ridden, thieving 'visitors'. The Norse didn't find their 'hosts' that easy to conquer.

Retaliation began almost immediately. Thorvald is said to have been the first European known to be killed by an American Aboriginal (or a Uniped/Einfætingur, depending on the translation)697 during an organised attack mounted to repel the murderous invaders, (much like captain Ferdinand Magellan and his crew in the Philippines were stopped cold by Datu Lapu-Lapu's forces). A 'civilised' culture could and would be expected to have conduct themselves with logical decorum upon 'First Contact' with a new people.

This is an important point of consideration. Especially since historical revisionists and unrepentant, neo-Nazi 'intellectuals' choose to laud the Vikings as evidence of 'White Power' in the early founding of the Americas. This is false and misleading. In fact, it's a flat-lie, because the Norse, by their own admission, did not stay in Vinland due to their inability to militarily conquer the Indigenous population.

Revisionists who argue against this, argue against their own source of data. According to the sagas, the Vikings openly admit to attacking the local population – first – and being attacked in return because of it. And while later expeditions led by Thorfinn (Porfinnr) Karlsfæn and Eirik the Red's daughter Freydis Eiríksdóttir travelled to Vinland and attempted to barter and trade with the Skraelings peacefully, this did not last long.698 The populations clashed again. And at some point, the

---
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Norse say that they decided to seize and enslave some of the Skraelings in Vinland just because they could:

> When they sailed from Vinland they had a south wind, and came then to Markland, and found there five Skraelings, and one was bearded; two were females, and two boys; they took the boys, but the others escaped, and the Skraelings sank down in the ground. These two boys took they with them; they taught them the language, and they were baptized.

– 'The Norse Discovery of America' (p. 228)

There was also a great deal of quarrelling, physical fighting and in the end, a clear-cut case of unnecessary mass-murder between the colonists themselves. This, perhaps more than anything, helped to doom the colonial effort in the Americas by the Norse, effectively ending their exploration of the twin continents. But upon objective examination, none of this should be a real surprise. The Norse were a particularly aggressive people as attested to by numerous and credible historical sources.

The fact that Eirik the Red was banished from Iceland for slaughtering several of his countrymen, (as his father had done years earlier in Norway) I think, says something about the history of this family and hereditary cultural violence. And perhaps, it also reflects upon the ancient culture(s) of Northern Europe where indiscriminate violence was an integral dynamic of daily life.

This leads to another important colonialist political dynamic. The Vikings at that time, like the Conquistadores, were rugged individualists who had no direct experience (or interest) in popular governance, inter-cultural/national diplomacy (like say, Don Rodrigo Díaz de Vivar, or, ‘El Cid’) or the intricacies of running a 'fair' social or business enterprise.

The Vikings sacked churches. And the Conquistadores were rank opportunists who knew how to destroy empires; build large plantations; mine for gold and how best to exploit and if necessary, exterminate masses of Indigenous Peoples and enslaved Africans in the name of the Christian god and un-Christian greed.

Further, the indisputably sloppy forms of home-rule we observe in much of Spanish and Portuguese Latin America, Asia (Philippines) and Africa (Mocambique/Guiné) is a direct by-product of being brutally colonised by self-centred ruffians motivated primarily by avarice, religion and machismo.

**Divide and Conquer (Everything)**

Following the Catholic Church approved Treaty of Tordesillas (Tratado de Tordesillas) that theoretically divided the 'known' world between the Spanish (Castile/Aragon) and Portuguese Empires without asking anyone that would be effected, the Americas developed. And after a generation or so of successful colonisation, populist rumours began to spread about popular independence from the Spanish and Portuguese Crowns.

Deciding (amongst themselves) that since it was they (the Mestizo-class) who were producing profits from...
the land and effectively suppressing the numerous Indio and Africano slave rebellions that arose against both colonialism and capitalism, (same as in the Anglo-Saxon colonies: US/AUS/NZ) these 'Nuevos Blancos' felt that they deserved their own economic and political independence from Europe and they were willing to fight for it. And they did so successfully by 1821.

The hypocrisy and ethical narrowness in all of this is of course glaring, but it remains to be said that it was this specific process of Euro-settler exploitation and entitlement that informs the traditionalist view of pro-White racialism that we observe throughout Latin America.

Naturally, many contemporary 'Latinos' will vehemently deny what I am saying here and for the usual reasons. Chiefly because after having achieved freedom from their former dominating kingdoms, Indigenous Peoples and 'imported' Africans (and Asians) in the Americas under Mestizo society remained enslaved, (except in Mexico whose first leader Vicente Guerrero y Saldaña – the son of an African slave – outlawed the practise in 1829) cruelly exploited and violently oppressed. If you doubt this, just ask the Zapatistas.

This history naturally built around itself, over time, a series of accepted social traditions fashioned to maintain a pro-White, racialised status quo at the expense of the exploited. As an old Brazilian song goes:

The White man eats at the table,

The Indio eats on the floor,

*The Nigger eats outside.*

There is nothing egalitarian in this painful reminder of the South American social pecking order. It is a sombre reflection of the divisions, attitudes and objectives of a ruling class ideologically birthed in Europe's cultural dramas long before coming to the Américas.

Remember that the Catholic Church sanctioned *Reconquista* (Reconquest) of Europe which brought *Christian governance* to the west of the subcontinent under arms was a military campaign led by, *'Los Reyes Católicos'* as represented by Isabella I of Castile (and León) and Ferdinand II of Aragon (and Sicily) who married in 1469 for political and religious reasons.

Sharing their religious zeal, (her) military forces and (her) political powers via *Jure uxoris*, the Catholic Monarchs wasted no time in mandating the immediate conversion, expulsion or execution of all known Jews and Muslims (Roma Peoples included) within their shared domains. Modern Europocentric revisionism tends to ignore this history (much like Catholics preferring to avoid discussing *Purgatory*) as an ethical embarrassment.

Illustration 90: *The Spanish Reconquista* - Source: Unknown

The reasons for this are obvious. But it should also be mentioned here that the widespread bias against Jews and organised violence against them began at this juncture because of Western European Christian xenophobia(s), not Orientalist, Islamic pathologies against Semites. Historically speaking, both Jews and Muslims suffered similar ethnic oppression in Western Europe under Christians and during the same periods.

---
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The Europe that Isabella and Ferdinand claimed for themselves was for many centuries a pluralistic, multi-ethnic, progressive society. Much like empirical Rome and Greece were at their height. This cosmopolitanism changed swiftly under their rule. Jews and Muslims who did chose – or were forced by the sword – to convert (Conversos and Moriscos respectively) were not immediately ejected from Catholic Europe, but they were never truly accepted or fully integrated either.

These people were abused, gaoled, tortured and executed by the thousands, with multitudes of others lost to the black hole of the Spanish Inquisition based at Castile, (See: ‘Exigit Sinceras Devotionis Affectus’ and ‘Rex Catholicissimus’).706

With the Christian campaigns ending and the Muslim and Jewish expulsions just beginning, (See: 'Alhambra Decree')707 the new kingdoms and Church joint-financed the invasion, occupation and ethnic cleansing of the Americas with the same fervour in which they pursued their anti-Jewish, anti-African pogroms.708 Cristóbal Colón brought these same methods along with him to the Americas, instigating perhaps the greatest known single, ongoing genocide in human history.

I mention this sad history for a reason. Because when liberation movements (of any political/social/theological spectrum) decide to use violence, there is usually a reason. Sometimes it is out of desperation, sometimes it a matter non-analysable, bottled-up anger. And sometimes, the particulars of a civil conflict can be rooted in, or made worse, because of underlying cultural/subcultural attributes that simply mandate physical retaliation.

This is rarely considered by the political and intellectual classes, recognised by law enforcement or those amongst the literate general public who tend to lazily rationalise all social aggression away with high-society dismissals and useless clichés.

Understanding the 'Inside Game'

The lofty, down-from-on-high opinions about 'what is wrong' rather than with 'what caused the issue' is a major part of the problem. And when it comes to the bated and the downtrodden unwashed masses Mr. and Mrs Smith stroll past each day or read about in the newspapers as they enjoy their Weetabix and coffee, the fault always lies with the victim.709 And just about any excuse, no matter how unbelievable or unfair, can and will be accepted by the extant sociopolitical power structure as legitimate and wholly charitable.710

All mainstream societies and most cultures, whether it can admit it or not, observe a certain level of acceptable violence. This also has a definitive provenance, generally related to the origin-myths of the society in question.

And because of generational factors of forgetfulness, these 'traditions' often serve a purpose that is not always readily apparent, rational or seemingly connected to anything remembered culturally. And further, many of those who are actively involved in committing acts of violence and intimidation under the cover of righteous 'moral action' or simple political expedience are in many cases, liars. Some human beings, like the mythical vampire, actually feed off of hostility as a surgical
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sponge absorbs spilled blood.

These are individuals who are only in the mix because they seek violence for the sake of violence only. Such individuals are naturally drawn to conflicts out of a prurient interest in hostile action, not of ideological duty.

This is an important dynamic that (I think) deserves greater scrutiny and consideration by political theorists, social psychologists, philosophers and theologians alike. That would be a much better way to spend their efforts, rather than seeking more effective ways to destroy the human individual, mind, body and spirit. They could put their best foot forward towards improving human communications instead of corrupting them.

Such individuals are a clear and certain causal agent of present and future problems in any organisation and movement. And it should be understood that while sound ideological discipline is one way of preventing and dealing with such matters, (provided there is an allowance for healthy dissent) individual and social aggressions are (in many ways) normal factors/functions of the human experience that must be taken into account in political deliberations.

To not do this is foolhardy and a clear denial of the known facts. The best way to prevent discord is to address it head-on. Individuals who display a noticeable penchant for unnecessary animosity and frictions are a toxic dynamic that can prove to be potentially disruptive to any political organisation or liberation movement. Especially if that organisation or movement is already heavily lumbered (at no fault of their own) by shoddy, under-educated leadership; inexperienced management and the inevitable petty power-struggles engaged in by people not trained in basic education (or life experience) in handling (much less understanding) structural power and responsibility at a state level.

Accepting Realities We Do Not Like or Prefer to Accept

'They get bitter, they cling to guns or religion or antipathy to people who aren't like them or anti-immigrant sentiment or anti-trade sentiment as a way to explain their frustrations'

– President Barack H. Obama

What I ended with above angers many within the various liberation movements that are found within the United States. But this is not a snobbish-sounding slight as much as it is an objective observation. When people who have only known generational poverty and enforced ignorance suddenly gain sociopolitical or economic strength, more often than not, they often wield their new-found strength very poorly. There are many reasons for this that are not related to genetics. And it only takes about a 0.1 seconds for an educated person to sus out the historical component parts behind what is actually an imposed system of psychological social retardation.

Think about this: why are people(s) and populations/nations who were never allowed, at any level, to enjoy any sense of self-direction or control over their own destinies, automatically expected to be able to 'do independence' correctly, right out of the box? This isn't realistic.

And it stands to reason that many revolutions have failed miserably because they started-off from an already

---

disadvantaged position in terms of national (or tribal) material infrastructure, inexperience with direct governance and the usual factional in-fighting common to politics.

This is true. And because they were never allowed to accumulate such knowledge or resources, they were/are forced to learn these skills 'on the job' by 'any means necessary'. Which of course is a limitation, in and of itself. As is the trouble of unacknowledged hubris, the kryptonite of any progressive organisation.

In short, it is vital that revolutionary movements plan ahead and be willing to educate themselves on how to do that which is conductive to building and maintaining national independence. The potential for failure rides squarely upon the way leadership and the intellectual vanguard chooses to deal with (or ignore) the frustrations that develop within any revolutionary endeavour. Frustrations that may, (or may not) manifest in other negative ways throughout the general society. Even right down to the solitary family unit.

This is not very difficult to understand. Although many, especially within the Anglophone World (and Greater Asia) prefer to disregard these considerations in favour of Eugenics, or 'Scientific Racism' arguments that postulate a series of misleading, pseudo-scientific myths rather than a sound, historical analysis. The petite-bourgeoisie aside, for a moment, the people(s) behind what I allude to are not failures because they are non-European, non-White or because they are inherently stupid.

They reside on the low-end of the pecking order because they were forced by circumstance not to know who they were (or are) as an human entity. And they were made to see the world in this way by the designs of other humans. They were/are condemned not by nature, (which can be cruel) and not by a deity, (which the holy books state can be very cruel) but by men just like themselves.

Ethnic inferiority is a myth. Racism is real. Ignorance and access to materials necessary for life are the real dividing lines that separate one people from another. One person from another. One nation from another. Self-aware people cannot be be easily conquered. Just ask the United Kingdom, they have done everything possible to quell the Celtic dream of respective self-governance in Wales; Cornwall; Scotland and the Irish mainland (Eire) to little effect. And Great Britain's struggle to maintain what is left of her empire has over the generations accidentally created a self-replicating monster that has log ago begun to eat its own tail.

Decolonisation, Deconstruction, Despots and Dynamite

'The only thing necessary for the triumph of evil is for good men to do nothing.'

– Edmund Burke

This leads me to raise yet another issue that can ruin a perfectly good and honest revolutionary movement, the menacing threat of the 'Joy-Killer'. Often-times, the individual perpetrator(s) of violence, not those who do the actual planning, are psychologically unstable individuals who want to involve themselves in such activity. These are not soldiers in the strictest sense, since they are not primarily interested in an ideological motive for the utilisation of aggression.

And what's worse, very few people of this persuasion seem to ever bother themselves much with seeking reasonable answers of any sort about anything ever, very often. And when they do think hard, it always seems to be more focused with fanciful nonsense than factual information or even logical conjecture.
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And I am also of the personal opinion, that at least a significant portion of modern popular culture has an empirical impact on the rise of contemporary mass-violence incidents.\textsuperscript{717} I find it incredibly doltish to deny that modern entertainment media, which is almost exclusively geared towards combative subject matter, isn't indicative of an inner, socially-conscious brutality. Popular media, like establishment recognised art, is therefore reflective of the empirical social culture(s) that produce said media. This is true.

It is also true that such material can/will have a degree of psychological effect on some minds already laboured with violent tendencies not centred on pragmatic necessity. There are people, who for whatever reason (because there usually is) simply feel that the only concerns in the world are their own and they operate in public life from exactly this narrow perspective.\textsuperscript{718}

These are people who are, as individuals, motivated principally by selfish, short-term, desire-based, materialistic goals and oddly romantic ideas about a much less-informed, more marginalised past when things, they believe, were 'normal'.\textsuperscript{719} In essence: these are individuals who strongly favour historical periods that they identify as beneficial to their particular social group when as an (isolated) demographic, they enjoyed a certain measure of power, autonomy and undisputed ethnic privilege.

Such individuals are also generally prone to physical posturing when challenged; are often favourable to the use of intimidation and are almost always supportive of the reactionary warmongering that goes along with everyday life in a competitive, caste-conscious culture.

Most importantly, these are people who also seek and crave firm leadership. These are people who are seeking an Ayatollah. And frankly, I perceive such individuals to be problematic potentially threatening to the proper functioning of social order as a whole.

This is not to say that I am recommending that ultra-conservatives be marginalised or treated differently by the rest of their respective population(s).

On the contrary, I would recommend just the opposite, because I think that direct contact – and respectful association and trade – does much to alleviate intercultural misunderstandings if not eliminate them entirely.

I mention this only because I have found that (via both serious investigation and personal experience) these peculiar dynamics are uniquely common to neoconservative, far-right/fascist-leaning personalities, ideologies, social groups and organisations. And if you will note, I did not, as of yet, mention anything about political parties or persuasion. I am referring to ultra-conservative thinking in general, which can exist within any ideology or train of thought. Insular thinking is not thinking at all.

And no liberation movement can succeed if it is lead by a criminal-minded leadership. Period. Such revolutions may win battles and even gain control of the government; military; economic community and the entire state structure – for a time. But because they relied on the elements of threat through arms and happy accidents rather than the basic fundamentals of what it takes to build a nation, such struggles will, in the end, fail ideologically as well as structurally from the inside. No external influences present or needed, simple hubris does it all.
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Ethical Justifications and International Moral Failure

US propagandists and many inside the Miami Cuban-expat community can say what they want about Dr. Fidel Castro Ruz of the Cuban Communist Party, but his revolution was on the whole, 'positive' and it benefited the people of the nation and maintained their political and national independence.  

This cannot be said for the vampire-like, capitalist invaders who dominated the island nation and propped-up its dictators ever since the Spanish lost control of it. And in regards to the US and its relationship to Cuba, it is a case of colonist sour grapes. For Dr. Castro, it was an struggle for idealism, social justice and for love of country. Marxism, came later. And we know that this is true, because many of those who eventually turned against the Castro brothers – like American mercenary William A. Morgan and CIA operative Frank Sturgis – only did so when Russian political influence became a matter of fact.

This is also why I argue that struggles claiming to fight for justice that are based mostly upon simmering animosity against one's oppressor often end up with kangaroo-courts and large groups of citizens lined up against blood-stained walls. Such examples are not about justice, much less civil, social or human justice. Even if it is condoned by those seen as the most righteous politically, revenge killings following revolutions (or to charge-up an uprising) is simply another form of politically-excused barbarism.

And despite what many apologists may say, this is simply terrorism, under the direction of a different oppressor. A situation that has followed many a revolution, the hypocritical abuse of new-found sociopolitical power.

I have never supported bully politics on principle. Why? Because I simply do not support the reactionary use of violence or intimidation as a sensible means of solving a problem. Why? Because truthfully, I just don't think that it works. And I am not a pacifist. Having said that, it should be further understood by the reader that my position does not in any way imply that I do not recognise that there are instances wherein circumstance(s) might compel an otherwise non-violent situation to become instantly (and irreversibly) hostile.

Meaning that on principal, I do support clear cases of rational self-defence and the use of force as a last resort. Cartesian common-sense dictates that no individual, people or nation should ever accept being used as a punching bag – by anyone – under any circumstances, anywhere.

My own personal issues against mixing violence and politics aside, I have never subscribed to the idea as always practical or indeed, even necessary. To me, the rush to violence in politics is pretty obvious evidence that your ideas and beliefs 'don't work' unless you can force other people to submit to your doctrine. It is simply lazy politics. Democracy does have its many failings, but it works when it is allowed to function. Fascism doesn't allow for anything other than what the economically powerful wish to happen. He who owns the gold, makes the rules.

---
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Ashkenazim Terrorism in the Levant

There must not be one law for the Jew and another for the Arabs....In saying this, I do not assume that there are tendencies toward inequality or discrimination. It is merely a timely warning which is particularly necessary because we shall have a very large Arab minority. I am certain that the world will judge the Jewish State by what it will do with the Arabs, just as the Jewish people at large will be judged by what we do or fail to do in this state where we have been given such a wonderful opportunity after thousands of years of wandering and suffering.

– Chaim Weizmann, 'Trial and Error' (1947)

Sociopolitical freedom, economic security and personal liberty are the logical and necessary foundations of a healthy society. And this is precisely why far-right/fascist/totalitarian states always fail in the end. Chiefly because no one is allowed to question the will or the why of the political/military/economic upper-classes and/or the extant leadership within their own hierarchy. True democracy – when allowed to properly function – is not the practise of either mob-rule or elite fiat. It addresses, or seeks to address, communal welfare while fascist-leaning societies focus solely on the needs of a selected few.

This is why nation-states built on the ideological/cultural underpinnings of violence, often remain violent states. Especially if the leaders of an armed front or an organised national resistance retain their situational, unchecked political power and (mob) influence once hostilities finally come to an end. If they ever do.

This is certainly true in the case of the State of Israel. It is a unique case amongst modern states. Mostly because just several years before the nation was granted international recognition, its founders were being hunted down by British intelligence and military forces as a special league of incredibly violent terrorists. Jewish terrorists such as Yitzhak (Yezernitsky) Shamir (codename: 'Mikhail') later gained political and social legitimacy only because their actions were later validated by the reward of statehood.\footnote{"Lord Moyne (1880-1944) British Minister of State" ( http://twitthat.com/sJbOk )}

I ask the reader to stop here for a moment and to seriously consider the above. Especially since the entire world's political and legal system has been altered to suit the needs of the US-led 'War on Terror'. Because in essence, by recognising the State of Israel – as the world powers did in 1948 – those same international authorities, by fiat, also 'legitimised' the anti-social, anti-democratic methods Jewish extremists used to achieve their nationalistic goals.

I personally find this situation to be a fiercely hypocritical and morally questionable state of affairs, given review of the material facts of the matter. (See: the 'Irgun Tsفا\'i-Leumi' (Etzel) or the 'Stern Gang' (Lohamei Herut Israel, or, Lehi) and the 'Bombing of the King David Hotel').

Without a doubt, the founding dynamics of the State of Israel can be directly connected to the violent methods used by their decidedly atheist, politically right-wing armed fronts against Great Britain and her allies. To deny this history flatly contradicts all of the known facts. And it is also beyond doubt that the far-right ideologies behind the hard methods used by right-wing Zionist organisations that were operating within British Palestine (and in Britain) still exist in present-day Israeli internal political policy.\footnote{"Israel Forcibly Injected African Immigrants with Birth Control, Report Claims - Forbes http://ow.ly/HEtOp -- 1/28/2013"} \footnote{"Racist Article in Spy Journal Calls for Killing 100,000 Muslim “Zealots” | Firedoglake http://ow.ly/HQsmN -- By:}\footnote{727 728 This not up for}
dispute, it is a matter of the public record.\textsuperscript{729}

Very early on, far-right Revisionist Zionists and their organised armed forces (such as the famed Haganah) – always in pursuit of an opportunity to claim someone else’s territory for a Jewish homeland – decided against any consideration of the indigenous Arab population at all.\textsuperscript{730} They were simply not willing to compromise on this issue. They wanted Palestine, lock, stock and bloody barrel and willing to get it by any means necessary. As articulated by none other than Ze’ev Jabotinsky, founder of the Mussolini-inspired Beitar\textsuperscript{731} and the acknowledged paterfamilias to far-right Zionist theorists worldwide:

’ve we conclude that we cannot promise anything to the Arabs of the Land of Israel or the Arab countries. Their voluntary agreement is out of the question. Hence those who hold that an agreement with the natives is an essential condition for Zionism can now say “no” and depart from Zionism. Zionist colonization, even the most restricted, must either be terminated or carried out in defiance of the will of the native population. This colonization can, therefore, continue and develop only under the protection of a force independent of the local population – an iron wall which the native population cannot break through. This is, in toto, our policy towards the Arabs. To formulate it any other way would only be hypocrisy.’

– Vladimir Jabotinsky, The Iron Wall - We and the Arabs (1923)

This is just one excerpt from that particular work. And I could have easily culled several more passages as a means of validating my point. But as far as I am concerned, this particular section says it all. Especially in terms of where the Jewish far-right was (and is) coming from morally. It lays out an open admission of total disdain for the natural territorial rights of other, equally oppressed and colonialised 'lesser peoples'. Once the By haughtily viewing the Palestinian People as a race that is 'standing in the way', anything became possible.

Therefore, any civil discourse that did occur was just for appearances sake on the part of the Jewish negotiators. Because in reality, they fully intended to take over the whole of Arab Palestine by force of arms from the indigenous Arab population (See: Deir Yassin\textsuperscript{732} and the Sabra and Shatila massacre\textsuperscript{733}, through the use of terrorism against Great Britain and its officials, (See: the extreme Zionist assassinations of Lord Moyne\textsuperscript{734} and Count Folke Bernadotte\textsuperscript{735} in the region.\textsuperscript{736}

These events, and many, many others, really happened. And the politically accepted ‘doctrine of denial’ has become a major theme of Israel’s internal policies, its aggressive, octopus-like propaganda machine and its hypocritical (and hideously shameful) national character as an ethnocentric, 'Jewish State' of post-WW2 genocide refugees.

\textsuperscript{731} The fundamentals of the Betarian world By Ze'ev Jabotinsky - Palestine Remembered (http://twitthat.com/nBuvD)
\textsuperscript{732} “SABRA AND SHATILA By Robert Fisk” (http://twitthat.com/lboUO)
\textsuperscript{733} ”Remembering Sabra & Shatila: The death of their world - Region - World - Ahram Online” (http://twitthat.com/ieOtZ) Amira Howeidy, Sunday 16 Sep 2012
\textsuperscript{734} “Yitzhak Shamir: Why we killed Lord Moyne | The Times of Israel” (http://twitthat.com/Qc6br) By Joanna Saidel July 5, 2012, 10:43 am
\textsuperscript{735} ”The Assassination of Count Bernadotte | Jewish Virtual Library” (http://twitthat.com/RZ7z0)
\textsuperscript{736} ”Israel's forgotten hero: The assassination of Count Bernadotte - and the death of peace - Middle East - World - The Independent” (http://twitthat.com/6B2Jh) By Donald Macintyre, Thursday 18 September 2008
We can bring this to a close by quickly looking at one example of this dark theme, the brutal, far-right Zionist assassination of the Irish-born, **Lord Moyne** (Walter Edward Guinness, 1st Baron Moyne) by a Lehi wet team in 1944. For some time, Zionist extremists had targeted Lord Moyne in print for special condemnation as a vicious, pro-Arab, Judeophobe and eugenicist (in reference to his scientific views on 'hybrid' versus 'Pure' peoples) who worked tirelessly against Jewish interests in Mandate Palestine.

**Dark Zionist Matter**

In truth, Lord Moyne was a conservative-minded diplomat who strongly promoted the formation of either a joint Arab/Jewish state, (with equally-autonomous and respective political powers) or, two separate nation-state entities, one Arab, (i.e., Palestine/Transjordan/Syria) the other, 'Jewish'. He saw either option, with adjustments, as an equitable solution to a serious problem: the 'repatriation' of European ethnic refugees (with a weak theological claim) within an already densely populated, foreign-occupied country of Arab Peoples who can trace their family lines far beyond the Roman Empire induced Jewish Diaspora in 70 CE.

Lord Moyne was trying to be fair. But because of this, the leadership of Lehi, (including future Israel prime minister **Yitzhak Shamir**) decided, amongst themselves, that Lord Moyne (and his chauffeur, Lance Corporal Arthur Fuller) deserved to die. As openly admitted by Mr. Shamir during an interview with journalist **Joanna Saidel for the Times of Israel in 2012**:

> 'He was an anti-Semite, Lord Moyne. And he had a hand in the White Paper, in carrying out the White Paper policy. I don't say that I would have, if I had to decide, that I would decide that he ought to be shot, but once he was shot there were good reasons for him being shot. And it was because we had people like Abba Eban on the other side that we had so much trouble, and our acts of resistance, which were justified, which were much less than any other people would have carried out, were undermined by people like Abba Eban, not that he had very much authority in those days'

There it is. One of the main leaders of Lehi, a Jewish terrorist organisation, telling a reporter that Lord Moyne was justifiably killed because he supported a fair plan for a post-war, Jewish-inclusive, 'Middle East'. Labelling him an Arabist within their highly-biased, far-right propaganda made his assassination by extremists like Eliahu Bet-Zouri and Eliahu Hakim a distinct possibility. They worked to create an atmosphere of hatred against the man as an individual.

And following the assassination, the killers; their dramatic capture; the trial and their subsequent execution became rallying fodder for extremist propaganda directed to motivate the Yishuv for pressing forward against England with violent methods. This was the group's first successful operation against British assets in Palestine. And it forced then Prime Minister Churchill to admit that the far-right element within radical Zionism was risking becoming exactly that which had haunted them in Fascist (Christian) Europe:

> 'If our dreams for Zionism are to end in the smoke of assassins' pistols and our labours for its future to produce only a new set of gangsters worthy of Nazi Germany, many like myself will have to reconsider the position we have maintained so consistently and so long in the past. If there is to be any hope of a peaceful and successful future for Zionism, these wicked activities must cease, and those responsible for them must be destroyed root and branch.'

-- **House of Commons debates / 17 Nov 1944**

This did not happen. Lehi continued its terrorist operations against the British in Palestine and often collaborated (alongside Irgun and the left-wing Haganah) in repressing the spirit of indigenous Arab

---

737 "Palestine (Terrorist Activities): 17 Nov 1944: House of Commons debates - TheyWorkForYou" (http://twitthat.com/HMbeH)
resistance and rebellion against their displacement.

Being extreme nationalists, the Zionist 'fighters' felt morally justified to spare their Arab victims 'no quarter' during their bloody, intentionally depopulating raids against rural Palestinian villages. (See: 'Operation Nachshon' and 'Deir Yassim')

And most importantly, in consideration of what was just quoted from Sir Churchill, these organised military operations (it must be said) were conducted 'in the open', with full British government knowledge and possibly, some material (and certainly intelligence) support. Britain knew what would occur and they allowed for it to happen anyway. For their own political and ideological reasons.738

It should also be noted here that some Jews, mostly political leftists such as David Ben-Gurion (then David Grün) – after a time – publicly attempted to steer Jewish politics away from hard-line absolutes. But his faction lost the internal ideological battle and went along with the far-right programme all the way to the United Nations. Others, such as Israel Eldad (a fascistic Lehi commander that was officially listed as a domestic threat to state security) have been much more candid in their analysis, with him writing that:

'without Deir Yassin the State of Israel could never have been established.'

– Israel Eldad, 'Maaser Rishon' (1950)739

As an end note, we would do well to remember that the remains of the assassins of Lord Moyne were later exhumed and shipped to the State of Israel, where they were 'repatriated' and hailed as national heroes and 'martyrs' in the struggle for Jewish 'liberation' in British Occupied Palestine. And virtually no one within the contemporary Zionist movement is willing to identify these men as 'terrorists'.

I am of the mind that this is a uniquely abominable precedent that is all the more heinous because it is a popular position held amongst many (but not all) post-World War Two Jews who rant incessantly about their opposition to (Arab) terrorist violence. If terrorism is wrong in the current scheme of political traffic, it was just as negative when right-wing Jewish extremists used it against Great Britain and the indigenous Arab Peoples of Palestine.

There is a real and obvious double-standard at play here. Unrepentant, indiscriminate violence has failed to lead anyone to an actual condition, or state, of true 'freedom'. And most professional terrorists (such as leftist opportunist Ilich Ramírez, a.k.a., 'Carlos the Jackal') did it – mostly – for money, the notoriety and the excitement.740

Very few causes can be justified by the use of violence when it is used as a tool to induce fear and social instability.

And frankly, I can think of none. However, the State of Israel has always 'justified' their use of violence as righteous 'retribution'. Even when it is empirically clear that many of these cases are simply state-sponsored acts of right-wing murder conducted by Israel's leadership to send a message to their chosen opposition.
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Much of this all-or-nothing attitude can be traced back to the European Holocaust and the Pogroms of the past which allowed few Jews any security anywhere on the subcontinent. This was also the same year that the SS Exodus, the Haganah-owned transport ship carrying some 4,515 European Holocaust survivors from Marseilles to British-occupied Palestine, was stopped by the British Royal Navy\textsuperscript{741} en route and its human cargo forcibly commandeered back to post-war, (occupation zone) Hamburg, Germany: ‘Operation Oasis’.\textsuperscript{742}

All of this is fair to raise because it is true. Jews have suffered – as a group and as individuals – greatly. Israel has become exactly what it has always – justifiably – hated. And because of this, many people are dying. And we are all dealing with the angry after-effects of post-World War Two racist colonialism being imposed by a people, who themselves, have suffered from centuries of hateful, European xenophobia. The cycle of violence can only end when we all decide to make it end. Not before.

**Understanding the Psychic Extremes of the Reactionary Mind**

*’If the 13th, 14th, & 15th Amendment were authentic we wouldn’t have a race problem in America’*

– Brother Minster Malcolm X

There is intense debate in many universities around the world about the level of acceptable violence and class-marginalisation within the social and political culture of imperial Rome. The gore of the Coliseum, as portrayed in many classic Hollywood films, has maintained the image of a bloodthirsty, caste-divided society, While at the same time, helping to maintain the illusion of European advancement and grandeur over 'lesser', 'darker' peoples.

Modern viewers (and readers) are allowed to 'pick and choose'. Do they side with Marc Antony and Cleopatra? (Who many Afrocentrists mistakenly believe was a Black African)\textsuperscript{743} Or should they admire Alexander the Great because he managed to control so much of his world as a practising bisexual warrior king? (Which challenges the modern perspectives of Homosexual 'weakness'.)

The Greek and Roman Empires are commended for the rise and spread of 'civilisation' throughout the 'known' world. But the fact that this occurred through the means of invasion, occupation and murder of the resisting population(s) is also accepted as a 'necessary evil'. And this reality is rarely questioned seriously, much less deconstructed critically in relation to 'post-colonial' studies in modern political discourse and debate.

My point here being this, as conscious peoples, we must always be suspect of bias and systemic indifference to injustice in all of its forms and guises. Even amongst our own comrades, (without being Stalin-like thought police). I say this because belief systems, political ideologies and hateful ethnic biases are generally cover stories for quarrels that initially began over the possession of natural resources; (including women) living and hunting grounds; the painful exercise of involuntary human labour and the pure, unadulterated greed for power by greedy individuals.

And many cultures around the world have manifested these factors as the 'cultural' attributes that serve to
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define a people as a unique, collective identity – even if they are not aware of it.\[744\] \[745\]

These and other oft-neglected factors should be addressed as well. Such as the predatory engagement of the not-too-nice folks we sometimes identify as born cut-throats, the violent people who are motivated primarily by the promise of quick profits and personal power. For them, violence is a tool of trade and widespread civil-unrest is an opportunity for business. They are drawn to the smell of blood in the water and what's worse, they attract mindless sycophants, eager to participate in the insanity. Often for reasons even they cannot always clearly articulate.\[746\]

Still, there are others, who will enter into the hostility simply out of the fear that if they do not participate, they might very well become victims themselves. This is yet another factor that should never be neglected in any analysis on violence and racialism: decent people may – in times of serious threat, or the fear of a threat – sometimes act like not-so-decent people until the crisis passes.

When violence has risen to this level, in some very rare cases, the utilisation of a heavy-handed police or military presence may be necessary to quell the spreading of further, more indiscriminate waves of violence. But in most, if not all cases, widespread mayhem will continue until the general public's morbid desire and acceptance for it finally peters out.\[747\] Although states may take full advantage of the chaos and misuse the unrest to justify unnecessary martial law scenarios that may, or may not, be logically or legally justified.\[748\]

This is, in my opinion, the very basis of social violence: it cannot occur with any regularity unless the larger general public (as a collective) makes allowances for it. This is also why entrenched social prejudices are so difficult to resolve.\[749\] They do much to provide both official justification for state-sponsored brutality and public rationalisations for exploitation of the 'Other' in all of its terrible forms.

And many people, both in the oppressed classes as well as the oppressive upper-strata(s), are more concerned with 'forgetting' about their negative history of racial hatred than remembering how their world (and privilege) came into being.\[750\]

To be clear with the reader, I vehemently deny the right-wing argument that their particular ideology is directed towards peaceful relations and social betterment of the human experiment. Many make it unambiguous that they are about White Power over every other race on the planet and make no apologies about it.\[751\] Because it isn't. But simply because I can also say the same thing about the left-wing as well, (a statement that within itself exposes the blatant Westernism inherent within the question) does not mean that all things in the universe are equal.

Conservative idealism is not necessarily a bad thing, it has its place. So does liberalism. But historically
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speaking, conservative paradigms – regardless of Left-Right political ideology – are responsible for a great deal of human damage. But trying to discuss this is a hard sell. As MIT linguistics professor Noam Chomsky has suggested, the corruption of basic terminology by US propagandists has confused language so much that it is now very difficult to engage in conversation without resorting to 'talking-points' and over-simplicity. Terms like 'Conservative' and 'Liberal' or 'Libertarian' no longer mean what they used to.

Professional propaganda wizards like Frank Luntz twist language into newspeak, so one does not need to actually 'prove' that Dr. Fidel Castro Ruz is a violent mass murderer, because 'Everyone knows that' he is a mass murderer, no explanation needed. This is how 'Global Warming' became 'Climate Change'. It is an opinion accepted as common knowledge despite the wealth of contradictory, factual information that could provide a more balanced view.

Far-Right and Totalitarian Nomenclature

We can see the very same political/cultural dynamics within many societies that were either 'empirical' in their own way, (such as the Mayan, Oyo and Angkor) economically predatory, (such as the United States, the United kingdom and Canada) or those with a generalised cultural reputation for belligerence, (such as the Cherokee, Celts and Citak) who themselves often migrated to 'acquire' what had to have been previously occupied lands. So it would be foolish to assume that their 'ancient world' imperialism was any less violent than more recent European, or Chinese encroachment.

Pol Pot's revolution was communist. But it was also reactionary and very ultra-conservative. And look at the results. The Georgian 'Uncle Joe', Ioseb Besarionis dze Jughashvili (Joseph Stalin) and his government reflected not communist ideas (or even Leninism after a while) but his own very conservative, personal biases. He took Lenin's idea – that an intellectual vanguard could (and should) use the powers of a dictatorship to force democratic change towards 'true' socialism – and stopped at dictatorship. No one can argue about the negativity of what happened there. If Stalin is considered a diabolical embarrassment, to even the most orthodox of loyal Marxists, what does that tell you? At least the issue is acknowledged by critical analysis, especially by Trotskyists and progressive labour socialists.
Despite what many of us might think today about V. Lenin, he was a committed revolutionary thinker who thought it was justified to use political violence as a tactic against a state security apparatus that utilised malicious gendarme brutality (Uryadniki); the bad-jacketing and assassination of dissidents by the 'Third Department' of the H.I.M. Own Chancery and the Ministry of Internal Affairs (MVD) and other covert operations in a desperate effort to maintain the failing Tsarist system.

Anti-monarchy political groups; Jews; Romani and other social/ethnic minorities were regularly abused and used as scapegoats by the Okhrana (who most likely created the false, 'Protocols of the Meetings of the Learned Elders of Zion') the criminal 'Black-Hundreds' thugs (who performed a number of 'allowed' Judeophobic activities); the bourgeoisie and the kulaks as rural people (and many urban dwellers) starved in the open, living under revolting circumstances.

Lenin, as a revolutionary, therefore saw the use of violence (not simply an armed front) as a necessary tool against an undeniably violent, state order that used violence. By saying that I am not making a judgement call against Lenin, or an argument in favour of hostility. I am merely pointing out an observable fact based upon the circumstances lodged within the established historical record.

This is vastly different from what Stalin did. He made the choice, personally, to not only institute state terror and violence as a political policy, but to make it a way of life for the people(s) of his own country. And because of this, the remnants of his decisions can be see today in the streets, office buildings, political suites; intelligence cabals and urine-stained alleyways of the 'new', very capitalist, Russian republic.

Transgressive Left-Wing Conservatism

The left-wing has its dark horses too. Although Lenin had considered him useful in the early years of the struggle, (Stalin was an excellent organiser and agitator) he later grew impatient with Stalin's bitter xenophobia, his secretive back-room conniving and his idiosyncratic penchant for brutal action against his chosen enemies.

The story goes that on his deathbed, Lenin had warned his fellow Bolshevik Leon Trotsky to either 'get rid of Stalin' immediately after his passing, or quickly leave the country because his Jewishness made him a clear target. Trotsky fled Russia in 1929 under duress and Stalin's agents caught up with him in Mexico City, Mexico in 1940.

So before the rabidly vindictive right-wing punters can begin whining to each other over their pints about how unfair I am being here, I have raised the question myself: 'Can leftists be brutish'? And the obvious answer to that query is a very certain, yes. Ask the surviving (if there are any) 'capitalist roaders' who are silently witnessing the aggressive westernisation, capitalisation and evangelical Christianisation of modern post-Maoist China. But generally speaking, the personalities drawn to the trenches of the left-wing strive for principles that represent universal fairness, (although they might go about it in ill-thought, often selfish ways).

Illustration 101: V.I. Lenin - Source: Unknown
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While rightists always fight (dirty) to forcibly shape the world in such a fashion as to make themselves feel safe and secure as individual people with personal security issues.

They can care less about the masses. They are the cultural descendants of the early peoples who lived behind thick, high walls of stone in order to protect themselves against invading marauders and each other. (See: 'List of cities with defensive walls' - Wikipedia http://ow.ly/FvQNI) Leftist states may force different peoples to live, school and work together, (even if they don't want to) but at least it is (ideologically speaking) an attempt to ensure a race-neutral playing field, an ethical principle right-wing states cannot make claim to recognise, much less uphold.

Therefore, the chasm of difference between the two perspectives is wide: one is at root egalitarian and willing to fight for it – the other is selfish and willing to lie, intimidate, rob and murder to get what it wants.

This is true. And conservatives of any political stripe are prone to stretching the truth as far as they need it to go in order to to appear honourable. But leave it to the sociopolitical right and to the far-right to use lies, misinformation and subterfuge as a matter of practised habit, because they know that most sane people would reject their paranoid, xenophobic world-view outright if given the choice. When Tories and Republicans speak of a fairer world, they really mean a fair world 'for themselves', not for everyone.

They speak of equal rights and equality before the law, but this is the apathetic nonsense they tell themselves during the long, cold, dark hours of the night, when their own nightmarish visions catch up to them. Much like the men who wrote and disseminated the infamous, 'Malleus Maleficarum' 'White Male panic' is a real phenomenon. And it will defensively argue, without evidence, that the 'real' problem with minorities and occupied peoples is our intense dislike of being treated as second or third-class human beings.

I mention all of this to lay a foundation for a discussion about not just the existence of the far-right, but the sociopolitical and economic factors that give rise (and comfort) to fascism itself. In particular, the ways in which fascism has managed to sustain itself in an age of overwhelming, cultural, electronic and economic cosmopolitanism and greater global consciousness. It means something when the chosen enemies of...
neoconservative and far-right politics can make legitimate public claims that correctly denounce the capitalist world of major hypocrisy, bullying and unlimited diplomatic guile.786

So when I raise the question of marginalisation and violence within societies that lean to the right and the far-right, I am speaking of systemic brutality, not the sort of wavering, sometimes political, sometimes personal combat as seen in the Repúblicade Moçambique (Mozambique) during its long civil war (1977-1992) following independence from Portugal.

That sort of violence is generally opportunistic in nature and not directed towards a particular purpose. Further, it is not fair to make note of reactionary social resistance in left-wing nations or movements without mentioning the overt and subvert pressure weighed against them by foreign, anti-communist/anti-socialist government policies crafted by renegade, ultra-conservative military officers, world religious leaders and vulture capitalists along with help from state-sanctioned criminal organisations who are in it strictly for the profit and political influence.788 789 790

True Lies, Comfortable Mythologies and the 'Good' Hatred'

'I Guess Y’all Can’t Breathe Either.’

– The Game

(US rap artist, in response to news that two police officers were shot in a random attack.)

Certain things are known, others speculated. But the fact remains, political violence is (often) a terrifying circumstance of sociopolitical neglect. In the case of Mozambique, the far-right Resistência Nacional Moçambicana (RENAMO) rebels fighting against the decidedly socialist Frente de Libertação de Moçambique (FRELIMO) of Samora Moisés Machel were trained and funded by professional soldiers (mercenaries) working on behalf of the governments of Apartheid South Africa and Ian Smith's Rhodesia with suspected covert assistance from the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA).792

I am in no way denying that FRELIMO did not pursue a number of politically foolish polices or that the deep poverty, disease and starvation conditions under the regime were not due to conservative socialism's glaring ideological shortcomings.

Not at all. My point here is that the FRELIMO government was not allowed to function as a viable state due to rightist, White colonialist efforts in Africa working hard from the outside to damage the state internally. Just as what occurred in post-revolution Zimbabwe, white mercenaries (aided by Africans more loyal to western interests than their own) sabotaged crops; poisoned water; cut telephone lines to rural communities; hijacked humanitarian aid deliveries to the needy and terrorised the civilian population.

And while it is undeniably true that human rights offences were committed on both sides of the dispute, it was the far-right supported RENAMO; anti-communist Anglo paramilitaries and organised criminals who
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helped exacerbate the national murder rate. It isn't that FRELIMO wasn't above killing (in the thousands) as a means of consolidating power within the divided country, its that the perpetual cycle of violence seen in 'post-colonial' Africa is in large part the end-result of desperate efforts by both right-wing Europeans and their neoconservative/neoliberal indigenous by-products to maintain positive, capitalist-control over the 'dark' continent, its people(s) and its vast natural resources.

And although the average conservative African in the Diaspora may not want to hear this, the harsh truth is: hateful White people are certainly the root, but not the whole of the problem. And we will never progress until we deal with that reality in concrete terms. We do, like any other human group, face very real internal liabilities that must be addressed if we are to not only improve our lot, but simply to survive.

It is incredibly backward, intellectually lazy and completely racist to ignore the awkward dynamics of indigenous, 'Black' political sell-outs; ju-ju-protected dictators-for-life; domestic military madmen; a greedy (and treasonous) merchant-class and the stifling effect of multi-generational, educational poverty. These issues will not go away on their own. And denial of these pertinent issues is fundamentally, no different than the abject denialism we observe (and laugh at) on the right-side of the political spectrum.

In refusing to address these issues, our focus is wasted on posturing and self-hypnotic songs of liberation that in the end amount to nothing. And the unacknowledged psycho-social historical traumas of slavery and the results of negative-population depletion continue unabated.

But denying that the problem(s) exist and that we are ultimately responsible for fixing them helps no one but those interested in profiting from the chaos. Be they Black, White, Asian or otherwise. This is, at its base, an entirely racist position. And it is not very difficult to understand why it exists.

Much like their White counterparts, African conservatives and far-rightists have a habit of blaming others for their own limitations. For example, many Afrocentrists enjoy citing quotes from the fictitious, 'Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion' as fact. And most who reference the forgery are unaware (or don't really care) of its questionable provenance or, the fact that their prized copies were more than likely produced by a neo-Nazi or Ku Klux Klan underground imprint profiting from the distribution of hate material. Including books that are far more than just critical of African Peoples.

individual shortcomings such as drug abuse and/or alcoholism; perceived social deviances (i.e.,
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Homosexuality/Atheism and suspected 'feminisation' of Black masculinity through the mass-media as the main hindrances of the Black community. For many of these conservatives, anti-African ethnic bigotry is a natural result of the failure of the Black race to maintain an 'authentic' sense of true 'Blackness'. Be it genetic, cultural or otherwise.

For many Black conservatives, (and all fascists of any background) viewing themselves as 'on and from the bottom' is disturbing. African racial purity therefore, is of paramount concern. In this sense, 'race'-ism begins as a protective measure. But of course, this over-simplification also leaves open the door to shady justifications for mindless hatreds and biases passed-off as emotional platitudes for the 'love for one's own race'.

And non-Europeans are not immune from this sort of 'negative-tribalism'. Africans and all other non-Europeans suffering under neo-liberalism and neocolonialism must be cognizant of one thing: this train of thought is no different from the paranoid, xenophobic dribble written by European-American Unity and Rights Organization (EURO) founder Dr. David Duke or paleoconservative, White Supremacist writer, miscegenation hypocrite (he is married to an Asian woman born and raised in Communist China) and all-around racist John Derbyshire. Any organisation and/or ideology that can accept this sort of thinking as legitimate political science is, as the late Fred Hampton Sr. put it, is a 'megalomaniac' one and therefore, a real danger to true liberation.

Using racism as a political platform is stupid. Nothing good can come of it. Even so, many Black conservatives sincerely believe, as The Hon. Marcus Garvey certainly did, that by mimicking European racism, it would be possible to attain a similar level of power and privilege. This is again, stupid thinking. Ethnic co-operation (cultural cohesion and co-operation) is one thing. But belief in an ultra-strict code of ethnic cohesion (racialism) paired with fundamentalist religion and ultra-nationalism is something else entirely. And any educated person raised after World War Two should be aware of the end-results of that sort of thinking.

African conservatives who believe that the Black (man) will return to 'his' former state of splendour and glory before the emergence of the White European (and Arab) 'ruined everything' by being hateful and racist are missing the point. Europeans have the advantages they enjoy because of a special set of unique advantages (or conditions) not because they are the 'Master Race' or because of a supposed, genetically-deficient, 'Bell Curve' either:

- First, most Europeans entered into the game of world exploration and selfish, international exploitation because the subcontinent itself lacked viable natural resources. Northern Europeans, The Vikings, raided, robbed and killed everywhere they travelled. Mostly in search of lumber for their sea-crafts. Christian 'Crusades' into the Middle East (Palestine) were inspired by widespread poverty in western and central Europe. Spanish and Portuguese Conquistadores entered the Americas, Africa and Asia to steal gold, precious stones and human cargo. Not to explore, to teach the word of G-d or to spread 'peace'.

- The European, unlike many other continental demographics, can lay claim to a history that is jam-packed with internecine brigandage, genocides and centuries of mutually-ruinous internal bickering. This indubitably gave Spain, Portugal and Great Britain a distinct advantage over external societies that were not accustomed to large-scale, long-term military engagements or with defending overseas adventures and colonial outposts.

- Lastly, European cultural and geopolitical dominance is the end-result of the circumstances listed
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above, combined with an unlimited amount of guile and a discernible lack of scruples. Europeans began with rough-shoes (so to speak) because their circumstances lead them to seek for means elsewhere. In other words, the White man was compelled to do what he did because he needed to steal in order to survive. And since those early days, this 'need' became a 'tradition' which in time, settled down into a 'cultural' habit. So 'White Power' then, is not a matter of superior genetics, rather, it is a by-product of multiple-generations of violent, condemnable behaviour rationalised as racial, or ethnic privilege.

United States scientist Jared M. Diamond, the author of 'Guns, Germs, and Steel: The Fates of Human Societies' (1997) makes it pretty clear that early Europeans and North Africans (Caucasians, eugentically-speaking) were the inheritors of specific environmental and geographic advantages that informed their social development, their group sustainability as well as their ability (or inability) to interact with other human groups.

He makes the objective point that it isn't human biology or 'race' that is a factor in a people's societal evolution, (since race is an unscientific concept anyway) but instead, the roles of ancient weather patterns; agriculture and food production; animal domestication and breeding; population density; diseases and the warfare over vital resources is what makes for differences between cultures. Not melanin. And certainly not, pale skin. (See: 'Native Americans Descended From A Single Ancestral Group, DNA Study Confirms' -- ScienceDaily)

African neoconservatives, of any theological or educational persuasion, generally bypass this sort of data in favour of 'theories' that can be adjusted as needed to suit their own particular fundamentalism and the usual conspiracy fears that feed many far-right, racist ideas. But the basic reasons on why Africa is doing as poorly as it is, is because of a long-list of factors, including the Maafa, or, 'The De-Peopling of Africa', a retarded system of indigenous educational and economic development and unending tether of national debt owed to the world's banks and creditors.

The Open-Market of 'Post-Colonial' Africa

Africa has what is called a 'Low Development' level by the UN, meaning, that the poverty rate on the continent is far greater than what its local economies can cope with. Basic human needs are simply unable to be met, while at the same time, most of the nations affected host a wealth of abundant natural resources that are vital to the functioning of the capitalist world. This is just one issue Africans everywhere could be concerned about. Not Homosexuality, not Albinos and certainly not 'Witches'. These are issues that White people are primarily concerned about and they expend quite a bit of resources to push these retrograde ideas throughout Africa.
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This sort of cultural intervention by both Europeans (westernisation) and Arabs (in terms of Islam) is not new. And because of this history, a number of theories exist – that circulate strictly within the African World – about the origin of White People(s) and why they have been so harsh towards non-Whites. In the Americas, many of these ideas stem from African slaves who reinterpreted stories based on Christian beliefs and favoured psalms combined with their faint memories of Africa's own religious rhythms. The Nation of Islam, a unique sect of American African Islam, has taught something along these lines too. Only, they added a very different twist.

Philosophically speaking, (as taught by the NOI) it was an insane, antisocial man of science named Yakob (Jacob) who created the first White man as an act of terrorism against his own society.818

According to this story, Arab and European hatred against the original Asiatic Black Man is explained as a historical constant that began over six thousand years ago with a genetic experiment on the island of Patmos.819

Reportedly, a 'mad' scientist from Mecca who had learned how to medically separate and then 'graft' human DNA (germ) generated a number of 'Brown' humans with antagonistic personality traits. They in turn carried on with his work, eventually leading to a significant population of 'Yellow' and then, 'White' human beings.

The legend goes on to say that this 'new' race of people entered Mecca, tried to overrun it through force and were later defeated and banned to the 'wilderness of Europe.'820 There, these 'savages' (or, 'Devils') were 'roped in', to protect the rest of the world from their (innate) brutality. They were later 'blessed' when they encountered the teachings of the 'true religion' (by the Biblical prophet, Moses) and 'saved' from their barbarity by this divine intervention.

Then there is the 'scientific' and 'educational' arena of Afrocentric discourse and debate.821 Here, Revisionist Afrocentric scholars, such as Dr. Frances Cress Welsing, have suggested (like the NOI) that Caucasians are a genetically-inferior, biological group822 suffering from generations of mutated (or corrupted) DNA/RNA and other inherited biological errors. According to her, this has engendered a 'jealousy' in Caucasians that has manifested over the generations into an 'inbred' sense of ethnic hatred. Particularly against Black African males:

'I have said all of the above to state that, yes, there is envy in the white supremacy culture, but it began with the white male's envy of the genetic power residing in the Black male's testicles and phallus. Perhaps there was also envy of the comparatively longer length of the Black phallus. The sense of his relative genetic weakness and inferiority compared to Black males (because Black is always genetically dominant to white) caused the white male to attempt to project "inferiority" on white females as
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If you are shocked by what you just read, don't be. Indigenous Americans and imported African human-chattel have been listening to similar revisionist drivel from Europeans for more than five centuries. Traditional European hegemony and extremist White Power activities are what caused the development of a separatist, Black Power ideology as a levelling tactic against racial marginalisation. And this, is just one of the many undeniable similarities between Black extremists and their White, equally-racist, political counterparts. (See: 'Nation of Islam and antisemitism' – Wikipedia)

And I argue further, as I did in a previous work: 'The Mismeasures of Radical Afrocentrism: Indigenist Thoughts on African Fascism and the Failure of Master Race Narratives' (2014) that the connections between the two groups aren't always as deeply partisan as they care let on.

At least from the African side of the aisle, there have been several attempts by conservative Black nationalists to 'level the playing field' over the years by engaging in communication with the American Nazi Party, the Ku Klux Klan and the White Aryan Resistance. And as of late, renegade elements within the NAACP have also begun attempting similar overtures towards what is supposed to be a 'new' Obama-era, Ku Klux Klan.

Covert Warfare Aspirations

If you still have doubts, consider that NOI veteran Jeremiah Shabazz has made it very clear that the Hon. Elijah Muhammad was willing to work alongside the Klan in return for a clearly defined, 'Blacks Only', terrority within North America and a non-aggression pact between the NOI and the KKK. He viewed this as a fair meeting of minds between the leadership of two 'racial groups' at odds with each other. This was the very same position of Marcus Garvey when he met with the Klan himself in 1922.

Would the Klan willing to work alongside 'Mud People'? And what did the Klan want in return for their collaboration and protection? Bro. Shabazz testifies that the Klan representatives asked the NOI to help the Klan assassinate The Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., since, he was presenting a 'problem' for the goals of both organisations. As I mention in my analysis on the subject, it was the far-right, xenophobic rhetoric of the NOI that convinced the Klan’s elite leadership that these far-rightist Negroes would be interested in their dastardly little plan.

To their credit, the NOI denied that request. Even for them, that was just too much. As Bro. Min. Malcolm X explained in a written response to a New York City newspaper reporter:
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‘I shall never rest until I have undone the harm I did to so many well-meaning, innocent Negroes who through my own evangelistic zeal now believe in him even more fanatically and more blindly than I did. . . . I totally reject Elijah Muhammad’s racist philosophy, which he has labeled ‘Islam’ only to fool and misuse gullible people.’

– Malcolm X, 'The Last Speeches'

Bro. Malcolm was not a fool. He understood that behind the scenes, the extremists on both sides of the US racialist divide were united in their narrow, fundamentalist world-view despite their obvious differences. Further, being a participant in these meetings, Bro. Malcolm understood that the White Power side only came to issue their directives, not to discuss their mutual concerns. They were there to give orders, not parley. And worse, the NOI was open to the idea, so long as they got their 40 acres and a mule, generations late, 'by any means necessary'.

Anyone with common-sense can perceive the blatant hypocrisy in this. Perhaps, even as a symptom of a much greater, self-induced illness than that brought about by foreign (Arab and European) colonialism in Africa. This too is an important point, because for the African right-wing, their political education begins and ends with blaming European foreign colonialism and systemic racism for everything they see wrong with the continent and with the 'race'. And this is true, but only up to a point.

Equal Opportunity Fascism

External political subterfuge aside, the reluctance of many African intellectuals to speak out against Afro-Fascism, and/or, Revisionist Afrocentrism, is alarming in its own right. The oft-used: 'One Africa, One People, One God' rallying cry of the Revisionist Afrocentrists is ideologically fascist to its very core.834

Think about what that mantra would mean if it came from a European, (which is one point European bigots can correctly cite, if only for all the wrong reasons). And please be honest with yourself, dear reader.

Because if you agree with such views, ask yourself a question: are they seemingly 'different' to your ears – simply because the ideas are coming from Black folk? If that is so, perhaps it is time for you to consider that White racism has subconsciously shaped your perspective. Most likely, without your being conscious of it. And it isn't your fault.

This really isn't difficult to understand. But it is difficult for those lumbered by such confusions to admit that their logic is totally faulty. Again, please be honest with yourself – how many many people readily admit to being wrong – even when it is empirically clear that they are entirely mistaken? Especially, in terms of subjects that relate to issues of 'faith' and nationalism? These are areas nearly all individuals are subject to self-consideration first, the larger group concerns, a close second.

And when such individuals decide to take a stand on a subject, it is very, very difficult to get them to concede that their line of reasoning isn't as airtight as they (and some others) would like to believe. Frankly, I am of the mind that most far-rightists know full well that they are wrong. And I also sincerely think that their strong sense of denial is precisely why conservatives, fascists and totalitarian-leaning mentalities are so quick to be belligerent or, worse.835

I find that such individuals are people generally motivated by their fear(s) of the unknown and a wilful
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ignorance of their own nation's dark past\textsuperscript{836} and its questionable present\textsuperscript{837} (See: 'Abu Ghraib torture and prisoner abuse', Wikipedia - http://ow.ly/lzPia - Human Rights Watch (HRW) "The Road to Abu Ghraib" – [PDF] - http://twitthat.com/1CNln - June 2004) And I say this with an assured certainty because like many other objective observers, I have witnessed a number of folks that espouse right-wing views only choose to engage in civil discourse so they could (help) endorse iron-fisted policing in minority population(s) and/or the (often) extra-legal surveillance and political repression\textsuperscript{838} of those deemed social outlaws within mainstream public opinion.\textsuperscript{839} \textsuperscript{840}

One only needs to listen 'conservatively' to their personal answers to basic questions surrounding economic, political and class-disparity to realise that their arguments are nothing more than petty defences for social inequality.

Further, I am of the mind that only a handful of these right-wingers truly understand the principles of their own claimed politics.\textsuperscript{841} And I truly believe that many conservatives are merely regurgitating ideas are merely patently reductionist, modernised versions of elitist, Victorian-era caste-divisional bigotries that simply do not relate to to-day's world. But, these phobias still manage to register uneasiness in the minds of the innately fearful. But these measures are simply apathetic and emotively useless. And the proponents of such ideas are wilfully oblivious to real world problems. And they often really do not perceive, (or care) that their perspectives and positions are harsh, ignorant or counter-productive to human development and peaceful co-existence.\textsuperscript{842}

In short, these sorts of people are hopelessly panic-stricken and wish for the rest of us to suffer psychologically as they do because 'misery loves company'. Or as early British homosexual activist Quentin Crisp once opined: 'Health consists of having the same diseases as one's neighbours'.

And these people want to share. They want to share their religion(s), their pet peeves and their demented prejudices with the entire world. Whether the rest of us want to hear about it, or not. They very rarely have anything positive to offer to society as a whole, or the the problems of the world. An online debate about excess violence and blatant sexism within the gaming industry led to an entirely male-driven, 'journalistic' movement, '#Gamergate' to counteract the negative criticisms levied against the both the producers and the purchasers of the offensive media.

What really happened was far from enlightening. Besides rudely comparing the right to own and play roughneck video games with Rosa Parks and the Montgomery Bus Boycott, female 'gamers' such as Anita Sarkeesian and Zoe Quinn (co-developer of 'Depression Quest')\textsuperscript{843} and Shanley Kane have been subjected to slut-shaming; trolling; flaming; doxing and online threats of terrorism and sexual assaults simply for raising the issue.\textsuperscript{844}
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And despite what these neoliberal cultural chauvinists may argue, their 'right' to desensitising, de-humanising computer games is not the most pressing issue facing the peoples of the world. And it isn't an issue of fairness or social justice either. That is simply bollocks.

There is a very big difference between erotica and sensualism and the hand-held, camcorder produced, body punishing, gonzo-style pornography that has become common to the adult market, (for the very same reasons). There are thousands of games that do not involve overt violence, war or misogyny.

And clearly, the media and associative mental attitudes behind #GamerGate are defending something that is observably negative with a capital 'N'. Which seems to be a real problem in a culture that denies that it worships abject selfishness as a virtue. And this is reflected within ultra-conservative and politically far-right attitudes and behaviours.

They are habitually negative in personality, rude in conversation and highly suspicious of everything involving the concerns of the 'many'. They also seem to spend most of their waking hours mocking progressives, immigrants and women simply for trivial amusement. This also includes the vicious occupation of 'trolling' activists in a juvenile, right-wing competition of counting 'libtard' coup by pointing out (to each other) how many times they have been 'blocked', or bragging about their virtual 'head-count', or, the ugly practise of trying to lead someone to committing suicide due to online harassment.

And in light to today's bizarre political climate, some far-right folks, Europeans at least, are allowed to 'cross-dress' as normal people at will. And many of these examples are often baffling; self-contradictory; self-serving and unfortunately have had the disorienting effect of totally confusing (and misappropriating) traditionally progressive issues and causes into pathetic, mad parades of right-wing schadenfreude that waggle the core loyalties of common men and women fighting for justice.

And with their unearned caste-privilege, most will avoid any serious moral repercussions for their past (or present) transgressions. This is, provided that they undergo the required, correctly performed, self-pitying public absolutions that have become commonplace in mainstream media after conservatives are caught doing something bad. Others will always be defiant even in the face of logic resistance to their retrograde
And these same individuals are often legitimised by the establishment as 'independent thinkers' with 'challenging views' about everything from international political affairs to trolling hedge funds for Wall Street.

Regressive-traditionalists, of any ethnic stripe or political bent, will always seek – or take advantage of – legitimate platforms in which they can promote public confusion on issues that frighten them beyond their own critical understanding. This is true. And they do this in the false belief that by aggressively seeking out what they view as 'evil', scary and 'immoral', they are making things 'safer' for themselves. But in truth, the results of these efforts are usually the opposite of what is expected (or hoped for).

History shows us that when conservative pundits and governments (of any political persuasion) have encouraged their public to irrationally act-out against their personal, private fears, race-riots; rapes; killings; wrongful imprisonment; brutal massacres and total genocides have occurred. No one can deny this. And in claiming that negative actions are done in the name of protecting 'what is right', the door to insular, group-think is left wide-open to irreparable abuses and circular self-defence reactions as the 'system' attempts to sustain itself.

And when those in authority are called to account for the damage they inflict, abject denialism is the only accepted practise of the realm.

Truth, honour and justice be damned.

African Skin, Caucasian Shrouds and Dissident 'Witch Hunts'

Any time you make an analysis of an oppressed people in any aspect of their lives and you leave out the enemy, you will never come to a correct analysis. On the contrary, you will blame the oppressed for all of their problems. Even if one where to talk about the drugs in our community, if you just talk about drugs and not talk about the enemy, you make no analysis at all.

If you haven’t brought in the question of who brings the drugs in, why the drugs are brought in, for what purpose they are brought in, you will never understand the problem at all. When we speak of the conditions of the African masses we begin with the enemy of the African masses, especially the racist, capitalist system in the United States of America.

– Kwame Ture (aka, Stokely Carmichael)

Please note that I have not – in regards to ultra-conservative, reactionary thinking – mentioned (yet) the influence of ethnicity or 'race'. The reason for that is, it simply isn't a factor. Scientifically speaking, any member of any ethnic group can be subject(ed) to unwarranted paranoia if presented with an 'appropriate set' of negative, sociopolitical circumstances and/or personal experiences.

This is also why I state that conservatives (of any ethnicity) who noisily argue in favour of genetic and/or racial factors as a primary cause for certain behaviours do so at a great intellectual risk. At least when dealing with sensible people or honest organisations, anyway. White women, such as the American feminist, Virginia Foster Durr were raised to think in this way, yet when confronted with the falseness of her biases, she logically dismissed her personal bigotries as fallacious and became a civil-rights crusader. Which is
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proof that anyone can change, or improve their character, once they are willing to broaden their personal experience and their own causal assumptions.

But since many ultraconservatives proudly reject science and logic in favour of religious superstition, pseudo-theory and a wooden-headed faith in skin-colour, it matters little to them that they are horribly misguided. And as I mentioned earlier, such persons can often become quite belligerent (and dangerous) when confronted with contradictory information or just plain facts that challenge their particular worldview(s).

And what I also think is important for us to consider here is the fact that many, conservative-inclined people can be incredibly contradictory and even functionally **hypocritical**. Meaning, these are people who may live for many, many years with an invisible, yet clear demarcation between their personal life and their social positions on certain subjects. Sometimes this involves cultural identity or 'race', or something deeply personal such as one's private sexuality in a closed, judgemental society.

Or, like some others, regardless of race/ethnicity, they can be capable of forming relationships with 'select' members of groups that they proclaim to 'hate', whilst they still think (and act) in accordance with their xenophobia in other areas of their lives.

Take the Anglo-Saxon, American socialist writer [Jack London](http://www.jacklondon.com) for instance. Here was a solid champion of the working-class and a strong, early supporter of animal rights and personal freedom. London was always on the side of the labourer, the poor and of the oppressed as evidenced by his penetrating book, 'The People of the Abyss' (1903) about his experiences living in London's dire industrial poverty.

Though he was a proud to defend the proletarian cause, his attitude towards Africans was closer to that of a fascist. His fellow man was not his equal unless he was White. And while his critics rightfully cite his often openly racist and conservative White Supremacist sentiments, his defenders can point to his curious upbringing, which is fair, since he was raised by an African foster mother, Jennie (Virginia) Prentiss, who remained a part his family for the rest of her natural life.

Despite this close, personal relationship with an African woman, (and an ex-slave at that) London was a leading racist cheerleader against professional Black American pugilist, [John A. 'Jack' Johnson](http://www.johnjohnson.com) and a vocal supporter of any White man who dared step in the ring with 'The Big Smoke'. (See: [The Great White Hope](http://www.jacklondon.com/)) London ran roughshod over 'that Yankee nigger' and helped encourage the race riots that would occur on July 4th 1910 after Blacks forgot their place in society and started celebrating Johnson’s effortless pummelling of a hapless [James J. Jeffries](http://www.jeffries.com) in the 'Fight of the Century'. Whites, *infuriated over this stunning embarrassment* to the 'White Race' – on the most patriotic day.

---
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of the year no less – began beating; robbing; stabbing and shooting Blacks on sight all across the US.\textsuperscript{867, 868}

By rights, Jack London was a rank hypocrite. But he wasn't the first, nor will he be the last.\textsuperscript{869} The list is long of thoroughly bigoted people around the world who still cried like stuck pigs at the deathbed or resting area of a favoured servant, childhood playmate on the plantation or a personal slave. And the question begs to be asked: Does this emotional outpouring of sincere grief imply that their core biases were not in any way 'real'?

\textbf{Illustration 108: Jack Johnson - Source http://tinyurl.com/qbwz8jn}

In my humble opinion, dear reader, my answer is, 'absolutely not'. In my personal experience as a persona of mixed-ethnicity, I observed bigots of all shades up-close, and I have to say, many of these same people remain to be dolts for the entirety of their lives. Mostly because they simply cannot admit, to themselves or to anyone else, that they are wrong-headed and that they are still afraid of the dark in more ways than one.

This is true. And the documented and accepted historical record empirically proves-out my analysis. And 'race' has nothing to do with it.

So it is clear, the popular notion that suggests that non-Europeans are either inherently 'too stupid' to understand, or do, fascism, or 'too perfect' (spiritually) to 'be' fascist are both patently ridiculous.\textsuperscript{870} Both positions centre on the racist belief that only Europeans can fully comprehend the subtleties of conservative and ultra-conservative politics and that non-Whites simply cannot. This is nonsense. And both of these fanciful ideas stem from the very same set of antiquated, Europocentric, eugenicist values that led Europe itself to ruin in the middle of the 20\textsuperscript{th} century.

\textbf{Confusing Black Fascism with Pan-African Anti-Colonialism}

Objectively speaking, there is precious little ideological difference between most Black (Power) cultural nationalists and the White Power talking-heads saying exactly the same thing. The only difference is, Black radicals spend an inordinate amount of time looking backwards (presumably) to go forward by parroting White extremist conspiracy-theories and doing more damage to each other than to the racist, White power structure.\textsuperscript{871} And despite their promises towards backing a 'race-neutral', fair and honest, bias-free society, these milk-and-water fascists do more to perpetuate socially-acceptable ethnic marginalisation(s) than to effectively end them.

This is one main reason why I dismiss the bully-boy rhetoric and militaristic posturing of most Afrocentrists and certainly, the 'Red, Black and Green' Brothers and Sisters who (often) equate toughness and machismo with 'Blackness'. I challenge these perspectives every chance I get. Not out of a black-hearted sense of spite, but because I am of the opinion that such talk is potentially dangerous to the development and existence of authentic liberation movements not hamstrung by tit-for-tat xenophobia.

Not only is hazardous chatter, but the vast majority of it is – in truth – highly ignorant, direct regurgitations of European ideas that were/are thoroughly misguided to begin with.\textsuperscript{872} The knuckle-headed belief that all
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Africans and other oppressed peoples need do is simply form armed fronts of religiously disciplined, aggressively heterosexual, alpha-males to bring about the liberation of Africa and African people is foolish. \(^{873}\)

There is a very big difference between emotionally-driven, reactionary politics and using various forms of logical, (and morally justifiable) revolutionary resistance. Self-defence, should never be viewed (politically speaking) as anything other than a tactical option when confronted with assault and even then, only as a means of suppressing the threat, not the human being behind it.

But because we live in a world of Sarah Palin muttiness\(^{874}\), Rupert Murdoch and Saudi Prince Al-Waleed bin Talal’s FOX News (non-news) propaganda network and Orwellian drama,\(^{875}\) let me clarify what I mean in saying that.

If you wake up one evening and find several of your Caucasian neighbours planting and lighting a Christian cross on your front lawn, you DO NOT have a ‘right’ (morally) to just shoot them with your state-licensed firearm (unless, of course, you are a White man in the US state of Texas).\(^{876}\) You are required, however, to call your local law enforcement agency to report the incident and to wait (or follow any instructions you receive from the dispatcher). With any luck, the police will arrive and apprehend the cross-burners (without killing you)\(^{877}\) and they will (live)\(^{878}\) to explain why they did what they did to a judge.

On the other hand, if several racist neighbours force their way into your home (uninvited) and armed, with a clear intent on harming you and your family, I say ask the people who advocate for gun-rights and the use of deadly force (for just about anything) what they would do in such a situation. And then ask yourself, if the roles were reversed, how would (White man’s) justice balance out the scales?\(^{879}\) All educated people know full well how they would balance-out. If you are White, the odds are in your favour.\(^{880}\) If you are not, the odds are not likely to be in your favour.\(^{881}\)\(^{882}\) It is as simple as that.

Repressing the Left and the Radical Left

The strategic adversary is fascism... the fascism in us all, in our heads and in our everyday behaviour, the fascism that causes us to love power, to desire the very thing that dominates and exploits us.

– Michel Foucault

Now, the reader may ask: What does any of this have to do with understanding the role of fascism in contemporary international politics, (especially) in regards to Peoples of Colour suffering from oppression and racialist violence? The rudimentary gist is this: ethnocentric nationalism (even if it is conducted by the oppressed themselves) will only serve to perpetuate the problems of the oppressed, not end them.

---

Footnotes:
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This is true. But try explaining this objectively to a dyed-in-the-wool White Supremacist, a committed believer in Aztlan or an angry, Revisionist Afrocentric revolutionary. And for this writer – being a person of mixed ancestry – it annoys me (greatly) that many of 'my people', folks who are steeped in the legend and (often antiquated) rhetoric of the Hon. Marcus Garvey and his Universal Negro Improvement Association and African Communities League, (UNIA-ACL) would be so willing to accept the models used by the European far-right without truly comprehending how that history relates to the larger picture of African liberation.

Marcus Garvey’s movement was indeed conservative and it was centred upon a strong, Afrocentric ethnic identity. UNIA’s philosophy was certainly and unapologetically 'pro-Black', no one denies that. But I will argue here that the existence of the uniformed Colour Guard and Nurse’s battalions were serious, although toothless, examples of what 'could' be if African Peoples around the world actually got their (our) act together.

He was providing a model, a vision of Africans on par with the world powers, with the goal of 'getting there' through organised co-operation between all African Peoples.

In short, the Hon. Marcus Garvey and his UNIA was an non-violent entity struggling to free Black people – materially as well as psychologically – to be independent of White society. But, this was a problem, because runaway slaves are always a problem.

Since Master Garvey was intelligent enough to see the other-side of the problem from the perspective of White Supremacy, his analysis reflected a political reality. In his fiery speeches to international Black audiences, he made it clear that the 'White' power structure of the Anglophone World would not simply sit idle and allow Africans to move away from their subservient, exploitative sociopolitical position.

He understood that the extant powers would work, day and night, against the positive development of an 'United African Front'. And even though the authorities were monitoring the UNIA closely and Garvey personally, they found nothing amiss. That was until an eager, young federal official named J. Edgar Hoover, head of the US Department of Justice's General Intelligence Division (GID), began focusing on their activities with the expressed intent on silencing their efforts to lead Black Americans and all Africans away from any material dependency upon White Power and White people.

It should be noted here that Hoover was a protégé of President Woodrow Wilson's Attorney General, Alex Mitchell Palmer, a conservative 'fighting Quaker' who is (in)famous for misusing the Espionage Act (1917) and Sedition Act (1918) to hunt down leftist revolutionaries after a radical anarchist accidentally destroyed himself trying to bomb his private residence.

Wilson appointed Palmer in March of 1919. By November of that year, Palmer and Hoover (as his major domo) had more than 10,000 individuals, (many of them recent immigrants from Europe) all suspected communists or anarchists, taken into custody and detained indefinitely without the constitutional guarantee
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of formal charges and trials.

Although no evidence was ever revealed to justify the raids, a number of the victims were eventually freed with some of those still imprisoned were, as a group, placed on a ship and summarily ejected from the US without ceremony. (Notable among this number were the Lithuanian-born, Jewish anarchists Emma Goldman and Alexander Berkman).889

The Anarchist Purges

By January of 1920, the GID again rounded-up another group of ‘suspicious' leftists – reports say some 6,000 people were ‘renditioned' in this manner – and like the previous group, detained without being charged. What became known as the 'Palmer Raids'890 again found no concrete proof of a communist or anarchist plot. But the move did allow the GID to neutralise vocal rank-and-file workers, left-wing writers, poets, musicians and committed organisers for the 'Wobblies', the international Industrial Workers of the World891 (IWW) labour union.892

Palmer, like Hoover, was a complicated individual. Before he became AG, he had taken a stand against the tactics of the US right-wing and actually supported some progressive causes. He even spoke out against the nativist American Protective League (APL) who were allowed by the federal government to carry out their own counter-intelligence campaigns against political dissidents, draft resisters and ethnic Germans (especially recent immigrants) they thought may still be loyal to either the fallen German Empire (Deutsches Kaiserreich) or the 'November Revolutionaries' (Novemberrevolution) who retired it.893

In sharp contrast to his later conduct, Palmer quickly discharged thousands of these (mostly foreign-born) Germans placed into federal custody (presumably for the duration of World War I) citing the abuse of their civil rights and began rejecting layman assistance from the APL which he regarded as thuggish, unprofessional (anyone could pay their dues and receive a badge) and far from objective in their private 'investigations'.894

His attitude towards the left-wing however was undergoing a drastic change after a series of bomb attacks by radical anarchists that began in 1916 – as a response to anti-sedition and anti-immigration legislation and the gaoling of anarchist activists – resumed during the spring of 1919. At least 36 IED's were shipped through the mails to establishment officials, politicians, newspapermen and vulture capitalists such as John D. Rockefeller. (For further information, consider reading journalist Ida M. Tarbell's definitive exposé on 'John D. Rockefeller: A Character Study' published in the progressive journal, McClure's Magazine in 1905.)

The attacks were intended to terrorise public officials in Philadelphia and Pittsburgh, (Pennsylvania) Cleveland, (Ohio) and Boston (Massachusetts). And even worse for Mr. Palmer, one anarchist, Carlo Valdinoci, actually blew himself up while trying to personally deliver his bomb to Palmer's home in Washington D.C.

This really happened. And the perpetrators were admittedly inspired by the insurrectionist ideas of the Italian anarchist and revolutionary theorist, Luigi Galleani who advocated the use of terrorism against the state and capitalist infrastructure. (See: 'Propagande par le fait', or, 'Propaganda by the deed')895 And it was the hostile actions of these (chiefly) immigrant Italian Galleanisti lead many within the federal government to believe that the onslaught was connected to the 1917 Russian 'October' Revolution and that this was the possible
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beginning of an American Bolshevik revolution on US soil.

This was the beginning of the first 'Red Scare'. And the possible threat of more anarchist violence encouraged AG Palmer (and Hoover) to publicly suggest that communist agents sent by Moscow were already inside the US, working covertly to corrupt and subvert 'the American way of life' as per Vladimir Lenin's instructions for a worker's, world revolution.

This was, of course, a grand over-simplification. And it showed a rather bleak, self-contained, agoraphobic ignorance of the partisan particulars and stubborn ideological difference(s) of opinion between communists, socialists and anarchists. A curious psychological feature that still lingers in United States politics to this very day.

However, the problem of radical anarchists living and working from within the Italian immigrant community in Boston, Massachusetts was real. And nearly everyone with an accent (and a bushy moustache) was a suspect. Especially those who were born outside of the continental United States. (See: 'Nicola Sacco' and 'Bartolomeo Vanzetti') And these people were dead-serious about fomenting revolution through force. Their actions foolishly endangered the general public. And their angry, marginalised buck-wild school of revolutionary extremism had actually (and unintentionally) given the state authorities exactly what they needed (legally) to indiscriminately persecute the entire US left-wing with extreme prejudice and popular public support.

This intensely agitated political climate saw anti-war pacifists; women's rights/abortion/contraception advocates; worker's rights activists and White, anti-racist community workers – then, just as now – labelled as 'terrorists' solely in regards to their anti-establishment opinions. Moreover, this rush to label people as 'good', 'commie' (or 'Islamist') has become more an American-settler cultural pursuit rather than a means in which to end political terrorism, to save human lives or to intelligently dissipate political upheaval.

Any progressive movement during that period (and this one) could be stopped, simply by a newspaper featuring an article using the charge of 'terrorism' in reference to anyone, or any organisation that seemed, 'strange' or subversive. Even if they were not particularly belligerent or seditious. In contrast to the radical Galleanisti, the New York City based, 'Union of Russian Workers' of the United States and Canada (UORW) were certainly anarchist and they may have been confrontational, but they were not terrorists. This did not matter to the GID. The authorities brutally smashed them in any case.

The US left-wing may not want to talk about this, but thanks to anarchists who were more than willing to subscribe to violence in an attempt to take-down the system, the reach of federal investigators was significantly expanded to include any citizen or foreign national who was vocal about the extant social attitudes of the era in any public way. This too lead to the farcical and subjective censorship of the 'Comstock Laws' and its sponsor's personal, one-man war against 'sin' and vice in the United States.

---
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And Mr. Palmer as Attorney General for the US used these conditions to go after any activist organisation that suited him. This was another important element during this dangerous era of anti-subversive suspicion. Because as a man of his times, he feared, (or at least, he said he did) for the well-being of the republic when he callously violated a great deal of civil and human rights with abandon.903

Just as J. Edgar Hoover would do later on himself as head of the FBI904 and how the NSA (National Security Agency) and the British GCHQ (Government Communications Headquarters) would impolitely expand on,905 aided by corporate ownership of 21st century technology and corrupt, capitalist politicians.906 907

Palmer's personal influences on J. Edgar Hoover show up in other areas as well. Internal communications by AG Palmer to other federal offices show that while he did view the domestic terrorist threat as a subversive, pro-Soviet effort, most of his anti-terrorism attention seemed focused upon the growing resistance in the southern states by American African community leaders standing-up to terrorist violence from White racist reactionaries of the 'Old Confederacy'.

This included GID actions against the burgeoning international Afrocentric movement of The Hon. Marcus Garvey. As articulated in an internal government memo disseminated on Oct. 11th, 1919 by Mr. Hoover, he anxiously reported to his superiors:

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. RIDGELY.

I am transmitting herewith a communication which has come to my attention from the Panama Canal, Washington office, relative to the activities of MARCUS GARVEY. Garvey is a West-Indian negro and in addition to his activities in endeavoring to establish the Black Star Line Steamship Corporation he has also been particularly active among the radical elements in New York City in agitating the negro movement. Unfortunately, however, he has not as yet violated any federal law whereby he could be proceeded against on the grounds of being an undesirable alien, from the point of view of deportation. It occurs to me, however, from the attached clipping that there might be some proceeding against him for fraud in connection with his Black Star Line propaganda and for this reason I am transmitting the communication to you for your appropriate attention.

The following is a brief statement of Marcus Garvey and his activities:

Subject a native of the West Indies and one of the most prominent negro agitators in New York;

He is a founder of the Universal Negro Improvement Association and African Communities League;

He is the promulgator of the Black Star Line and is the managing editor of the Negro
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World;

He is an exceptionally fine orator, creating much excitement among the negroes through his steamship proposition;

In his paper the "Negro World" the Soviet Russian Rule is upheld and there is open advocation of Bolshevism.

Respectfully,

J.E. Hoover

*(emphasis mine.)*

In other words, UNIA wasn't actually doing anything wrong, since, as Hoover notes – 'Unfortunately, however, he has not as yet violated any federal law whereby he could be proceeded against', but if we keep at it, something might become viable enough to prosecute'. Meaning, 'They aren't really doing anything but helping themselves, so we need to find something, anything, in order to do away with this group so as to prevent this sort of autonomy'.

This is my own translation of the memorandum, but I do think that it sums up the spirit of the situation within a sentence. Particularly since Mr. Hoover had no legal reason to go after Mr. Garvey or UNIA aside from his own personal dislike and disdain for African people(s). And his xenophobia was allowed to direct government policy against an innocent civilian.

Let that trickle down for a moment, because this memo is a material fact of the historical record.908 The US federal authorities – under the direction of a single; paranoid; personally cloddish and sexually-closeted individual – admitted that he was targeting a private citizen, doing nothing but working non-violently to improve the lot of him own people, for state punishment and harassment.

This single communication is a prime example of the sort of official misconduct that would become the hallmark of Hoover's influence upon the ultraconservative, vindictive internal culture he effectuated within what would later become the Federal Bureau of Investigation from its earliest days.909

After the US federal government, under Hoover's direction, investigated UNIA and found nothing subversive, they proceeded to infiltrate the organisation with the GID's first American African undercover operative, Earl F. Titus, and other 'first' special agents – James Wormley Jones, James Amos and Arthur Lowell – specifically included in order to monitor (and perhaps, sabotage) UNIA from the inside. And even after that, there was absolutely nothing discovered that could be regarded as seditious or counter-productive about Garvey or the UNIA to US interests at home or abroad.

This was in stark difference to the way in which the post-US Civil War era terrorist group, the Ku Klux Klan, was treated which Mr. Hoover never did anything about (but attempted to protect through his own inaction). So even after confirming that UNIA was not violent (even against White folks) or seeking to overthrow the US government, (much less have anything to do with it) Mr. Hoover pressed on. And after a lengthy 'investigation', he would eventually prosecute Master Garvey for fraud and have him sentenced to prison before being forcibly deported back to his homeland of Jamaica.
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Operation: COINTELPRO

The effect on the movement was immediate. UNIA as a force for unity amongst Africans around the world soon imploded through factional in-fighting, greed and agent provocateurs keeping things unstable from the inside. While in exile, The Hon. Marcus Garvey continued to pursue greater respect for African Peoples through economic independence on the international level, never abandoning the cause. He later transitioned in London, England, still working hard to keep UNIA together as an organisation and as an idea for future generations to build upon.

The organisation still exists, but it is no longer what it was. And that was the stated intent of Mr. Hoover interest in the organisation. However, the US Klan, American's oldest terrorist organisation, which as existed since Reconstruction in a number of various forms, still continues – and has grown following the election of Barack H. Obama to the US presidency. They are the first amongst equals in the crudely, hate-filled world of far-right, White racist groups hell-bent on making North America 'White' again 'by any means necessary'. (See: Anti-Defamation League, 'White Supremacist Rage Boils Over After Obama Victory; Racist Site Crashes After Election', adl.org - November 10, 2008)

White America, visibly angry that slavery left thousands of Africans within the continental United States, by rights, should have accorded a wide berth to Master Garvey so he could fully achieve his goals. In that way, Rudyard Kipling's ode to European expansionism, the 'White Man's Burden' would have held no ideological water for angry, self-centred Tories, vexed because their taxes go towards public roads and the National Health Service (NHS).

In fact, most Afrocentric organisations (including the US-based Nation of Islam) and especially the UNIA, openly reject and denounce Africans who accept government subsidies provided through taxation on the position that in taking 'White money', one is in effect, a 'slave' to the Europocentric system of racist exploitation. So why would White Power advocates, such as Mr. Hoover, be against a group like the UNIA?

That is the fundamental question at play here. Because in light of the Victorian-flavoured 'bootstrap' rhetoric of the conservative end of political discourse, one would think that Master Garvey and the UNIA programme would be praised as something to be respected. Especially by conservative White racialists. Obviously, that isn't what has happened.

Hoover and Tolson were both racist, highly paranoid men (with good reason since they were both living in the closet) and their personal ordeal of suppressed sexuality under the public spotlight, I think, had an impact on the way they ran the Bureau. Their shared sense of a furtive, hyper-vigilance towards concealing their own relationship must have been a tangible emotional element behind their politics. And just like Senator Joseph McCarthy and his favourite lawyer, Roy Cohn, Hoover and Tolson actively sought-out enemies of the state where they did not exist. And they all managed to ruin hundreds of lives and careers in the effort 912

This was especially true with the way in which the Bureau handled some internal (stateside) cases as opposed to many others. And this is not in dispute. Mr. Hoover's personal proclivity for collecting secret, personalised data about popular entertainers and politicians for his own blackmailing purposes is well known. 913 914 But if he collected personal data on leaders and members of far-right organisations and used it
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as blackmail in covert operations against them, he never used this power to disband them or to prevent them from committing domestic terrorism and racial sabotage.

Under his tenure – and aside from the highly-visible 1964 Chaney, Goodman & Schwerner murder case (the parents of one of the victims was a patron of the Lyndon Johnson-led, Democratic Party) – the Klan operated above ground throughout the US without much in the way of interruption. And very few people in the United States, then and now, understand – much less know – that the conservative cadre (of either party) that sets policy for the rest of us, works just as hard against their own people as they did the KGB.

J. Edgar Hoover directed chosen agents to – ‘expose, disrupt, misdirect, discredit, neutralize or otherwise eliminate’ – movements and personalities he saw as ‘dangerous' or detrimental to traditional, White, Anglo-Saxon Protestant, American society. He also recruited two equally-conservative agents to oversee what became codename: COINTELPRO, (Counter-Intelligence Program) – William C. Sullivan and Cartha D. ‘Deke’ DeLoach – the chief planners of domestic intelligence counter-action focused their activities against US communists; socialists; anarchists; left-wing intellectuals; homosexuals; Black civil-rights activists and Afrocentric cultural nationalists. White Power agitators and organisations such as the Ku Klux Klan and the John Birch Society (JBS) were also placed under FBI surveillance, but they were not subjected to Bureau subversion.

In fact, many continue to argue that the COINTELPRO programme used the Klan and other White Power terrorist organisations as 'useful idiots' to stem the progress of Civil Rights activity in the South and the rise of Black Power sentiment in the urban ghettos. As detailed in a startling presentation conducted by representatives of the United States Congressional Black Caucus (CBC) to the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights in 2001, (the CBC participated in the World Conference Against Racism held in Durban, South Africa) their report rudely exposed the soft white underbelly of the US secret 'security-state' for all to see:

Despite its carefully contrived image as the nation's premier crime fighting agency, the Federal Bureau of Investigation has always functioned primarily as America's political police. This role includes not only the collection of intelligence on the activities of political dissidents and groups, but often times, counterintelligence operations to thwart those activities. The techniques employed are easily recognized by anyone familiar with military psychological operations. The FBI, through the use of the criminal justice system, the postal system, the telephone system and the Internal Revenue Service, enjoys an operational capability surpassing even that of the CIA, which conducts covert actions in foreign countries without having access to those institutions.

Although covert operations have been employed throughout FBI history, the formal COunter INTELligence PROgrams (COINTELPRO’s) of the period 1956-1971 were the first to be both broadly targeted and centrally directed. According to FBI researcher Brian Glick, "FBI headquarters set policy, assessed progress, charted new directions, demanded increased production, and carefully monitored and controlled day-to-day
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operations.

This arrangement required that national COINTELPRO supervisors and local FBI field offices communicate back and forth, at great length, concerning every operation. They did so quite freely, with little fear of public exposure. This generated a prolific trail of bureaucratic paper. The moment that paper trail began to surface, the FBI discontinued all of its formal domestic counterintelligence programs. It did not, however, cease its covert political activity against U.S. dissidents."

Of roughly 20,000 people investigated by the FBI solely on the basis of their political views between 1956-1971, about 10 to 15% were the targets of active counterintelligence measures per se. Taking counterintelligence in its broadest sense, to include spreading false information, it’s estimated that about two-thirds were COINTELPRO targets. Most targets were never suspected of committing any crime.

– 'COINTELPRO: The Untold American Story'915

This is something to be concerned about, since modern technology allows for the constant monitoring of an individual. Even within the privacy of one's home.

'The COINTELPRO operations of the 1960s were modeled on the successful programs of earlier years undertaken to disrupt the American Communist Party...[which] continued through the 1960s, with such interesting variations as Operation Hoodwink from 1966 through mid-1968, designed to incite organized crime against the Communist Party through documents fabricated by the FBI, evidently in the hope that criminal elements would carry on the work of repression and disruption in their own manner, by means that may be left to the imagination.'


In contrast, the little understood and rarely mentioned domestic surveillance and counterinsurgency programmes – COINTELPRO; the 'Black Desk',916 and later, NEWKILL and CHESIMARD (CHESROB) – also a direct result of Hoover's paranoia, stopped lack-centred movements and activists, (such as Assata Shakur) in their tracks.

A highly ambitious, suspicious and xenophobic character, J. Edgar Hoover and his husband Clyde Tolson, (number two at the FBI) built the Bureau with the specific intent of targeting private individuals and suspected dissidents (he found personally objectionable) via covert, generally illegal, surveillance methods and blackmail.

This soon became the standard model for domestic investigation, sabotage and bad-jacketing of the socially 'unwanted'; Native Americans;917 African political leaders918 and internal political cock-ups before they...
became larger embarrassments. White Power advocates, demanding a European-Only, 'White World' – after the European Holocaust – is not viewed as a matter of real concern. Even when these people kill.

Non-Europeans facing racial terrorism from organised White Supremacist fronts did not concern the Bureau, because minorities did not matter to 'The Director.' Unless of course, they were useful as undercover agents, dedicated to maintaining the Europocentric status quo by framing-up their brothers and sisters in the struggle. Examples of this are too numerous to list here.

But carefully reviewing the public data on William O'Neal[923] and his connection to the ‘Assassinations of Fred Hampton Sr. And Mark Clark’ and the destruction of the ‘Watts Writers Workshop’ by (self-exposed) covert COINTELPRO operative, Darhard Perry (a.k.a., 'Othello') is a damn good start. These are all provable facts. And the reader should also be aware of something else. If it isn't clear by now, (as it has been for many decades) the people most responsible for law enforcement and terrorism prevention in western countries do not act against far-right extremism until it becomes a problem, for them.[927] And despite all of the violence the far-right and neo-Nazis are responsible for across Europe, most democratic governments and the United Nations, (aided by NATO and INTERPOL) have been slow to seriously address the continuation of fascism following the Second World War. We might want to ask ourselves why this is.[929]

Unchecked Police Brutality and the Political Partisanship

And many police agencies in these same nations, (including the US and Canada) seem to only be concerned about fascist thuggery if its members/operatives stoop to threatening government institutions or begin robbing banks to fund their activities. If they limit their activities to attacking non-Whites and immigrant peoples, law enforcement might collect them after the fact, (if they do not commit suicide, like neo-Nazi Republican 'Nativist', J.T. Ready did, right after committing several murders)[930] but the damage to society (often irreparable) has still been done.[931] (See: the 'Greensboro Massacre')[932]

Racist vandalism, posturing and intimidation are just as terrorising as direct physical racial violence. And it isn't as if law enforcement does not understand the severity of the situation, because many on-the-job law  
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officers over the years have lost their lives due to attacks by right-wing, anti-government extremists. (See: Gabrielle Canon, 'A Majority of Cop Killers Have Been White', Mother Jones, 12.21.2014)

And even though this is a documented fact, this reality has not stopped racial-agitators, on the right and the far-right, from trying to (falsely) make this tragedy out to be the first salvo of a supposed 'war against police' by extremist Black Power militants.

This is of course, bollocks. But corporate media did do a grand job of re-directing public focus on the senseless murder of two US police officers in the state of New York (Rafael Ramos and Wenjian Liu, respectively) by an American African career criminal, (with a history of mental problems) while ignoring or under-reporting the numerous stories of minorities killed or otherwise abused unjustly by law enforcement in that same state.

In doing this, they 'used' Ismaaiyl Brinsley – an unstable sociopath who had just shot his girlfriend, Shaneka Thompson (a serving US soldier) earlier that same day in Baltimore – as a rallying cry for further police repression against the African community.

For all accounts and purposes, Brinsley was a violent individual who was, by his own admission, suicidal and in dire need of psychiatric help. But because he posted comments about his animosity towards police on social media just before the shooting, reactionary conservatives, professional xenophobes and White racist shit-kickers (forgetting all about his first victim) falsely connected his assault to the non-violent #Ferguson protests being held against the routine police killing of minority citizens.

Further, my critique on this is also informed by the fact that at the same time, a Florida, USA police officer, Charles Kondek, was shot and killed by a known felon, Marco Antonion Parilla Jr, without any right-wing pundits or loutish police union officials insulting the civilian leadership of Miami and calling for 'swift justice' against non-Black cop-killers.

And when an American African police chief, one Louis Ross in Sentinel, Oklahoma USA responded to a suspected bomb threat at a residential address, he was shot four times by a far-right, anti-government White Supremacist the press charitably described as a 'survivalist'. He was also never charged with the shooting of a police officer, which should be ringing bells everywhere at a time like this. And as of this writing, not one White conservative; far-right; pro-cop; Darren Wilson supporter has stepped-up to defend police chief Louis Ross. Why is that?

Needless to say – although it bears repeating – the death of an officer on duty, (even in a brutal and racist society) is not something to cheer about. These are symptoms of a declining society. And it is illogical to not understand that the overwhelming saturation of pro-war psychology and militarism within the mainstream
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culture will not be reflected as negative manifestations inside that same culture.

And it is also very important to point out here that while acts of violence towards law enforcement in the United States are still rare – the vast majority of criminals who have killed officers (in the line of duty) in recent years have been right-wing, decidedly racist, American Caucasians with documented violent tendencies.  

Not (radical) People(s) of Colour, angry Native Americans or US-based Muslims.

Paranoia Politics and Academic Mania

Europe has experienced political violence, at various times throughout its history. And from all ends of the ideological spectrum. Especially during the Victorian period. The post-WW2 era was no different. With the struggle against Hitler and the Axis Powers over, capitalism turned its attention towards going after its wartime ally, Soviet Russia and by ideological extension, communism itself wherever they believed it to be. Even if there was no communism to be found.

Karl Marx and his political theories became an ever-lingering, sinister bogeyman. Always threatening 'World Peace' and human survival wherever Christianity and capitalism wanted to tread. Even, when it wasn't isn't welcome.

This urge, to impose one's own system of governance and lifestyle(s) upon others began the pathology of the 'Better Dead Than Red' culture of the 'Cold War'. And it gave rise a decades-long social depression that brought on 'Duck and Cover', sappy, patriotic songs and certifiably-hysterical speeches conducted in-between routine bomb shelter drills.

This is not to say that the threat wasn't real, but it is to suggest that perhaps the crisis only existed in the first place because the United States actively pursued a 'make-believe' threat they purposefully created.

This is not an unsubstantiated conspiracy-theory either. The hypothesis of Mutually Assured Destruction (or, MAD) is a very real military concept. The idea isn't new, but it took on a whole new meaning in the global struggle between the two former allies after the fall of the Axis Powers. As a young analyst working for the RAND Corporation in the early 1950's, US mathematician John F. Nash, famous for his work in 'game theory' and his construction of the 'Nash equilibrium', began to apply his ideas towards the psychological warfare effort against the Politburo and the KGB (Комитет государственной безопасности).

Nash was a unique mind and he was awarded with his Ph.D. in 1950 (the same year he joined RAND) after submitting a dissertation, 'Non-Cooperative Games', that caught the interest of the military and economic strategists seeking innovative, out-of-the-box ideas so as to 'positively' manage world events.

In brief, Mr. Nash broadened the 'zero-sum' solution(s) of John von Neumann (mathematician) and Oskar

Illustration 113: Nuclear weapons test, USA - Source: Unknown
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Morgenstern (economist) as detailed in their book, 'Theory Of Games And Economic Behavior' (1944) to include a wider range of applications beyond economics.

The Nash-equilibrium (or, 'Best-Response') idea centres upon the 'natural' stabilising of a multi-player, competitive situation (international diplomacy) that is conditioned by a core element (i.e., the threat of nuclear annihilation) in which no single player (or superpower) can benefit by acting (or thinking) unilaterally. Because if one player (or nation) grew impatient or fidgety while their 'finger was on the button', both players (or superpowers) would be equally damaged, (MAD Theory) far beyond sound repair within a generation. Or perhaps, two.

This climate of rational mutuality or 'uneasy-balance', would provide the best possible state-of-affairs (for a conflict between two or more, heavily armed paranoiacs) within an assumed non-cooperative framework of political, military and economic uncertainty.

The chief application of this theorem, the idea that – if 'I' had the chance, 'I' would take advantage of 'You' before you can take advantage of 'Me' – has perverted the murky world of corporate and intelligence planning with irrational decisions (or unintended cock-ups) that have lead to numerous cases of 'blowback' that were by rights, totally unnecessary and entirely preventable occurrences.

This, 'politic of anxiety' (it must be pointed out) was carefully manufactured by the United States business community who immediately after WW2, began demanding a never-ending production of war goods leading to over-bloated defence and intelligence budgets at the expense of domestic needs and national sustainability.

This is an important point, because Nash's work was able to mathematically justify the hawkish opinions of hardened, conservative, career military men like General George S. Patton, Curtis LeMay (a former vice-presidential candidate for George Wallace in 1968) and General Lyman Louis Lemnitzer who wanted all-out war with the Soviet Union and were willing to use subversive methods to do it. (See: 'Operation Northwoods' and 'Operación Mangosta', or, Operation Mongoose).

His work also helped support Henry Kissinger's sense of covert diplomacy when it came to negotiating against the welfare of non-combatants living in the sovereign nations of Laos, Cambodia, Vietnam ('Operation Menu') and Chile. I think the reader should also be aware that Mr. Kissinger himself has had a deep interest in game theory ever since being introduced to it at Harvard. And he has always maintained contacts with its top intellectuals.
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Monsters and Madmen

But there is, of course, an interesting wrinkle to all of this. Nash, (a Nobel Prize winner for Economics in 1994) was indeed a genius. But he was also a highly-functioning, schizophrenic intellect, suffering in silence from intense, clinical paranoia. And I for one think that his personal issues, such as his battle with psychological illness, which only became clear later, (and perhaps his sexuality) must have impacted his professional thinking too. For instance, Nash believed (for many years) that men wearing red-coloured neckties were communist agents operating within the continental United States.

This is thinking reflected by the 'Red-Baiting' years of Senator Joe McCarthyism, the conservative lawyer Roy M. Cohn and (then) California republican political hopeful Richard M. Nixon. All three personalities were driven to And this sort of ultraconservative political psychopathy became a national psychosis that was spoon-fed to the general public through corporate control over mass-media.

Then consider how just one individual, (with a history of serious mental problems) managed to influence the decision-making channels of US internal policy-making at the highest levels. (See: 'List of conflicts related to the Cold War' – http://twitthat.com/is1na – Wikipedia) This is much like the case of Office of Strategic Services (OSS) veteran, Frank Wisner, later of the CIA, (see: 'Operation Gladio') who at some point, after many years of successful intellectual activity, succumbed to mental illness in later years.

The 1960's and early 70's were subjected to the literal madness of US President Richard M. Nixon and his approaches to conflicts in North Vietnam, Laos and Cambodia as well as at home. And while many joke about Nixon's mental state during his White House years, (his paranoia and xenophobia are not in debate) it has documented that he and his inner-circle actively pursued a psychological campaign to make world leaders – and the Soviets in particular – believe that Nixon was a 'loose cannon' as a matter of foreign policy.

President Nixon is reported to have discussed the scheme with his Chief of Staff, Mr. H. R. Haldeman who remembers it this way:

'...I call it the Madman Theory, Bob. I want the North Vietnamese to believe I've reached the point where I might do anything to stop the war. We'll just slip the word to them that, "for God's sake, you know Nixon is obsessed about communism. We can't restrain him when he's angry and he has his hand on the nuclear button"...'
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His self-recorded proclivity towards viewing everyone around him with a curious suspicion did much to help this idea along. (See: 'The Nixon Tapes' and the 'H. R. Haldeman Audio Diaries'. )

Whether it was an ingenious cover for his real, deep-seated personal issues, a real covert programme of PSYOPS to confuse the KGB or simply an accidental alchemical mélange that just fell together, Russia and the entire Communist Bloc tread carefully in a (vain) attempt to stave off confrontations with the United States, the United Kingdom and/or NATO.

Nixon's fascist gamble paid off. For the most part. We will never know for sure if the Soviet leadership really believed 'Trick Dick' was insane or close to it. But I am certain it was his national security man, Henry Kissinger who thought-up the entire plan. It's his style.

"... Kissinger had suggested the nuclear maneuvers to give the president more leverage in negotiations. It was an articulation of the game theory he had studied before coming to power. "What were the Soviets going to do?" Kissinger said dismissively."


This was particularly true during the Cuban Missile Crisis under the watch of the Kennedy administration in their negotiations with the Khrushchev government. The Russian government did not want war any more than the United States did. Politically speaking, Cuba simply wasn't worth World War Three. Nixon was no JFK. Unsurprisingly, when Kissinger was upbraided in the international media over the lack of a positive 'win' in Vietnam and the private CIA war on the nation of Cambodia, Henry defended himself by pointing to the administration's actions in Southeast Asia as 'proof' of Richard Nixon's paranoid approach to policy issues at home and abroad.

My comments in regards to (and consideration for) the many difficulties surrounding mental illness and what I choose to call, 'operational insanity' are not lodged here for sport or ridicule. I simply think that the mental health (and sometimes personal peculiarities) of those working in federal, civic and military planning should be viewed as a functional dynamic in their decision making. If an individual is well-centred emotionally and (reasonably) balanced socially, it can be sensibly assumed that his or her thinking processes would be, at least, equally rational.

Mental Illness and Psychological End-Games

If an individual is religiously pious or strongly committed to a particular (and unpopular) creed, this background may well effect how they analyse and problem-solve particular subjects or perhaps, everything they do in life. In the case of John Nash, I am of the mind that his complex personal issues with paranoia had an influence on his articulation(s) of game theory.

The role of RAND as a private think-tank that advises both the US military and private industry was central to this. As the brainchild of US anti-communist political strategy during the Cold War period, Nash's radical ideas became the centralising, self-assuring logic of the era. And this single-minded, fear-based intellectual tack was the single most important causal agent within 1950's anti-Russian/anti-communist
planning. It also served as fodder to colour most domestic US propaganda for more than six decades. We are still living with the unforeseen results of these negative politics.

This sense of lingering paranoia, right-wing mentality, in many ways, is what lead to the unnecessary bloodshed and psychological intrigue of the Korean War as a way of justifying defence increases. The Kennedy administration was fully aware in its earliest days that the USSR did not come close to matching the military might of the US arsenal. But the munitions manufactures and other neoliberal interests in the US that feed from the military budget punchbowl were keen on keeping the ‘race’ going strictly for profits sake.

The western struggle to defeat the appeal of left-wing ideologies in the hearts and minds of the peoples of the Second, Third and Fourth Worlds (at home and abroad) was an abject failure. Post-colonial revolutions were springing up all around the world, challenging empires and altering the economic landscape of the United States and Europe. Conservative politicians of either US political party, ostensibly working in concert with and/or for the American big-business community, decided that they could not sit idly by as the world swiftly sank into an utterly unprofitable, post-war peace.

And they did not wait very long before they began to act.

**The Road to Far-Rightist Reactionism**

‘I think the problem is that many people in America think that racism is an attitude. And this is encouraged by the capitalist system. So they think that what people think is what makes them a racist. Racism is not an attitude. If a white man wants to lynch me, that’s his problem. If he’s got the power to lynch me, that’s my problem. Racism is not a question of attitude; it’s a question of power. Racism gets its power from capitalism. Thus, if you’re anti-racist, whether you know it or not, you must be anti-capitalist. The power for racism, the power for sexism, comes from capitalism, not an attitude. You cannot be a racist without power. You cannot be a sexist without power. Even men who beat their wives get this power from the society which allows it, condones it, encourages it. One cannot be against racism, one cannot be against sexism, unless one is against capitalism.’

– Kwame Ture (Stokely Carmichael)

The breathless public paranoia of the Cold War years in many ways reflected Nash’s time spent in sanatoriums under anti-psychotic therapy and other specialised treatments to counteract his personal (and imaginary) fears. I do not say this lightly or with any sense of malice. I am merely pointing out an observable fact.

It would be ludicrous to assume that an individual’s mental state would not have an influence, or effect, upon how they, as people, make decisions. And it is clear that Mr. Nash’s political influence as a respected intellectual almost lead the world towards nuclear annihilation.

And without any doubt, (in my mind anyway) Nash’s perspectives on the hard application of game-theory and war diplomacy duly informed the Eisenhower administration’s push for what the retiring president called the 'Military-Industrial Complex'.

Or, the unnecessary re-arming of the United States military after WW2 strictly for the benefit of war-profiteering corporations and Wall Street. Which in turn, did much to persuade US corporatists to concoct the

The same can be same for Mr. Wisner too. In part, because he was a vital, intellectual cog to the US intelligence decision-making infrastructure (CIA) and partly because he is credited for his operational influence on Europe's terrifying period of subcontinental turbulence often referred to as the 'Strategy of Tension' (Strategia Della Tensione) and the (seemingly) indiscriminate mayhem of the 1960's-80's. 977

While I could not find any documented evidence of Mr. Wisner's psychological illness as having manifested itself before he was officially diagnosed, (as I could with Mr. Nash) I still think it would be negligent to not explore the possibility that his mental health may have impacted his operational logic.

I am speculating here, in this way, because of the dark nature of the indiscriminate (and massive) violence that went down, both in Europe and in the Third World, (via proxy-conflicts) that would be exclusively attributed to the talking-heads of the Marxist school of revolution. This was (and is) mostly false.

Having said that, this is not to suggest that the political left are moralistic fairies, but it is to say that any sober review of the public record shows that many governments – left, right or otherwise – might stoop to employing internal-terrorism in order to 'turn-the-tide' politically by instigating a climate of public fear (and therefore) trust, or submission to the extant leadership.

Adolf Hitler's Nazi Party did exactly this in 1933 when their operatives set fire to the Berlin Reichstag on February 27th and blamed Marinus van der Lubbe, a partially-blind; mentally-disturbed, pyromaniac and communist immigrant who was found stark naked nearby for the act. An interesting fact: it was a matter of seconds, right after local authorities 'found' van der Lubbe, that Hitler and Hermann Göring (the original founder of the Gestapo and later Nazi Reichsmarschall for all of the state) arrived on the scene and quickly charged that communist agitators were behind the attack.

This led to many Komunistische Partei Deutschlands (KPD) leaders (the chief political opposition to the fascists) to be illegally taken into custody; unlawfully detained; viciously interrogated; often brutally tortured and sometimes, painfully killed. 978

But Hitler went even further than that. He took full political advantage of the chaos and confusion by boldly declaring that an, ‘insurrection by communists’ was in the process of teetering on civil unrest. With this, he was able to bully through the approval of the Reichstag Fire Decree, 979 which did away with most of the human and civil rights provisions guaranteed by the 1919 constitution of the Weimar Republic.

Just before that on February 4th, Hitler had pushed for and finally passed the (temporary) 'Decree for the Protection of the German People' which effectively denied newspaper and radio reporters (and others) the 'right' to question the standing government in Germany.

All non-fascist political gatherings were banned. This included proscriptions against public speeches and the dissemination of independent books and leaflets that denounced Nazi principles or its leading personalities. Eventually, even uttering a negative comment about the fascists would cause an individual to be reported to
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the police.

This culminated into the all-encompassing – and legal – 'Enabling Act' (Ermächtigungsgesetz)\(^\text{980}\) which was the effective start of single-party political rule within post-war Germany.

It was through the shock of the Reichstag Fire that the Nazi Party was able to convince a terrified Germany public that communists were using terrorism to seize control over the country. It wasn't true, (as the 'Leipzig Trial' eventually showed)\(^\text{981}\) but many people wanted to believe it in any case. They simply did not want to be left out of the cultural loop. If the new Chancellor, Herr Hitler, (a war hero and political conservative) said that there was a Marxist putsch under-way, that was enough for the punters. Since 'everyone knows' that left-wing ideologies are uniformly 'bad' and must be done away with, it stands to (convoluted) reasoning that left-wing-people should be eliminated from society too.

**Fear Psychology, Repressed Sexuality and Far-Right Dogmas**

All educated people know the rest of this sad story. But what many of us do not know is that Hitler's unique political position in the government – and in Germany – at that time, was not as 'Der Führer'. He won the election, but he wasn't very popular. And the Austrian-born Adolf hadn't even achieved his official German citizenship until the middle of 1932. He was an outsider for all accounts and purposes. And he needed either passive compromise from his parliamentary rivals (especially the KPD) or their liquidation as a viable voting bloc in order to move forward.

It is not a big secret that Hitler's inner circle had wanted to proceed with a means of legally outlawing the German communist party, as they held more than a quarter of seats in the parliament. And unsurprisingly, the Reichstag Fire\(^\text{982}\) gave the NSDAP both the social and political means by which they could eliminate communist participation in the government and, any meaningful civilian resistance by the German public to their impending 'new order'.

But now we must ask what seems like a pointless question: Is there really any serious difficulty within educated people (today) towards understanding the subversive mechanics behind how the fascists in Germany rose to power during the 1930's?

The question is a fair one, because as we discuss the Twentieth Century and the post-WW2 era in the United States and Europe, it appears that the more 'unbalanced' and paranoid an individual came across, the more he or she would be listened to and (likely) believed within the radicalising current shift that occurred on the political Right (and Left) of the Cold War period.

In brief, all people make decisions, (of some sort). Including mentally unstable people. And when such individuals in positions of high importance and responsibility begin to consciously or unconsciously apply their personal, private visions to their professional work and/or social/group responsibilities.

When religiously über-devout people, political extremists and those who would abuse their authority for material gain or more narrow, selfish reasons rooted in personal ideology, their decisions affect everyone.

If a person of major importance or position within (or above) a society cannot readily tell the difference between 'right and wrong', that is a significant problem. And if their cognitive inability to distinguish fact from fiction becomes an issue, very bad things can happen. Especially if there is no reasonable effort made
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by others to responsibly intervene or even to acknowledge that such an issue exists at all.

As I mentioned earlier with Mr. Nash and Mr. Wisner, Adolf Hitler too, it has been alleged, also had a history of mental problems and suppressed emotional issues that were usually explained away as the idiosyncrasies of a unique genius. 983 Everyone who knew him in his younger years attested to his keen intellect; his commanding personality; his artistic bearing; his passionate love of the opera and his 'bohemian' sense of eccentricity. His years during the Great War were marked by a respected career for his tour of service, largely spent among the officer-class and But Herr Hitler was not the average itinerant war veteran.

Certainly, his rabid Judeophobia was not 'normal'. And there have always been pressing questions surrounding his 'wavering' sexuality. 984 And some inquires have been entirely legitimate. 985 986 Others are the thoroughly paranoid fantasies presented by speculative, pro-moralist goons, 987 seeking new ways to insult and discredit progressive causes that they fear will alter their bigoted social circumstances.

And like John Nash, 988 it has been strongly alleged that to a measurable degree, Adolf Hitler's particularly difficult personality was possibly due to a hidden inner-struggle with his own sexuality in an era of omnipotent Homophobia. 989 This was noted in surviving commentaries and legal testimonies of the time by some of his close (early) associates, sworn enemies, fellow soldiers and professional male prostitutes. In short, the picture emerges of a domineering, emotionally unbalanced, individual who was seen more or less as an odd-ball. Just another, formerly homeless, wounded army veteran on the streets of Germany who drew pretty pictures and spoke of right-wing politics all day long.

Contemporary historians will perhaps always want to debate amongst each other over why Hitler acted the way he did. But there is no doubt that Hitler was a government agent ('Verbindungsmann', or 'intelligence man') of the Aufklärungskommando (reconnaissance detachment) of the Reichswehr (German Army) and that in July of 1919 he was sent to associate himself marginally with the German Workers' Party (Deutsche Arbeiterpartei) so as to report back to military intelligence on their activities.

The reverse happened. Hitler's dominating spirit, skill at negotiation and enthusiasm for the recruitment of war veterans and his dogged promotion of anti-Jewish propaganda led to his joining the DAP (as a full member) and his personal introduction to members of the esoteric, and racist, pan-Germanic occult society.

The DAP under Hitler's direction became the Nationalsozialistische Deutsche Arbeiterpartei (National Socialist German Workers Party) and Hitler was (officially) released from his military responsibilities in March of 1920.
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While it is true that he was initially recruited to infiltrate the organisation as a spy, within a matter of months, Hitler managed to totally direct the group into a more ultraconservative direction. An unforeseen occurrence by the German intelligence services who (at the time) more concerned about stopping what they thought was a 'subversive' labour group from shaking up the status quo. In short, it was an accident. No one knew, (including Hitler) that the leader of the Revisionist Aryan resistance and instigator of the European Holocaust was himself, not an 'genetic' Aryan.

In my humble opinion, Hitler was a 'functional sociopath'. Like J. Edgar Hoover, I am of the mind that poor Adolf was disturbed by his homosexuality when it because an issue in his political goals. And like Hoover (and his life-long spouse, Tolson) he persecuted homosexuality and minorities as a means of coping with his own personal demons.

I accept, from the available evidence that Adolf Hitler, like many males, was probably bisexual with a definitive preference for men, (a not uncommon, subcultural paradigm operative in many cultures around the world). Which like Alexander the Great, does not diminish his masculinity, but also does not deny his penchant for utter ruthlessness. His only true friend and confidant (aside from his companion Eva Braun) was Albert Speer, (the Minister of Armaments and War Production) who suggested in his own memoir, 'Inside the Third Reich' and later remarked on in Munich during 1977:

'So long as Hitler had temperamental outbursts of hate, there was yet hope for a change towards more moderate directions. Therefore, it was the resoluteness and coldness which made his outbreaks against the Jews so convincing. In other areas when he announced horrifying decisions in a cold and quiet voice, those around him, and I myself knew that things had now become serious. And with just this cold superiority he declared also, when we occasionally had lunch together, that he was set to destroy the Jews in Europe.'

– Testimony of Albert Speer, Munich, 15th June 1977

As I interpret Herr Speer, Hitler was not always as psychologically-centred as outsiders would have preferred to assume. And as most of us know, Hitler and most of his inner-circle were occultist dreamers with a tenuous attachment to the real concerns of the material world much less the common people.

What do I mean? I won't make much about this, but it bears mentioning that many of the people that made up the thinking-heads of the German far-right in the post-World War One period all the way up to the 1940's were also cultural products of that specific era's bizarre mainstream spiritual belief(s). And most, if not all, of the early occult societies that grew to become the political organisations and fascist movements that controlled the German state were -- incredibly -- based almost by-letter upon an obscure book of fantasy and early science fiction.

In the early part of the turn of the 20th century, and most intensely after WW1, numerous secret societies began in stir discussion in Western and Central Europe. One of these clandestine organisations was the (supposed) Vril Society. There is no empirically solid evidence to prove that such a group actually existed, although its cultural influence was felt everywhere in society. According to the accepted story, four German nationalist mystics (two men, two women) met in a cafe in Vienna, Austria in 1918 to form a spiritual union with the objective of 'uplifting the German Aryan' and restoring the 'Balance of the Races' under the dictatorship of the original (White) people of Thule.
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This was the birth of the legendary 'Vril Society'. And it because the cultural stepping-stone for the commonly accepted belief in an energy force that mystics called Vril, which they said came from the power of the Black Sun of Providence through which the pure Aryan human will find his/her original state of being.

But before that, these ideas were (seriously) based upon an adventuresome science fiction story written in 1871 by Baron Edward Bulwer-Lytton titled, 'The Coming Race' (or, 'Vril, The Power of the Coming Race') which described a 'pure' tribe of powerful, subterranean Übermenschen who rule a Hollow Earth environment through racialised, magic powers. In turn, these ideas are chiefly rooted in the esoteric, pro-German racism of Guido von List (see: 'Ariosophy') and others, (namely Deutsche Arbeiterpartei founder, Dietrich Eckart) this was all crudely connected to 'trance' revelations; sex-magic; seances; telepathic 'contact' with ancient historical figures; fortune-telling and the popular culture's interest in a 'new age' of racial, social and national purity after the disgrace of losing their part of the Great War. And as a right-wing organisation, the Vril Society became immediately integrated into the philosophical foundations of the Third Reich once they gained power.

These ideas were a direct, personal inspiration to über-superstitious people like Rudolph Heß (Hess), Alfred Rosenberg, Hermann Göring, Martin Bormann and Adolf Hitler. Heinrich Himmler in particular was so obsessed with the occult that he spent more than 20 billion (USD) in today's currency to indulge in his quest to prove out his outlandish revisionist plans for 'rebuilding' German's history. The primary Nazi government vehicle for this effort was the Ahnenerbe headed by Himmler and his adjutant, SS-Standartenführer Wolfram Sievers ('the Nazi Bluebeard') where they forcibly combined science, religion and mysticism into a hybridisation that was more akin to rank pseudo-science and absurd racist caricaturisation than anything resembling an authentic historical review.

And what they did not flat-out lie about, they flat-put stole from any culture that they could reconfigure into their own ideological fabric. The humble Swastika has been subject to this sort of abuse as has the modern study of Runology. This is all true. And whether we wish to accept this or not, to one degree or another, these arcane (and very profitable for some) occult practises lead in large part to the European Holocaust. In other words, the inmates were allowed to control the asylum. And the entire world was forced to pay a heavy price for the wacked-out decisions of (only) a handful of certifiably-insane people holding the reins of political gang-power in both Europe and the United States.

Persian Dreams and Petrodollars

So if the 1950's are remarkable as an era, it is only because it began with the Anglophone-instigated Cold War that shaped it was just accelerating into high-gear. The decade itself began with a 1953 coup d'état (codename: TPAJAX Project) against the left-wing coalition government of Dr. Mohammad Mosaddeq (The National Front of Persia, Jebhe Melli) by the CIA and MI6 (Secret Intelligence Service, UK) who found it possible to turn the tide in socialist Iran very easily and very cheaply.

The master plan for the coup d'état was engineered by Kermit 'Kim' Roosevelt and Frank Wisner to protect the Anglo-Iranian Oil Company assets for less than 1 million USD as attested to in Mr. Roosevelt's own published memoir, 'Counter Coup: The Struggle for the Control of Iran', (1979) and the CIA's own public admission to 'Operation Ajax' (code-name: TP-AJAX) in 2013.
The roots of this particular conflict can be traced back to British involvement in Persia during WW1 in this regard forced the hard-line Red Army to begin annexing parts of Iran as a bulwark against further capitalist intervention. (See: The 'American Expeditionary Force in Siberia' - AEF Siberia )

Later, similar imperialist factors became a destabilising issue yet again during Britain's death-struggle with the German Third Reich in 1941, when both the UK and the Soviet Union occupied Iran to protect Persian petroleum and railway lines that supplied the Russian 'Worker's paradise' from the Germans and their Eastern European allies. (See: ‘Operation Countenance’)

It was during this time that British intelligence had Rezā Khan Pahlavi, (the wartime Shah – and father of Mohammad Rezā Pahlavi – who had earlier ousted Ahmad Shah Qajar, the previous Shah) detained, deposed and finally exiled. His inability to keep control over their investment led to his son being placed in power by Great Britain until he too fled into exile in Europe after a failed, covert purge of Prime Minster Mosaddeq and his government in 1953.

The exploitative situation of Iran was primarily perpetrated by Great Britain – which sought to retain its near-total control over the country’s economy since it's own invasion to protect the country's oil fields from the Axis Powers during WW2.

Even before that, the successful and privately-owned Burmah Oil Company (of Scotland) was forcibly acquired by the British government as a national asset upon entering the first of the 20th century European tribal wars – World War One – which had the psychological effect of identifying the entire country – in the minds of the derby-hatted Fleet Street speculators – as a ‘British’ commercial outpost.

And this view was total. For it listed the entirety of Iran's petroleum resources; its industrial production for market; the physical labour of the native working-classes (necessary towards making all of this happen) and even their Kings (Shah) – as 'bought and paid for' possessions of the British Empire.

Legally, the Anglo-Persian Oil Company ('APOC', which soon became British Petroleum and later 'BP') attained the right to search for and extract energy resources from the leadership of the royal Qajar Dynasty with whom they were supposed to share a (very insignificant) portion of the profits.

The Great (Imperial) Game

Being true to the Anglo-Saxon style of imperial conduct, this did not happen. And due to this blatant lack of respect for the intelligence of the common Persian, the rise of various revolts from both the peasantry and the educated class began to destabilise the ruling family and moneyed elites of the country. The dissidents were not rebels in the true sense. They were simply citizens who were fed-up with the unequal state of national affairs and a dictatorial rule that was in bed with foreign powers.

Others were still indignant over the military occupation of their country by the United Kingdom in their (failed) attempt to sabotage the Russian Revolution of 1917 (as part of the anti-communist 'Siberian Intervention') as would in time occur again for a second time, later, in the second European World War.

The English petroleum clique was concerned that their imperial ownership of the Iranian oil market would be lost to a 'Marxist'. So therefore, the notion of engaging in 'fair' negotiations with the Mosaddeq government was deemed virtually impossible by the Foreign Office. Then, the UK attempted to subvert Iranian
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petroleum production globally through (dishonest) international diplomacy, internal sabotage (through Iranian traitors already working within the country) and visible intimidation by its military forces.

When even this did not encourage the sort of compliance they wanted, the captains of the petroleum industry (who had the ears of Churchill and Eisenhower) decided to overturn Persia's government (the Truman administration had opposed such a plan) via covert means with President Eisenhower's approval.

Reportedly, CIA officials had also recruited Major General Herbert Norman Schwarzkopf (father of the Persian Gulf war US commander) to help them with the operation. Schwarzkopf had been sent to Persia in 1942, because of his policing background, to set-up their law enforcement services during the foreign occupation of Iran. During this time he became a personal confidant of the the Pahlavi clan, which in turn lead to his being called on again – this time by the CIA – to support the return of the exiled Shah, Mohammad Reza. Major General Schwarzkopf is also the individual most responsible for the creation of the murderous SAVAK secret police, the violent state-force that terrorised the Iranian public until the advent of the 1979 Iranian Revolution.

Again, while I have no reason to suspect that Schwarzkopf was mentally ill, his use of terror tactics without regard for the lives of the people being harmed borders of madness.. But I can accept that he likely believed in the capitalist system enough to follow through with what he was asked to do out of duty to his country if nothing else.

And this dumbed-down sense of political ultra-conservatism – I believe – is what allows perfectly reasonable societies to stumble out of control and towards perdition. When a vast minority is allowed to horde material wealth for itself exclusively, history has shown that the defensive response by the elite will be swift and often unjust. They will act decisively to protect their privileges. And of common folk get caught-up in the mayhem, so be it.

This is precisely what happened in Europe. Covertly supported by US intelligence agencies, gung-ho members of the European Gladio network of 'stay-behind' assets (the post-WW2 'structures' designed to repel a possible Soviet invasion which never happened) waged their own right-wing war against politically active communists and socialists in Italy, Belgium and Germany. The expressed aim of the exercise was to trick the European public into believing that the attacks were part of a widespread, Soviet-backed plot against the democratic west.

Illustration 120: Russian Revolution, October 1917 - Source: http://tinyurl.com/qfh76p9

And in this way – they hoped public opinion would demand an immediate shift to the political right and extreme right. believed that in utilising 'false-flag' shooting attacks and bombings against innocent civilians that would (falsely) be attributed to the racial left. Between 1969 and 1980, more than 4000 terrorist attacks occurred with far-right extremists being responsible for most of the human damage. Terrorist acts such as the Piazza Fontana bombing of 1969; the Peteano bombing in 1972 and the 1980 Bologna railway station attack were – in reality – the bloody handiwork of Europe's most violent, far-right/neo-Nazi extremists.

By 1969, the right-wing was convinced that the openly

Illustration 121: Piazza Fontana bombing - Source: http://wp.me/p16LGz-jCy
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communist, socialist and anarchist parties of post-war Europe would gain legitimacy within a peaceful, politically progressive social climate. (See: 'Neue Ostpolitik' or 'New Eastern Policy') So did the US and Italian intelligence services who on their own initiative, decided to alter the public’s perception of 'peace and stability' through dirty methods.

To do this, they conducted a clandestine reign-of-terror during the Cold War that saw the harassment; gaoling; framing and killing of numerous communists; socialists; suspected anarchists; and other left-wing activists for acts of violence that were actually committed by the political right.

For instance, the very radical Lotta Continua ('Continuous Struggle') political group was accused of using terrorism (for the assassination of a Milan police official and intelligence man, Luigi Calabresi) as revenge for the death of Italian anarchist, Giuseppe Pinelli, who died from injuries sustained from a four-storey 'fall' while in police custody. (The police station's intelligence offices were also located on the fourth floor.) He was brought in for questioning, along with another anarchist, Pietro Valpreda, who was later cleared for his false conviction for the Piazza Fontana attack. And this was just the beginning.

The violent deaths of Pinelli and Calabresi instigated a long-list of foreign-influenced, cloak-and-dagger operations against the private citizenry in order to prevent the positive development of the political left-wing in Europe. In Germany, the active left emerged from the European post-war counter-culture of the APO, or, Äußерparlamentarische (Extraparliamentary ) Opposition (not to be confused with the neo-Nazi VAPO) and the first known politically far-left commune, Kommune 1.

These groups were radical leftist organisations comprised of young, very angry students concerned about the fact that former Nazi officials remained in political and economic power after the fall of the Hitler government, Germany's involvement in NATO and the possibility of nuclear war. But despite their radicalism (and willingness to battle fascists and police in the streets) they were not seriously committed to acts of political violence against either the public or the state.

And while all of this is true, indeed, quite a bit of violence certainly did come from the extremist left during this same period. Namely from organisations such as the (West) German (or, Bundesrepublik Deutschland) based Tupamaros – West-Berlin/Munich (not the original Uruguay revolutionaries); the (anarchist) 2 June Movement (Bewegung 2. Juni); the Red Army Faction, (Rote Armee Fraktion) also known as the Baader-Meinhof Gang, and the Revolutionary Cells (Revolutionäre Zellen) who were either directly responsible for, or suspected in connection with, assassinations; bombings and arson attacks against both the state and far-right political groups.

The RZ for instance, marginally participated in the mercenary operation against the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) headquarters in Vienna led by the infamous Carlos the Jackal Sanchez in 1975.

In Italy, left-leaning intellectuals and revolutionaries stood accused of attempting to subvert the public order, leaving protest groups and militant organisations such as the Red Brigades (Brigate Rosse) open to state repression. On the other hand, acts of terror committed by far-rightists such as Prince J.V .S. Borghese's Fronte Nazionale ('National Front') Giuseppe Rauti's Ordine Nuovo ('New Order') and S. Delle Chiaie's Avanguardia Nazionale were virtually ignored by the authorities. These groups are also said to have been
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(loosely) connected to the banking issues involved in the P2 masonic lodge scandal and the suspicious death of Roberto Calvi in 1982.1010

Post-Nazi Germany had the anti-immigrant bomb attacks of the neo-fascist, Bavarian Liberation Army (Bajuwarische Befreiungsarmee)1011 to contend with. Although in truth, the ‘group’ turned out to be the work of a lone-wolf terrorist, Franz Fuchs, waging a lonely neo-fascist campaign against cosmopolitanism and a return to fascism. And his lone campaign was not the only one. Fascist ideologies of one form or another popped-up in the most unexpected places.

Europe, (and the United States for that matter) believe it or not, do not represent the literal centre – or the reality – of the real world. Many developed (and not-so developed) nations and societies have faced the threat of far-right/fascist political politics. And some of these populations have succumbed, pessimistically, to the emotional appeal of flowery ultra-nationalism buttressed by a sense of spiritual devotion to a race-conscious, xenophobic deity (or deities) who (they say) granted them the 'right' to the land (and peoples) upon which their 'state' resides.

The inevitable (and unsurprising conclusion) to such ideas is the internal implosion, corruption and (sometimes) irreparable erosion of the national moral centre. It is simply political-alchemy to think that playing with fascism isn't the same as if one were playing with fire. The end-results of such decisions are plain. Our intellectual focus upon the Nazi system of government has in a way, blinded us to the actual roots of what made someone like Adolf Hitler possible in the first place.

Herr Hitler was a super-intelligent, megalomaniacal fool with a talent for convincing the masses of his earthly divinity. He also led his nation to utter ruin within a short span of years. And his fellow Germans, willingly, allowed him to do it.

Public Willingness and Errant Patriotism

For this writer, the real end-game of the Nazi regime was the unbending willingness of the German public to knowingly allow for the unforgivable. And only from the elite classes and selected members of the clergy. This is an identical situation to that which has occurred whenever and wherever the far-right has managed to gain political and/or economic control after the WW2. At least for a period of time.

At the risk of being redundant, I wish to emphasise what I consider to to be the obvious: far-right and unabashedly Fascist movements and governments are a danger to the well-being of any country. This is a historical fact.

And it is entirely racist to believe that far-right ideologies are in and way exclusive to Europeans since paranoid thinking and reactionary action is not, in any way an unshared human characteristic particular to a specific ethnic or regional population group.

Fascism is a universal problem. And it has been a constant irritant to world peace since the middle of the last century. Concerning Asia, the ultraconservative government of the westernised far-right leader, Syngman Rhee was (in its own right) so brutal and repressive (and supported by the United States Army Military Government in Korea, or, USAMGIK) that many civilians in the south welcomed an intervention from the Soviet-supported north of the country once US forces began pulling out of the region.1012

The Arab World too was dragged into the conflict because the petroleum being extracted from the Middle East was crucial to Europe and the US an economic imperative and as a tool against the Soviet Union and other smaller nations just emerging from obscurity just after the Second World War.
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In particular, the rise of secular Arab nationalism threatened this exploitative cycle of economic dependence. Africa too became another human-populated chessboard of the East-West Cold War, only no one asked any of them if they ever wanted to play along. Things got so out of hand at one point, the Jewish State of Israel in the Levant (JSIL) secretly offered to sell nuclear weapons to the Republic of South Africa during the 1970s just in case the 'commies' got a little too close. South America was rife with far-right activity in Chile, (not excluding the dictatorship of Augusto Pinochet); Brazil, (Integralista Brasileira)

In each of the examples I listed above, ultra-conservative, far-right dictatorships were regarded; courted; funded and trained by governments and private corporate interests in the west. The mainlands of North America and Australasia avoided the violence Europe; Asia; Africa and the Arab World witnessed during this period. This inadvertently helped US propagandists sell the Cold War to the American public as a necessary 'Good versus Evil' morality play when in fact, the entire situation could have been handled much better than it was dealt with. Risking war with a former ally is bad enough. But to paraphrase Mr. Orwell, war(s) is meant to be continuous, not to be won.

The United States is not much different than any other empire in recorded history. As a national culture, it is expansive, narcissistic and it is immediately ill-natured towards populations and governments that stand in its way of theologically-justified, neoliberal acquisition. The moral, if not ethical questions that can be asked of a nation that can wage war(s), torture and other human rights abuses in the name of spreading democracy and capitalism remains (it seems) unanswered, yet wide-open to various interpretations. However, the public Anglophone historical record actually gives us the clues we need to search for an answer, if not a final solution(s) to the problem.

A Brief Inquiry Into the Primal Urge
to Wield a Big Shillelagh Against Those That Cannot Defend Themselves

It may seem odd for me, a military man to adopt such a comparison. Truthfulness compels me to. I spent thirty-three years and four months in active military service as a member of this country’s most agile military force, the Marine Corps. I served in all commissioned ranks from Second Lieutenant to Major-General. And during that period, I spent most of my time being a high class muscle-man for Big Business, for Wall Street and for the Bankers. In short, I was a racketeer, a gangster for capitalism...I helped make Mexico, especially Tampico, safe for American oil interests in 1914. I helped make Haiti and Cuba a decent place for the National City Bank boys to collect revenues in. I helped in the raping of half a dozen Central American republics for the benefits of Wall Street...I helped purify Nicaragua for the international banking house of Brown Brothers in 1909-1912. I brought light to the Dominican Republic for American sugar interests in 1916. In China I helped to see to it that Standard Oil went its way unmolested...

– Major General Smedley Butler USMC, 'War Is A Racket' (1935)

President Barack H. Obama, it must be agreed, whether you care for his policies or not, has not had an easy time of it as leader of a White, racist Pax Americana. It is not that he isn't an effective administrator
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or a capable (enough) national leader because in fact, he is all of that and much, much more. He is an establishment educated, well-travelled and culturally sophisticated, 21st century Black man in the United States of America. And his highly charged, thoroughly bigoted opponents simply cannot bring themselves to accept the fact that an educated Negro has become (legitimately) the undisputed top dog of the 'free world'. Or, that for all accounts and purposes, he and his (beautiful) family serve as the representative 'faces' (not just Caucasians) of the various people(s) who populate the United States and make it work.

Without hesitation, (or spitefulness) I contend that the reality of a modern, 21st century post-'Whiteness' USA annoys and pesters those who hate Africans to their very core for no other reason than hate itself.1020

This does not mean that there are not historical and traditional reasons for the existence and perpetuation of such an ugly social condition. Not at all. African slavery did occur in the Americas,1021 despite what the right-wing has to say about it.1022 1023 The physical and spiritual genocide of the Indigenous American population did indeed occur in North;1024 South1025 and Central America1026 and this too cannot be easily dismissed. Although many pro-Europocentric, revisionist conservatives certainly try to do just that.1027 Or worse.1028

This is the undeniable historical crux of the issue when it comes to the immense and petty negativity expressed about Barack Obama's leadership. And in truth, what are they really complaining about anyway?

After being awarded the Nobel Prize for Peace, Mr. Obama gave an acceptance speech that focused on the necessity for 'just wars', a strong military and a promise to stop Arab terrorism by use of force.1029 He has sold himself an avowed 'anti-war' and anti-torture politician, even as he delivers speeches that advocate the use of American Exceptionalism and US military might:

'I stand before you as someone who is not opposed to war in all circumstances. The Civil War was one of the bloodiest in history, and yet it was only through the crucible of the sword, the sacrifice of multitudes, that we could begin to perfect this union and drive the scourge of slavery from our soil.

I don't oppose all wars. My grandfather signed up for a war the day after Pearl Harbor was bombed, fought in Patton's army. He fought in the name of a larger freedom, part of that arsenal of democracy that triumphed over evil. I don't oppose all wars.'


Obama ditto-heads and even his more thoughtful supporters readily accepted these remarks at face value. And you cannot blame them for that. There are still people who know better who claim to still believe that Iraq attacked in the United States during the 9/11 Attacks.
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He won the Nobel 'peace' award after all. No questions asked. He is also the first US head-of-state that is of African descent. This fact alone makes his US Civil War reference an interesting one. Because it sought to perpetuate the myth of the 'good war' by confusing an internal economic and territorial struggle between the federal government and southern (British supported) capitalists with the limitless, ongoing 'wars for profit' and peculiar economy of paranoia that has arisen from the fog of circular, 'blowback-conflicts' involving international terrorism; narcotics trafficking and progressive, non-violent activism against predatory big businesses.

The progressive Left in the United States, (and the African community in particular) have conveniently chosen to disregard the actual political record of Mr. Obama before Bush and Cheney pursued war in Iraq by selectively pick-and-choose statements from various speeches. Such as the following, conducted way back when he was a presidential candidate running against hawkish US conservatives conducting an unpopular war:

'As for our common defense, we reject as false the choice between our safety and our ideals. Our Founding Fathers, faced with perils we can scarcely imagine, drafted a charter to assure the rule of law and the rights of man, a charter expanded by the blood of generations. Those ideals still light the world, and we will not give them up for expedience's sake. And so to all other peoples and governments who are watching today, from the grandest capitals to the small village where my father was born: know that America is a friend of each nation and every man, woman, and child who seeks a future of peace and dignity, and we are ready to lead once more.'

– President Barack H. Obama: Inaugural Address, (Jan. 20th 2009)

The mainstream (sane) world, (including his political and ideological enemies) and the Black bourgeoisie within the United States and Africa clapped. His rapid expansion of the US 'freedom project' through the use of 'killer', Israeli-produced drones, specialised; patriotic and highly-strung US military forces and highly-paid, steroid-fuelled mercenaries stationed around the world – politically speaking – only irritated the liberals, progressives and room-temperature communists who had fought against the pro-imperialist lies and sabotage attempts of the US right-wing to get him elected.

He was victorious. And he won over many conservative voters in the process. But in truth, he may have won the White House, but his rank-and-file constituents lost what they had hoped would be the true political leader of their (chiefly) mainstream, common-sense cause(s). In the bitter end, what they got was 'Obamacare' (a for-profit, republican-created plan) as a capitalist substitute for a viable, non-profit, national healthcare system and the rest of world got an expansion of the Bush/Cheney 'War on Terror' programme.

Recent immigrants and long-time undocumented residents from 'South of the Border' have been either detained within 'privatised' domestic 'black sites' or, literally ejected by force from the United States under the Obama administration at a rate greater than his predecessor George 'Dubya' Bush (The Second)
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ever did. This is demonstrably true. And this is also why the US right-wing is clearly insane for ever suggesting that the Obama administration – which has systematically expanded upon the PNAC project to take over all of the Arab World – or that Mr. Obama personally, is in any way, 'un-American'.

Racialist Injustice and Political Discontinuity

How could that possibly be? Obama entered the office of US president in January of 2009. In April, his first major international act of solidarity with the 'Wretched of the Earth' was to boycott the Durban Review Conference (Durban II) in Geneva, Switzerland, which is dedicated to forwarding the multi-culturalist and humanistic agenda of the World Conference against Racism, Racial Discrimination, Xenophobia and Related Intolerance agreed to in the Republic of South Africa (under Nelson 'Madiba' Mandela's spiritual leadership). His government repeated this act again, at another conference in September of 2011, (Durban III).

And by June of that year, a coup d'état occurred in Honduras that was quickly explained away as an internal, run-of-the-mill 'constitutional crisis' created under the weight of the nation's corrupt system of political and economic injustice. But in truth, the Obama administration quietly oversaw the coup from a distance and did nothing to prevent it from taking place.

As United States university professor and left-wing intellectual Dr. Michael Parenti has intelligently opined on the subject in his short piece, 'The Honduras Coup: Is Obama Innocent?', if the Obama/Biden government did not officiate the coup, they certainly had long-standing assets within Honduras that could have warned of the impeding industrial action tat occurred amongst the military and judicial sectors of the social machinery:

'Is President Obama innocent of the events occurring in Honduras, specifically the coup launched by the Honduran military resulting in the abduction and forced deportation of democratically elected President Manuel Zelaya? Obama has denounced the coup and demanded that the rules of democracy be honored. Still, several troubling questions remain. First, almost all the senior Honduran military officers active in the coup are graduates of the Pentagon's School of the Americas (known to many of us as "School of the Assassins"). The Honduran military is trained, advised, equipped, indoctrinated, and financed by the United States national security state. The generals would never have dared to move without tacit consent from the White House or the Pentagon and CIA. Second, if Obama was not directly involved, then he should be faulted for having no firm command over those US operatives who were. The US military must have known about the plot and US military intelligence must have known and must have reported it back to Washington. Why did Obama’s people who had communicated with the coup leaders fail to blow the whistle on them? Why did they not expose and denounce the plot, thereby possibly foiling the entire venture? Instead the US kept quiet about it, a silence that in effect, even if not in intent, served as an act of complicity.'
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Why would the US want to see the toppling of a pro-Yankee, sociopolitically conservative South American political leader like President Manuel Zelaya?

Well apparently, The Nation's Dana Frank found some connections that the mainstream news decided wasn't fit for primetime discussion:

"The United States has, in fact, been quietly escalating its military presence in Honduras, pouring police and military funding into the regime of President Porfirio Lobo in the name of fighting drugs. The DEA is using counterinsurgency methods developed in Iraq against drug traffickers in Honduras, deploying squads of commandos with US military Special Forces backgrounds to work closely with the Honduran police and military. The US ambassador to Honduras, Lisa Kubiske, recently said, “We have an opportunity now, because the military is no longer at war in Iraq. Using the military funding that won't be spent, we should be able to have resources to be able to work here.”"

Dana Frank, "Honduras: Which Side Is the US On?" 1043

So, even after a United States official in Honduras has openly admitted that 'an opportunity now' exists—because of the ebbing professional military presence in Iraq— to allow for the re-allocation of those specific war funds towards waging another war in the southern Américas just because the United States can do so, isn't major news. 1044 And it isn't as if there are not conscientious reporters who are more than willing to accurately document these stories. There are plenty, such as Eva Golinger, (of Venezuelanalysis.com) for one:

- 'Obama's First Coup d'Etat: Honduran President has been Kidnapped' : venezuelanalysis.com - June 28th 2009 (http://twitthat.com/AMO1P)

Her work (and many other independent journalists on scene) was ignored by the mainstream editors of the Anglophone press. Again, 1045 given the sordid history of unnecessary abuse and exploitation between the United States oligarchy and the rest of the Américas, this is not (really) a big surprise. And it sounds simple enough on its face. But as you might have expected, there was much more going on in the background than has been admitted to by the organisations and the people who run them on behalf of the US public. Dana Frank continues:

'Missing from the official story—never mentioned by US officials, and left out of mainstream news coverage—is that the US government’s ally in this campaign, the Lobo regime, is the illegitimate progeny of the military coup that deposed democratically elected President Manuel Zelaya on June 28, 2009. President Barack Obama and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton at first criticized the coup government, led initially by Roberto Micheletti, but then legitimated it. After almost all the opposition candidates (as well as international observers) boycotted the post-coup election that brought Lobo to power, heads of state throughout the region refused to recognize his presidency: but the United States hailed him for “restoring democracy” and promoting “national reconciliation.” The State Department and Clinton continue to repeat both fictions, as did President Obama when he welcomed Lobo to the White House in October.'

The Nation, 'Honduras: Which Side Is the US On?' (May 22nd, 2012)

---
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Honduras is, unfortunately, not alone. The independent Bolivarian nation of Venezuela continues to incur the bitter wrath of the United States – long before and after – the socialist leadership of President Hugo Chavez, and at least three (two confirmed) coup d'état attempts (2002 and 2015) in recent years. One of which just happened to go down while Obama was complaining about the ascendancy of President Nicolas Maduro after the mysterious death of President Chavez.

Señor Chávez was among a list of other South American leaders who have been diagnosed with cancer at around the same time, including President Cristina Fernández de Kirchner (Argentina) – she was eventually told that her diagnosis was in error; Fernando Lugo (Paraguay) and presidents Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva and Dilma Rousseff (Brazil). Dr. Fidel Castro Ruz was reported to have been diagnosed with cancer as early as 2006. And it is a well known open secret that the CIA has attempted to utilise any and all means to eliminate Cuban communist leader Fidel Castro and Congo nationalist Patrice Lumumba (amongst others) with cancer-causing biological agents and other poisons.

Rightist Skulduggery in Bolivar's Américas

As bizarre as all of this sounds, none of what I have listed above is clearly 'conspiracy theory', but it is also a matter of the public record.

Let's face facts, the United States, just like all other imperialist nations, has its dark side. And this includes the use of selective neutralisation – including and up to assassination actions – against chosen targets in an effort to alter, disrupt or simply stop political movement(s) and/or 'subversive cause(s); seditious anti-government faction(s); domestic terrorists and those subject to acts of treason and to no surprise, many social justice activists opposed to the economic system and the status quo. (See: 'The United States President's Commission on CIA activities within the United States' or, 'The Rockefeller Commission')

This unfortunate history is relevant to comprehending how negative state-sanctioned actions can create multifaceted, circular cases of sociopolitical and economic damage that can last for generations and manifest in new forms. Now that the Obama administration has slammed the South American nation with sanctions.
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for (allegedly) violating the 'rights' of right-wing protesters during violent demonstrations against his government. Which is hysterically hypocritical since in the United States under Obama, protest has become just about illegal.1060

Non-violent, left-wing and decidedly progressive free speech in the United States;1061 Canada and Mexico is brutally suppressed; subject to extralegal surveillance and an overtly militarised civilian police force.1062 Peaceful activists using their legal rights to free speech and non-violent assembly are often 'kettled', beaten, pepper-sprayed and shot with non-lethal weaponry.1063 Many committed activists have been unlawfully arrested and detained without access to a lawyer and in some cases, sent to domestic 'black site' detention complexes where they are harshly interrogated.

In other cases, they are asked to become an informer or face a lifetime of hassles and roadblocks. The world's self-acknowledged leader of democracy and human rights is without a doubt, one of the planet's worse human rights violators.1064 And the privatisation of intelligence has helped to exacerbate the problem.1065 defenceless against agent provocateurs who infiltrate and set-up movements (and individual leaders) to fail.

This is exactly what happened during the populist Occupy Wall Street Movement (occupywallst.org) protests that took place coast-to-coast across the United States (2011-2012) in response to the economic implosion of the subprime mortgage home loan scandal; the subsequent federal bail-out of the banks behind the malfeasance and the crippling debt of student educational loans.

It is only because of Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests undertaken by the Partnership for Civil Justice Fund (PCJF) on behalf of OWS, that (some of) the reading public was made aware that the FBI was not observing the Constitutional or civil right to peaceful, nonviolent protest.1067 In fact, the Bureau not only acted against the OWS as if it were a possible terrorist threat, but they openly acknowledged – within their own internal documents – that credible assassination threats targeting the OWS 'leaders' were being hatched against OWS, without informing the intending victims that they were in danger:

'We just held the park. And that was enough for people to plan to assassinate us.

And the FBI did... what, exactly, when it received this information? Did it do anything at all? Was that written in the white box below? How is this not conspiracy to commit murder? How is the person or persons who planned this not being investigated?
Someone planned to assassinate us. Who? He, She, or They would have carried out that task if deemed necessary. By whom? Blackwater/Xe/Academi? Some bank? Well at least I have pride in the choices I've made. If they want us dead, we must be doing something right.'

– OllieGarkey: They planned to kill us "if deemed necessary." -- dailykos.com1068
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Even after the Bureau (and perhaps CIA) found that the movement was largely committed to peaceful protest and benign forms of civil disobedience, the suppressive actions of the coordinated law enforcement agencies dedicated to stopping OWS continued until the street-actions of the movement ended inconclusively. In the major US city of New York, the local police department not only used violence against protesters, officers also burned books and destroyed personal computers. Not exactly something that should occur in a democratic country.

Law enforcement hard-noses were tripping over each other in an effort to 'make points' in the right-wing struggle against the OWS. And in the end, they all displayed themselves, in most cases, as moonlighting, ham-fisted enforcers for the capitalist-corporate sector.

And much of this has happened directly under President Obama's watch. But that is just the tip of the iceberg. Some will argue that the real kick-off of the Obama administration's secret international security-state doctrine took place during the US-led, NATO-assisted coup d'etat against the government of Libya. (Operation Unified Protector)

As Secretary of State Hillary Clinton put it, 'We came, we saw, he died' And we all see how well that turned out, haven't we? Libya after Colonel Gaddafi (there are several different spellings of his name) has been one sloppily attempted coup after another and incessant warfare between the various western-armed, al-Qaeda linked, militias left-over from the original operation isn't helping the situation.

This is particularly troubling since many of these apolitical freebooters are said to be taking payments from government ministries desperate to gain some sort of control over what is now clearly a gangster's paradise. Many of the larger warlords are being paid for their loyalty to the current power arrangement, but this is always in question as such groups are generally more concerned with monetary gain and raw power, than politics.

The situation in Libya has proven itself be just one more example of how western imperialist intervention(s) work just the opposite of what they claim to be. (See: BBC - 'Libya PM Zeidan's brief kidnap was 'attempted coup', 11 October 2013) And the US/UK/France and NATO are directly responsible. The poor United Nations has condemned these outcomes and has pledged its support for stabilisation and regard for non-combatants, but what can they really do? Their motives may be honourable, but they are up against a brick wall.
A little later, the confusing political musical-chairs game of putsch and counter-putsch that occurred in Egypt, too, curiously hints at the shadowy fingerprints of US and UK (secret) foreign policy in both the #Jan25 (Twitter) coup (against the dictatorship of Hosni Mubarak) and the counter-coup that immediately followed. Including the negative incidents that have passed onto the leaders and membership of the (very) religious, right-wing Muslim Brotherhood in that country by the equally right-wing (and US/UK funded) Egyptian military.

And as of this writing, the African nation of Yemen, just after a very recent coup, has suffered a total breakdown of government and is being claimed by another group of religious sectarian called the ‘Houthi’, or, ‘Supporters of God’. Named after Hussein Badreddin al-Houthi, a late Zaidi (Shia) Islamic leader and government official (Al-Haqq Islamic Party, serving 1993-1997) and led by his brother, Sheikh Sayyid Abdul-Malik al-Houthi, the movement is a by-product of the Houthi rebellion or, Sa'dah Civil War in the tribal regions of northern Yemen.

This revolt stated in 2004 when al-Houthi organised an uprising with the goal of overthrowing the Yemen state and he had openly accused President Ali Abdullah Saleh of collaboration with the United States and the State of Israel at the expense of Yemen’s people(s). Fears arose about the Houthi movement and the possibility that they might change the direction of Yemen towards Shia theological rules, social practises and political ties to Persia.

Yemen's security forces and intelligence services hit at the followers of al-Houthi's faction exceptionally hard, forcibly detaining its members and placing a bounty of $75,500.00 USD on its leader as a means of neutralising the group. And according to official statements, al-Houthi was killed (reportedly) in September of that year by government fighters.

The Houthi insist that they are fighting against a pro-Saudi government; tribal discrimination and Wahhabi religious aggression as a result of the 1994 Yemen civil war. In that case, armed factions of the Democratic Republic of Yemen (DRY) fought against the north Yemen Arab Republic (YAR) with the south losing and most Yemeni Socialist Party (YSP) members fleeing for their lives into exile.

Western Neocolonialism in Praxis

It is important to note that during this (particular) conflict, the neighbouring KSA gave military assistance to the YAR government against the secessionist movement in the south. And due to this intervention, Zaidi tribal bands have argued that the government has shown favouritism to embedded Wahhabi factions in Yemen at the expense of their sect.

1077Twitter blocked in Egypt as thousands of protesters call for government reform [Updated] - January 25, 2011 | 1:41 pm
1078”Discontent Swells In Hosni Mubarak's Egypt” : NPR - November 22, 2010
1079”Hosni Mubarak | World news | The Guardian” - By Ben Wedeman, Reza Sayah and Matt Smith, CNN updated 5:29 AM EDT, Thu July 4, 2013
1080”Egypt: Coup or not a coup? - CBS News” - ByMajor Garrett - CBS NewsJuly 26, 2013, 3:03 PM
1081”Tony Blair To Advise Egypt Strongman Sisi” - The Huffington Post | By Alana Horowitz - Posted: 07/02/2014 2:00 pm EDT Updated: 07/02/2014 6:59 pm EDT [http://is.gd/mGNxPj]
1082”Egypt's Dark Tunnel -- Events since the ouster of Mohamed Morsi have been ominous for democracy and for Egypt.” - Adil E. Shamoo (http://twitthath.com/qq61C) - Posted: 10/30/2013 5:29 pm EDT Updated: 12/30/2013 5:12 am EST
1083”BBC NEWS | Middle East | Yemeni forces kill rebel cleric” - By Kristen Chick November 11, 2009
The KSA maintains that Shia militias (connected to Iran) are fighting so close to their own borders that their own national security is threatened and demands appropriate action to repel it. Israel too is a concern because of the instability of the regional chaos and – Iran's support for the Houthi. (See: The United Nations Security Council Resolution 924)

The US has over the years provided substantial military and financial aid to the Yemen government. And under the Obama administration, domestic, US-trained forces have conducted intense search and destroy operations aimed at killing or capturing al-Qaeda operatives and other armed militants in the mountainous areas of northern Yemen. Even after this assistance and being helped along by ample drone and intelligence support, Houthi militants still managed to depose President Mansour Hadi and dissolve what was left of his ailing government in 2014.

And in turn, this has encouraged the local thugs of al-Qaeda (in the Arabian Peninsula - AQAP) to make as much capital as possible from the confusion. Worse, for all concerned, these developments have lead to the closing of the US embassy there and the swift evacuation of all military personnel from the country. Which in turn has led to the return of KSA military involvement again in 2015.

The region is clearly unstable and things are getting more complex for those inside the conflict and the external observers trying to understand and analyse the underlying problems in order to stop the terror. No one knows for sure which way things are going.

But one thing is certain, the chaos wielded by the US-led 'War on Terror' campaign, in particular, the covert effort to unseat the current government of Syria through the use of right-wing militants with connections to religious extremists has provided a template for future terrorist calamity. The creeping emergence of the Islamic State, (or ISIS, or ISIL) first in Syria and later in Iraq, is the direct result of imperialist 'blowback' and intentional economic instability imposed on these nations by the western powers.

This is all true. And this is why the demented claim that President Obama is anti war, anti-military or anti-imperialist is just crazy. Because this complaint is demonstrably false. In short, it's a god-damned lie. The military-industrial complex has never had it so good. Billions are being spent (and made) on the ever-expanding the US war machine and the domestic security-surveillance market. And in all of the active war theatres where the US military or its paid-by-the-hour mercenary contractors or 'drones' can be found, the collateral damage is extensive.

According to researchers at the Bureau of Investigative Journalism, (BIJ) at least 2,400 people have been killed by CIA-managed aerial attacks within the tribal areas of Pakistan, a (questionable) US ally.

The military-industrial complex has never had it so good. Billions are being spent (and made) on the ever-expanding the US war machine and the domestic security-surveillance market. And in all of the active war theatres where the US military or its paid-by-the-hour mercenary contractors or 'drones' can be found, the collateral damage is extensive.
How is this sort of behaviour 'non-military'? It isn't. It is exactly what the for-profit military-industrial complex wants. And Mr. Obama as a politician, has given this elite club exactly what they have asked for.

But there's more, much more to this fascistic madness. Many Anglophone conservatives still believe (wrongly) that Barack Obama is a 'secret Muslim', that he was born in Kenya (because that's where all the Muslims come from) and that he has a secret, pro-Islamic agenda to destroy the Caucasian-Christian world via the undercover 'White Genocide' – many far-right fear-mongers claim – 'no one is talking about'. (This is not to be confused with the Armenian 'White Genocide'.) And frankly, if no one is talking about it very much, perhaps that is because it simply isn't happening.

This is rumour control: there is no large-scale plan by the Obama administration, or anyone else, to liquidate the Caucasian population of the planet. Period. End of story.

Illustration 125: Islamic State terrorists prepare to execute Ethiopian captives - Source: http://tinyurl.com/pyfsc2m

And this too needs to be made clear, miscegenation, cultural-intermixing and international communication and commerce (legal and illegal) are not Jewish-led, Marxist plots of racist genocide against Christian Caucasians. Truly. It just isn't. And what's worse, these thoroughly nutty ideas are being respected (chiefly because of corporate media monopolies choosing to pursue these themes) as authentic political perspectives in the Anglophone World and most of the European Union.

These intellectual nebbishes consciously ignore the fact that if their fears were indeed accurate, Mr. Obama would actually represent both a tactical failure and as the very worst example of what a religious Muslim could ever hope to be.

From Keffiyehs to Jackboots and SIS/CIA Murder Games

'The times of Arab nationalism and unity are gone forever. These ideas which mobilized the masses are only a worthless currency. Libya has had to put up with too much from the Arabs for whom it has poured forth both blood and money.'

– Colonel Muammar al-Gaddafi

Really, sit and think about it. What has Mr. Obama ever done to benefit Muslims (as a group) in the the
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African and Arab (or African) Worlds or anywhere else? Absolutely nothing. Has he not (unfortunately) spoken in favour of traditional religious belief(s) instead of being neutral on the subject? And as a US politician, he has actively supported (and continues to support) Islamic dictatorships in Western Asia that have rewritten the book on what it takes to reduce a normal human being into an organic mass of blubbery incoherence.

Take, for instance, the fascist nutcases who call themselves the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant, (or 'ISIS'). These unapologetically right-wing fundamentalist Muslims have profusely angered the people(s) of the Arab World and the entire Ummah by beheading western and Asian reporters and kidnapped Arab and African Christians in the areas they have managed to gain effective control.

Adding to the insurmountable horror of all of this is the other fact that ISIS has become just another competitor on the petroleum and ancient antiquities markets (the items they did not destroy anyway) long after President Obama has promised to use any and all means to destroy them.

And while I believe him on this issue, I have no such faith in the rest of the national-security state to effectively carry out his orders without mucking it up. Perhaps, on purpose. Especially after digesting the PNAC plan to commandeer all Arab resources through overwhelming US military supremacy.

The US military and CIA drone programmes have targeted suspected ISIS control-areas and battle regions and bombarded them with numerous air-attacks with many other countries now joining the fight to squash the gangsterism once and for all. Oddly enough, the US-allied Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is a major factor of this resistance, despite its own habit of lopping-off heads and for financially supporting some of the more violent extremists waging conflict against the west. Further, the antiquated legal tradition in Saudi is Sharia-based, which means that decapitation as a form of punishment is supported by their faith in the religious authority of The Quran.

This has become an issue as of late, only because of the extreme right-wing sensationalist media and it's wilful participation in spreading the gruesome beheading videos produced by the propaganda-geeks working for ISIS. As we listen to the west criticise – correctly – the decapitation of innocent people by the
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bastard joy-killers of the Islamic State, in Saudi Arabia, crowds are invited to witness executions for offences ranging from political dissent and common adultery to witchcraft after enduring hours of punitive detention and torture expressly designed to elicit a confession.\textsuperscript{1119}

The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is an ally of the USA; the UK and the rest of the European powers. It is also accused by official sources as being a consistent funder of international, right-wing extremist terrorism.\textsuperscript{1120} And it appears that the entire world's reading public is very aware of this.\textsuperscript{1121} And in truth, the US is not in any position to question its Saudi partners – or anyone else – since it uses torture and force-feeding; mass imprisonment,\textsuperscript{1122} racial-profiling and capital punishment within its own domestic legal system and defends this as 'Freedom and Liberty'.\textsuperscript{1123}

But this little more than a structural point, since international 'black-sites' and overseas outposts such as Guantánamo Bay in communist-run Cuba allow for procedures that would not be regarded, technically, as legal conduct within the territorial confines of the United States.\textsuperscript{1124}

This is true. And it is also true that the undercover history of implicit, pro-capitalist support for governmental inhumanity; economic injustice throughout the Third and Fourth Worlds and material assistance to right-wing, anti-government Arab 'revolutionaries'\textsuperscript{1125} (paid and trained by western interests)\textsuperscript{1126} has – in no small part – (accidentally) encouraged reactionary, far-right, extremist Muslims to act-out against the civilian sectors of Arab societies and whatever they perceive to be idolatrous and/or symbolic of the post-WW1 westernisation of the Arab World.\textsuperscript{1127}

The sad fact that western states openly support Arab dictatorships politically as well as militarily – including many of the rebellions that rise against them\textsuperscript{1128} once they become an impediment to foreign investment – is itself a convincing thorn-in-the-side for many Arab nationalists and Pan-Arabists of any political persuasion. Especially those who are in non-violent, intellectual and political opposition to the current regimes as (consenting) client-state puppets or, criminal co-conspirators in the profitable business of promoting global instability and theological malfeasance.\textsuperscript{1129}

\textsuperscript{1119}"Who beheaded more people, ISIS or Saudi? And the winner is.... | Al Bawaba" ( http://twitthat.com/O1qUZ ) - Published September 7th, 2014 - 11:11 GMT via SyndiGate.info
\textsuperscript{1120}Clinton: Saudi Arabia funding terror - Israel News, Ynetnews http://ow.ly/K3P5V - Yitzhak Benhorin Published: 12.06.10, 10:42 / Israel News
\textsuperscript{1121}WikiLeaks cables portray Saudi Arabia as a cash machine for terrorists | World news | The Guardian http://ow.ly/K3PkJ - Declan Walsh in Islamabad Sunday 5 December 2010 10.30 EST
\textsuperscript{1122}"Crime, Punishment and Vengeance in the Age of Mass Imprisonment | Alternet" ( http://twitthat.com/57JSz ) - By Prema Polit / AlterNet, April 13, 2007
\textsuperscript{1123}guardian.co.uk: Yasiin Bey (aka Mos Def) force fed under standard Guantánamo Bay procedure - YouTube http://ow.ly/JKtyH - Published on 8 Jul 2013 (Warning: some viewers may find these images distressing)
\textsuperscript{1124}'US Backing for 'Moderate' Syrian Rebels: Long Reported, Continually Forgotten' - By Adam Johnson - (Feb 22 2015)
\textsuperscript{1126}"Isis burns thousands of books and rare manuscripts from Mosul's libraries - Middle East - World - The Independent" ( http://twitthat.com/YYDK1 ) - ROSE TROUP BUCHANAN , HEATHER SAUL  Wednesday 25 February 2015
Politically speaking, Islamic fascists are not much different than their historical European and Euro-settler counterparts. They both seek to convert the masses through the employment of fear-campaigns that they hope will force the established sociopolitical structure of the state to relinquish the reins of power to their own thuggish strongmen; monetary captains; religious leaders and (sometimes) recognised royal families.

The march to corporatism is what fuelled Italy under Benito Mussolini and in Germany, the populace breathed in accordance to the will of Adolf Hitler and his historically revisionist drive to reshape the global order.

Political violence, intimidation and propaganda also led to totalitarianism in Spain under Franco (Falangistas) and in Portugal under Salazar (Estado Novo) were both in support of the Catholic Church and their respective national monarchies.

They also did what they could, (meaning, they used any and all means available to them) to resist de-colonialism under the rubric of taking a stand against 'leftist interventionism'. Greece under Metaxas (4th of August regime)\(^ {1129}\) was just as right-wing as the others on this list and only deviates from the norm due to its policy of isolationism and its willingness to do battle with the Axis Powers rather than surrender their territorial integrity. To anyone, for any reason whatsoever.

### Not All Fascists Are Exactly the Same

The point here being that all of these examples were fascistic, although they did differ in terms of policy; theology; pet xenophobia(s) and in their sociopolitical focus. For example, many contemporary European fascists are atheists, while others claim to carry-on the neo-Teutonic spiritual work of Heinrich Himmler and his nonsensical occultist quest for the 'Original People of the Black Sun' and the (literal) Biblical Holy Grail.$^{1130}$

Some White Supremacists say that they pray to Odin and Thor.$^{1131}$ Some neo-Nazis are openly Homosexual,$^{1132}$ such as Gesinnungsgemeinschaft der Neuen Front (GdNF) founder, Michael Kühnen. While others were/are 'closeted' such as the ultra-violent, UK neo-Nazi leader (and co-founder of 'Blood & Honour')$^{1133}$ Nicola 'Nicky' Vincenzo Crane.$^{1134}$ And believe it or not, there are a handful of radical individuals who seem to believe that they are the earthly reincarnation of a steroid-addled, cock-diesel, super-Aryan, Jesus the Christ.$^{1135}$

In fact, the only real consensus among these individuals and groups is their sociopathic obsession with racism and extremist political and social ideas. In brief, they take an enormous amount of pride in being socially 'White'$^{1136}$ and all that the (elevated) social designation implies and provides in the way of unearned social privilege and personal power.

---


\(^{1131}\) Opinion by Joshua Rood, special to CNN - “Heathenism's battle with white supremacists – CNN Belief Blog - CNN.com Blogs” (http://twitter.com/yCytO ) April 16th, 2014, 02:54 PM ET

\(^{1132}\) An Interview with a Gay, Russian Neo-Nazi | VICE | United Kingdom http://ow.ly/PP6Ca

\(^{1133}\) Blood & Honour | Southern Poverty Law Center http://ow.ly/PP6Ca

\(^{1134}\) The Strange Case of Nicola Vincenzo Crane | uncarved.org blog http://ow.ly/PP6Uz - Posted by john on 4 December 2004, 11:22 pm

\(^{1135}\) Lee C. Camp, 'Batman, Neo-Nazis and the Good News of Jesus', Posted: 08/08/2012 9:28 am EDT Updated: 10/08/2012 5:12 am EDT: [http://is.gd/Sm40fw]

\(^{1136}\) BBC Documentary: '100% White' [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BYqTsYYBMrE]
Outside of that, their opinions and philosophies diverge into a myriad of unrecognisable and irreconcilable far-right paranoias. Each as bizarre and as incoherent as the others, all of them totalising and final in their respective belief(s) and fears.

And although I list these (I admit) generalised examples briefly, I only do so to point out that aside from pro-White, (not necessarily European) ethnic biases, these factions are/were still different in their world-views. Naturally, racist attitudes and targets in Belgium differ from those in Tanzania. As they do in Fiji from Syria. This is chiefly because of the obvious ethnic, geopolitical and historical variations that exist between the examples.

Fascism too, as an ideology, is no different. Far-right movements can arise in many differing forms with and without the usual (and often Judeophobic) German stereotypes, while still remaining functionally fascist at its ideological centre. This is because the philosophical (and appalling) basis of these ideas and the basic execution of far-right politics are fundamentally the same.

Although Japan was Asian and the Italians were European, their political and social systems were virtually identical to each other. This is not very difficult to comprehend. Elemental common knowledge being what it is, I still think that it deserves mention here: no political organisation (or people/tribe, or nation/society) is a monolithic entity. Variables exist and they influence the whole of a society.

For better, or for worse. And this is exactly why communism in the Soviet Union was culturally and functionally different from the way things are done in China. And both were very (and equally) diametrically distinguishable from Cuban-style socialism.

This is not a judgement call, but it is an objective (deconstructive) analysis. So why should it surprise anyone that fascism in the Arab World would be its own chimaera? Why should (or would) Arab nations not be expected to reflect the ultra-conservative, theologically fundamentalist paradigms of their own cultural; historical and regional patterns? It would be reductionist and classically xenophobic to suggest otherwise.

And in this sense, this is precisely why most any establishment (and liberal) Anglophone analysis on the subject of Arabs and Arab discontent will always fall short. This racist reluctance to view Arab Peoples as equals (in any sense) has lead to a knowing and habitual non-examination of the core issues behind their animosity against the west (and with each other).

Illustration 129: Iraqi Victim of White Phosphorus attack in Fallujah - Source: http://tinyurl.com/o5dstpd

And as a result, western actions to settle the region have always failed. And without any doubt, the Bush/Cheney decision to abandon common-sense and go for broke in their rash attempt to take control of Afghanistan's natural resources and Iraq's oil fields has only made an unstable situation that much worse.

This is, now, common knowledge. So why aren't these issues being discussed openly within the mainstream media and not just on non-profit news agency reports? Because to do so would be self-damning and indicative of precisely why these people(s) are so angry, desperate and willing to turn to old-time, fundamentalist, end-time religion as a means of psychologically coping with their centuries-long, imperial animosity against the west.

---

1137"Meet the Malaysian Neo-Nazis Fighting for a Pure Malay Race", May 17, 2013 by Nick Chester [http://is.gd/Xo4WUN]
1138"To really combat terror, end support for Saudi Arabia | Owen Jones | Comment is free | The Guardian" ( http://twitthat.com/AZCuD ) - Sunday 31 August 2014 14.30 EDT
The carnage has to stop. It just has to. Too many have died. And far too many more are statistically marked as future casualties before they are even born.

And harbouring selective memories about who-attacked-who-first isn't helping either. Neither is ignoring the fact that Arab opinions are based largely upon the questionable results of Anglophone imperialism against their post-Ottoman, World War One-defined countries. Some will go so far back as to raise the trail of destruction Alexander the Great brought to them long before that.  

The United States – the major player in any international issue – shouldn't be the focal point of the issue. But it is. The US sets the tone of discourse, diplomacy and action, globally. And it acts in accordance with its own agenda of vested interests. Meaning, it acts (naturally) in the way an imperial superpower would be expected to act. Selfishly, with malice and with no concern or remorse for the damage that is wrought in its wake. And like all living organisms, it has a tenuous instinct for survival.

And this is not being said to add-on to the widespread vilification the US already (and justifiably) receives for violating its own charter. I mention this because it is entirely and unforgivably racist to believe that Arab right-wing extremism could not possibly be fascist simply because the fascists (this time) are theologically Muslim and ethnically Arab. It is intelligibly racist (and Europocentrist) to assume that Arabs, or 'Sand Niggers' are too genetically-backwards to do 'real' fascism. The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, as a state, is a fascist entity. It is also a known supporter of international terrorism. And it is also a close ally of the United States and the United Kingdom. And anyone who disputes this is living within an illusion of their own making. And it is also a fact that the US and UK are allies of the KSA not because they share ideologies, but because they share a vested, economic interest in maintaining the international status quo as it is currently configured.

And like any other fascist state, the KSA have no problems with taking full advantage of its exploitive classes and importance to the global power structure. As Michael Makovsky of the Jewish Institute for National Security Affairs has succinctly put it:

'Saudi Arabia's roles as the world's only real swing oil producer and leading oil exporter have long played a key role in U.S. strategic considerations. But as North America continues its march toward energy independence over the next decade, U.S. policy toward Saudi Arabia should not change drastically. Growing energy independence will be a significant strategic and economic boon to the United States, but the oil market is global and prices here will be impacted by world events, including in Saudi Arabia.'

These multi-layers of shared interest(s) is not difficult to understand. But it remains to be said that if petroleum was not vital to the world's economy, no one in the White world would give a damn about either the Levant or the Maghreb. The KSA matters only because it is sitting on top of a sea of oil. The fact that they have beheaded more people than the Islamic State\footnote{inquisitr.com - "As Far As Beheadings Go, Saudi Arabia Appears To Be Competing With The ISIS" ( http://twitthat.com/OiAge ) - Posted: September 7, 2014} for offences such as 'witchcraft' is a non-issue.\footnote{Saudi Arabia: Beheadings for ‘witchcraft’ — RT News ( http://twitthat.com/pn20q ) - Published time: April 19, 2012 08:38 - Edited time: April 19, 2012 12:38} The larger fact that the KSA is an active supporter of terrorist groups isn't discussed in the open either. (See:Yitzhak Benhorin, \textit{Clinton: Saudi Arabia funding terror} - Ynetnews : 12.06.10)

The fact that the Kingdom has never in its history ever held an election, of any sort, does not at all bother the US or the European Union either. Although they have all (at one point or another) invaded; occupied and or destroyed other nations in the name of spreading 'freedom'. And for the United States of America, numerous nations throughout its history have been aggressively treated under the expressed purpose of 'introducing' democracy.\footnote{Saudi Arabia's Beheading Culture - CBS News ( http://twitthat.com/uU7DB ) - By Jaime Holguin, AP - June 25, 2004, 3:06 PM} All with the innocent faith of the capitalist Zealot.

Millions around the world still live with the scars of those incursions. And they always will. Just as the regional victims of the KSA will most likely live with the physical blemishes and mental images of what is being done to them in the name of fundamentalist, Islamic law-and-order really for petroleum profits. And what is truly bizarre about the whole thin is the quiet fact that the Saudi royal family does not necessarily practise what they preach in private and as they demand others to do.\footnote{Dr. Zoltan Grossman, "History of U.S. Military Interventions since 1890" ( http://twitthat.com/qIuly )}

\section*{Political and Religious Infidelity in Arabia}

This unsurprising moral paradox only helps the west. Because it proves that the House of Saud, (and its fidelity to its own people and religious ideas) can be bought. And because imperialism is much easier to do and to maintain when a nation's leaders, military and upper-classes are willing to allow for such thievery. The House of Saud indulges in brutality and totalitarianism for the usual (self-centred) reasons, it works to their own benefit, not for the generalised uplift and security of their own people.

This is why I argue that it is entirely fair to identify capitalism as an equal-opportunity exploiter. And I go further to submit that its most pious practitioners are cold-hearted, amoral and apolitical opportunists who don't care a whit about anything or anybody.

Their only concerns are with making a profit and successfully navigating the legal and moral complexities of expanding their interests as far as they can (possibly) go. If Arab People(s) and other human beings get hurt in the process, that's simply the price of doing business. So long as someone else pays.

So, the argument that Arab states cannot be fascist is indeed false. In fact, its (ironically) racist and counter-productive to actually dealing progressively with the situation. For instance, White racist prejudice ignores the role of similar right-wing movements that developed within the Arab World independently of Europe.

And while it is true that many Arab rightists consciously copied certain trappings of WW2-era European fascists, it if obvious that they were more attracted to the public visibility of such groups and their success at forcing their racially-conscious, theologically-based agendas to be accepted at the political level.

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{Illustration 130: Hassan al-Banna} - Source: http://tinyurl.com/q9mm3rs
\end{itemize}
Islamic Fascism in the Maghreb

For one suitable example, let us look at 20th century Egypt, where two indigenous movements: one right-wing and Islamic, the other, left-wing and secular, played political chess with bullets.

Whenever the subject of fascism is discussed, the peculiar western academic trait of monolithic Europocentrism automatically rules-out Africa as a source of extreme rightism. This sort of thinking is xenophobic and wrong-minded. And I'll try to explain why.

To begin with, the Muslim Brotherhood (al-Ikhwān al-Muslimūn) is an indigenous Egyptian political party that is politically right-wing and theologically fundamentalist. The MB is (and always was) a uniquely Egyptian fascist organisation. And European racism simply disregards the fact that the organization itself was founded in 1928 by Hassan al-Banna,1152 long before the NDSAP in Germany was ever heard of. And because of Europocentrism being what it is, it is also forgotten that after the defeat of Italian and German fascism, the MB could boast of more than two million followers in Egypt with many other fellow-travellers and adherents scattered all across the Arab World.

Because of their ideological commitment to public benevolence work, the MB earned the respect, if not the passive allegiance, of the common, working-class Arab. This was also at the time when the public's interest was not concerned with far-right politics or the fundamentalist theology of the MB. The most pressing issue in Egypt, for everyone regardless of social position, was British colonialism and regional imperialism.

Over the decades, the Muslim Brotherhood became a social as well as a religious force. And as they began to reconcile with their ideological and political adversaries within the country, their influence increased. This all came to a head in 1936 when the MB began to directly challenge and resist the British occupation of Egypt, which lead to a severe clamping down on their activities by domestic and SIS counter-intelligence.

It was at this point that elements within the MB began to resort to violence, which lead to even more repression of the group by the authorities and the official proscription of their religious and charity activity.

The MB remained dormant at periods, but never for very long. And like the Irish Republican Army, the Muslim Brotherhood began working clandestinely with the Abwehr (Amt Ausland/Abwehr im Oberkommando der Wehrmacht) before Great Britain declared war on Germany and throughout the entirety of the conflict. German army intelligence and commando units trained MB members – primarily as agents of domestic sabotage and in other forms of terrorism and guerilla warfare – for actions directed towards Britain's interests in Egypt.

The MB also worked on other covert operations as an ideological-ally of far-right Palestinian nationalist and Nazi Germany functionary, the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem, Hai Amin el-Hussaini (who would not work with the extremists of the fundamentalist 'Black Hand' group) within British Palestine. (See: the 'Arab Revolt' of 1936 and 'Operation Atlas') They were also valued as skilled agents for other far-right Arab nationalists such as Rashid Aali al-Gaylani and his seditious operations inside the (then) Kingdom of Iraq, (KOI). (See: 'Iraq Coup Attempt of 1941')

It is also a well-known fact that factions within the MB were directly involved in the civil hostilities that arose during the lead-in to the April 1941 'Golden Square Coup' that toppled the pro-British governance of Regent (for Faysal II) Prince 'Abd al-Illah of Hejaz (cousin and brother-in-law to King Ġāzī bin Faisal) on behalf of al-Gaylani, who made himself Prime Minister after the

1152“Dancing with the Devil: Charting the Rise of the Muslim Brotherhood - SPIEGEL ONLINE”
(http://twitthat.com/NHpUE ) - July 03, 2007 – 04:40 PM
The 'National Defence Government' takeover itself was commandeered by pro-Nazi far-rightists (what was left of the Hizb al-Ikha al-Watani) within the army who had hoped that a coup d'état would be a first step towards the complete independence of Iraq.1153

Orientalist Cloak-and-Dagger

'We tolerate no one in our ranks who attacks the ideas of Christianity...in fact our movement is Christian.'

– Adolf Hitler

A major dynamic behind these events was (and still is) the Arab far-right's deep relationship with the fascist governments of Germany and Italy. The connection to the German Nazi Party were primarily due to the personal efforts of the Brandenburg-born (German Empire) intelligence manipulator, Dr. Arthur Borg, a.k.a., Dr. Fritz K. F. Grobba.

An ardent Arabist, Herr Borg – who had married a Syrian, converted to Islam and spoke Arabic and Turkish fluently – began working as a specialist for the German Foreign Ministry (Weimar Republic) after his military service in WW1 as a Middle Eastern expert for the Abteilung III ('Department 3'). He had also held diplomatic posts in Afghanistan and the KOI with (sensitive) responsibilities for Iran, India and the KSA.

Almost a German (Nazi) version of T.E. Lawrence (of Arabia), Dr. Grobba acquired the respect of the far-right Arab intelligentsia for his fervent support of pan-Arab nationalist ideas and for his noted animosity towards the English (and Jewish) presence in the Levant. He was also a master racial-agitator who made extensive use of existing social problems to engineer popular support for his own government's political advantage.

His main vehicle for doing this was a newspaper serving the Christian community, al-'Alam al-'Arabi, (The Arab World) which he quickly turned into an organ of the Propagandaministerium (Reichsminister-Gauleiter Dr. P.J. Goebbels personal state propaganda ministry) by publishing Hitler's Mein Kampf1154 ('My Struggle') and vicious Judeophobic images, false rumours and fictitious Jewish-conspiracy articles in both the Arabic and Turkish languages.

Western history largely chooses to forget about this now, but it was Dr. Grobba, using the assets of the Auswärtiges Amt (German Foreign Office) who first introduced the Arab World (and Persia) to specious anti-Jewish propaganda and crude historical fallacies such as the extremely dangerous hoax, 'The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion'. It is also most likely he that spread the erroneous accusation (to Arabs) that Karl Marx and Theodor Herzl (founder of political Zionism)1155 had jointly created communism in order to take over the world by destroying the (supposed) sanctity of racial 'purity'.

Illustration 132: Pro-Fascist literature in the Arab World - Source: http://tinyurl.com/q542ndx

1153“NEAR EAST: Trouble in Paradise” - TIME (http://twitthat.com/sifyu) - Monday, Apr. 21, 1941
1154“Hitler's 'Mein Kampf' Reprinted in Germany for First Time Since WWII” (http://twitthat.com/kD21I) - Helen Regan - Feb. 25, 2015
1155“Zionism and the Creation of Israel - Definition and History” (http://twitthat.com/F5Qe4)
He keenly exploited the growing resentment Arabs began feeling towards the Ashkenazi Jewish immigrant population in British-ruled Palestine, chiefly, because of their rapid displacement of native Arab farmers, intellectuals and civil servants appointed by the old Ottoman Empire. And it was Dr. Grobba who also likely helped facilitate the visit of the pro-Zionist SS (Schutzstaffel) officer Baron Leopold Itz von Mildenstein1156 and Kurt Tuchler, an official of the Zionist Federation of Germany, (ZVfD)1157.

He was always seeking new methods of spreading the goal(s) of race-based tribalism in the Arab World which endeared him to right-wing and fundamentalist circles. But it was his vociferous, anti-colonialist arguments on the subject of anti-Arab British racism that most certainly reached the ears (and hearts) of a large segment of the common population.

These activities made him a highly visible public figure in the Arab World and a diplomatic nightmare for the UK Foreign Office and SIS. They were well informed on Dr. Grobba's espionage work and his progress in financing the Arab far-right and sending their fighters for military training (in Germany). They were also aware that he was intent on grooming Muslim social hatred against Jews (including Arab Jews) and did what they could to stop his influence short of assassinating him, (which may have actually made things worse at the time).

Without question, Dr. Grobba was Germany's 'man' in the Middle East. And his successful linking of Arab far-right movements with their counterparts in Germany and Italy was a welcome addition to the Axis Powers political arsenal as the European tribal conflict worked its way across the rest of the planet. The British Eighth Army1158 and its combined units would do battle with Generalfeldmarschall Erwin Rommel (Der Wüstenfuchs, or, 'Desert Fox') and his German/Italian comprised Deutsches Afrika Korps (DAK) in the 'North African Campaign' which was important to Britain and France due to the strategic importance of the Suez Canal. (See: 'Siege of Tobruk' and 'Operation Crusader')1159.

These concerns, plus the fact that Nazi-allied and trained Muslim Brotherhood and right-wing Palestinian paramilitaries had involved themselves in an internal coup in Iraq (namely, Abd al-Qadir al-Husayni1160 working for 'The Seven') compelled Great Britain to dispatch units straight-away beginning the brief but significant, 'Anglo-Iraqi War'.1161 This event was further complicated by the German government's pledge (brokered through Dr. Grobba and Joachim von Ribbentrop, head of the German FO) to aid the Iraqi far-right with diplomatic recognition as a state and with (limited) military and intelligence support.

This helped to embolden the conspirators and it encouraged them to go ahead with their coup. For Germany, the benefits were immediately obvious. The Regent's government was pro-British, so this move on the part of the Iraqi far-right only benefited the Axis Powers and helped them to divide the Allied Forces mobilising in the Middle East war theatre.

The British, primarily Prime Minister Winston Churchill, were correct to be uniquely concerned about the rise of the far-right in Iraq. Because on 23 May 1941, the German state issued Führer Directive No. 30; (Weisung Nr. 30 / Sonderstab F)1162 from Der Führer offering his material assistance to Iraqi nationalists should they manage to take power in the KOI and begin repelling borders. This was a serious complication for the Allies and proved to be a real issue of contention for British intelligence. For the sake of historical (and political) comprehension, the directive is reproduced – in full – below:

The Führer and Supreme Commander of the Armed Forces

1156"The Flat | Film-Forward" ( http://twitthat.com/Y3zeK ) - Posted by Nora Lee Mandel on Oct 25th, 2012
1157World Socialist Web Site, 'The Flat: A family examines a Nazi-Zionist friendship', by Fred Mazelis (22 April 2013) – [http://is.gd/XcBbNu]
1159"Siege of Tobruk" | Australian War Memorial ( http://twitthat.com/26KPh )
1160"Abd al-Qadir al-Husayni | Before Their Diaspora" ( http://twitthat.com/RHuS9 )
1161(Anonymous) - HIST351-10.2.2-Anglo-Iraqi-War.pdf http://ow.ly/Lt6yy
1162"Weisung Nr. 30" ( http://twitthat.com/z89ky ) - Der Führer und Oberste Befehlshaber der Wehrmacht - OKW/WFSt/Abt. L (I Op.) Nr. 44772/41 g. K. Chefs -- 96id.de
Führer Headquarters,

23 May 1941.

Directive No. 30

1 - The Arab Freedom Movement is our natural ally against England in the Middle East. In this context the uprising in Iraq is of special importance. This strengthens the forces hostile to England in the Middle East beyond the Iraqi frontier, disrupts English communications, and ties up English troops and shipping at the expense of other theatres.

I have therefore decided to hasten developments in the Middle East by supporting Iraq. Whether and how it may be possible, in conjunction with an offensive against the Suez Canal, finally to break the British position between the Mediterranean and the Persian Gulf is a question that will be answered only after Barbarossa.

2 - In connection with my decision I order the following for the support of Iraq:

a) Support by the air force.

b) Dispatch of a military mission.

c) Arms deliveries.

3 - The military mission (cover name - ‘Special Staff F’) will be under the command of General Felmy. Its tasks are:

a) To advise and support the Iraqi armed forces.

b) Where possible, to establish military contacts with forces hostile to England outside of Iraq.

c) To obtain experience and intelligence in this area for the German armed forces.

The composition of this organization will be regulated, in accordance with these duties, by the Chief of the High Command in the Armed Forces. Chain of command will be as follows:

a) All armed forces personnel sent to Iraq, including liaison staff in Syria, will be under the command of the head of the military mission with the proviso that orders and guidelines for the aviation units will come exclusively from the High Command of the Air Force.

b) The head of the military mission will be subordinate to the Chief of the High Command in the Armed Forces, with the proviso that orders and guidelines for the aviation units will come exclusively from the High Command of the Air Force.

c) The members of the military mission are, for the time being, to be regarded as volunteers (in the manner of the Condor Legion). They will wear tropical uniforms with Iraqi badges. Also, Iraqi markings will be worn by German aircraft.
4 - The Air Force: The employment of the air force in limited numbers is intended, apart from direct effects, to increase the self-confidence and fighting spirit of the Iraqi people and armed forces.

5 - Arms Deliveries: The Chief of the High Command in the Armed Forces will issue the necessary orders in this respect. (Deliveries to be made from Syria, in accordance with the agreement reached with the French in this matter, and from Germany.)

6 - The direction of propaganda in the Middle East is the responsibility of the Foreign Office, which will cooperate with the High Command in the Armed Forces, Operations Staff - Propaganda Section. The basic idea of our propaganda is: "The victory of the Axis will free the countries of the Middle East from the English yoke, and will give them the right to self-determination. All who love freedom will therefore join the fight against England. No propaganda is to be carried out against the French in Syria.

7 - Should members of the Italian Armed Forces be employed on duties in Iraq, German personnel will cooperate on the lines laid down in this directive. Efforts will be made to ensure that they come under the command of the Head of the German Military Mission.

The Supreme Commander of the Armed Forces

[signed] ADOLF HITLER

One other subject here needs to be clarified before I go any further. The fact that Adolf Hitler was personally interested in actively aiding an Arab coup d'état should not be misunderstood as Nazi recognition of Arab racial equality on par with the Teutonic Aryan. This is done, but it is an exaggeration worthy of Joseph Goebbels. And is misused to great effect by Zionist apologists and revisionist Islamophobes to malign all Arabs and any anti-colonial Arab-operated organisation (whether they are militant or not) as anti-Jewish and antagonistic towards the Jewish State in the Levant\textsuperscript{1163} (Israel).

The fact is, Hitler did not view Arabs as anything other than a clutch of desert-dwelling, head-removing sub-humans, although he did (paradoxically) admire what he perceived as the 'militaristic aspects' that no doubt helped spread the Islamic faith (at least in Western Asia and Eastern Europe):

"Hitler had been much impressed by a scrap of history he had learned from a delegation of distinguished Arabs. When the Mohammedans attempted to penetrate beyond France into Central Europe during the eighth century, his visitors had told him, they had been driven back at the Battle of Tours. Had the Arabs won this battle, the world would be Mohammedan today. For theirs was a religion that believed in spreading the faith by the sword and subjugating all nations to that faith. Such a creed was perfectly suited to the Germanic temperament. Hitler said that the conquering Arabs, because of their racial inferiority, would in the long run have been unable to contend with the harsher climate and conditions of the country. They could not have kept down the more vigorous natives, so that ultimately not Arabs but Islamized Germans could have stood at the head of this Mohammedan Empire. Hitler usually concluded this historical speculation by remarking, "You see, it's been our misfortune to have the wrong religion. Why didn't we have the religion of the Japanese, who regard sacrifice for the Fatherland as the highest good? The Mohammedan religion too would have been much more compatible to us than Christianity. Why did it have to be Christianity with its meekness and flabbiness?"

\textsuperscript{1163}“Bible Nations and Cities: Israel” ( http://twitthat.com/MRr0H ) - biblelight.org
Hitler's eclectic (personal) ideas on religion often contradicted his stated goal to intertwine the viability of Der Führer with the concept of the Nazi state as the 'True Religion'. As former Oberbefehlsleiter, Herr Speer, (Hitler's only real personal friend other than Eva Braun)\(^\text{1164}\) cited Adolf's Catholic background and theological outlook as a constant until the literal end. Most likely because his own unique version of Catholic-Wagnerism was fashioned to fit-in with the perverted logic of his pagan-rooted Nazi ideology.

But in practise, Hitler used religion as a political tool. Including the Catholic Church. For a long time, the Nazi options for practising faith were limited to the Protestant Deutsche Christen movement and the 'Gottgläubig' philosophy, which was a fundamentalist Deist belief which suited to the government's interests. At the same time, the Kirchenkampf (The Struggle Against the Churches) took place to eliminate the influence of Christian faith in the state in favour of Nazi theology. This was another pet project of the occultist Heinrich Himmler who was trying very hard to create his own Teutonic-based religion. Complete with its own SS-knighthood.

So in this case, the racial inferiority of the Arab was not a barrier (as it wasn't with the Japanese) for a working relationship that benefited a similar worldview. Such an arrangement would suit both parties and expand and solidify the gains of both, respectively.

### The Swastika and the Scimitar United by Ignorance

Unsurprisingly, once the previous government had been reinstalled by the British, MB operatives figured prominently in the two days of anti-Jewish rioting that terrorised Baghdad, known as 'The Farhud'.\(^\text{1165}\) The official government tally has maintained that at least 180 Iraqi Jews are listed as fatalities and upwards of 2000 citizens were injured by the pro-Nazi rioters (including non-Jews). There are varying (partisan) statistics for the entire total, (inclusive of those killed by Royalist forces attempting to end the mayhem).

The British-supported French Mandate in Syria/Lebanon (Mandat français pour la Syrie et le Liban) also had to contend with ties between the far-right Syrian Social Nationalist Party (SSNP) in Damascus and in the Lebanon (where it was founded in 1932 in Beirut) and operatives of the short-lived, pro-Axis Vichy French (Etat français) government (See: 'Franco-German Armistice of 22 June 1940') working in the region. (The Germans used the armouries posted in French-held Syria to launch.) This was another low-level front for fascist intelligence functionaries in the European war who were trying to lay the groundwork for entrenched Axis influence in the Middle East and in Africa (Madagascar/Senegal). (See: 'Operation Menace')\(^\text{1167}\)

For the most part, the Abwehr used the far-right Syrian resistance and its displeasure with Gallic colonialism, (be it général de Gaulle's France Libre government or Maréchal Pétain's treasonous, Nazi-allied proxy-state

---

\(^{1164}\) Eva Braun, the wife of Adolf Hitler http://ow.ly/PPvGG
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in Vichy); the forced partitioning of Greater Syria into disparate, sectarian Bantustans and the severe repression levied against native self-determination by French troops as a potent political tool. And frankly, the xenophobic arrogance of the Allied colonial powers made it (very) easy for them.

The Allied defeat of the Axis Powers in Western Asia and North Africa was hard won and destructive for all of the nations and landless-ethnicities involved in the fighting.1168

And for the peoples of the Arab World, nothing on the whole really changed other than the political window dressing of the underlying power structure. Because in the final estimation, the European colonial powers were still calling the shots in the Arab World through their proxies after the war, just as they did before.

Enter: The Honourable White 'Arabists'

Westerners truly interested in comprehending the roots of Arab ire towards the west would be advised to remember the political conditions of Western Europe before and after the 'Great War'. (See: the 'Sykes-Picot Agreement') The European tribal-war that dragged most of the global community into the conflict that was WW1 did nothing to empower Arabs within their own territories. Just the opposite. It increased the economic power of the west (at their expense) and handed management of their ancestral territories and holy places over to military-supported, monarchies/connected families and/or right-wing, theocratic dictatorships.

Arabs – then and now – are not fools. And they comprehended the direness of their situation. They clearly remembered what happened after their own armed resistance (the 'Arab Revolt' of 1916)1169 helped hasten the fall of the Turkish Ottoman Empire, (successor to the Byzantine, or 'Eastern' end of the Imperium Romanum). The European powers were, after the war, much more powerful than before, making Arab resistance to the west much more difficult. And, like any other rational people, they desired to be free.1170

Only a handful of western diplomats, intelligence officers and military commanders understood, much less respected, this simmering animosity. And many of those who had warned their superiors of the possible fall-out behind deceiving their Arab comrades after the war were often ignored or summarily dismissed.

One personality however stands out amongst the rest. He was the Welsh-born, Anglo-Irish Arabist, British Army officer, intelligence operative and Arab diplomat, Colonel T.E. Lawrence ('Lawrence of Arabia'). He was one of the very few westerners capable of understanding the importance of supporting a 'positive' and independent Greater Arabia. Further, he saw the necessity for Arab political unity when Arabs themselves were still thinking only of tribal/ethnic divisions and personal gain. Col. Lawrence understood that if regional stability was to be permanently achieved, it was important for the Arab World to repelling both Ottoman and European colonialism without rejecting logical sociopolitical development.

T. E. Lawrence was a remarkable man. The sort of rugged, intellectual individualist that makes history by
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1169"The Arab Revolt, 1916-18 - The Ottoman Empire" | NZHistory, New Zealand history online ( http://twitthat.com/9gg1H ) - NZHistory.net.nz team, nzhistory.net.nz
doing it himself, driven by the desire to serve a greater cause. A tenacious and humanistic quality that is observably uncommon within this day's fashionably bearded and beer-bellied, gun-toting Ammo-sexual stock.

For one thing, Lawrence was not a bigot. As least towards Arabs. This allowed him to be objective in much of his operational decision-making although the British military command did not trust him most of the time, believing (rightly) that he had 'gone Native'. Why? Because after acclimating himself, deeply, with the Arabic culture, its language and its sociopolitical mores, (at one point, Lawrence executes a man to prevent the escalation of a 'blood feud' earning him immense respect) he could clearly see that a colonised, 'negative' scattering of angry, far-right Arab fiefdoms would present an uncertain future full of potential problems.

’All men dream, but not equally. Those who dream by night in the dusty recesses of their minds, wake in the day to find that it was vanity; but the dreamers of the day are dangerous men, for they may act their dream with open eyes, to make it possible.’

– T.E. Lawrence, 'The Seven Pillars of Wisdom'

He was later proven to be prophetically spot-on when the second major European conflict saw Arab lands again used as a chessboard of human pawns, ripe for subjugation to new foreign rulers as they saw fit. And as Colonel Lawrence had predicted, the historically-aware, politically conscious Semite would – if he or she had any self-respect – see the history of using Arab People(s) as cannon-fodder for foreign interests as an ongoing insult.

Further, he feared that continued European imperial controls might spark a rationale for popular revolution, if not enraged retribution, which could destabilise Europe itself by cutting it off from vital resources in the Arabian Peninsula and surrounding regions.

It is also important, I think, to note that Colonel Lawrence, like Field Marshal Edmund H.H. Allenby of the Egyptian Expeditionary Force (EEF) and Major-General Sir Percy Cox of the Indian Expeditionary Force (IEF) argued – to no avail – that showing respect to the Arab People(s) was a far more efficient way of doing business than repeating the overtly psychologically oppressive methods of previous European invaders and other foreign occupiers.

Both men along with the archaeologist-spy Gertrude M.L. Bell worked together to support the aims of the Arab Revolt and on General Allenby’s part, following his defeat of the Ottoman Army and their withdrawal from Palestine, (the 'Battle of Jerusalem') he and his officers dismounted from their horses and entered the city on foot out of respect for the city’s historical significance. This impressed the native population and initially smoothed-over what could have been a potentially contentious (and violent) situation.

The British Arabists reminded their superiors and Anglo planners that it was foreign insolence and cultural insult that fuelled anti-English xenophobia. And they also pointed out that these xenophobic attitudes made later fascist overtures from the Germans, the Italians and the Vichy French possible in the first place. I am still firmly a patron of the opinion that fascism – not simply far-right politics – in the Arab World began organically. But due to (undeniable) colonial; sociopolitical and international influences – no matter how minute – the eventual development of a home-
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grown Arab model of 20th century European fascism (like the western suit and killer drones) was historically inevitable.

And it isn't as if the warring powers didn't see this coming. They were told by their people in the field that removing the Turkish government was one thing, staying in the region as a new master(s) was yet another matter entirely. In typical imperialist fashion, these warnings were ignored. Europe was here to stay, even if they stayed hidden in the shadow of the Arab despot of the day.

The fix was in. And as a means of facing-down the numerous Arab tribal variants and theological ideologies that might threaten to hinder British control in the region after the war, the original Arab Bureau (Cairo British Military Intelligence) was expanded to continue its mission of frustrating Arab sovereignty by way of stemming the development of any positive German influence in the Arab World. If anything, Great Britain was more interested in squelching Germany's powers of persuasion as a continental European rival, not as a über-conservative nation spreading dangerous ideas to an unwitting Arab population.

In many ways, there is little difference between the educated Arab that has adopted western-dress and automobiles and the sex-obsessed, Sriraja-sucking hipsters of the debt-ridden; STD-pestered west. What we must consider here is that how Arab versus Europocentric cultures differ and mirror each other. And this all depends upon how (and who) the particular Arab (or the European) in question stands at home and/or abroad.

An Unintended(?) Example of Intelligence Blowback

'The mask which the actor wears is apt to become his face'

– Plato

Without question, the far-right in the Levant was certainly a main dynamic of the active, pan-Arab anti-colonial resistance. Especially during the European World Wars of the 20th century that spilled its carnage across their traditional homelands. And it is also true that the Muslim Brotherhood were a primary channel for the European Axis alliance – to recruit and provide muscle for – Arab nationalist organisations and the far-right war effort. But it is very important to understand that not everyone who wanted to get rid of the British and the French for their foreign occupations was sympathetic to the far-right.

Many communist Arabs in the Levant (on principle) were not anti-Jewish at all. Chieflly because Marxist ideologies deny the concept of 'race' as a scientific reality. And they did not always necessarily get along well with their ideologically-opposite, often fundamentalist, usually racist, pro-Nazi Muslim countrymen. Semitic communists (many of them Jews) were treated just as harshly by the far-right as their European counterparts were in Germany, Italy and France.

They were only unified in their desire to be free of western influence and control, not in their politics. And certainly not in their religious belief(s). And in this endeavour, many were willing to either set aside their ethical principles and work with the enemies of their enemies in order to achieve a larger, nationalistic and/or fundamentalist purpose.

Creating Cultural Chaos to Suit Western Needs

The main issue for the European powers – on either side of the political coin – was the certain chance that a
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post-Ottoman unified Arab movement, be it left or right, would be ultimately successful. But what the west feared most was a unified pan-Arab network of organised militants capable of overturning western-friendly kingdoms and theocracies across the region. This was of vital economic and military importance during the Second World War to both the Allied Powers and the Axis Fascisti who wanted (and needed) the natural resources and strategic value of the area.

It was for these material reasons, not racial sympathies, that the MB was dealt with at all by fascist intelligence planners and their agent provocateurs. It was simply politics. However, the military relationship between Arab fascists and the Egyptian far-right to German and Croatian fascist governments lasted right up to the final days of the war. And it has been strongly alleged that solid contacts between ex-Abwehr agents and far-right Arab extremists (including the MB) were maintained during the Cold War and continued until the capture or deaths of its core participants.

The British Foreign Office MI6 and French intelligence, Service de Documentation Extérieure et de Contre-Espionnage (SDECE) never forgot about this connection. And in response, increased their pressure on the organisation through aggressive police action, anti-extremist propaganda and covert infiltration.

In an effort to survive these measures, the MB decided to reorganise themselves along more politicised lines through populism and religious piety. This tactic worked to their advantage in the 1950's as they grew in stature and this lead to the MB's open support for the (still) underground Egyptian resistance which included elements of both the radical-left as well as the extreme-right spheres of Egyptian social sentiment.

Like all conscious Egyptians, the members of the MB had been quietly tolerating the arrogance of British colonialism and its sizeable expat community in Cairo for more than seven decades. And the massive British military installation along the Suez, a vital industry channel, was another source of shame. It showed that the Egyptians were not in control of their own country.

It was a combination of visible, national embarrassments and face-to-face racist disrespect that angered the native population. And it simmered quietly within all Egyptian nationalists, regardless of their religious or political loyalties. By this time, they had become politically Egyptian. Thanks to heavy-handed British colonialism.

Go Home British Soldier, Go Home!

We’re a sentimental people. We like a few kind words better than millions of dollars given in a humiliating way.

– Gamal Abdel Nasser, on refusing Western economic assistance, (1969)

Egypt in the 1950's was a pressure cooker. And it was a well-accepted fact, known and understood by the UK government, its security services and its military that the average Egyptian when asked, viewed the foreign occupier as something that they could well do without. But, because of the physical location of the Suez Canal and its vital importance to England's (fading) territorial empire, (including Fleet Street's quarterly dividends) Great Britain worked incredibly hard (and brutally) to not have to leave.

The British and Egyptian UK-appointed authorities thrashed the MB at several different periods of their history and after WW2 in particular. But this approach and attitude only produced diminishing social results and harrowing headaches for public peace and security. The more the UK dismissed and mocked the mainstream (and moderate) independence movement and general popular resentment, the more intense the armed resistance became.

Illustration 137: Prime Minster Sir Anthony Eden and the Shah of Iran - Source: http://tinyurl.com/ndskj7r
The British soldiers, many of them young, under-educated and purposefully trained by their superiors to be racist against the native population, were literally kicking the common Egyptian in the arse on a daily basis just for being an Arab in Africa. Over time this foul treatment inspired many Egyptians to begin taking up opportunistic vigilante actions against British troops and selected English citizens with the violence escalating to higher and bloodier levels by 1952.

Imposing British Control Over the Suez

Angered by the resistance and livid about the killings and assaults upon British nationals and colonial industry, the conservative UK Prime Minister, Sir Anthony Eden, quickly dispatched several units of mean-spirited, racist troops to disarm the remaining Egyptian police stationed at Ismaïlia and to have them abandon their post(s) by late January.

British Army commanders had hoped that by removing the last visible sign of native sovereignty, the UK would end the question of who was (really) in charge of the Suez Canal and its immensely valuable waterway. And the pink gin intelligence planners of MI6 had hoped that the suddenness of the action would intimidate the regional population into submission and help stem the growing sympathies amongst the native police for the anti-colonial resistance.

But when the Egyptian officers refused to surrender their weapons or their post(s), British troops aided by armoured vehicles surrounded the barracks and began shelling the building with more than 700 men inside. The Egyptian police had fought with bravery and refused to surrender. For more than two hours, the Egyptians battled the British occupational forces – and their tanks – down to their last bullet. In the end, the Egyptians lost 50 of their men defending against the English assault with scores of others surviving the ordeal with severe injuries and a burning desire for anti-Bulldog payback.

Secular Liberationists and Right-Wing Counter-Revolutionaries

‘My country is no longer in Africa; we are now part of Europe. It is therefore natural for us to abandon our former ways and to adopt a new system adapted to our social conditions’

– Ismā‘īl Pasha

The damage had been done. Bulldog Britannia 'proved' to the Egyptians who was really in charge. And by this reactionary misadventure, Great Britain unknowingly handed-off to the dissenting officers within the native Egyptian army a rational reason to organise a coup against both the nation's corrupt monarchy as well as the ongoing insult of the UK occupation.

Things soon came to a head. The day after the assault on Ismaïlia, enraged Egyptians started the (organised) chaos of 'Black Saturday', (in Egypt) or the '1952 Cairo Fire', along with impromptu work-strikes and protests against British-owned businesses in the city (the Egyptian capitol) and surrounding areas. This intensified within hours and the unrest quickly centred upon destroying the visual economic and cultural centres of the British occupation.

English-owned shops and warehouses were fire-bombed and numerous UK nationals were beaten or threatened with violence. Some Britons who had heeded the warnings of impending disaster from contacts

within the Army and police had already left the country. But it is said that a small number of US nationals had stayed behind. And one can only guess as to what they could be possibly doing during a mass civil conflict in a foreign country.

Many observers of the period still want to know the hidden details behind this. Chiefly because the question of why and how the (supposedly) spontaneous riots were able to spread as swiftly as they did is still an open question. Researchers are convinced that members of the Muslim Brotherhood were most certainly participating, if not in some areas, directing, the disturbances.

This was expected. And perhaps, the MB was actually working under very discreet direction(s) from local CIA operatives who were quietly assisting Nasser’s efforts. It is also quite possible that he knew nothing about such moves, or, it could be surmised (given what we know now) that he knew all about it and feigned ignorance to his compatriots.

Either way, most of the citizens taking to the streets (who were not rioting or committing arson) were demanding a government response to the Ismaïlia Massacre, but Farouk I of Egypt steadfastly refused to challenge Great Britain. Instead, he choose to help its colonial forces subdue the rioters with excessive hostility.

And the response was indeed brutal. And while this action was perhaps (somewhat) necessary as a means to regain public order, the Egyptian government's response leaned heavily on the value of violence. And it was enacted far too late to dampen what had by this time, manifested into a popular national revolt. Long-time (clandestine) dissidents – the Free Officers Movement – within the Egyptian army saw their opportunity. A primarily liberal military cabal, they saw themselves as representative of the Egyptian middle-class and took advantage of the civil unrest and disorganisation within the national government, making their move while the king sought to save his own hide in the fall-out.

This is what became the military industrial action known as the 23rd July Revolution of 1952 which (relatively peacefully) dethroned King Farouk in July of that year. The MB were also at the centre of the revolution too.

And predictably, so was the US Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) under the direction of government disturbance expert, Kermit 'Kim' Roosevelt, Jr, a certified master of instigating internal discord as a means of bring down governments the United States did not like. Under a secret CIA plan codenamed: 'Project FF' (Fat Fucker), the US had at first tried to buttress King Farouk but gave up on this after it was clear that he could not hold the country together. (See: The Game Player - 1989)

And depending upon who is talking and who you might choose to believe, (at any given time) it was actually the Americans who were actually the 'real' power-base behind both the Egyptian coup and Nasser's personal rise in North Africa.

In essence, this view suggests that the US government aided Nasser's rise by not doing anything about the...
It is said that Nasser had warned MI6 and CIA of the action at least two days before it happened and that both interests had agreed not to intervene on behalf of the king. Adding to this perspective is the challenging written testimony of American intelligence contractor Miles Copeland Jr., a veteran CIA/OSS (Office of Strategic Services) man from its earliest days under the direction of US spymaster Allen W. Dulles, (Brother of John Foster Dulles). Mr. Copeland claims to have personally concocted the entire affair on behalf of the agency from the very start. This includes his taking American credit for 'grooming' Nasser, from his dissident days forming the political core of the rebellious Free Officers.

If true, (and there is no logical reason to doubt it) this revelation is very disturbing on a variety of differing levels. Abdel Nasser was a committed Arab Socialist and a major anti-colonial figure in Africa. So the idea that he was a willing player with the pro-colonial CIA isn't exactly good song to the left-wing, anti-colonial ear. Further, this data is made even more murky by the fact that the Free Officers had originally begun their underground movement by working peripherally with army personnel who were directly connected to the right-wing (and Islamist) Muslim Brotherhood.

Placing a Bulls-Eye on Egypt's Leadership

We knew what we did. It was absolutely necessary that we used every son of a bitch as long as he was an anti-communist.

– Harry Rositzke

So, if this account is true, obviously, the CIA (and likely MI6) were well-aware of these relationships and did little, if, anything, to prevent the MB from trying to influence the revolutionary situation. Apparently, the west wanted King Farouk out of the way bad enough to allow for a coup and used the Free Officers to get it done, for them. And I for one cannot believe that Nasser and his inner-circle did this without knowing what they were doing and the risks herein.

One can speculate even further then, that the US may have assumed that in 'helping' Nasser's rise through the churning chaos of regime change, they would have bought his personal loyalty, if not his government's political fidelity. This was reportedly attempted by the John F. Kennedy administration with the revolutionary Cuban government that would arise with Dr. Fidel Castro Ruz in Cuba:

I believe that there is no country in the world including any and all the countries under colonial domination, where economic colonization, humiliation and exploitation were worse than in Cuba, in part owing to my country's policies during the Batista regime. I approved the proclamation which Fidel Castro made in the Sierra Maestra, when he justifiably called for justice and especially yearned to rid Cuba of corruption. I will even go further: to some extent it is as though Batista was the incarnation of a number of sins on the part of the United States. Now we shall have to pay for those sins. In the matter of the Batista regime, I am in agreement with the first Cuban revolutionaries. That is perfectly clear.

– US President John F. Kennedy (1963)

Most contemporary American citizens are not aware of this history. The revolution begun by Fidel and Raúl
Modesto was – to a very limited degree – clandestinely aided by CIA asset support, presumably, under the direction of the Kennedy White House. The background data on this issue is in dispute. What isn't doubted is the direct involvement of US intelligence operatives. American intelligence freelance agent Frank Sturgis was as close to Fidel as anyone else during the active days of the revolution in the Sierra Maestra mountains of Cuba.

A shadowy figure, Sturgis shipped weapons to Cuba and fought side-by-side with the Castro Brothers from 1957 to 58 in their guerilla rebellion to topple US puppet-president, Fulgencio Batista Zaldívar. A year later, he began leading many of the numerous official and privately-funded clandestine efforts that were attempted to overthrow the communist nation and/or assassinate Dr. Castro who Sturgis felt personally betrayed his trust by 'going red'. (See: 'Operation 40')

One would think under these circumstances that the US supported Castro. It is safe to assume that the intelligence community had expected (or hoped) for the moderate Nasser to take power and to view the US government as a favourable asset. And if things had gone according to plan historically, it is also possible that his government (may) have been overtaken by the Islamist far-right in the possible power-struggle(s) that could occur following the takeover. Either way, the US saw itself on the winning side.

This is all speculative of course. But this theoretical train of thought should not be regarded as unfounded or a real surprise to seasoned political observers. The United States is well-recognised as an unrepentant supporter of many dictatorial regimes across the Third World. Egypt then, under these circumstances, would have just been one case out of many.

(See: 'List of authoritarian regimes supported by the United States'- Wikipedia) And what I am suggesting here is that – perhaps – the US and the UK wanted Egypt to go to the far-right.

In this sense, perhaps they felt emotionally, (because of their own personal biases) that it was preferable to have a strong, rightist government in place in Egypt to better control much of North Africa. And if this is so, then the befuddling political hopscotch that often followed through Abdel Nasser's career makes more sense when looked within this (supposedly) 'post-colonial' context.

To many degrees, this dark tactic was also employed in Western Europe immediately after WW2 through the US-led Operation Gladio network of 'stay-behind' groups that formed the secret commando 'structures' that would forcibly repel a Soviet invasion until
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NATO forces could actively respond to the threat.\textsuperscript{1196} (See: ‘\textit{Operation Gladio}', \textit{BBC Timewatch} - 1992)\textsuperscript{1197}

Initially created as first-response teams to thwart and frustrate communist subversives, they were used instead to broker illegal back-room deals between the European far-right and right-leaning criminal circles in an effort to maintain a pro-rightist political climate \textit{on the other side of the Berlin Wall}.\textsuperscript{1198} And more often than not, the structures were used to \textit{carry out acts of terrorism to frighten the general public} into supporting unpopular political overtures from the right-wing and far-right. Further, many of these instances were purposefully done to \textit{bad-jacket left-wing individuals and organisations}\textsuperscript{1199} and lead to increased police repression, frequent detentions and court trials based on trumped-up charges and undue suspicion from intelligence agencies.

\begin{quote}
\textit{These secret soldiers were trained on remote islands in the Mediterranean and in unorthodox warfare centres in England and in the United States by the Green Berets and SAS Special Forces. The network was armed with explosives, machine guns and high-tech communication equipment hidden in underground bunkers and secret arms caches in forests and mountain meadows. In some countries the secret army linked up with right-wing terrorist who in a secret war engaged in political manipulation, harrassment of left wing parties, massacres, coup d'états and torture}
\end{quote}

\textit{– NATO's Secret Armies: Operation GLADIO and Terrorism in Western Europe - Ganser Daniele}\textsuperscript{1200}

According to one of his compatriots in those early hard-decision days of the revolution, Khaled Mohieldin, Abdel Nasser was – from the very start – \textit{wary of dealings with the Islamist wing} of the revolution.\textsuperscript{1201} He wanted religion to be a personal matter, separate from the functioning of the state and the general society. What involvement he did permit was extremely limited and this frustrated those who were favourable of the \textit{MB}.\textsuperscript{1202} The socialist Nasser was never comfortable with the involvement of the far-right and he did what he could politically to keep them marginalised.

Despite this issue, his assimilationist approach to Egyptian nationalism worked. The different factions were able to settle their differences and collectively change the government without much violence. Following the Free Officers revolution, the Muslim Brotherhood was – for a time – invited to work (marginally) with the new left-wing revolutionary authorities. But this peaceful co-existence did not last for very long.

\textbf{Colonialist Chess Games Utilising Humans as Pawns}

\begin{quote}
‘\textit{There are people who dislike you because you do not dislike yourself.}’
\end{quote}

\textit{– Chimamanda Ngozi Adiche}

We understand now that the United States was working subversively at the heart of a nationalist Egyptian
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socialist revolution lead by a leftist dissenting army officer class. And very likely, they were consciously undermining British interests as a means of jockeying for their own covert foothold within the region.

On the whole, US concerns about Egypt were on the same line as Great Britain's. They wanted positive European political and economic control over the entire country and its resources. And in this regard, Great Britain was a rival. However, it is a big mistake to underestimate how massively important this issue was for the United Kingdom (and to a lesser political degree, France) at the same time. And it makes sense for us to step back just a little bit, in order to see the particular factors that would influence how later post-colonial events would eventually unfold across the Third World.

For one, the French and English had been there first militarily, later securing their hold on the country economically after the Khedive of Egypt and Sudan, Isma'il Pasha had depleted the nation's treasury. Then head of the ethnically-Albanian Muhammad Ali dynasty, he was later deposed following an uprising lead by army Colonel Ahmed 'Urabi that spurred serious British military action, an invasion and another occupation resulting in the imperialist 'Anglo-Egyptian War' of 1882. After that, England owned Egypt and the Sudan lock, stock and barrel until the Free Officers movement ended the unbridled exploitation.

And the loss came at a bad time. After the battle against the Nazis was through, the sun began to set upon a tired, but beloved British Empire at home and abroad. Post-war Britons were still queuing up for food-aid as they cursed leftist Emanuel Shinwell in the brutal winter of 1946-1947 and the post-war 'Age of Austerity'.

The Irish Republican Army, (Óglaigh na hÉireann) which waged the domestic 'Northern Campaign' during the war (1942-to-1944) in an attempt to harass the British-installed Government of Northern Ireland (NI); anti-Catholic Ulster Protestants; the occupational British Army and their covert Unionist paramilitaries was still a serious problem.

So was their spiteful willingness to work with the German Nazi government against the English Crown on British soil. (See: 'Plan Kathleen', not to be confused with 'Unternehmen Grün' or 'Operation Green') Although their support for the German war effort through 'Operation Mainau' and 'The Pea Plot' was fairly hapless, it was still close enough to home to make Whitehall nervous over morning tea. But this was far from all that worried the English imperialist.

In 1947, Nigeria and New Zealand were accorded limited autonomy and the United Nations will vote to divide British-occupied Palestine between its native Arab population and the Jewish community (mainly European immigrants) resulting in the recognition of Israel as a state.

In February, the western-supported Kuomintang-ruled ROC government in Taiwan began the 'White Terror' by slaughtering at least 30,000 civilians (including Chen Cheng-po) in the '228 Massacre'. Hong Kong, a primary British outpost in Asia was politically threatened by this. Unified Indian nationalists would liberate their country through Gandhi's Quit India movement and his theory of non-violence, Ahimsa.
That same year, Indian Muslims demanded (and received) partisan statehood as Pakistan and enacted a series of riots that lead to more than one million deaths due to ethnic and sectarian violence during the partitioning of India. Later, Pakistan's army, along with aid from Pashtun ghazi irregulars, will commit their own war crimes in Kashmir by killing Hindu and Sikh civilians (regarded as one of the 'martial races' by the British) and social dissidents before, during and after the bloody Indo-Pakistani War.

1947 was also the official start of the Anglophone-lead and (covertly) fought Cold War against the USSR; their satellite nations and any country, organisation or political personality that was (arbitrarily) suspected of left-wing sympathies. Following the fall of fascist Germany, Italy, Vichy France, Croatia and Japan, the victorious Allied Powers betrayed the trust of their wartime compatriot Soviet Russia and immediately accused them of plotting a fiendish, underhanded plan to secretly promote a global, anti-American (and anti-Christian) communist revolution.

This was only half-true. The Stalin government was certainly keen on spreading Russian political and economic influence around the planet, but the Soviets were theoretically not interested in any empirical aspirations. And if one reviews the political history objectively, Stalin's government was quite honest and above-board with the western powers, especially during WW2. However, the Truman Doctrine of Soviet containment changed what was a good working relationship (developed chiefly by US President Franklin D. Roosevelt) into a never-ending contest for world conquest.

The abject confusion this foolhardy policy created did much to (deliberately) influence the panic of the 'Red Scare' and the phenomenon of paralysing paranoia that terrified the US national culture. And it served as an advantageous political backdrop that has furnished right-wing American, British, Israeli, French and European war-hawks, weapons manufacturers and conflict investors with an endless supply of work projects from which they have (handsomely) financially and politically profited. And the war grift goes on, unabated, through to the present day.

A Very British Hatchet job

‘If surviving assassination attempts were an Olympic event, I would win the gold medal.’

– Dr. Fidel Castro

The revolution by the Free Officers was complete following King Farouk's celebrated departure. He later died of overeating, or, from assassination in Italy (depending on who you ask). Abdel Nasser's stated view was that the movement behind the revolution would not seek a role in direct governance but would struggle to support a civilian revolution through the Revolution Command Council (RCC) and the creation of a western-style, parliamentary Egyptian democracy.

As could be expected, counter-revolutionary actions were taking place in the country as well. First, the Muslim Brotherhood began manoeuvring hard for greater power in the streets; (and in politics) with the Wafd party and other secular, western-style nationalists falling under suspicion as Fifth Column agents of the Brits, the Yanks or the Gauls.

The embattled communist party and members of pro-Soviet clubs were too busy scrambling for cover from the now re-formed Mukhabarat to think about mount any sort of countercoup. And since Nasser did not trust communists, his security people almost immediately began hunting them down in the first few weeks after the revolution.
What little remained of any viable resistance to the new government sat with the exiled King Farouk I, who was rumoured to be seriously considering a return to the Egyptian throne with assistance from the west. While installed in Rome, the king portrayed the dissident Free Officers movement as a communist front for Moscow and the KGB who sought to destroy Egypt's monarchy through the army.

As reported in 2005 by the Cairo-based Arab news service Al-Ahram, Farouk I decided to state his case in a British newspaper with a series of self-written articles discussing his life and observations in exile. He also went so far as to approach the United States with an offer of service since he believed that they would certainly be interested in overthrowing Abdel Nasser:

"I have seen British official documents from the period, including letters sent by Farouk to [British prime minister] Eden and [French prime minister] Guy Mollet," comments Latifa Salem, professor of modern Egyptian history at Benha University and the author of Farouk wa Suqout Al-Malakiya fi Misr (Farouk and the fall of the monarchy in Egypt). "Farouk also wrote to President Eisenhower during the Suez War, offering his cooperation, which triggered correspondence between the British Foreign Office and the Americans regarding possible alternatives to Nasser. Farouk's name came up during the discussions," Salem continues. "However, all the parties agreed that Farouk was a 'losing card' and his involvement would only trigger another revolution in Egypt," Salem says, adding that she can find no evidence that foreign powers considered attempting to restore the monarchy in Egypt during the 1950s.  

They were very keen on deposing Nasser, but they were not at all interested in putting Farouk I back on the throne. The playboy king was considered too much of a risk and his offer was ignored. Egyptian intelligence eventually learned about this and pressed Nasser to 'deal' with the exiled king. It has also been alleged that the post-revolution chief of the Mukhabarat, Salah Nasr, padded his career by incessantly warning Nasser's circle of potential plots targeting him and other leaders in an attempt to gain Nasser's ear. 

There are also (unsubstantiated) allegations that it was he and one Ibrahim El- Baghdadi – a resident Egyptian operative in Italy – had King Farouk I murdered via a poisonous meal in Rome in 1965. There is no proof of this plot and it has been vehemently denied by both men as a fairy tale. And frankly, it doesn't make much sense. Why assassinate Farouk (in exile) so many years after Nasser has secured his tenure? There was no political value in doing so. And besides, if Nasser was interested in killing King Farouk I, he would not have waited till 1965 to do it. Nobody cared about Farouk. There was no need. But the lingering threat of coup did keep Nasser's security apparatus on edge and occasionally, excessively brutal.

**Nasser Moves Forward**

With the monarchy officially abolished in 1953, the Egyptian Republic came to life with Major-General Muhammad Naguib serving as its first president and the return of former prime minister Ali Mahir Pasha to resume his former post. This became a challenge when Maher's opposition to Abdel Nasser's land and political reforms lead to his resignation that same year. This placed President Naguib in the role of prime minister, and Abdel Nasser installed as his deputy.

Conflicts between the two men began almost immediately. And due to this friction, President Naguib resigned in the beginning of 1954 and Nasser then became his replacement PM. Due to popular demand,
Nasser was compelled to reinstate Naguib to the presidency only to resign from government himself just days later. Nasser then began to use his influence with the army to purge officers loyal to Naguib from service in a move to rally for resistance. By October of 1954 Abdel Nasser, backed by the military, removed the president from power and took complete rein of the entire government.

The Free Officers who were loyal to Nasser were also rightfully concerned about Naguib after he was purged from government. He was staunchly pro-western and would have been a desired replacement if a countercoup against Nasser's government were to succeed. As a threat, Abdel Nasser had him relegated to house arrest and kept under constant surveillance in isolation from political matters. He did not have him killed.

After Nasser ousted his former colleague and became the undisputed president of Egypt, that was when his problems with the imperialist powers really began. Now the military governor of all Egypt, Nasser fought for the removal of the British military garrison stationed along the Suez Canal. Needless to say, the US, UK and France were not very keen on the idea. And for a time were indecisive as to how to deal with this unforeseen development.

As Prime Minister, Nasser was viewed as a fair, responsible leader and an exceptional man of integrity in the eyes of most Egyptians. And if he is remembered fondly today, it is for doing a great deal to remove corruption in government and economic life and for giving secularism preference in national politics. Just as any other nation, Egypt under Nasser had its obvious negatives, but these positive reforms won the support of the masses who had grown tired of disrespectful and racist British colonialism and of direct rule under a selfish, apathetic monarch.

As I mentioned, Abdel Nasser's rule was popular and well accepted. But he later resigned after Egypt was forced to relinquish territory to the State of Israel following their second major loss in the 1967 Six-Day War (1967) He did however return to office following public protests that demanded he be reinstated. Nasser began this second presidential term in March 1965 after fixing a series of questionable legal blocks that stymied his political adversaries from organising overtly, ultimately blocking them from being a part of the election process. Not exactly a democratic move.

**Coup and Countercoup in North Africa**

As an anti-colonialist Arab revolutionary and as a left-wing politician, Abdel Nasser tried to discreetly play both ends against the middle, (as did Patrice Émery Lumumba) to juggle the competing and overlapping interests of the United States and the other western capitalists and the Soviet Union. This was further complicated by Egypt's native Muslim extremists and the (unfortunately) socially influential political far-right. It can be speculated that in order for him to maintain his nation's sovereignty during this contentious period, his governance sometimes oversaw assistance from the darker sectors of European fascism.

There is little question that Nasser's personal and professional politics were definitively those of left-wing, Arab Socialism. However, real-world Cold War realities compelled Egypt's leadership to accept the covert aid of former Nazi intelligence and military professionals to keep their own national project going and keep-up (somewhat) with the major non-Arab world powers. Often at American insistence.

It should be understood here that I am in no way making excuses for Egypt's political decisions. But it is fair to say that after what happened in Iran to Dr. Mohammad Mosaddeq in 1953 and in the Congo to

---
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Lumumba in 1961, Nasser's attempt to play it safe was perhaps the smartest thing he could have done. Having said this, it is simply reductionist to attempt to connect Nasser and this post-war contact with former Nazi soldiers as political or personal 'support' for German fascism or its particular ideals. This simply isn't accurate. Especially when taken into account with the wider geopolitical and military situation of the time.

And if we are really going to talk about Egypt's relationship to German fascism, let us first begin at the beginning. Before the world ever heard of Nasser, the very western-friendly King Farouk was quite fascist-friendly and expressed little hesitation in accepting post-war Schutzstaffel, Afrika Korps, Gestapo and Vichy officers (who did not enter the French Foreign Legion) into the country. Before this, the King had favoured (and funded) the far-right Islamist Muslim Brotherhood in a delicate balancing-act to keep the secularist Wafd (Delegation) Party and the Egyptian communists and anarchists at bay.

Illustration 145: SS - Standartenführer Otto Skorzeny - Source: Unknown

Ehemaligen SS-Angehörigen the post-war German officers network. It has also been suggested that he recruited Hans-Ulrich Rudel and Eugen Dollmann to assist him in acquiring former SS men living in South America and the Arab World to occupy state security and economic positions in Nasser's new government.

From 'The Case of Otto Skorzeny', Lobster #12 (Sep 1986):

... and Skorzeny accepted when the CIA agreed to supplement his modest Egyptian salary. He did so partly on the urging of Schacht, who himself went to Indonesia as an advisor to Sukarno and advance man for Krupp. (90) The consequences of this CIA favour to Nasser and the Nazis were to be widespread and long term. Skorzeny left Egypt after about a year, but he left behind him about 50 former S.S. and Gestapo men, many of them recruited from Argentina and neighbouring countries by Skorzeny's Nazi colleague in Buenos Aires, Colonel Hans-Ulrich Rudel. Among these was the chief post-war theorist of Nazism in Latin America, Peron's friend, Johannes von Leers, a wanted war ...

SS functionaries, propaganda officers and intelligence specialists were welcomed in Egypt after Germany
As SS head of the secret security service for the northern region of Italy, he had made his mark by executing thousands of Jews, Roma and Partisans according to an archived MI5 intelligence report on Rauff's career. And as an international intelligence expert after the war, he worked for the CIA and all of the major European intelligence services (including Augusto Pinochet's Dirección de Inteligencia Nacional or, DINA) until his death from natural causes in Santiago, Chile in 1984. (See: 'Secret Affairs: Britain's Collusion with Radical Islam').

Rauff was widely seen as being responsible for the killings of 700,000 to 1,000,000 Jews, Serbs, Roma and Polish non-combatants in mobile gas trucks in Eastern Europe. At the war's end, CIA funneled him through the RatLines escape to Argentina where he (and Hitler it is said) were hidden by Vatican sympathisers for several years in a Buenos Aires church. Reports of his death were falsified in 1984 after, (according to Skorzeny) the Mossad began gunning for Rauff after several attempts on the life of Alois Brunner (who was working for the Ba-athists in Syria).

Right-Wing Spook Influenced International Relations

'Allah in Heaven, Hitler on Earth'

– Saying often heard in Damascus during the Second World War

It has been alleged (with considerable veracity) that all of this was done under the direction of North American intelligence planners and US State Department advisers who had, by this time, fully integrated Reinhard Gehlen's entire anti-Soviet intelligence network from the wartime Eastern Front into the 'structures' of CIA-controlled Europe. And because of the previous post-war links between ODESSA, CIA and the Vatican, Skorzeny was also hired to work alongside the new West German intelligence services created by Nazi-era intelligence headman Reinhard Gehlen. This was done – it is said – at the invitation of Allen W. Dulles, who it is also rumoured to have signed off on Nasser's use of former Nazis within the Egyptian government.

The Skorzeny connection becomes important for a number of reasons. He was an unrepentant Nazi who was allowed to maintain his far-right connections long after the war was over in (paid) service to the US intelligence community. This is the sort of bad business that goes to show just how far the capitalist powers were willing to go in their zealous commitment towards the USSR and anti-communism in general.

"The CIA, the State Department, and U.S. Army intelligence each created special programs for
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the specific purpose of bringing selected former Nazis and collaborators to the United States.... The government employed these men and women for their expertise in propaganda and psychological warfare, for work in American laboratories, and even as special guerrilla troops for deployment inside the USSR in the midst of a nuclear war.... Hundreds, and perhaps thousands, of such recruits were SS veterans; some had been officers of the bloody Sicherheitsdienst (SD), the Nazi party's security service.”

– Christopher Simpson, 'Blowback: America's recruitment of Nazis, and its disastrous effect on our domestic and foreign policy' (1988)

Even for the hard-line Judeophobes in ODESSA, this rabid obsession with anti-communism was fervent enough amongst its ardent believers to dispassionately work hand-in-hand with Israel's famed Mossad spy service whenever the need had arisen in a joint-effort around the world to stop the 'commies'. And Egypt was a 'hot' front in the right-wing battle against the communist politic. With the labour-positive and leftist elements of the Zionist movement suppressed by far-right Revisionists, the newly-formed State of Israel was as antagonistic towards the Soviet Union as any other western power.

The new Republic of Egypt and the SOI were not exactly long-lost friends either. The 1948 Arab–Israeli War was still fresh in the minds of many Arabs and Nasser himself was personally involved in both the 'al-Faluja Incident' and the armistice agreement negotiations of 1949. Before that, he had applied to fight on the nationalist side of the Palestinian resistance but was refused this by the king's government. These were just some of the factors that were weighing in the decision Egypt later made to nationalise the Suez Canal in 1956 to fund the construction of the Aswan Dam project.

What sounds like a simple idea was wrought with problems and contradictions. The Aswan High Dam was very important to Egyptian economic progress. But when the (very) anti-communist Abdel Nasser (numerous communists were seriously repressed and/or gaoled by him until the mid-1960's) opened diplomatic ties with the Soviet Union, the United States and Britain reacted badly. Promised funding for the dam project was withdrawn and the angry grumblings against Nasser became more vocal. In response, Nasser's government retaliated by declaring the Suez Canal and the entire zone as the national property of the Egyptian government.

There were other pending issues as well. Such as the lingering British relationship to Iraq. Nasser's Arab Socialism was in direct conflict with the Hashemite monarchism that ruled the kingdom. Also, the agreeable King Hussein of Jordan was himself tied-at-the-hip to western patronage and seemingly threatened to meekly ally with the SOI under enough imperial pressure.

So much so, that the British Broadcasting Company (BBC) had once quoted President Nasser as having openly referred to the Jordanian king as an 'imperialist lackey'. Not exactly a respectful attitude from one Arab leader towards another. Especially in public.
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Tell Me All the Lies You Want About Egypt

‘At least we’re getting the kind of experience we need for the next war.’

– Allen W. Dulles

Everything concerning Egypt during the 1950’s was surrounded by international, East-West/capitalist-communist tension. And when the Baghdad Pact was raised in 1955, this confirmed regional suspicions that the west was trying to re-draw the Arab World along lines that would maximise western influence within regimes that would be loyal to the United Kingdom, France and the United States, first.1241 Not to the Arab World or even their own native populations.

Because in essence, the US and Great Britain wanted to create a network of pro-western military and political alliances that would stall, if not prevent, further Soviet expansion past the European political theatre of the Eastern Bloc.

The Baghdad Pact was part of a global plan that included NATO to cover Western Europe and SEATO (Southeast Asia Treaty Organization) to address the nations of Southeast Asia as a means of countering 'Red' China's regional influence. Nasser's government saw this for what it was and proposed another idea: to build through regional consensus, a rival military alliance – represented by the Arab League – with Egypt as the political leader of the whole Arab World.

Nasser's government went even further in this vein by requesting material assistance from the Soviet Union to fulfil the nation's military stocks from their Eastern Bloc stores, (see: the Egyptian-Czech arms deal) which set Egypt up for a collision with the United States intelligence community. This, and a series of other minor challenges to the United Kingdom, Israel, France and the US would culminate in the Suez Crisis.

This was the beginning of the 'Arab Cold War'. And it was an act of anti-colonial disobedience caused the UK and France to go mad.1242 The US wasn't very happy about it either.1243 But his move was praised within the Arab World as a sign of Arab independence from foreign colonialism. But the action (as expected) encouraged military invention by Israel's new (western-funded) IDF; Great Britain and France who re-occupied the Sinai Peninsula and Suez Canal creating an international crisis as they (unsuccessfully) tried to

Illustration 147: Public unrest during the 'Arab Cold War' - Source: http://tinyurl.com/npd2m8c
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intimidate the Egyptian government.\footnote{1244}

It was this action from the Nasser government that turned the tide for western governments and their spy planners. For them, Nasser had crossed a line. And Egypt's democratic rejection of the Baghdad Pact / Central Treaty Organization (CENTO)\footnote{1245} although based on nationalist principle – not a desire to go communist – was enough to convince the United States, Great Britain and France that the Egyptian government was communist when it actually was not.

All of these factors lead the bright-minds of the Anglophone intelligence-corporate complex began to fret openly and with extreme malice. The western powers decided that Abdel Nasser had become a problem.

**Western Intelligence Plotting Against Egypt (and Syria and Palestine)**

'Religion is for God, the fatherland is for all'

– Sultan Pasha al-Atrash

The Arab World has never (really) been allowed to develop naturally, of its own cultural accord, on its own political terms. Not that cultural isolation is necessarily a good thing, but it stands to reason that Europe's cosmopolitan classical Roman Empire; the Turkic Ottoman Empire and the French Empire by their very weight had influenced Arabian society in other directions.

Take for instance the Arab World's historical role as a human chessboard and disposable political puppet for competing European colonial powers. In fact, let us look closely at Syria, which is an indicative example of this Orientalist, mixed paradigm of imperial-colonialist malfeasance.

Problems with Europe began when the region was invaded in 333 BCE by the imperial army of Alexander the Great (late of Macedonia) who claimed all of Syria-Palestine for himself. He even went so far as to ravage the ever-resisting people of the Gaza simply to make a point of who was in charge. Still later when war broke out between the ancient (and Hellenistic) Seleucid Empire\footnote{1246} and the Greek-ruled Kingdom of Egypt following the ‘Wars of the Diadochi’, indigenous Arabs were (as always) relegated to the periphery.

Although they were on the sands fighting the wars, servicing the invaders and earning the tribute that was paid towards those in charge (at the time), they were never given regional political power nor cultural credit for anything beyond historical antiquity.

This was still the case when regional power shifted from Greek-based states to the might of imperial Rome. Now, Syria had become yet another province of the classical Roman Empire known as (variously) Cœle-Syria; Provincia Syria; Syria Palæstina (after the final Jewish–Roman war). The first North African Pax Romana emperor Septimius Severus made it his business to continue running it through the imperial sieve as he deemed necessary to keep the various populations and cultures divided.

This sort of abuse lasted until the end of the Byzantine Empire, which was usurped unceremoniously by the Mamluk Empire who in turn lost it to the Ottoman Turks, (see: 'Battle of Marj Dabiq') after the 'Conquest of Constantinople'.

This too was eventually superseded by the 'mission civilisatrice' imperial ambitions of Napoleon III of France in 1860, (see: 'Règlement Organique') when his forces intervened as a reaction to the brutal sectarian violence of the Arab Christian Maronite Revolt against the Druze living under Ottoman authority.\footnote{1247} Then the Great War occurred and France – via the 'Sykes–Picot Agreement' – imposed itself fully and without any
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reservation or regard for the Syrian people at the San Remo conference. (See: 'French Mandate of Syria and Lebanon').

Then we witness the four-month old Kingdom of Syria (under Hashemite King Faisal I, a son of Hussein bin Ali) was rudely deposed by the French government in the Franco-Syrian War, (only to be set up as the king of Iraq by Great Britain).

Then the Syrian Revolt of 1925 came and went. There was also the État de Syrie (State of Syria) the République Syrienne (Syrian Republic) and the blunt-edge removal of Alexandretta into the Hatay Province in 1938 by the French who, generally, pretended that their occupation did not even exist. (See: 'Franco-Syrian Treaty of Independence').

Syria, it was believed, (like Indochina; Senegal; Algeria and Egypt) was simply a part of France – and always had been a part of France. Especially when WW2 had brought outright fascist domination to Syria with the arrival of Vichy France’s control over the region.

Fascist domination was brief, and ended with the hard-fought close of the second European Tribal War (see: ‘Operation Exporter’). But as the Allied Powers were talking about freedom from fascism and communism, the government of Charles de Gaulle brutally (and illegally) attacked Syria (again) in 1945 and attempted to overturn its political system in response to their edging away from the original Mandate. Syria’s founding membership (as a self-proclaimed independent state) of the newly-created United Nations legally freed them from the French.

By 1946, the last French colonial garrison was forced by the international community to finally depart from Syria. But in reality, French continued interest in its (former) colonial investments continued to drive its foreign policy. And in practise, France has never truly let go of the country.

Arab (and European) Jackals Begin Work in the Middle East

‘The higher races have a right over the lower races, they have a duty to civilize the inferior races.’

– Jules François Camille Ferry

From 1945 onwards, the United States began to show a particular interest in manipulating Syria’s direction for its own benefit in the race for post-war, Cold War political influence over the region. One reason for this was the decision of the Arabian American Oil Company (ARAMCO) to try gaining the required national legal permissions and construction contracts needed (for the Bechtel Group) to develop the (then) Trans-Arabian Pipe Line (TAPLINE) so the Wahhabist Kingdom of Saudi Arabia could transport their national

Illustration 148: 1963 Syrian coup d’etat - Source: http://tinyurl.com/pjama2h
product through the countries of Syria, (Hashemite) Jordan and the Lebanon. For various reasons, was politically hesitant and embattled by fervent anti-western and anti-Israel sentiment among the public stalled the project indefinitely.

As the civil crisis progressed, CIA personnel began so send out feelers seeking right-wing, anti-communist army officers favourable to plotting an overthrow. And this was apparently very easy, since there were (and are) enough Arabs within the military traditions of Western Asia who are eager to market their allegiances and politics to the intelligence services of the west.

And in 1949 the US got exactly what it wanted, sort of, when in 1949 a coup d'état lead by Col. Husni al-Za'im successfully unseated the government of President Shukri al-Quwatli without firing a shot. As (reportedly) planned-out by resident CIA station heads in Damascus, the army Chief-of-Staff al-Za'im immediately took control of the entire nation's state apparatus; had the ousted president exiled to Egypt; quickly suppressed the nationalist resistance; and without any further delay, approved the ARAMCO pipeline as planned through Syrian territory.

But Col. Za'im also did the CIA a big favour, he began rounding up and interrogating Syria's communists who were allowed to operate under the al-Quwatli government. And as Professor Douglas Little of Clark University has coldly laid it out, citing declassified CIA documents of the period, one of the US CIA operatives behind the coup proudly reported to his superiors that:

'...over 400 Commies [in] all parts of Syria have been arrested.'

Thereby explaining to his bosses in Langley that the coup was effective in stopping any positive-working (or future) Russian operations in Syria dead in their tracks.

Col. A-Za'im was exactly the sort of leader the United States tends to support. Self-centred, right-wing and willing to gamble it all for the sake of personal gain. And for all accounts and purposes, as attested to by Miles Copeland Jr., the US felt that they had al-Za'im in their pocket, totally bought and paid for:

'The political action team suggested to Za'im the idea of a coup d'etat, advised him how to go about it, guided him through the intricate preparations in laying the groundwork for it...Za'im was 'the American boy'.'

This proved out to be false for a number of reasons. An ethnic Kurd, al-Za'im lead a secular, authoritarian, anti-Soviet and pro-western government that (oddly enough) recognised the civil rights of women (for the first time) and attempted to peacefully negotiate with the SOI (and on behalf of displaced Palestinians) and with Turkey (in favour of territorial stability). He also had a bad habit of gaoling each and every political opponent he could get his hands on, after having already banned all political parties operating in the country.
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And on the street, his policemen were no better. Heavy-handed and apathetic, they helped generate enough dissent with their casual violence towards the populace to encourage another popular change of government. And once al-Za'im began to anger the regional business community – by taxing them – United States relations with Syria soured into a swift and hard countercoup.

Why instigate another Syrian coup? Why not? Since US firms had finally received the rights to develop the TAPLINE through Syria and after al-Za'im gleefully eradicated the local communists, his usefulness to the CIA had been exhausted.

The decision was made to replace him. And there is no clear indication that al-Za'im ever saw it coming, as he was too caught up in being Syria's 'Number One' man and ignored the animosity that was building up against him.

So, what began as a right-wing coup in March saw al-Za'im's swift overthrow by his even more far-right, former coup-partners, Sami Hilmy al-Hinnawi and Adib Bin Hassan Al-Shishakli (who were also members of the right-wing Syrian Social Nationalist Party) in August of that year.

And this coup was brutally different. Because after the main event, Col. al-Hinnawi and Colonel Bahij al-Kallas, (a co-conspirator in both coups) had al-Za'im and his Prime Minister, Muhsin al-Barazi taken into custody, transferred quietly to Damascus and swiftly executed in a prison basement.

The new ruler-ship wasn't much better and it was just as painfully short. Because following this overthrow later that December, al-Hinnawi's former partner Adib al-Shishakli mounted yet another military coup that violently deposed the government (again) and reversed the reinstatement of the parliamentary system.

Democracy in Syria was now, for all accounts and purposes, a dead issue in Syria.

Adib al-Shishakli and his cohorts moved swiftly as his coup was expressly intended to institute an extremist and narrow policy of pan-Arabism. His government worked hard to prevent Hashemite influence from taking control within Natural Syria and he was willing to resort to assassination and repressive violence towards ethnic minorities (mainly the Druze) along SSNP political lines to achieve these aims.

Along with his major-domo, Col. (later president) Fawzi Selu, al-Shishakli drove a wedge through Syria that never healed. However, his dictatorship did not last very long either as Adib al-Shishakli's leadership was also rejected by massive discontent and another coup overthrew his government in 1954. He first fled to Lebanon then finally to Brazil in response to open threats against his life by the Druze.

This time the coup was fought for by a coalition of diverse nationalists (the Syrian Communist Party, some within the Druze community and some members of the Ba'ath Party) who were more interested in seeking revenge against Adib al-Shishakli than with stabilising the country for the better. Like many other Arab leaders of the era, al-Shishakli had either gaoled or killed many of his rivals. And many of their respective associates wanted payback and got it where they could in the streets. Partisan and racist violence continued on in Syria in waves (and still does today) due to many of the very same conflicts we have discussed here.

As for Shishakli, he continued to inject himself into Syrian politics from exile and at one point had tried to launch another coup d'état which failed due to Syrian intelligence intervention. Shishakli himself was

---

1260 Syrian History - Colonel Bahij al-Kallas, co-planner of the first and second Syrian coups of 1949
   http://ow.ly/QYlfj
1261"U.S. Foreign Policy in Perspective - Node 17 - Syria - Case 1" ( http://twitthat.com/ba3ti )
sentenced to death in absentia for this and in 1964, he was shot to death in Brazil by a private individual in retaliation for his role in a massacre of Syrian Druze civilians during his brief reign in 1954.

After Adib Shishakli's downfall he was followed by Maamun al-Kuzbari (February 1954 - February 1954) then (again) Hashim al-Atassi (February 1954 - September 1955) and then (again) by Shukri al-Quwatli (September 1955 - February 1958) and then, Gamal Abdel Nasser's brief United Arab Republic (UAR) which ended abruptly with the 1961 Syrian coup (lead by Col. Abd al-Karim al-Nahlawi).

After he took President Nazim al-Kuds1264 and Maarouf al-Dawalibi into custody on charges of insulting the Syrian Army, a countercoup erupted in April of that year by a Col. Abd al-Karim Zahr al-Din who ordered the army to stand by President al-Kudsi. which was followed by a Ba'athist coup in 1963.

Unending Political Repercussions in Damascus (and Cairo)

'Military men are just dumb, stupid animals to be used as pawns in foreign policy.'

– Henry Kissinger

What happened (and continues to happen) in Syria was/is typical for the goings-on in the region. And in truth, Arab (and African, and South American) governments (of any political denomination) will only last as long as the western powers want them to. And for the US, UK and French empires, the internal politics within what came to be called the Third World was deemed theirs to define, re-direct and squash as need be, simply by colonial fiat.

This Kipling-esque view of the non-White world is what encourages the mayhem that is occurring worldwide. And all major European and Euro-Settler nations will claim that they possess an exclusive and unabridged right to interfere in any country – not a member of the First World club – that does not meet their criteria as a 'developed nation'. Which is just about every nation that is not a charter member of 'The Club', the international group of bloodsucking corporations and militarily strong nations that prey upon their weaker neighbours with virtual impunity.

This view of course includes the Third World environs of all the Arab Nations in the Levant and across the Maghreb except, for the State of Israel for the obvious reasons. They are not an Arab nation. And their Euro-Settler Zionist colonial state was created on top of an already colonialised people – smack dab in the middle of the Arab World – through the use of anti-British terrorism. And as a reward for their intransigence, the United Nations awarded the European Zionists the territory of Palestine, a bastion of White Power in the occupied Levant.

As wisely articulated by veteran American diplomat and former US Ambassador to Panama, (1990-1994) foreign service officer Deane R. Hinton in regard to his active part in the 1949 coup in Syria:

'I want to go on record as saying that this is the stupidest, most irresponsible action a diplomatic mission could get itself involved in, and that we've started a series of these things that will never end.'
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Mr. Hinton’s career as an international go-between was without question, as far removed from pro-communism as one could be within the diplomatic corps while still remaining objective. And he was nobody’s fool. He understood that once a nation engages in such action, the reverberations can last for generations.

And worse, bullets shot in the dark can often ricochet in (very) undesirable directions. The 1949 Syrian action was followed by another joint UK/US operation in Persia in 1953 (Operation TP-AJAX) and another against Jacobo Árbenz Guzmán in Guatemala in 1953. (See: ‘Operation PBSUCCESS/PBHistory’)

Following that overthrow, no one can seriously deny that the United States government was represented by intelligence people who were working inside the country before and after – before and after – the industrial action. (See: ‘David Atlee Phillips’)

A Racist Presumption of National Innocence
For Doing Political Murder

‘Nothing so comforts the military mind as the maxim of a great, but dead, general.’

– Barbara Tuchman

By the mid-to-late 1950’s, a handful of xenophobic thinking-heads huddled safely in Whitehall, the Foreign Office (FO) and presumably a limited number within SIS/MI6 (Secret Services) began to brainstorm on a subversive means of neutralising Egypt’s popular leader President Nasser, permanently.

This too is not a conspiracy theory. Here is the first documented case of a western democratic government shopping knowing falsehoods in order to instigate a war in which observable, provable facts did not matter. Intent, no matter how malicious, meant everything. The consequences for the rest of the world be dammed.

This case is also a lesson on how a war can be fabricated on falsehoods because a small group of conservatives wanted to show the entire non-White world (and the new post-WW2 superpowers) that they – the British Empire – would not be pushed around. At least, not by a clutch of left-wing Arabs.

Much like as what happened under the George W. Bush administration in regards to the planned invasion and occupation of Iraq and the toppling of the Ba’athist government of Saddam Hussein. (See: ‘Downing Street Memorandum’ and ‘Bush Convicted of War Crimes in Absentia’, Foreign Policy Journal)

No one (rational) defends the old Hussein government. His atrocities against the Kurds (see: ‘Halabja chemical attack’) and the ‘Marsh Arabs’ have forever etched his name into international infamy. And we
may never know for sure exactly ow he was eventually captured (by the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan) and ended up in US military custody before being handed over to a group of thugs to be later sent to be lynched (and unintentionally, decapitated).

Spikes of Incredibly Thuggish SIS/CIA Activity

'...I want Nasser Murdered.'

– Prime Minister Anthony Eden

After the defeat of Germany's armed forces, (not of Hitler himself) the English Bulldog decided it would earn back its former glory through respect at the barrel of a gun. The primary source the SIS cited as a justification for what became the Suez Crisis was a supposed insider within Nasser's advisers.

This (unknown) source (code-named) 'LUCKY BREAK' was said to have passed credible information to 'The Friends' (SIS) in Cairo that Abdel Nasser's government was – for sure – moving further left than was previously thought possible.

According to Prime Minster Eden this was incontrovertible proof that Nasser was a communist working in secret with the Russians. It wasn't true, but that did not matter. The point was to contrive a legal and public justification for attacking Egypt and bringing them 'back into line' as before. Nothing more. Nothing less.

The most nauseating aspect of the affair is the abject clumsiness of it all. There is (still) no empirical evidence that LUCKY BREAK ever really existed. And there is credible speculation that LUCKY BREAK was a fabrication of a small circle of MI6 men who were more loyal to the prime minster than with the truth and consequences of what could happen if things went wrong.

I (for one) think that this is fair to suggest given that only a select few actually saw the original intelligence material, that is was bogus. And, because it was well-known that just before all of this, a large number of SIS operators and native Egyptian cut-outs were rounded up (and beaten up) by Egypt's Mukhabarat el-Khabeya (Military Intelligence Services) in the days leading up to the Suez Crisis.

More than likely, the LUCKY BREAK source was a complete fraud. And one is finally left with the sickening impression that key members of the British establishment were keen to begin an action on the basis of wilful negligence. What also churns at the gut about this subject is how this sort of political chicanery can so easily be used to justify anything a government (of any persuasion) wishes to do. Without any concern for the fall-out that follows after what is done is done.

A War Against a Common International Order

Either way, Eden got the casus belli he was asking for. And the idea worked. The idea that Nasser might
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favour working more closely with Moscow might lead to Egypt's inclusion in the Communist Bloc. Prime Minister Anthony Eden's first demand, which was to cause Selwyn Lloyd's minister of state, Sir Anthony Nutting, to resign, was for the SIS to assassinate the troublesome Egyptian leader; the second, which was to split the country, was to collude with Israel and France to invade and regain control of the canal.

According to newspaper and news radio investigations of the incident, the planning for this idea began first with the government of Prime Minister Anthony Eden and was introduced to President Dwight Eisenhower as a workable plan. (Both nations, remember, had worked together to oust the socialist leadership of Iran's government during this same time period.)

As far as the United Kingdom was concerned, Nasser wanted to create an alliance that could (and would) regulate petroleum supplies to Europe and the profits of US energy industrialists. Thus, the negotiations between Great Britain, France and the Israeli governments resulted in 'Operation Musketeer' a military intervention that would collectively attack and occupy Egypt in 1956.

What they initially settled on – with US support – was codenamed, 'Operation Omega', a covert programme of black-propaganda (from the US Information Agency/USIA); industrial sabotage; international sanctions and covert support to Egypt's political rebels and territorial rivals:

Eisenhower [diary]: 'I have authorised the State Department to work on Omega. A fundamental factor in the problem is the growing ambition of Nasser, the sense of power he has gained out of his associations with the Soviets - his belief that he can emerge as a true leader of the entire Arab world. I hope that we can begin to build up some other individual as a prospective leader of the Arab world. My own choice of such a rival is King Saud of Saudi Arabia.'

– BBC Radio 4, 'Suez - The Missing Dimension'

For Britain (and the US) however, even this wasn't nearly enough. England's conservative prime minister wanted to go much further. He was perfectly willing to abandon common-sense in pursuit of imperialist vengeance. And many in Whitehall (correctly) assumed that Eden's government would be willing to go that extra mile in order to keep the empire intact.

The British plot against the life of Abdel Nasser when he was the president of Egypt is a documented fact. This is not idle, partisan speculation or a tall-tale bantered about by the propaganda arm of the IRA. This is fact. Anthony Eden's hatred for Abdel Nasser was an open secret. And the British (and US) government wanted President Abdel Nasser dead. The sooner, the better.

France was motivated by Abdel Nasser’s open support for Ahmed Ben Bella and the Front de Libération Nationale, (FLN) who were actively waging the Algerian independence movement. (See: 'Guerre d’Algérie') The State of Israel was interested in annexing as much claimed Egyptian territory as they possibly could as 'prizes of war'. But for Great Britain, Prime Minister Eden's personal animus towards President Nasser was more than just politics, it was a vendetta.
As attested to by then Foreign Office minister Sir Anthony Nutting, PM Eden was personally and obsessively wrapped-up in seeing to Abdel Nasser's utter and total destruction by any means necessary:

>'What’s all this nonsense about isolating Nasser or 'neutralising' him as you call it? I want him destroyed, can’t you understand? I want him murdered, and if you and the Foreign Office don’t agree, then you’d better come to the cabinet and explain why.'

The oddest part of all of this is the historical fact that the United Kingdom (and the United States; France and Israel) have all used political assassination as a common tool of trade since their very beginnings as nation-states. And they all (still) regard targeted killings as a functional necessity as a part of their colonial/imperial efforts worldwide. So to treat this case as an anomaly is wrong-headed and indicative of the national denialism that allows for such negative practises in the first place.

And after looking at the background facts, researchers can justifiably question PM Eden's state of mind during this period because he was actually under medical observation and prescribed medications (both sedatives and stimulants) that may have affected his mental-state at the time. Much like Adolf Hitler did during his most maddening periods of decision-making in the last years of the war. This is fair to ask, especially since this nefarious idea included both CIA and MI6 choosing to work clandestinely with the Muslim Brotherhood in an effort to topple Nasser's socialist government from within. (See: 'Suez Triangle')

Syria too has seen its fair share of assassination attempts from the west, implicitly designed to direct their political climate (which isn't very good) towards the reactionary far-right. In fact, the British, as well as the US, were directly involved in a series of 'regime change' plots against the government of Syria. Most notably in 1957 when (according to declassified documents) Prime Minister M. Harold Macmillan and President Dwight Eisenhower approved a joint, clandestine CIA/MI6 operation to stage a series of 'false-flag' incidents designed to effectuate an international pretence for western military intervention in Syria.

The plotters fully intended to have the leadership in Damascus liquidated according to the private papers of Duncan Sandsys, a defence secretary who served in the Macmillan government, as researched and reported by Matthew Jones of the Royal Holloway, University of London. And like Egypt, Syria entertained squads of professional SS and Gestapo men who were apparently working for – and on – both sides of the power struggle. And in hindsight, perhaps that was precisely their job.

**Her Majesty's Secret Services and Uncle Sam's CIA Spooks Link Arms**

'I only wish I had killed more....the world is a better place w/out savages...'

– Chris Kyle, 'American Sniper'

Egypt, Iraq and Syria were central to a Cold War-era plan of imperial re-organisation that suited the needs of the western powers. Abdel Nasser's main problem for the west was his titular leadership of the pan-Arab nationalist movement. His position in the hearts and minds of Arabs worldwide – they felt – provided a 'bad' 1298
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example. His ideas supporting broad land reform and quality-of-life improvements for his own population rubbed the capitalist world the wrong way. And according to archived UK Foreign Office and US State Department correspondence and memorandums of the era, the intent was to defeat the concept of Arab unity in any form other than language.

Remember, Egypt at one point (1958) had linked with post-coup Syria politically, forming the United Arab Republic (UAR) which shocked the west into violent, histrionic fits. In a real-world of covert national policy and international situations not unlike a chapter in a story by Ian Fleming about the fictional SIS operative Commander James Bond, assassination is a factor of 'the game'. Targeted political killings happen and always have occurred when powerful individuals desired more power. As articulated by author Ben Fenton in his piece, 'Macmillan backed Syria assassination plot':

By 1957, despite America's opposition to the Suez move, President Eisenhower felt he could no longer ignore the danger of Syria becoming a centre for Moscow to spread communism throughout the Middle East. He and Mr. Macmillan feared Syria would destabilise pro-western neighbours by exporting terrorism and encouraging internal dissent. More importantly, Syria also had control of one of the main oil arteries of the Middle East, the pipeline which connected pro-western Iraq's oilfields to Turkey.

The "preferred plan" adds: "Once a political decision is reached to proceed with internal disturbances in Syria, CIA is prepared, and SIS [MI6] will attempt, to mount minor sabotage and coup de main incidents within Syria, working through contacts with individuals.

"The two services should consult, as appropriate, to avoid any overlapping or interference with each other's activities... Incidents should not be concentrated in Damascus; the operation should not be overdone; and to the extent possible care should be taken to avoid causing key leaders of the Syrian regime to take additional personal protection measures."

– The Manchester Guardian (Sept., 2003)

And just as before, they wanted to do this in hope that a right-wing king or group in power would (naturally) act in their favour regionally. The belief being that a right-wing state would do business in ways the western powers and business elites could appreciate. And apparently, no one was considering what the fall-out (either against and for this) would look like later on down the road:

The plan called for funding of a "Free Syria Committee", and the arming of "political factions with paramilitary or other actionist capabilities" within Syria. The CIA and MI6 would instigate internal uprisings, for instance by the Druze in the south, help to free political prisoners held in the Mezze prison, and stir up the Muslim Brotherhood in Damascus.

The planners envisaged replacing the Ba'ath/Communist regime with one that was firmly anti-Soviet, but they conceded that this would not be popular and "would probably need to rely first upon repressive measures and arbitrary exercise of power".

The plan was never used, chiefly because Syria's Arab neighbours could not be

persuaded to take action and an attack from Turkey alone was thought to be unacceptable. The following year, the Ba'athists moved against their Communist former allies and took Syria into a federation with Gen Nasser's Egypt, which lasted until 1963.1302

Is there any more doubt about who the capitalist, democratic west was supporting or why? The neo-Ba'athists came into power in Iraq and Syria by direct western patronage, not in spite of it. And their attitude in this regard was as reckless and it was limitless. Meaning: western intelligence agents were willing to use more than simple espionage to get their point across. Sabotage; assassination; bribery; military invasion and colonial occupation were all part of the game to maintain European power over the Middle East.

And this issue was far more involved than just being focused on Nasser, Egypt or the ownership of the Suez Canal. As with the founding of the State of Israel, the real question was about making sure that White Power held sway in the post-war Arab World. By any means necessary.

Failed Assassination Attempts and Murky Spying Bedfellows

'I congratulate you on your escape from the dastardly attack made on your life at Alexandria yesterday evening.'

– Prime Minister Winston Churchill

No one can say for sure who it was that took the very first literal shot at ending Nasser's life. One situation saw right-wing militants assaulting Nasser supporters on the campus of Cairo University. Other acts involved nerve gases pumped into the ventilation system of buildings Nasser and his functionaries visited. And at least one attempt was made to poison his morning coffee.

Other attempts on the life of Abdel Nasser by still unidentified entities followed this and vicious anti-social acts of violence by the Brotherhood against the left increased exponentially. However the primary incident that turned the tide against the MB was the botched attempt to assassinate Abdel Nasser as he was conducting an address in Alexandria in 1954. This was the specific plot that Sayyid Qutb and several others within a sub-group of the al-Ikhwān were executed for, although their effort was one of many that took place at the very same time.

The one plot we can point to with any certainty came to light in 1956 when a British intelligence officer was charged with espionage for trying to murder President Abdel Nasser. In a Daily Telegraph report published in September of 2010, an obituary for a former spy began this way:

"John McGlashan, who has died aged 88, was a British MI6 officer linked to a plot to assassinate Egypt's President Nasser during the Suez crisis and subsequently accused of – a capital crime. Exactly how he came to be seized in Cairo in August 1956 by agents of the Egyptian mukhabarat, or secret police, remains a mystery. But on February 8 the following year 'The Daily Telegraph reported President Nasser's demand for four other British 'plotters' to hang, under the headline 'Egypt Demands Death for 'Spies''. Equally mysterious was the manner in which McGlashan – or John Reidmack Glashem, as some papers mangled it – was smuggled out of Cairo to safety. In June 1957, in his absence, he was accused in a major show trial with James Zarb, a Maltese businessman, and James Swinburn, Cairo business manager of the British-operated Arab News Agency (ANA). Both Zarb and Swinburn were jailed (then rapidly released), but McGlashan was acquitted. Based at the British embassy in Baghdad, where he operated under diplomatic cover as third secretary, McGlashan was one of 20 people said to have belonged to a 'dangerous' Secret Intelligence Service spy ring, and to have monitored
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Egyptian naval movements in the run-up to the 1956 Suez invasion.

– John McGlashan, telegraph.co.uk [10 Sep 2010]

JRC McGlashan's outfit in Cairo was the centre of British intelligence in North Africa. And was the main point of dispatch for false information about Egypt's governance and for bad-jacketing against Nasser personally within the Arab World. When the Egyptian security services finally closed down their operations in 1956, this frustrated Prime Minister Eden's secret plot to have Nasser assassinated and to replace him with someone from within the Muslim Brotherhood. This too failed, leading the people behind MI6 to attempt other means of getting to him.

All of this internal mayhem had an effect. Nasser's government responded to the crisis by outlawing the MB and publicly denouncing them as paid agents of British imperialism. He was not wrong about this. And he began to view his relationship to the European Powers as a secret war between an independent modern Egyptian state struggling against the arrogance and belligerence of western colonial intransigence. Further, the west's use of the Muslim Brotherhood to topple his government from the inside created a social climate of state-paranoia and sometimes, excessive police and intelligence action.

The IDF attacks on the Gaza Strip during 'Operation Black Arrow' in 1955 (followed by Operation Elkayam; Operation Volcano and the 'Operation Kinneret' attack on Syria) only encouraged Nasser's security people to choose a harder line of defensive tactics in their decision making. Non-political Jews and those who were actually opposed to the Jewish State were still mistreated by the Egyptian government as much as by circumstance. In any case, it is always the innocent who suffer. Rarely the leaders, or the numerous other functionaries who actually placed them in such danger in the first place.

Britain's Shortest Military Intervention, Ever

Believe it or not, The Eisenhower State Department was quite reasonable about the Suez Crisis considering how (not so well) they have handled other anti-colonial situations. A much more involved conflict was averted by President Eisenhower's diligent back-room negotiating. Why the US did not endorse stronger action is a debatable question.

One thing is certain, the Suez Canal was important to US manufacturing and petroleum transports. But, it was more of a thorn in the arse for the UK and France who had actually developed the waterway for their exclusive economic usage. It was never, ever, intended that Egyptians would ever profit from having a piece of earth surgically removed from their territory by colonial invaders for their own business purposes.

This move, in large part, is why the vast majority of mainstream Egyptians accepted Nasser's governance. It wasn't because Abdel Nasser was a 'perfect' Arab politician, but because he addressed the actual issues that mattered to the Egyptian people after generations of Anglo-Saxon and Francophone colonialism. He called colonialism what it was, exploitation. And he joined with the greater Third World movement to defeat colonialism wherever it exists.

Did contradictions exist? Surely, as they still do from China's brutal occupation of Tibet to the genocidal Arabisation of The Sudan in the 21st century, colonialism is exists. And it isn't always Europe that is doing the exploitation.

Returning to the 'Middle East', we understand (hopefully) that the western nations were (and still are) intent
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on controlling the direction of the post-WW1 formulated Arab states. The OMEGA plan was no different, but it also had a fail-safe if the secret kill-plan(s) did not succeed. This particular trick revolved around the fact that both the UK and the Americans knew full-well that Nasser’s relationship to the Muslim Brotherhood was so contentious that at best, even if they never got to kill him, their seething animosity would keep Nasser’s government in paranoiac doubt at all times. And therefore, it was hoped, it would keep Nasser unstable with uncertainty of the basic functioning of the system.

The crux of this issue centred upon the fact that Nasser had initially invited the MB to join the nationalist alliance, but he still suspected (correctly) that their fundamentalist religious agenda could not co-exist alongside his secular Arab nationalism. As the president of Egypt and even before, Abdel Nasser had actively prevented the MB from exerting any concrete theological or political influence within the liberation movement.

Nasser’s inner circle secretly sponsored a clandestine sub-group charged with keeping the MB in check following the successful revolution. He knew that the Brotherhood would do what it could to take control over the government themselves unless resisted. And once the Brotherhood found out about this, they would never forgive him for it.

As Ian Johnson has expertly (and correctly) clarified the situation for the New York Review of Books:

Despite the CIA’s information blockade, it is clear from interviews with CIA operatives and other countries’ intelligence archives that the CIA was courting groups like the Brotherhood as allies in the US’s global battle against communism. In Egypt, the charge was often made by the government of Gamel Abdel Nasser that the Muslim Brotherhood was in the CIA’s pay. This was also a view of some Western intelligence agencies, which flatly declared that Said Ramadan, the Swiss-based son-in-law of the group’s founder, was a US agent. The agency may have—but for this we need access to its archives—colluded with Ramadan in attempting a coup against Nasser.

– ‘The CIA’s Islamist Cover Up’, NYR Daily

This is not hearsay. Although the Muslim Brotherhood had indeed answered the call for ‘real’ Muslims to wage a far-right jihad against European Jews resident within Palestine in response to the Arab Revolt of 1948, British intelligence had already infiltrated the MB long before the direct conflict between England and Germany even began. And according to Mark Curtis in his book, ‘Secret Affairs: Britain’s Collusion with Radical Islam’, (2010):

By 1942 Britain had definitely begun to finance the Brotherhood. On 18 May British embassy officials held a meeting with Egyptian Prime Minister Amin Osman Pacha, in which relations with the Muslim Brotherhood were discussed and a number of points were agreed. One was that ‘subsidies from the Wafd [Party] to the al-Ikhwāni el
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Muslimin [Muslim Brotherhood] would be discreetly paid by the [Egyptian]
government and they would require some financial assistance in this matter from the
[British] Embassy.’ In addition, the Egyptian government ‘would introduce reliable
agents into the al-Ikhwāni to keep a close watch on activities and would let us [the
British embassy] have the information obtained from such agents. We, for our part,
would keep the government in touch with information obtained from British sources.

Curtis’ western trained and financed Islamofascist exposé goes still further:

It was also agreed that ‘an effort would be made to create a schism in the party by
exploiting any differences which might occur between Hassan al-Banna and Ahmed
Sukkari,’ the two leaders. The British would also hand over to the government a list of
Brotherhood members they regarded as dangerous, but there would be no aggressive
moves against the organisation. Rather, the strategy decided upon was that of ‘killing by
kindness’. Al-Banna would be allowed to start a newspaper and publish articles
‘supporting democratic principles’ – this would be a good way of, as one of the
attendants put it, ‘helping to disintegrate the al-Ikhwāni.’

This is telling, because it is quite clear that the Brotherhood was already in contact with Hitler’s government
agents and that they too were the recipients of German intelligence money and personnel. And it is very
difficult for this writer to believe that both MI6 and CIA were not aware of these fascist connections and/or
their possible terrorist implications for Anglophone or Arabic futures.

A Non-Existenter, Contradictory non-Democratic Symmetry

Many, if not all, of the Palestinian Fedayeen had been trained by German military officers in Egypt and other
areas of the diaspora following the native Palestinian al-Nakba, (‘The Catastrophe’). Which only means that
while the US and Britain were quietly backing the Zionist terrorists – who in 1944 had assassinated the Lord
Moyne and his driver in Cairo and in 1946, consciously destroyed the King David Hotel (91 killed, 46
injured) – they were also running operatives within the Muslim Brotherhood and were manipulating the Arab
fascists fighting in the Arab war against the SOI.

In the early days of WW2, Great Britain tried to stymie the MB in Egypt mainly by police action. Because
the Brotherhood is allied with the far-right, they were marginally
allowed to accept support from King Farouk I (and his pro-British
sympathies) so long as they directed their violent animus towards the
nationalists and the left-wing. In short, Egyptian far-rightists in this
way were sent by the state authorities to work on behalf of British
interests without any visible signs of any European involvement.

This has come back to haunt the Anglophone World in a very negative
way. By the end of WW2, the MB (who supported the Axis Powers)
was still just as opposed to English imperialism as they were before the
war. And their shadowy collaboration with the King against Egypt's
leftists was partially o.k.’d by the English Crown.

Why? Because the al-Ikhwān was also (still) occasionally working for
elements of MI6, slipping them information and carrying out acts of
violence, often simply for pay. It was this murky mix of contradictory
politics, imperial paranoia, native anger with colonialism and opportunistic intelligence activity in Egypt that
created people like Sayyid Qutb. Not, Arab genetics or cultural 'Orientalism'.

Muslim fundamentalists like Qutb were initially courted by Nasser during the early days of the revolution. However, once Qutb realised that Nasser would never enforce a government mandated acknowledgement of fundamentalist Islam and then learned that their private conversations were simply a ruse to undermine the religious goals of the MB, he went in with a series of plots with the Brotherhood designed to assassinate Abdel Nasser and take over the government themselves starting in 1954.

**Islamic Fascism and the Battle for Arab Hearts and Minds**

When all of these botched attempts failed, Qutb and several other MB leaders were gaoled and subsequently tortured by Nasser's German SS/Gestapo and US CIA special contractors. At their suggestion, the government then pressed hard against the Muslim Brotherhood with extreme (extralegal) force, damaging their ability to organise an Islamist 'counter-coup' against the socialist Nasser administration.

Next, the Muslim Brotherhood was officially outlawed and many religious centres that were known to be aligned with the right-wing were proscribed and otherwise publicly shunned. This schism continued throughout Nasser's reign and for many decades afterwards to varying degrees into the present day.

Most Egyptian citizens supported this, understanding that the MB were not as interested in securing Egypt from colonialism as much as they were about getting the sort of theologically-based government they desired for themselves. In Qutb's case, he was imprisoned for many years; horribly brutalised by his captors; released; only to be re-arrested and ultimately tried on charges of sedition and disloyalty to Egypt during a revolutionary period.

Sayyid Qutb was a doomed man. And in the end, it was his own far-right writings that had condemned him to the gallows. And inadvertently, Abdel Nasser had given Sayyid Qutb exactly what he had wanted. With his state execution, Qutb was raised to the position of an Islamic martyr, pretty much in accordance with how the Egyptian fascist may have actually viewed himself. And it is without any doubt true that he has left an enduring mark on right-wing Islamist thought. As he had hoped, his writings would have an impact on the development of a 'reform through reductionism' approach to Muslim and Arab history.

During his trial, Egypt's state prosecutors quoted from his main published work on contemporary Arab totalitarianism, political Islam and right-wing, terrorist Jihad, 'Ma'alim fi-l-Tariq', (Milestones) the number one handbook utilised by Islamic fascists the world over. Based squarely upon a seriously fundamentalist view of the Quran, Sharia the Fiqh; his own insular personality, (he never married and was assumed by many to be asexual) and the two disappointing years he spent studying in the United States of (by G-d) America, Qutb jotted down his own view of how the world should be and could care less about how others felt about it. If others did not see that he was right, that was their problem. And for people like Qutb, they deserved to be killed for not thinking as they did and still do.

**Now, Bring Out Your Dead Fascists**

Qutb – like all committed and insular fascists – did not believe in humanity. He believed in a book purported to be inspired by a grand, unseen deity that was in total control over everything in the universe except, human beings. And even then, he thought that the best thing that he could do for Allah was to condemn his fellow man with a literalist perspective that approved of dangerous, hard-line measures that have helped

---
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inspire modern, far-right Muslim terrorism.

Uber-conservatives are predictable creatures, supposedly of their own creation. And being human himself – and feeling that because of his strict, personal lifestyle and his stern, pious beliefs that he resided on a plane higher than other common mortals – he gave himself license to lay out a hysterical and self-centred worldview that saw fault in everything and everyone who did not agree with his narrow-minded view of Islam. And his bellicose perspectives, along with his connections to Islamic terrorism, set an operative model others would later zealously follow.

A Very British Hatchet job

_We’re a sentimental people. We like a few kind words better than millions of dollars given in a humiliating way._

– Gamal Abdel Nasser, on refusing Western economic assistance, (1969)

During the mid-to-late 1950's, the thinking-heads huddled in Whitehall, the Foreign Office (FO) and presumably within MI6 (Secret Service) began to brainstorm on a subversive means of neutralising President Nasser, permanently. According to newspaper investigations, the planning for this idea began with the government of Prime Minister Anthony Eden and was introduced to President Dwight Eisenhower as a workable plan. (Both nations remember, worked together to oust the Mossadegh government in Iran.) What they initially settled on was codenamed, 'Operation Omega', a covert programme of black-propaganda; industrial sabotage; international sanctions and covert support to Egypt's political rebels and territorial rivals.

This was especially true when Egypt decided to nationalise the Suez Canal in 1956 causing the UK and France to go mad. His move was praised within the Arab World as a sign of Arab independence from foreign colonialism. But the action (as expected) encouraged military invention by the State of Israel; Great Britain and France who re-occupied the Sinai Peninsula and Suez Canal creating an international crisis as they (unsuccessfully) tried to intimidate the Egyptian government.

The bright-minds of the Anglophone intelligence-corporate complex began to fret openly.

And with extreme malice.

Placing a Bulls-Eye on Egypt's Leadership

Gamal Abdel Nasser began his second presidential term in March 1965 after fixing a series of questionable legal blocks to prevent his political adversaries from entering the election process. His rule was popular and well accepted, but he later resigned after Egypt was forced to relinquish territory to the State of Israel following their losses in the _1967 Six-Day War_ (1967) only to return to office after public protests demanded he be reinstated.

As Prime Minister, Nasser was viewed as a fair leader in the eyes of most Egyptians and he did much to remove direct military influence and corruption in government, secularism was given preference in politics and other positive reforms for the masses. As a politician, Nasser tried to play both ends against the middle, (as did Patrice Lumumba) juggling the competing and overlapping interests between the western capitalists and the Soviet Union as well as native Muslim extremists and the (unfortunately) influential Arab far-right. In order for him to maintain his nation's sovereignty during this contentious period, his governance sometimes oversaw assistance from the darker sectors of European fascism.

There is little question that Nasser's personal and professional politics were definitively those of left-wing,
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Arab Socialism. However, real-world Cold War realities compelled Egypt's leadership to accept the covert aid of former Nazi intelligence and military professionals to keep their own national project going and keep-up (somewhat) with the major non-Arab world powers.

It should be understood here that I am in no way making excuse for Egypt's decisions. But it is fair to say that after what happened in Iran to Dr. Mohammad Mosaddeq in 1953 and in the Congo to Lumumba in 1961, Nasser's attempt to play it safe was perhaps the smartest thing he could have done. Having said this, it is simply reductionist to attempt to connect Nasser and this post-war contact with former Nazi soldiers as political or personal 'support' for German fascism or its particular ideals. This simply isn't accurate. Especially when taken into account with the wider geopolitical and military situation of the time.

And if we are really going to talk about Egypt's relationship to German fascism, let us first begin at the beginning. The very western-friendly King Farouk had little hesitation in accepting post-war Schutzstaffel and Gestapo officers into the country under the direction of CIA and US State Department advisers. As an astute politician, Abdel-Nasser displayed his commitment to his socialist principles when he served as head of the Non-Aligned Movement and with his active promotion of domestic policies that greatly upset the western powers. For starters, he argued for a pan-Arabic sense of political and cultural independence, which was more than enough to mark him as a 'subversive'. And his concern for the plight of displaced indigenous Palestinians and his support for a 'Free Palestine' was not accepted by the Anglophone World either, including and especially with the State of Israel.

Initially, the US and UK were more concerned with Egypt's diplomatic acknowledgement of the USSR; 'Red' China, Cuba and the Eastern Bloc becoming a significant 'problem'. But in truth, these concerns were quite partisan. The UK was worried about the Suez Canal and their political influence in the region. The US (under President Eisenhower's leadership) wanted to contain Egypt's connections to the USSR and other nations in the throes of anti-colonial revolution through manipulative diplomacy and covert subterfuge (if necessary).

But for both Anglophone nations, (and for the settler-colonialist states of Israel and France) Nasser's personal leadership amongst Arab nationalists and the certain threat of widespread Arab Socialism and pan-Arab solidarity under 'Nasserism' was a real problem. His status really frightened the colonial powers and this fear led to drastic actions against both his countrymen and his life.

**Anglophone Hegemony and the Rise of an Arab Reich**

Qutb was without question, an Arab fascist who provided a framework for others to follow. And this writer believes that it is fair to say that just about every fundamentalist Muslim in the literate world today – to one degree or another – has been inspired by the ideas found within this single, paranoia-inspired volume. And as writer Elmer Swenson has articulated after the failure of the MB to take power in Egypt, Sayyid Qutb soon became the intellectual focus-point for the Arab far-right.

His Islamist ideologies (Qutbiyya) not only fuelled a new direction for the Muslim Brotherhood, but also for the later Afghan Mujahideen and Osama Bin Laden and the current incarnations of Arab Fascism represented by the far-right mob that is the Sunni Islamist extremist group, Daesh currently menacing.
The ideological relationship between Qutb and modern Islamist terrorism is more direct than most people, including many 'terrorism experts' may realise. As detailed by Swenson from his excellent: "Sayyid Qutbs Milestones: Everything You Always Wanted to Know About Sayyid Qutb's Milestones, But Couldn't Be Bothered to Find Out":

The most direct connection between Milestones and terrorism is through Qutb's brother Muhammad and al-Qaeda's second-in-command Ayman al-Zawahiri. Muhammad fled to Saudi Arabia after his brother's execution and there carried on the torch, "editing and publishing" Sayyid's books and preaching his ideas. [20] In Saudi, "one of Muhammad Qutb's students and ardent followers was Ayman al-Zawahiri", [22] who after returning to Egypt went on to join the above mentioned al-Jihaad al-Islami. Following the crackdown on terrorism there, he and other jihaadis went into exile in Afghanistan where he met bin Laden and became his "mentor." Zawahiri emerged as the new head of al-Jihaad al-Islami and merged his group into al Qaeda. [23] Zawahiri has praised Qutb in his writings. Zawahiri has been called "jihad's main ideologist." The leadership of his al-Jihaad al-Islami organization "comprises the majority of al Qaeda's ruling council (shura)." [24]

By this point, his other more religious-based works such as 'Fi Zalal al-Koran' (In the Shadow of the Koran) had been forgotten in favour of his more extremist political views. To his own detriment, Qutb refused to denounce his fascist ideas or his part in the plots against the life of Abdel Nasser during his trial.

He was finally sentenced to death along with six other MB members in 1966 for collective involvement in the conspiracies launched to assassinate Nasser and other government officials in the name of Islamic religion.

An anxious and paranoiac man, Qutb's radical anti-social and anti-European ideas were rooted in his own jaundiced interpretation of the Qur'an, recorded Muslim history and his personal problems with Egypt's secular direction.

His direct adherents have come to form a school of Islamic thought called Qutbism. And it is this particular school of Islamic thought that has brought on the era of fundamentalist Muslim terrorism we are all living with today. And this writer (for one) believes that it is entirely fair for the general public to take for granted that without the behind-the-scenes prodding provided (if not encouraged) by certain ultraconservative personalities within the intelligence services of the 'democratic' governments of the Anglophone World, Islamic fascism – and the terrorism that has come along with it – would be little more than a minor irritation.

Without a doubt, it has become clear that the Arab right-wing was created out of whole cloth by the western intelligence services under political directives given to them by their respective governments. It was the European far-right who made the first overtures in the region as a means of furthering their military and economic capacities during the First World War.

Abdel Nasser and the Jews of Egypt

'You will never be able to live here in peace, because you left here black and came back white.'

– President Gamal Abdel Nasser (1952)

By the time of the second global conflict, western-style fascism had been well entrenched in the Arab World. And its seeds had been directly sown in the fertile soil of über-conservative, often Judeophobic religious theologies that had more to do with anti-Zionism than anti-Judaism. Jews have lived in Egypt since antiquity
– although the 'Out of Egypt' \textsuperscript{1323} theological claim found within \textit{Exodus} is most likely, historiographically false. \textsuperscript{1324}

In hindsight it is quite fair to say that as a soldier, Abdel Nasser was not particularly a friend to too many Egyptian Zionists once British-occupied Palestine became Zionist-occupied Israel in 1947-48. And the native Jewish community had a valid reason to be wary of their neighbours in Egypt during this period.

But it is important to understand here that during the civil unrest, the King Farouk I and the Egyptian Prime Minister Mahmud Nuqrashi Pasha, (a member of the Saadist Party) attempted to contain the growing domestic unrest by enacting martial law, censoring the newspapers and ordering the indiscriminate arrests of hundreds of left-wing activists and nationalists on suspicion of sedition. This included a direct targeting of the Jewish community in Egypt by his intelligence people and police forces.

Many others ethnic minorities were abuses as well. Mostly Jews – who were detained simply for having been suspected of conspiring (or actually conspiring) with the new Israeli government. And worse, almost everyone who was listed as a threat to the country's security was stripped of their private property, their banking accounts and many more were forcibly ejected from the country.

It is hard to say for certain how much of this was racially charged. Egyptian Jews were pretty well integrated, if not representative of Egypt's international cosmopolitanism. And in truth, they did not really face any more overt public animosity in Egypt under Nasser's governance until \textit{after} the rise of the Zionist state.

Egyptian nationalism developed a xenophobic quality that (sometimes) worked against unity between both Semitic peoples. And the State of Israel consciously did what it could through the use of false propaganda to frighten Jews into supporting and emigrating to the new Zionist state.

\textbf{Arab Politics Were Lumbered with Nazis in Their Midst}s

Yes, \textit{Nasserism} did include (intentional or not) a level of mindless Judeophobia. However, this is not to say that the Germans working in the Egyptian government (sponsored by CIA) did not have influence over the newspapers and radio reports of the time. \textsuperscript{1325} They most certainly did. And they deliberately promoted as much Judeophobic propaganda as they could get away with within the nation's popular media.

This purposefully lethal combination of far-right Zionist nationalism, anti-colonial Islamic fascism and self-centred western intelligence human chess games is what actually put Egypt's Jews in danger. And the Anglophone World has never, to my knowledge, ever taken political (or moral) responsibility for this hateful witches' brew of ever-flowing political lava.

Factly speaking, by the time Nasser took office, Egypt's Jews were pretty much left alone by his secular Arab Socialist government. Especially since most truly left-wing movements are generally race-neutral to the point of silliness.

I personally do not think (and have found no empirical evidence) that Nasser himself 'hated' Jews \textsuperscript{1326} But the general Egyptian society on the other hand – especially when caught-up in a revolutionary fervour – was yet another matter. And it would be foolish to ignore the pressure of the conflicts between the SOI and Egypt that were certainly a factor. Whether hard-line Zionists wish to accept it or not.
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The MB still held sway on the streets and in the mosques. However, after the Suez Crisis and the land invasions conducted by Great Britain, France and the SOI, Nasser's government set forth new laws and civil regulations that immediately revoked many rights from most citizens and all foreign residents still in the country.

He also allowed for the state security services (at their sole discretion) to detain; interrogate; torture and vacate the citizenship of anyone they perceived as a potential problem.

What is is important here to remember is that Jews were not necessarily singled-out racially, since all of the non-Arab ethnic and religious minorities in Egypt felt the same sting of the lash from the authorities. (See: the 'Mutamassirun') And to be fair, even if Egypt had not been involved in trying to support the fight against Zionist colonialism, the Jewish community would still have had their problems with al-Ikhwān and the far-right Germans directing the country's security services.

Racism was fast becoming acceptable. In fact, the nationalist forces Nasser unleashed, (not that he intended this) made anti-Arab racism in Egypt en vogue. And perhaps, even the hard-line Nasserites, whose pro-Arab, ultra-nationalist tendencies easily loaned themselves over to abject xenophobia would have gone after them simply because they would assume their loyalties would be with Israel or their country of family origin. (See: '1956–57 exodus and expulsions from Egypt') All of this is bad business.

But it is still logical to connect the (terroristic) birth of the right-wing Zionist state and the successive armed conflicts taking place between them and the rest of the Arab World. Because if certainly made a bad situation much worse. Nasser's government feared Israeli penetration. And there is a fair amount if evidence that their fears were justified on well-founded national security realities. Many Israeli agents and sympathisers, not just Egyptian Jews, were found to be working for the SOI within Egypt. Many were also found to be working for the British and/or the US. And if they were caught, they were summarily dealt with by the security services. But there were a number of Jews living in Egypt who were running sabotage operations on behalf of the SOI.

This is a fact. And this activity also helped to aggravate the nationalist drive to purge the social system of 'outsiders' within the general population – including native Jews – who were now being collectively viewed as collaborators of the SOI and, the old colonial powers. But for Jews, their position as Egyptian citizens was now tenuous. And they knew it. Caught up in the revolutionary fervour and foreign sabotage, all Jews would come to be equally classed as subversives, whether they supported Israeli colonialism and Revisionist Zionism or not.

When the authorities began to repress them even further, (while the Muslim Brotherhood were still attacking the Jewish community in Cairo) this in turn only drove many non-Zionist Jews ideologically closer to Israel. Also, the violence occurring in the SOI – especially after the European Holocaust – also helped to harden the hearts of fearful Jews everywhere. (See: 'List of attacks against Israeli civilians before 1967')

This is all true. However, we now know that Nasser was still taking advice (and learning lessons as he went along) from CIA handlers who had eagerly imported the German military and intelligence specialists who were heavily influencing Egypt's policies towards both the SOI and its native population(s). And this included Egypt's Jews.

These were fascist mercenaries who worked for whoever (on the right) would pay them. Just a year or so before, these individuals were fighting for the Axis Powers and running death camps across Europe. Now, they were helping the Arab World modernise its military prowess, their acceptable system-of-rules for civilian policing and its new-found sociopolitical hatred of Jews as a group instead of the far-right Zionists who were using xenophobia and opportunism to justify their European-Settler occupation of post-war
Palestine.

Not Always So Sophisticated Dirty Colonialist Tricks

'When the rich wage war, it’s the poor who die.’

– Jean Paul Sartre

To fully understand what happened in Egypt, (and Syria) at this time, it is necessary to go back a little bit (again) to look at the international environment after World War Two. In February of 1947, His Majesty's Government openly admitted to their American cousins that they could no longer afford to support the right-wing government in Greece (this was during the Greek Civil War, 1946-to-1949) against the Greek Communist Party (KKE) and their armed forces, the Democratic Army of Greece (DSE). (See: the 'Varkiza Agreement')

They also were quite frank about their inability to continue backing the Kemalist-approach of Mustafa İsmet İnönü and his Republican People's Party in the Republic of Turkey as a buffer to Soviet intervention in the Balkans. The US was the only nation to emerge from the war not only intact, but far more prosperous then when they entered it.

This was not a happy accident of the conflict not being fought on the US mainland. Retrospectively, we can look to a special and secret meeting conducted by the US State Department that was held in 1940 with major US industrialists; bankers; 'right-thinking' politicians and the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) to understand the situation better.

At this gathering, the capitalist movers and shakers of the United States met to discuss the world situation and how the United States could positively benefit from re-drawn 'spheres of influence' after the conflict. The result of this discussion was the idea that the US must quickly gear-up militarily through private industry and harden itself diplomatically by developing:

'...an integrated policy to achieve military and economic supremacy for the United States.'


In other words, if the US was to enter the war at all, it was only to be on the scene strictly in order to claim (and keep) the spoils once the fighting was over. And that is exactly what happened. As Albert Speer mentioned in his book, 'Inside the Third Reich' (1970) the moment American generals made their way to Berlin, (after communist troops had captured it earlier) they rounded up the bean-counters and made certain that the banks of Germany would be open the very next business day.

And as far as I am concerned, this was the real beginning of the active Cold War between the United States and the Soviet Union.

Besides, if the United States was at all serious about stopping fascism in Europe, (especially when it became clear that the political violence of the 1920's had turned some pretty dark corners) it would have acted to stop it in the mid-1930's when the Spanish Civil War (1936-to-1939) was in full-swing. The US stayed (officially)
neutral – by blocking the Republican side from getting supplies though it stood idle while Hitler's infamous Condor Legion and Mussolini materially supported the fascists and Carlists who were fighting to restore the Spanish monarchy.

They knew the United States would be keenly interested in continuing both projects and the US intelligence community was uniquely concerned about the possibility of a faltering economic system giving an edge to the Greek communist party. As articulated by then Undersecretary of State Dean Acheson in his book, 'Present at the Creation: My Years in the State Department' (1969):

'My distinguished chief, most unusually and unhappily, flubbed his opening statement. In desperation I whispered to him a request to speak. This was my crisis. For a week I had nurtured it. These congressmen had no conception of what challenged them; it was my task to bring it home. Both my superiors, equally perturbed, gave me the floor. Never have I spoken under such a pressing sense that the issue was up to me alone. No time was left for measured appraisal. In the past eighteen months, I said, Soviet pressure on the Straits, on Iran, and on northern Greece had brought the Balkans to the point where a highly possible Soviet breakthrough might open three continents to Soviet penetration. Like apples in a barrel infected by one rotten one, the corruption of Greece would infect Iran and all to the east. It would also carry infection to Africa through Asia Minor and Egypt, and to Europe through Italy and France, already threatened by the strongest domestic Communist parties in Western Europe. The Soviet Union was playing one of the greatest gambles in history at minimal cost. It did not need to win all the possibilities. Even one or two offered immense gains. We and we alone were in a position to break up the play. These were the stakes that British withdrawal from the eastern Mediterranean offered to an eager and ruthless opponent.'

– 'The Decision to Help Greece', (pp. 196-198)

This is what became known as the 'Domino Theory' and this philosophy buttressed President Harry S. Truman when he signed the National Security Act of 1947 and created the Central Intelligence Agency (mostly from the OSS and Nazi intelligence veterans from the Eastern Front); the National Security Council and the Department of Defense. In pursuing the Truman Doctrine of aggressive anti-communism, the United States pitted itself against the entire post-WW2, 'developing world'. Not just the Soviet Union.

His pledge to support – in any way the US saw fit – any nation – that the US deemed by it own criteria – was 'threatened' by the 'diabolical' scourge of Marxism (and socialism) did more to encourage both the perpetuation of right-wing, violence-prone, fascistic politic (such as 'Operation Gladio' and 'Operation Condor') and the reactionary development of populist-backed, leftist totalitarian societies – such as the Republica Socialista România – than any other single factor in 20th century history.

This was combined with the Marshall Plan to reconstruct post-war Germany at the expense of US taxpayers to pay for the Mexican (or Russian) stand-off at Checkpoint Charlie while (English and Spanish speaking) Indigenous, African, Asian and Black Americans were left defenceless against White racist terrorism and institutional discrimination under the watchful eye of American democracy at home.

US planners were well aware that conditions of post-war poverty (and national disgrace in Germany) following WW1 gave nutriment to the growth of political fascism in Europe. They were also aware that
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Stalin and other prominent left-wing theorists had pointed out the threat fascism presented long before the UK and France began fretting publicly.

And Stalin's government had assumed (correctly, after a tense, browbeating 1945 meeting at the White House between President Truman and Russian Foreign Minister Vyacheslav Molotov) that they were still at risk of invasion and occupation (yet again) from the Western European powers and the United States.

In March of 1946, Britain's Churchill delivered his 'Iron Curtain' address ('Sinews of Peace') to an US audience at Westminster College in Missouri and laid down the groundwork of mistrust and political subterfuge that still lasts to this day. He presented, for anyone who would listen, a negative and fanatical Anglophone perspective that would serve to define the political action and ideological divide of the East-West relationship.

Josef Stalin was not a fool. Nor were his adjutants. They were astute enough to bring with them to the negotiations table the experience of their own history. Russians still remember when the US Expeditionary Forces along with Great Britain (including Canada and Australia); France; Czechoslovakia and Japan invaded their country immediately following the February and October Revolutions in The Archangel Campaign.

Stalin made it clear to the west that the USSR might be communist, but that they were Russians first and foremost. And no conscious Russian would be stupid enough to leave their western borders open to the very same nations that have violated it territories several times over in the (recent) past.

East (maybe) Against West (who was always against the) East

*We are fighting to vindicate the principle that small nationalities are not to be crushed in defiance of international good faith at the arbitrary will of a strong and overmastering Power.*

– H. H. Asquith

The other issues that rightfully concerned Soviet planners was the noted imperialist history of the United States and its compulsion for insulting, bad-jacketing and often recklessly killing everything and anyone they feared of being communist (or favourable to it).

In the 'righteous' Christian capitalist struggle to 'contain' left-wing ideologies, it instead encouraged hard, anti-western perspectives; inter-group paranoia and implosive 'bad-jacketing' retaliations. And they knew that Marshal Stalin's government was not going to take a western provocation like this lying down. (See: the 'Yalta Conference')

This school of thought made the grand assumption and haughty decision (for the world) that the United States would be the sole and final arbiter on what sort of governments and which kind of political organisations would be allowed to function, much less exist in the post-WW2 world.

When President Truman addressed the US Congress with this plan to assist 'free peoples' in defending themselves from the USSR, his government was awarded with a 400 million (USD) budget in (primarily)
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military and covert intelligence support to wage a clandestine military conflict against what was essentially, an idea. Communism is a political philosophy. Not a person, place or thing. Yet, a war was waged against it all the same.

Illustration 161: US troops pursuing the 'War on Terror' - Source: http://tinyurl.com/po9o3kw

This was (and still is) a ridiculous plan of political policy and action that does nothing but make a bad situation much, much worse. While the 'War on Poverty' was little more than a media sound-bite, the perpetual 'War on Drugs' and the ever-expanding, 'War on Terror' campaigns, like the Cold War, are very real. So are the numerous, totally innocent victims who in the 21st century no longer receive at least the posthumous dignity of being listed as 'collateral damage'.

These days, if you are unlucky enough to be a non-combatant caught-up in a CIA-piloted, Israeli-made killer drone strafing, you will be listed as a 'terrorist statistic' simply because the US government and military spokespersons steadily insist that no civilians are (ever) harmed in these operations. (See: 'Drone strikes in Pakistan' and 'Out of Sight, Out of Mind: A visualization of drone strikes in Pakistan since 2004' – drones.pitchinteractive.com) Which is a flat-out fib. Innocent people are being killed (and horribly abused) nearly every day by both Islamic terrorists and the international military forces ostensibly charged with ending the violence.

Which raises the salient question of whether or not the WoT is actually working at all, so many years after it first started. Just how many times has the 'Number Two' men in the major terrorist organisations have reportedly been executed, assassinated or marginalised beyond any relevance? Is anyone really sure?
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Living Up to the Appeal of Ambiguous and False Propaganda

*Can a nation be free if it oppresses other nations? It cannot.*

– Vladimir Lenin

I am confidant that the verifiable information and observations I have noted above will help our general understanding of how the capitalist west – in protecting colonialism through support of indigenous right-wing elements – creates negativity within a society and leads to fascist/totalitarian social systems that (can and will) cause further problems down the road.

This is not idle speculation. And there is more than enough public information available to anyone who is truly interested in learning about this not-so-secret history. It isn't hidden. It just isn't talked about for a variety of reasons. Including national embarrassment.

The primary reason for this is denial. Why? Because in truth, this sort of brutish behaviour is supposed to be beneath what is to be expected from a democratic nation or, from members of the United States armed forces and intelligence services. The United Kingdom and the United States are *supposed* to be the ‘better nations’ where the democratic rule of secular, just and egalitarian law trumps gangsterism, partisan favour and xenophobia.

Of course, neither one of these nations has ever lived up to their glorified rhetoric. Either at home, or abroad.

**Beyond Hypocrisy in an Age of True Lies**

Islam starts with the postulate that all religions derive their inspiration from God and they cannot, therefore, be regarded as contradictory. True, there are doctrinal and incidental differences in the methods of worship, but these are traceable to two causes: one, religions came at different periods of history, at different places and were adjusted to local circumstances and needs; two, there were later accretions made by priests and divines to preserve their power and authority. Some wanted to reinforce orthodoxy to guard their ‘special identity’.

– Anwar Abbas

In fact, the conduct carried out by the Capitalist World against the emerging Third World was much worse than what happened under communism because the left-wing was under attack. When left-wing states (especially in the Eastern Bloc) began treating their citizens badly, it was in a reactionary response to subversive UK/US/French/Belgian aggression within their own borders. The same situation can be said for the entire post-revolutionary states of Africa as well as the leftist nations of South America and the Caribbean.

This is far from an apologist argument as it is clearly more of a statement of discernible fact. Furthermore, I am of the mind that the pogroms and intellectual purges of communist Southeast Asia were more concerned with solidifying their undisputed position of political dominance and cohesion (using xenophobia as a tool) than with repelling spy tricks from the west. Which is not to say that western intelligence services were not covertly active in Southeast Asia, because they were. (See: *My War with the CIA*.)

---


Illustration 162: *The Cambodian Killing Fields* - Source: Unknown
But the killing sprees seen in Asia – it would seem – were simply done for the pure sake of wanton murder. Nothing else. There was zero political value in the purges, none. To even remotely justify what happened. (See: 'the Cambodian Civil War) For Vietnam, Cambodia and Laos, the violence was addictive, and power-tripping among the political leadership made murder as easy as urinating first thing in the morning.

The point that I am making here is that the sort of conduct that the post-WW2 world represents is no better (and much worse) than what came before.

And as far as I am concerned, this reality negates most of the wartime propaganda produced in the west which was equally as wrong-headed as the pre-war, anti-African anti-Jewish and anti-Turkish propaganda posters produced by the Nazi Party were. Both systems were interested in creating and maintaining 'pure' White societies by encouraging xenophobia, which automatically reduces their mainstream-aimed material to dangerously provocative levels. Simply by spreading pure, xenophobic nonsense that appeals to fear by ignoring the intellect.

Unfortunately, negative propaganda is widely for one basic reason, it works. The image of the monstrously sinister, broad beamed, almond-eyed Euro-Asian commie with blood dripping from the corners of the mouth was demonstrably false. Russian People(s) – than and now – are no less human than any other group on the planet.

We in the Anglophone west were never presented with an objective view of Russia or its civilian population other than through yoghurt commercials on television, (in the US) or through films based on anti-establishment Russian literature (See: 'Doctor Zhivago' and how both MI6 and CIA used Pasternak's book to help undermine the Soviet Union through internal cultural subversion).

As westerners, what we were taught about the Soviet People was 99.666% false. It was a image that didn't actually exist. The USSR for that matter – another military superpower – had its own internal problems that had nothing at all to do with pressure coming from the west. Russia was being choked at the heart by a far-left, extremist thug with a well-known habit for using brutality (against anyone) to get his political point across.

One does not need to be a card-carrying Trotskyist to accept that Russia's (ethnic Georgian) Josef Stalin was without question, an undeniably very bad man. Who in turn lead a particularly bad (for the Russian People) government.

We can even apply the term 'evil' if we so choose. But he was not the Devil. The Satan does not exist as anything other than a theological metaphor. And it is a common, partisan and intellectually lazy mistake to assume that his form of left-wing politic represents the whole of all Marxist thought.

No philosophy should be allowed to exist within a nutshell. That is illogical. And it is also sensible (and imperative) that we endeavour to correctly identify extremist forms of leftist ideologies as just that, extreme, whenever and wherever they appear. The extreme far-left is just as reactionary and as dangerous (to itself) as the extremes of the far-right is (to itself and) everyone.
Conservatism in political matters is one thing, fascism is something else. Stalin's totalitarian views and political practises were not directly related to orthodox Marxism. Vladimir Lenin, who knew Stalin well since the bad, early days of the struggle in Russia, recognised him as a useful and (at the time) necessary thug that should never be allowed to take power. And he was right.

If and when socialism's adherents begin to go to far off piste from what Karl Marx actually had in mind, it simply isn't Marxism any more. It is something else entirely. And when ultra-conservatives confuse and abuse reasonable conservative ideals by enforcing their perspectives and values upon others through lies, coercion and subterfuge, it isn't conservatism any longer either. It too has become something else from what it originally began as.

The Global North Versus the Global South

'The Group Areas Act defined three races,' [Group Areas Board chairperson] Dr Van Rensburg said – 'white, native and coloured'. All those who fell between white and native were regarded as coloured. But the Act allowed the coloured group to be subdivided into Indian, Chinese, Malays and those commonly known as coloured people. The Malays were regarded as Malays only as long as they lived in their own group area … If they moved into another area, even across the road, they became coloured.'

– Cape Times, (1961)

1955 was a busy year for Egypt. Great Britain had recently removed its occupational forces from its territory and before packing, had organised neighbouring countries – Iran; Iraq; Turkey; Pakistan – under an 'anti-communist' alliance known as the 'Baghdad Pact', or, the 'Central Treaty Organization' (CENTO). Ostensibly, this confederation was used as a Cold War proxy aimed at poking holes in the USSR.

But it was also intended as a counterweight to the new form of progressive pan-Arab nationalism being championed by President Abdel-Nasser. They saw his political stance as a threat to European hegemony over the region. And they were right. And their negative sentiments against him intensified after Nasser travelled to Indonesia to participate in the Afro-Asian Bandung Conference of that year.

At this juncture that I wish to go on (another) tangent, in order to fully illustrate the sort of resistance President Nasser was facing at this time because of his pro-Arab and socialist sympathies and how this external pressure may have effected some of his more questionable political decisions.

And in terms of unanswered questions, there is still the subject of Abdel Nasser's sudden death in September of 1970 while heading an Arab League summit to address the private war between Palestinian Liberation Organisation (PLO) and the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine's (PFLP) with Jordan's pro-western King Hussein.
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According to some sources, (the Church Committee) there is mention in a report by a CIA operative who claimed that he had killed Nasser on orders of 'The Company'. (See: 'Nasser’s son-in-law a double agent?')

Anatomy of a Failed Assassination Plot

It must first be understood that the conference itself was frowned on by the west, primarily due to the fact that European nations were barred from attending what was in essence, a solidarity meeting between revolutionary states that were promoting anti-colonialist/anti-imperialist agendas.

This, was a problem. There was also a noted concern, mostly in the United States and Taiwan, for the possibility that the People's Republic of China would gain positive press and international respect and greater political influence as a result of the meeting.

As Bro. Min. Malcolm X had suggested, 'they were against it if they could not control it'. So when the conference became the centre of international terrorism and covert intrigue, no one who was paying attention to the situation was really surprised. The only questions was, 'who was behind it?'

Declassified: Bandung

The crux of this controversy begins with an Air India charter aircraft, the 'Kashmir Princess' and the mid-air explosion (estimated at 18,000 ft) that brought the flight down on the evening of April 11th during a trip from Hong Kong to Jakarta. Intensive investigation of the incident has uncovered the possible utilisation of (perhaps two) timed, incendiary device(s) which caused structural damage to the aeroplane, resulting in its crashing into the South China Sea.

The charter flight was ferrying delegates and journalists from the People's Republic of China (PRC) and Eastern Bloc as representatives attending the conference. Tragically, all but three people on the aeroplane (members of the flight crew) perished in the tragedy.

The educated speculation is that this was an attempt to assassinate Chinese Premier and Foreign Minister Zhou Enlai who had (unknown to the terrorists) not been on that particular flight. His own travel plans, according to recently declassified internal documents by the PRC, were kept secret to conceal his private negotiations with other anti-imperialist leaders before the conference.

As it turns out, Zhou had not actually left the PRC until he was ready to meet with anti-colonialist revolutionary leaders such as PM Jawaharlal Nehru (India) and U Nu (Burma/Myanmar) in Rangoon before moving on to attend the conference as planned.

The Nehru connection is very important, because it was he who spearheaded the 1947 Asian Relations Conference in India that hosted revolutionary delegations from 28 nations, some of which were still living under occupation at the time. The conference was successful in that it displayed the possibility of regional unity and resistance against the colonialist powers, although social instability in India fuelled by

Illustration 164: Zhou Enlai - Source: http://tinyurl.com/nfy8k8
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the violent partitioning of Pakistan\footnote{www.globalsecurity.org - "India-Pakistan Partition 1947" (http://twitthat.com/20l5r )} and other political and sectarian violence in Kashmir led many to ignore the meeting as an accomplishment. Oddly enough, the same, Air India-designated 'Kashmir Princess' that had been attacked was previously scheduled to depart for Rangoon in order to transport Premier Zhou to the conference in Bandung.

Who would want to kill Zhou Enlai?\footnote{"Why the CIA Tried to Killed Chou En Lai » CounterPunch: Tells the Facts, Names the Names" (http://twitthat.com/3nuum ) - July 9-11, 2005} And for what purpose? Searching for credible information on the various (seemingly limited) investigations of this tragedy was a bit daunting, as much of the data is highly repetitive, speculative and and on the whole, circumstantial.

The Premier had political enemies in and outside of the PRC. And many have speculated that Zhou became aware of the attack though his security detail and decided to allow the flight to continue anyway. Presumably, he did this as a means of fooling the conspirators. Although if this story is true, it means that he was willing to sacrifice the diplomats and journalists to serve as a diversion, which says what about the Premier?

A few investigative analysts have pointed to the possibility of a complex, international conspiracy involving right-wing Asian intelligence agencies and their apparent willingness to resort to political gangsterism. At least, that is what author Wendell L. Minnick, writing for the \href{http://www.fee.org/financial-agenda/FER02032922.html}{Far Eastern Economic Review} has speculated in a shocking report published in July of 1995.

In it, Mr. Minnick cited Steve Tsang, (then of Oxford University) who went public after deconstructing declassified files within British/Hong Kong; Taiwan and US intelligence archives. He came away with the conclusion that operatives of the right-wing \textit{Kuomintang} (KMT) planted the device that downed the Kashmir Princess. Mr. Minnick is also sure to point out that Mr. Tsang maintained that the dreaded Central Intelligence Agency – the much-assumed (and rightly so) villain is such occurrences – was not involved in the attack. Minnick however kept asking questions about what really happened. And his illuminating report lays out what could be ascertained from the publicly available background issues behind the drama. As he writes:

\begin{quote}
– Rumours of CIA and KMT involvement surfaced immediately. \textit{The day after the crash, China's Foreign Ministry issued a statement that described the bombing as 'a murder by the special service organizations of the United States and Chiang Kai-shek,' the head of the KMT government. Zhou was a constant irritant to the CIA and KMT during this time. The \textit{CIA’s covert war with China was in full swing during the 1950’s}. The agency created several front organizations to deal with Communist expansionism in Asia. These included the Asia Foundation, \textit{Civil Air Transport} (later renamed \textit{Air America}) and the China Quarterly. Many in the West saw the Bandung conference as a gathering of communists and pro-communists. The CIA believed that China planned to use the conference to boost its image as a world power. In response, the agency sent agents posing as journalists to cover the conference. Some CIA officers may have had other ideas. Eleven years later, a U.S. Senate committee investigating CIA operations heard testimony that gave murky details of a CIA plot to assassinate an 'East Asian leader' attending a 1955 Asian conference. The leader's identity remained under wraps for another 11 years. In 1977, William Corson, a retired U.S. Marine Corps intelligence officer who served in Asia, published 'Arms of Ignorance,' identifying him as Zhou Enlai. Corson told the Review that Gen. Lucian Truscott had brought the operation to a halt. Soon after his appointment as the CIA's deputy director in 1954, Truscott discovered that the CIA was planning to assassinate Zhou. During the final banquet in Bandung, a CIA agent would slip a poison into Zhou's rice bowl that would not take effect for 48 hours, allowing for Zhou's return to China. According to Corson, Truscott confronted CIA Director \textit{Allen Dulles}, forcing him to terminate the operation.}  
\end{quote}

\begin{center}
\textit{– (emphasis mine)}
\end{center}

\footnote{La Times, 'CIA Gave Aid to Tibetan Exiles in '60s, Files Show' - September 15, 1998|JIM MANN | TIMES STAFF WRITER [http://is.gd/9fTwsB]}
There is at least one other (often) cited source, another investigative journalist Joseph Trento, who also reported on the poisoning attempt against Zhou and alleged that the ‘bowl of rice poisoned with a slow-acting toxin’ plan was actually tried but failed to work. This failure was much like the failed, James Bond-style exotic plots levied against Cuba’s Dr. Castro by the CIA and dissident, right-wing anti-Castro extremists. (See: Anthony Boadle, ‘Closest CIA bid to kill Castro was poisoned drink’, Reuters - 07.05,2007)

US Author William Blum has also alluded to a possible plot against the conference from FOIA data culled from the United States Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities, or ‘Church Committee’

In 1975, the Senate committee which was investigating the CIA heard testimony that Agency officers stationed in an East Asian country had suggested that an East Asian leader be assassinated “to disrupt an impending Communist [sic] Conference in 1955”. (In all likelihood, the leader referred to was either Sukarno or Chou En-lai of China.) But, said the committee, cooler heads prevailed at CIA headquarters in Washington and the suggestion was firmly rejected.


This is a chapter from Killing Hope: U.S. Military and CIA Interventions Since World War II by William Blum.

Returning to Mr. Minnick’s piece, he proceeds to go even further into the case by detailing who may (actually) have been directly responsible for the attempts:

On May 26, an Indonesian board of inquiry announced that a time bomb with an American-made MK-7 detonator was responsible for the crash. The revelation triggered political shock waves in Hong Kong. The governor, Sir Alexander Grantham, had already announced that his office was satisfied no tampering had occurred in Hong Kong. The Hong Kong authorities offered HK$100,000 for information leading to the arrest of those responsible. They questioned 71 people connected with the servicing of the Air-India flight. When police began to focus on Chow, he stowed away aboard a CIA-owned Civil Air Transport aircraft on a flight to Taiwan. The Hong Kong police concluded that the KMT had recruited Chow to plant the bomb. Apparently, he had bragged to friends about placing it aboard the airliner. He had also spent large sums of money before he left Hong Kong. Police tried to extradite Chow; Taiwan refused to acknowledge him as a KMT agent. But the story does not end with Chow’s escape. On October 24, 1967, the Soviet newspaper Pravda announced the defection of an American, John Discoe Smith. In his memoirs, entitled ‘I Was an Agent of the CIA’ published in Literaturnaya Gazeta that year, Smith detailed his adventures as an agent -- including his delivery of a time bomb to a Chinese Nationalist agent. He says that in 1955, Jack Curran, a CIA officer attached to the U.S. Embassy in New Delhi, asked him to deliver a bag to a Wang Feng at the Maidens Hotel in the Indian capital. Smith claimed it was a bomb, the one used to destroy the Air-India plane. According to a 1979 classified U.S. Senate International Operations report, the KMT planned another assassination of Zhou Enlai in 1971, this time using a trained "kamikaze dog wearing a remote-controlled bomb." The plot, the report says, was as bizarre as it was elaborate
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Wendell L. Minnick, 'Target: Zhou Enlai: Was America’s CIA working with Taiwan agents to kill Chinese premier?' (1995) — (emphasis mine)

The startling information Mr. Minnick provides in his report is supplemented by John D. Smith's personal history swimming within the muddy waters of the intelligence business. His involvement with government work started in 1950 as a communications officer, which lead to a later posting in India as a resident CIA operator (1954-1959). For his own reasons, Mr. Smith left the agency in 1960 and soon defected to the Soviet Union where he began to jot down his memoirs.

Many of these papers were published as official communist party propaganda and possibly, exploited as 'Black Propaganda' material against US intelligence interests in South Asia. Prominent among these writings was the collection published as 'I Was an Agent of the CIA' for the benefit of the Communist Party of India.1365

In the book, Smith openly discusses his clandestine service in India and how he and his wife (another CIA operative) ran a number of sensitive operations, working alongside other, (allied) intelligence organisations. In 1955, Smith claims that he was asked by his spouse's controller to deliver a parcel to a KMT man in New Delhi. He goes on to reveal that his wife, confessing sometime later about his involvement, disclosed to him that the package he had delivered to the agent was in fact, the device(s) that damaged the Kashmir Princess.

Another journalist, Darrell Garwood, a former Pentagon correspondent for UPI, also mentions the Zhou assassination attempt in his book, 'Under Cover: Thirty-Five Years of CIA Deception', (1985). In it, he admits that the evidence of US involvement in the scheme is indeed circumstantial, but also correctly points out that the agency would most certainly have considered offering (or strong-arming their) help to right-wing Chinese nationalists of the ROC given their history of attempting such actions in the past,1366 (then) present and foreseeable future.1367 He too cites CIA operative John D. Smith as a source and his claim to having delivered the instrument(s) that downed the Kashmir Princess to the KMT.1368

What is telling about this situation (and others) is the dogged persistence of the plotters. Although the plot(s) failed to liquidate Premier Zhou, (the intended target) those behind the original plan pressed on. They continued their attempts to frustrate and stop Zhou Enlai as much as possible. And I raise the attempts on the life of the PRC premier because it is now known that similar plans were being hatched against President Nasser during the same period and perhaps, by some of the very same jackals who were also after Zhou.

Eastern Fascists Versus Western Fascists

*There is, let me assure you, nothing in nature more egocentrical than the embattled democracy. It soon becomes the victim of its own war propaganda. It then tends to attach to its own cause an absolute value which distorts everything else. Its enemy becomes the embodiment of all evil. Its own side, on the other hand, is the center of all virtue. The contest comes to be viewed as having a final, apocalyptic quality. If we lose, all is lost; life will no longer be worth living; there will be nothing to be salvaged. If we win, then everything will be possible; all our problems will become soluble; the one great source of evil--our enemy--will have been crushed; the forces of good will then sweep forward unimpeded; all worthy aspirations will be satisfied.*

— George F. Kennan, 'From Russia and the West under Lenin' (1960)
If I spent quite a bit of space going over the situation in Egypt, it is because it seems (to me) that the crisis the western powers saw in Nasser's rise encouraged their support for fascism in the region as a means of thwarting the influence of the Soviet Union. And while the connection between the Arab and European far-right began as early as WW1, the perception that Arab fascists could be controlled by their secret handlers was completely xenophobic.

And although the infamous Grand Mufti Haj Amin al-Husseini might raise the back-hairs of most people today for his working association with the Germans and Croats in the Balkans, he wasn't alone. The original Young Egypt Party ('Green Shirts') copied the Hitler Youth as closely as they could and the Syrian Social Nationalist Party (SSNP) too reeked of unapologetic, European-styled fascism.

Although its acknowledged founder Antoun Saadeh staunchly denied this. This may be true, but it is clear that Arab fascism – as it exists today – is not indigenous. It came from the outside.

Far-Right Varietals and Loony Ideas Found Across the Globe

Forget about Germany's Hitler for a moment. Because historically, this ignores the Muslim fascists of Eastern Europe such as the Ustaše of the Independent State of Croatia, (Nezavisna Država Hrvatska) and the 13.SS-Waffen-Gebirgs-Division der SS 'Handschar' (Croat No. 1) which fought for the German Reich during WW2. Many far-right/fascist Zionists, especially those who are pathologically and painfully hateful of any Arab, (anywhere) enjoy pointing out the sordid fact that many of those European Muslims who fought on the side of the Axis Powers were recruited by the Grand Mufti of Palestine, Haj Amin al-Husseini.1369

The highlight of this criticism is his well-known bent for anti-Jewish racism, (which is accurate). But this is without any examination as to what may have triggered his deep-seated resentment as a native Palestinian to begin with. Namely, the rapidly expanding European immigrant population and its active displacement, with the help of the British government, of the native Palestinian Population.

I do not present this argument as an excuse. Far from it. Xenophobia is always wrong, no matter the situation. No one is denying that. What I am referring to here is a cause. Without that to help us base an analysis, opinion(s) mean absolutely nothing.

Knowledge of the facts – all of the facts – is the key to understanding any issue. Including fascism. And one would think that after WW2 the subject of fascism and its inherent problems would be a topic of discussion. It isn't. And there are multi-layered reasons for why this state-of-affairs exists as it does and why it is allowed to perpetuate (and infiltrate) sociopolitically positive elements of human progressivism.

And it is imperative that we do this objectively. As an Indigenist (Indigenismo) political analyst, I can objectively relate the Treaty of Versailles and Article 231 (the War Guilt clause) to the social discontent that lead to the rise of the NSDAP in Germany during the 1930's. This does not mean that I, in any way, endorse or excuse what Hitler, his henchmen or his willing, fellow Germans did to their victims – all of their victims – because of the social impairment that was done to the common German citizen by the severity of the Allied-drawn treaty. I am simply connecting the facts of the issue to ascertain how it could happen. Simply hating the Nazis isn't enough. One must understand what happened to prevent it from happening again.
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The argument I am making here, like Marx, is that social events – good or bad – happen as a result of historical and class-conflict reasons. This includes fascist movements as well. Providence, if it even exists at all, has nothing to do with how humans decide to think; work; play or prey upon one another. And fascists, just like pacifists, simply do not arise from the ether. Environmental conditions shape how humans view the world around them. Fascists (and most other ultra-conservatives) are shaped by their fear of the dark; difference of opinion and other childish anxieties fit for a revisionist-edition of the Grimm Brother's fairy tales.

It is important for all of us to remember that people create societies based upon their own personal experiences; idiosyncrasies; desires; hatreds and hidden insecurities. It is the concept of civil discipline – the understanding that the needs of others besides yourself (and your group) that must be taken into account. This is what gives form, meaning and collective dignity to a group, be it ethnic, cultural or national, (i.e., 'tribal'). And it does much to give purpose to the individual as well as a place within his/her society.

This is why, historically speaking, I agree with both the wartime German foreign minister, Count Ulrich von Brockdorff-Rantzau and the philosopher Karl 'Max' Weber (both were present at the 1919 Paris Peace Conference) who strongly suggested that the terms of the post-war agreement were unduly harsh and (incorrectly) laid blame for the entirety of the continental conflict the feet of the German state.

**Intra-European Xenophobia and Tribalism**

"The Ustasha units have carried out their atrocities not only against [Eastern] Orthodox males of military age, but in particular in the most bestial fashion, against unarmed old men, women and children . . . innumerable Orthodox have fled to rump Serbia, and their reports have roused the Serbian population to great indignation."

– To the Editor, 'World War II Serb Holocaust No Fiction' - (May 12, 1994)

Was Germany innocent before and during WW1? No. Were they the main cause of the war? No. Were they blamed for the conflict after it was all over? Just about. Technically, this just isn't true. It was the Austro-
Hungarian Empire that actually pursued the conflict in a contest over the domination of the Balkans. And Tsarist Russia certainly did made thing things that much worse.

Diplomatically, Germany was treaty-bound to support the Austro-Hungarians and honoured that agreement to their own detriment. And while the average German citizen who supported the conflict felt justified for entering the war, (such as a young Adolf Hitler who was photographed cheering the war declaration) the bleak austerity of the treaty itself totally destroyed their Teutonic ego, which was much worse than losing to the actual war itself.

Germans survived on boiled boot-leather and sat, starved, in dark, candlelit rooms burning their furniture for warmth and light in the winter. Their major cities lay in ruin, divided amongst the victors and colonial troops (many from the Sudan) were policing their streets. After the hostilities and overt animosities subsided, things went back to normal, but some within the population were not willing to let things go.

It was this loose confederation of angry, disheartened and traditionally xenophobic Germans who supported – and allowed – the Nazis to come to power in the 1930’s by way of a popular vote within a democratic process. Within a year, they lost everything generations of German and immigrant workers had struggled to build since the final unification of the country.

They believed in Adolf Hitler because he promised to put a 'chicken in every German pot' within a year and did so. Sadly, they were not concerned about the nefarious means by which he managed to keep his word. They saw the ugly anti-Jewish news articles and their children were reading The Poisonous Mushroom at school. They said nothing. They watched their Jewish, Turkish and Gay and Lesbian friends taken away, never to be seen again. And still, they asked no questions. They were eating and progressing and waging war in Europe and North Africa at the expense of the civil; political and human rights of other people(s) for their own benefit.

And they could live with that. Just as the Catholic Church and its believers had done during the Reconquista, The Crusades and the expansion of Europe into the Américas. (See: Doctrine of Discovery) Between the Catholic Church and the landed gentry of Europe, there wasn't and isn't much that they haven't looted, corrupted or shed blood for in the name of material greed and power. And each respective nation involved glorifies their national histories of plunder as 'civilisation on the march'.

A Globalist Clockwork Orange

I see the very same situation happening within the Arab World at home and abroad. After generations of racist, foreign domination and the open support of their native oppressors, like most conservative, far-right movements, religious Arab nationalists have turned inward, seeking answers and solace within their own Arabic culture(s) to alter their depressive circumstances. By doing this, they believe, they can turn the tide towards their favour through the use of faith and force in all things. And this 'faith in force' is in turn, reinforced through total immersion into one's religion at the exclusion of all other things – in the belief – that discipline will 'fix' either the 'situation' itself, or themselves personally.

They believe, that in associating with only like-minded folk, (with the same, direction-less anger) and in only reading, listening and surrounding themselves with the 'correct' things they will, in time, become stronger than their fears. And that this in turn will improve them as individuals and will prepare them for the things that interest them. Such as US and Canadian White Supremacists who train with weights; study Asian martial arts; stockpile military-grade firearms and memorise passes from the King James version of the Christian Bible do to steel themselves for the coming 'Race War' they hope to start in order to 'take it back'.

---


1371 The Poisonous Mushroom http://ow.ly/Kbk0u - the German Propaganda Archive (http://research.calvin.edu/)

In truth, they are irrationally desperate and in reality actually hope (not believe) that the use of psychosocial and physical terror will frighten the weak (really the defenceless) among their people to ‘help’ them achieve their goals. The hidden reality is that they think this way because they, themselves, are cowards. They are afraid of the present and they live in dire dread of the future. And because they are afraid, they wish for the world to ‘stop’ and cycle backwards.

They wish to regress human society back toward a period within their nation's history in which they 'think' things were 'perfect'. Or lacking that, they will endeavour to re-build their own respective societies in alignment with the narrow wishes of those who own the gold and the grid. History has only recorded what

Mao Zedong had concisely articulated, whomever is holding the biggest sword, gun or war-drone makes the rules.

Right or wrong are merely arguments articulating an expression of perspective. Justified 'Collateral Murder' will continue because the hoodlums committing these crimes are violent goons. Period. And like a school (or prison) yard bully or a lawyer on retainer for Monsanto, fear is the name of the game. As John Saward put it, writing for Vice with his analysis of the contradictory mental environment of retired boxer Mike Tyson:

'Mike Tyson thought these things before he entered the ring: 'I'm scared to death. I’m totally afraid. I’m afraid of everything. I’m a God' He feels both above everything and beneath everything. There is just a need to destroy. It is the blueprints underlying everything we do and feel – charming a girl, getting a job, intimidating a brutish man who snarls at you.'

– 'Look on Mike Tyson, Ye Mighty, and Despair', (09.16.2013)

The illegal and unnecessary military invasions and occupations of Afghanistan and Iraq are never mentioned in the national discourse. Ever. And the immense, long-lasting harm undisciplined mercenaries have caused to Arab civilians and the damage they continue to commit is ignored. When has the American mainstream media ever discussed the massive worldwide economic disorder brought about by the Bush-Cheney administration as an republican failure because of the sub-prime mortgage swindle that they promoted? Never. The American right-wing had a big laugh lambasting the Rev. Jeremiah Wright for telling the terrible truth about US history while they praised Ronald Reagan for propagating the majestic lie that White Americans have always supported blind justice.

Fascism in a Black and/or Brown-Skinned Mask is Still Fascism

'If you want a vision of the future, imagine a boot stamping on a human face - forever.'

– George Orwell, 1984

In many ways, 'liberal' or left-wing Zionists (like many Anglophone progressives) have always been much more of a problem, in many ways, than their Revisionist or far-right opposite numbers. And please don't misunderstand me, I do give full credit to many socialist and communist Jews living within the Jewish State in the Levant, as they flatly call things as they are.

And more than a few have worked, hard, to fight for real justice in Occupied Palestine. On the other hand, some political progressives in the United States speak a great deal of an 'equitable peace' between the two.
peoples in the 'Holy Land', provided that they can retain the 'right' to ask Arabs not to be Arabs.1373

The fundamental difference between these perspectives is thus: students of Karl Marx deny race scientifically and, on principle, do not apply it socially, (although this ideal has been violated quite frequently) while progressives tacitly accept the racial hierarchies of the extant society and in effect, perpetuate them as invisible divisions.

This is (of course) confusing to someone who is not very familiar, by chance or by wilful ignorance, of the history of the Arab nations and/or how the Anglophone World has interacted with it throughout recorded history. And the media organisation, FOX News, jointly owned by Rupert Murdoch and his Saudi Arabian partner, Prince al-Waleed bin Talal, (he is the former number two major shareholder1374 in the pro-Pax Americana; anti-Arab; anti-Muslim News Corp)1375 have done much to encourage this gross misunderstanding..

This, despite the fact that we now have very credible evidence alleging that along with Prince Turki al-Faisal, (former Saudi intelligence director) and Bush family insider Prince Bandar 'Bush' Bin Sultan, (a longtime Saudi ambassador to the US and another former head of Saudi intelligence) the Prince, al-Waleed bin Talal have been donating some of their petroleum profits directly into the pockets of international terrorist organisations such as Osama bin-Laden's Al Qaeda.

And that's just what is commonly known to the general public. There is much, much more to this story though than the above. Apparently, some other members of the bin-Laden clan have been involved in acts of terrorist violence. Long before Osama bin-Laden became known. And their actions were limited to influencing policies (only) within the Arab and Muslim Worlds. Not against the powers of the west.

At least one of Osama's many brothers, Mahrous bin-Laden, took part in the infamous November 1979 raid and occupation of the Al-Masjid al-Haram – 'The Grand Mosque' housing the Kaaba in Mecca – by more than 500 fundamentalists (Beit Al-Ikhwan) dedicated to the radical extremist, Mohammed Abdullah al-Qahtani, an Islamic 'end-time' preacher whose followers believed him to be The Mahdi. The attack itself was led by the far-rightist Juhayman al-Otaybi, a former officer of the National Guard (who also sat as al-Qahtani's brother-in-law) and a member of a prominent family who (much like the bin-Laden clan) enjoyed a (very) strong association to the House of Saud since the beginning days of the KSA.

In another (curiously) odd connection, the leading Saudi (Salafi) cleric and lawmaker at that time, the ultraconservative Shaykh Abdul-Aziz Bin Abdullah Bin Baz, who was also a religious instructor of a far-right group known as the Al-Jamaa Al-Salafiya Al-Muhtasiba (The Salafi Group That Commands Right and Forbids Wrong) at the Islamic University was also an instructor to the Grand Mosque militants (also known as Beit Al-Ikhwan).

Is is reported that when Saudi authorities requested a fatwa to condemn the terrorists during the siege, the influence of Sheikh Bin Baz lead to the group being identified as 'al-jamaah al-musallahah' (the armed group) rather than excommunicating them for their sacrilege. Further, Sheikh Bin Baz and his associates defended the terrorists by demanding that the authorities extend an non-violent offer 'to surrender' before taking hard action.

Illustration 168: Mahrous bin Laden (?) - Source: Unknown
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'We are getting into semantics again. If we use words, there is a very grave danger they will be misinterpreted.'

– H. R. Halderman

The shock of the attack disturbed the Muslim world to the core. The fact that armed men would dare to storm the Grand Mosque was bad enough. It was unheard of. But the needless, ultra-violent deaths of more than two hundred believers and the severe wounding of several hundreds of others – on sacred ground – (the most important site in Islam) was mind-numbing. Muslims around the world were outraged and voiced their support for the hostages. The siege went on for more than two weeks and cost the lives of more than a hundred Saudi Army and National Guard troops forced to engage the insurgents in Mecca.

The scope of the attack and forced occupation of the mosque was deemed such a problem, the involvement of foreign troops, either working in an advisory position or on-the-ground, notably Groupe d’Intervention de la Gendarmerie Nationale (France/GIGN) / Special Services Group (Pakistan/SSG) was deemed necessary. The final actions approved and undertaken by the...

The raid took place, oddly enough, during a structural renovation contracted out by the Saudi government to the Saudi Binladin Group (SBG), who also it seems, provided transportation and weapons for the attackers by sneaking the contraband past Mecca's security cordon in SBG company vehicles.

As American author Steve Coll has openly surmised in his important book, 'The Bin Ladens: The Story of a Family and Its Fortune', (2008) the attack was clearly pre-planned, as evidenced by the fact that the radicals, (which included a number of women and children) had subsisted on secretly stored food, water and other necessities during the siege. Obviously, these items were put in place, in preparation for an extended siege. Leaving an important question open: how did the insurgents get the weapons and other materials into the Grand Mosque before the event took place?

Going further, Mr. Coll (along with other observers) asserts that aside from the active participation of Mahrous bin-Laden it is also fair to assume that the weapons and ammunition used in the seizure were acquired from the black-market and (possibly) funnelled through various upper-level National Guard officers known to be sympathetic to their cause. It is also widely speculated, (if not confirmed by this point) that lorries owned by the SBG were used to transport both goods and people into the mosque.

Mr. Coll also references Arab news reports suggesting that actually two bin-Laden family members were involved in the planned attack, namely, Osama bin-Laden, who was accused by the authorities of monitoring audio of police transmissions during the siege. And according to some of these sources, their detention and interrogation because of their connection to the attack was brief. Reportedly, a representative from SBG intervened on their behalf.

Cross and (Secret) Double-Cross

There is much more to this story than is commonly known. And there are other versions of this story that argue Mahrous bin Laden – at that time, a true believer – was detained for a much longer period. But he...
was set free, presumably, because of his family's connections to the Saudi royal family. I have not been able to find any more data of this subject but I suspect that there is a ring of truth to it, if not possibility.

Either way, Mahrous (and Osama) was very fortunate considering that they attacked the Grand Mosque. Perhaps the most grievous insult a practising Muslim could possibly do. Osama continued with his terrorist activities and his brother Mahrous later made amends with the family and blended into the business world without a hitch. He eventually became an administrator for bin Laden enterprises and a member of their board of directors. His co-conspirators did not fare so well.

After a brief series of private hearings, the mosque attackers were condemned to death. The numbers vary, but at least 63 of the terrorists were executed (via decapitation) with the full support of the imam councils and their deaths were broadcast across all state media. The question of how things got so bad as they did in the first place is still an open question:

Inside Job? - The mosque had been seized by about five hundred rebels opposed to the Saudi royal family, led by a militant named Juhayman al-Otaibi. The rebels had apparently used Bin Laden company vehicles to stock ammunition and food in the mosque prior to its seizure, indicating some people at the company were sympathetic to them. According to one account, the two brothers are not held for long; a bin Laden company employee will say the arrest is a mistake as the arresting policemen wrongly think the two brothers are conspirators just because they are monitoring police radio traffic. [Coll, 2008, pp. 225-228]

Illustration 170: Osama bin Laden in Afghanistan 1988 - Source: http://tinyurl.com/pq4n6rq

alleged hijackers were also of Saudi nationality.

And yet, The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia – the home country of the double-dead Islamic terrorist Osama bin-Laden (who either died of natural causes in 2001 or as a result of 'Operation Neptune Spear' in 2011) is nowhere to be found on the United States short-list of nations that sponsor terrorist activities. (state.gov).

And a number of Saudi nationals – including members of the bin-Laden family – were given every courtesy and assistance to leave the United States while all others flights were grounded during the 9/11 Attacks. Which makes absolutely no sense when one considers that the 'official story' of the 9/11 Attacks maintains that the majority of the alleged hijackers were also of Saudi nationality.

And considering the US government's known position on the subject of terrorism and the well-known close, personal connections between the Bush family of the United States and the House of Saud of The KSA, one must ask if George Orwell was right when he opined in his prophetic novel, 1984 (1949) that wars are not waged to end war(s), but in order to maintain them as a 'normal' condition of daily life and (inter)national commerce. Especially after the United States Republican Party recently drafted and sponsored a letter to the Iran hard-liners asking them not to listen or respect the official position of President Barack Obama. A trick that would never be tolerated under their own code of (questionable) ethics.

1379"Report: Bin Laden Already Dead | Fox News" ( http://twitthat.com/V6Hdu ) - Published December 26, 2001FoxNews.com
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The connections between the United States government, its military and intelligence communities with 'rogue' nations, extremist organisations and selected, despotic personalities is well-known and documented by the international press. Speaking of the KSA, their direct ties to organisations that work to spread their austere form of right-wing Islam is not a conspiracy theory. It is a fact. And their direct connections to far-right, extremist terrorist organisations is also not in serious dispute.\(^\text{1383}\)

**Conspiracy Theories and Clandestine International Politics**

'\textit{We have other targets, too,}’ she said. ‘\textit{We don’t just shoot at black males.}’

– Police chief suspends training program after outcry over use of black men’s mugshots for target practice: [Miami Herald / 01.16.2015]

During the 1970’s, oil-rich Arab and African nations gained an immense amount of revenue (and under-the-table kickbacks) from their natural resources after a series of unified, anti-imperialist actions literally starved the petroleum-dependent capitalist world in capitulation. What began as an act of Arab decolonisation enriched the elite classes; angered the west and altered (not changed) the flow of global power.

Led by Libya and its ‘Third International Theory’, the call for Arab nations to nationalise the resources of their own countries was heard and the people who owned the land began to define the prices without asking for a Caucasian to validate the deal. The following abbreviated timeline was culled from Wikipedia [1970–79 world oil market chronology] and emphasised where I think certain events lead to cases of ‘blowback’ against the west:

- **1970:** September 4: - October 9 Libya raises posted prices and increases tax rate from 50 percent to 55 percent. Iran and Kuwait follow in November. Libya nationalizes British Petroleum concession on December 5th 1971.
- **1971:** January 12: Negotiations begin in Tehran between 6 Persian Gulf oil producing countries and 22 oil companies.
- **February 14:** Tehran agreement signed. Companies accept 55 percent tax rate, immediate increase in posted prices, and further successive increases.
- **1972:** March 11: OPEC threatens ‘appropriate sanctions’ against companies that ‘fail to comply with . . . any action taken by a Member Country in accordance with [OPEC] decisions’
- **June 1:** Iraq nationalizes Iraq Petroleum Company’s (IPC) concession owned by British Petroleum, Royal Dutch-Shell, Compagnie Francaise des Petroles, Mobil and Standard Oil of New Jersey (now Exxon). The concessions were valued at over one billion dollars.
- **June 9:** In a show of support for Iraq, OPEC moves to prevent companies whose interests were nationalized in Iraq from increasing production elsewhere; appoints mediators between Iraq and IPC.
- **October 27:** OPEC approves plan providing for 25 percent government ownership of all Western oil interests operating within Kuwait, Qatar, Abu Dhabi and Saudi Arabia beginning on January 1, 1973, and rising to 51 percent by January 1, 1983. (Iraq declines to agree.) Agreements signed on December 21.
- **1973:** January 23: Shah of Iran announces that the 1954 operating agreement between a consortium of oil companies and Iran will not be renewed when it expires in 1979. The consortium was formed in 1954 as a means to settle a dispute between a new ministry in Iran and the Anglo-Iranian Oil Company (AIOC). The consortium included Standard Oil of New Jersey, Standard Oil of California, SOCONY-Vacuum, the Texas Company, Gulf, Royal Dutch-Shell, the Compagnie Francaise de Petroles, and the AIOC.
- **February 28:** Iraq and IPC reach an agreement on compensation for nationalization.
- **March 16:** Shah of Iran and Consortium members agree to nationalize all assets immediately in return for an assured 20-year supply of Iranian oil.
- **October 6:** Beginning of fourth Arab-Israeli War.

---

1383 Does Saudi Arabia Fund Terrorism?, “Middle East Quarterly Spring 2006, pp. 63-64”
October 16: The Gulf Six (Iran, Iraq, Abu Dhabi, Kuwait, Saudi Arabia and Qatar) unilaterally raise the posted price of Saudi Light marker crude 17 percent from $3.12 to $3.65 per barrel and announce production cuts.

October 17: OPEC oil ministers agree to use oil weapon in Arab-Israeli War, mandate cut in exports, and recommend embargo against unfriendly states.

October 19: Saudi Arabia, Libya, and other Arab states proclaim an embargo on oil exports to the United States.

October 23: Arab oil embargo extended to the Netherlands.


February 12–14: Heads of state of Algeria, Egypt, Syria, and Saudi Arabia discuss oil strategy in view of the progress in Arab-Israeli disengagement.

March 18: Arab oil ministers announce the end of the embargo against the United States, all except Libya.

June 1–3: Arab oil ministers decide to end most restrictions on exports of oil to the United States but continue embargo against the Netherlands, Portugal, South Africa, and Rhodesia.

June 13: IMF establishes its "oil facility," a special fund for loans to nations whose balance of payments have been severely affected by high oil prices.

September 6: Saudi Arabia increases its buy-back price from 93 percent to 94.9 percent of posted price.

October-November: Saudi Arabians raise tax rate to 85 percent and royalty rate to 20 percent.

1975: January 13: Business Week publishes Kissinger interview hinting at military action against oil countries in case of "actual strangulation."

April 7–15: Preliminary meeting at Paris on world economic crisis between oil-exporting (Algeria, Saudi Arabia, Iran, Venezuela), oil-importing (European countries, U.S., Japan), and non-oil Third World countries (India, Brazil, Zaire). Talks collapse after nations fail to decide whether agenda should focus on oil/energy issues or have a broader economic scope.

June 13: World Bank establishes its "Third Window," a fund to make loans to countries too rich to qualify for "soft" no-interest loans, but too distressed to afford loans at the prevailing normal lending rates. Action represents significant cooperation between oil-exporting and industrial nations.

September 24: OPEC announces a 15 percent increase in government per barrel revenues as of October 1.

December 9: Iraq completes nationalization by taking over the BP, CFP, and Shell shares of the Basrah Petroleum Company.

1978: January: Student protests against government of Reza Pahlavi, Shah of Iran, begin, touching off a wave of political unrest and violent clashes between police and demonstrators. Throughout the year increasing anti-Shah activities are led by Muslim fundamentalists seeking to establish a Muslim state.

September: Shah puts Iran under military rule. Muslim leader Noori arrested in crackdown of opposition groups.

October: Iranian strikes; departure of foreign technicians. Pipeline fire drops Iraqi production from 600,000 barrels per day (95,000 m3/d) to 300,000 barrels per day (48,000 m3/d).

November: Iranian oil production starts dropping.

1979: January 16: Shah leaves Iran on vacation, never to return. Bakhtiar government established by the Shah to preside until unrest subsides.

January 20: Saudi Arabia announces drastic cut in first-quarter production. 9.5 MMBD ceiling imposed. Although actual cuts never reach announced levels, spot prices of Middle East light crudes rise 36 percent.

January 20: 1 million Iranians march in Teheran in a show of support for the exiled Ayatollah Khomeini, fundamental Muslim leader.

February 12: Bakhtiar resigns as prime minister of Iran after losing support of the military.

May: DOE announces $5 per barrel entitlement to importers of heating oil. Saudi Arabia announces
intention to increase direct sales and to sell less through Aramco. Both announcements send prices higher.

- June 1: Phased oil price decontrol begins. Involves gradual 28 month increase of "old" oil price ceilings, and slower rate of increase of "new" oil price ceilings.
- Oct: Canada eliminates light crude oil exports to U.S. refiners, except for those exports required by operational constraints of pipelines.
- November 4: Iran takes western hostages.
- November 12: Carter orders cessation of Iranian imports to U.S.
- November 15: Iran cancels all contracts with U.S. oil companies.
- December 13: Saudi Arabia raises marker crude price to $24 per barrel.

Considering that Henry Kissinger was openly speculating about sending the US military to attack private civilians and force oil-rich nations to relinquish their black gold to the US economy, I think, pretty much sums up the situation. Petroleum is so important – not just to the industrial system, but to the capitalist enterprise as a whole – it simply cannot be allowed to not continue.

International terrorist-for-hire 'Carlos the Jackal' and his Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine (PFLP) sponsors knew this as well. This is why they sent him to attack specific Arab nations when he and his commandos raided OPEC in 1975. The PFLP was later angered by his refusal to carry out the planned executions of the Iranian finance minister, Jamshid Amuzgar and Ahmed Zaki Yamani the oil minister of Saudi Arabia and his decision to accept a ransom instead. Carlos became persona non grata within the communist and socialist worlds, although he was protected (and hired) by many governments sympathetic to his antagonistic stance against the capitalist system.

Although the plan went awry in several areas, (due to poor planning and odd circumstance) the brazenness of the act exposed the weaknesses of the political situation. The western powers lead by the United States were forced to adjust to the changing circumstances without resorting to open conflict. Not because they could not have 'taken' entire oil-producing nations by force of arms, but because it was much easier and cheaper to support Arab theocracies; dictatorships and monarchies than to maintain suppression of them.

This vast amount of constantly-flowing western money, in many ways, helped to supply the expendable capital the Saudi Imams plan to spread Wahhabi-style Islamic sociopolitics from the Levant to the Maghreb and the rest of the Arabic World. And no one doubts this. And the western-enabled, over-inflated price of petroleum offered Saudi Arabia the expendable income (and a troubled political climate) with which they could pursue their theocratic system of fundamentalist governance. By any means necessary.

This would include funding right-wing Islamic organisations that wish to impose their own extremist forms of the religion. In 2003, US News and World Report that from 1975 to 2002 the KSA donated more than $70 billion towards international charity and assistance around the world. Further, they reported that many of these funds go to supporting religious schools; mosques and important Imams that were favourable to Wahhabi fundamentalism. 1384 And many, if not all of these institutions, freely distribute Wahhabist literature promoting the minority sect's extremist, far-right, pro-Takfirist teachings. 1385 1386 Such as the concept of interpreting the idea of revisionist jihad as an excuse – if not duty – for 'correct thinking', right-wing
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Muslims, thereby actively encouraging anti-modernist, religious terrorism and Arab fascist reactionism. Especially within the confines of the Arabic-speaking World.

**The Arabian Far-Right Takes Shape (Again)**

Arab fascism is a reality. But it takes two differing forms and this is important to recognise. Religious Arab far-rightism is typified by the rhetorical, fundamentalist hatred of the Al-Qaeda organisation and the rogue, anti-Islamic bandits that run the Islamic State in the Levant (ISIS). Politically-based Arab fascism is clearly identified with the more secular, ethnic nationalism found in states such as Syria and Iraq (both are/were Ba'athist) The west's opposition to Saddam Hussein was not because of his facism, but because they simply could not make use of him any longer.

The Grand Mufti's nationalist animus was two-fold: he hated the British for their military occupation of historic Palestine (under the British Mandate) and he hated European Jews (his opinion on native Palestinian Arab Jews is not known) for claiming Jerusalem as their own, despite having themselves been forcibly ejected (they say) by a European empire after a series of armed rebellions, such as the insurrection led by Shimon Bar-Kokhba against the occupying Roman Army. This, after having taken Palestine from its original inhabitants, (if you accept the Bible, the Canaanite Genocide, from Deuteronomy).

**An Atheist or Religious Zionism?**

‘All of you will go with me because I’m a solipsist. I’ve just imagined you. When I go, all will be blank’.

– Gore Vidal

The question of how a non-religious political movement (Zionism founder Theodor Herzl was a staunch atheist) came to settle upon a strictly theological (and mythological) territorial claim has never been asked. And the theological account of how said lands were acquired through a divinely-endorsed genocide is ignored. Instead, the issue is tilted in favour of placing the plight of European, post-WW2 Jews escaping a Christian-sponsored ethnic cleansing at the centre of a discussion about displaced native Palestinians facing Apartheid if not a genocide.

In short, the Palestinians are a culturally and politically defined people of many religions and genetic heritages native to the region while the Jewish State of Israel – a collection of disparate, quasi-accepted, Europeanised Semites – seek a ‘White’ and probably, a culturally and intellectually German (Yekke) nation, at the expense of the native Semitic people(s) already residing there. That’s the story.

Modern Zionist leaders and punters, in the tradition of Herzl, (and as Ze’ev Jabotinsky emotionally reiterated a bit later) contemporary Zionists wish to emphasise their ‘European-ness’ as a badge of civilisation as while they hypocritically co-opt Semitic heritage to qualify their own

---
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displacement of the indigenous Palestinian populace.

Or, more honestly and in other words, the far-right Zionist is not as concerned with Jewish rights or with Jewish survival against ethnic hatred as much as they are interested in using their 'Whiteness' to excuse their robbery of another people's land – and even their identity – simply because they can. And if you are an Arab, native to the region, they will threaten to decapitate you if you do not show the Zionist state the proper, or expected amount of colonialist respect. If you are loyal to the 'White' Jewish state like Walid Shoebat, you get to make a name for yourself bad-jacketing your own people with false, misleading and racist propaganda.

Colonialism, as Sartre has suggested, is genocide in purpose and in practise. Because it is impossible to perform a colonial enterprise without committing genocide. This is the exact argument made by the late Palestinian-American professor Edward Said in his deconstruction of 'Orientalism' and the psychological Ju-Jutsu the colonialist mind must engage in as a prerequisite for justifying the process itself.

Without xenophobia, colonial or imperialist control cannot function. And this is why the greater society that is reflected within the sociopolitical structure must allow for racialism and must be willing to support it – out of the fear that it cannot survive much less sustain itself without it.

The Western-created Europocentric caricature of a short-stature; large-nosed; bearded scimitar-swinging; lecherous oil-baron, (much like the Haitian Zombie) is based upon a technical reality, but that is where the comparisons stop. The Haitian Zombie exists, but not the way in which US-produced cinema has portrayed it. And although the fallacy of these xenophobic memes have been exposed as what they are, westerners still cling to them. Because they want to. And the controlling elements of the Europeanised world do what they can to maintain these fictions in the public's subconscious.

The popular culture(s) of the Anglophone World have framed this narrative in such a way as to reflect European ignorance of Arabic culture and society as certain knowledge. These illusions continue to exist and to inform the modern geopolitical current that allows for brutal dictatorships in the Arab; African; Asian and South American Worlds with full US; UK; AUS; NZ political and military support.

**Extremist Americanism and Islamist Extremism**

'Palestine belongs to the Arabs in the same sense that England belongs to the English or France to the French. It is wrong and inhuman to impose the Jews on the Arabs... Surely it would be a crime against humanity to reduce the proud Arabs so that Palestine can be restored to the Jews partly or wholly as their national home'

– Mahatma Gandhi

There are many sociopolitical conservatives within the Arab World, but very few are aware that most

---

1391Hummus and falafel are already "Israeli." Now they're coming for Palestine's olive oil too | The Electronic Intifada http://ow.ly/Rf167 - Ali Abunimah 16 January 2012
1392Behead Arab-Israelis Opposed to State, Says Foreign Minister http://ow.ly/K8osr - BY JACK MOORE 3/9/15 AT 10:10 AM
1394"Walid Shoebat Warns Radical Islam Leads To Gay Rights And Cannibalism" | Right Wing Watch ( http://twitthat.com/9WooN ) - Submitted by Miranda Blue on Tuesday, 3/3/2015 3:38 pm
extremists in the Islamic World are theological adherents, of one form or another, of the fundamentalist teachings of Muhammad ibn Abd al-Wahhab, an Islamic scholar and co-founder of the early, mediaeval-era Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

While some, (such as those within the KSA) openly identify as muwahhid, other extremist groups, such as Al-Qaeda (personified by Osama bin Laden, a Saudi national) and the 'new' Islamic State (ISIS) terrorist organisation are clearly inspired by Ibn 'Abd al-Wahhab's reductionist belief that all modifications observed within the Islamic faith – as (they believe) it was established by the Prophet Muhammad (PBUH) – are blasphemous and deserving of destruction by 'true believers' of the religion.

This is (I think) an important point. Because the teachings of Ibn 'Abd al-Wahhab, like most thinkers, represent the ideas of those who had taught him, such as the ultra-conservative Imam Muhammad Hayyat ibn Ibraaheem al-Sindi, a cleric who advocated a severe form of orthodoxy and a destructive, if not retrograde sense of religious extremism.

He was opposed to any practice taken to be a corruption of The Prophet's original message. Which he believed portrayed a fierce monotheism and a complete rejection of pre-Islamic, polytheist beliefs. The list of (suspected) blasphemous offences is long. And it challenges most of what is regarded as 'mainstream' Islam. Such as the veneration of natural objects; graves; mosques and the ritual killing of animals for sacrifices. These practises are deemed unorthodox and akin to pagan worship. The entire movement is against anything that has to do with the modern world. Wahhabists view secularism as the real extremism, and believe that only through terrorist violence can Allah's word be actualised on Earth. An argument that in many ways, factually negates the supernatural powers believers attribute to such a deity (in the first place).

But don't tell them that.

Defending Their Ideological and Economic Realms with Force

'Battle is the most magnificent competition in which a human being can indulge. It brings out all that is best; it removes all that is base. All men are afraid in battle. The coward is the one who lets his fear overcome his sense of duty. Duty is the essence of manhood.'

– George S. Patton

Although they all strongly disagree with each other, nearly all Muslim extremists agree on one thing, the west should leave their regions alone politically and militarily. The shared unifying opinion is that that they (Arabs) deserve to be a free demographic, independent of the United States and Europe. And just as in the
case of Europe, these confusions are read by the misguided; the religiously deluded and the psychologically unstable as a note of permission to engage in violence against those who are weaker than they are. These are bullies, small-minded; paranoid; petty little individuals seeking defenceless outlets for their instinctive aggressions.

This is precisely the sort of certifiable paranoia that ideologically inspired the first White Supremacist propaganda film, 'Birth of a Nation', (The Clansman). President Woodrow Wilson's favourite example of 'honesty' in American cinema. It is also what perpetuates the White Genocide myth within Africa, where Caucasians are indeed a distinct minority, but one which is collectively in effective control over all of the political; economic and in the end, military power that exists on the continent.

The establishment of USAFRICOM (The United States Africa Command) and the Combined Joint Task Force – Horn of Africa (CJTF-HOA) prove this out. They say that their sworn mission is to, 'fight terrorism' and to provide support to commerce in a rather vague manner that seems pretty wide open to colonial and/or imperial interpretation. And although it started under the Bush administration, the Obama government has really used it, on the ground, all across the African continent.

Even the United States FBI (which is supposed to limit its activities to domestic security and federal law enforcement) and the (very abusive) New York City Police Department are more involved in what is happening in Africa instead of chasing after White Supremacist terrorists on the rampage at home. (See: 'ADL: Selected White Supremacist Criminal Incidents, 2009-2012 http://ow.ly/JHE5D') But according to his detractors, Mr. Obama is pro-African. How so?

Is the Obama Administration anti-capitalist? There is absolutely no evidence of this. None. How could he possibly be anti-capitalist? He managed to save the ownership-class from itself, the automotive industry from collapse and allowed Wall Street to keep their ill gotten gains from the sub-prime housing scam. Not exactly the actions of a committed communist. Is it? And the banking community even got its money back while keeping the capitalist system intact. The mostly Black and Brown people who were exploited like low-hanging fruit were never compensated for their losses.

Mr. Barack Obama is not – and never was – an African American who has lived a truly 'Black Experience' in the United States. He is an 'international Black Man' who was raised overseas and primarily in White neighbourhoods and social environments when he returned to the US mainland. Meaning: he has a level of personal experience with the global community that many people born and raised in the United States, (especially ethnic minorities) do not get.
And unlike many of his right-wing and far-right critics, he knows that the world is round. And as the head of the only superpower left after the end of the official Cold War, he also knows that there is very little that can be done to reverse the process(es) begun by the corporatist-class to re-arm the US (for profit's sake) after WW2. And frankly, he isn't trying either.

That unnecessary conflict resulted in the United States pursuing a needless military option for involvement in Korea and the loss of men in battle (estimated at 2 million) against reactionary Communist Chinese troops supported (overtly) by the Soviet Union. All for the sake of US war-profiteering and global bullying preparedness. Nothing else.

Anglo-America's Secret White Supremacist Problem

'Heretofore this country has only had two throttle settings one, wide-open for war, and the other, tight-shut for peace. What we are really trying to do is to find a cruising speed.'

– Robert A. Lovett

The Klan may have been the first of the US White Power organisations to use racial terrorism White Supremacist cabals in the United States, such as 'The Order'; (or, 'Brüder Schweigen') the National Alliance and the 'White Aryan Resistance' (WAR) were (and are) pretty much allowed to say and do whatever they want. Knowing full-well that their calls to racial separatism and an armed defence against the mythical 'White Genocide' will eventually lead to acts of violence against minorities, immigrants and Caucasians they deem as, 'traitors to the race'.

In truth, these people are mentally-deranged, hate-driven xenophobes using the neoconservative Tea Party and the National Rifle Association (NRA) as a cover for various far-right agendas. (See: 'Obama Says Racism Is Part Of Tea Party Protests', News One - Mar 3, 2010 - by Casey Gane-McCalla) This is not the Grand Old Party (GOP) of Dwight Eisenhower. And he would have called-out these misguided nebbishes as the un-American, anti-Constitutionalist, historical revisionists that they really are.

Today's conservatives (regardless of ethnicity/race) spend more time and energy 'Whitesplaining' (even if they are non-European) than they do clarifying, in honest terms, why their polices are the 'better' ideas. Instead, they bully and berate anyone who challenges them on their hypocrisy. And their embarrassingly childish hissy-fit
fits against credible evidence of their utter failure to abide by their own vociferous rhetoric only acts to validate the criticism(s) levied against them.\textsuperscript{1420, 1421}

This is why I contend that Black extremist perspectives (as we have come to understand them) are a direct, end-result of European racialism and the deep-paranoia many conservative White People harbour about maintaining a European-positive, international status quo.

Arguments that the 'backwardness' of Africans, Asians, Jews and Indigenous Peoples are the root cause of White racism itself, because of the undue 'burden' placed upon Europeans to 'maintain order' in a world of darkness, is patently ridiculous. But I think it really says something about a society in which avowed conservatives in the 21\textsuperscript{st} century can advocate in favour of values that were mediaeval in the 10\textsuperscript{th} century and still be taken seriously when they accuse anti-racism activists of White Genocide.\textsuperscript{1422}

This is retrograde thinking. It isn't positive and it certainly isn't progressive. And this why I raised UNIA as an example. Because without a doubt, Master Garvey created and lead the greatest African Diaspora unity movement in recorded human history. Because of this, he was targeted by US federal authorities as a subversive, although he, nor his cultural organisation, at any time, threatened to use violence against the American government.

Some UNIA supporters did, however, offer to serve (and many did) in the Abraham Lincoln Brigade\textsuperscript{1423} (ALB) in the struggle against fascism during the Spanish Civil War on principle.\textsuperscript{1424} (1936-1939) However, they were not allowed to leave the US to fight against Mussolini's invasion of Ethiopia. And it doesn't take the possession of an earned university degree in history to understand why these cases were treated so differently.

\textbf{Would an Afro-Fascist Reich Make Any Sense?}

'So I said to the members of the press, 'Why won't you go and look into what we are saying about the threats on Reverend Jackson's life?' Here the Jews don't like Farrakhan and so they call me 'Hitler'. Well that's a good name. Hitler was a very great man. He wasn't great for me as a Black man but he was a great German and he rose Germany up from the ashes of her defeat by the united force of all of Europe and America after the First World War. Yet Hitler took Germany from the ashes and rose her up and made her the greatest fighting machine of the twentieth century, brothers and sisters, and even though Europe and America had deciphered the code that Hitler was using to speak to his chiefs of staff, they still had trouble defeating Hitler even after knowing his plans in advance. Now I'm not proud of Hitler's evil toward Jewish people, but that's a matter of record. He rose Germany up from nothing. Well, in a sense you could say there is a similarity in that we are rising our people up from nothing, but don't compare me with your wicked killers.'

\textemdash \textbf{Min. Louis Farrakhan}

Fascism is bad. So it stands to reason that if far-right ideology has been bad news for Europeans, Asians (Japan/China) and South Americans, (Chile/Argentina/Brazil) what makes African conservatives in the US think that fascism \textbf{will work positively for them}? I've personally asked numerous modern (as opposed to
Black Power advocates this question and have yet to receive an answer that makes any logical sense.

In fact, quite a few of these individuals – incensed that I would dare to question, much less critique, any form of Black resistance at all – went so far as to become verbally abusive – and sometimes physically threatening. Again, without being able to articulate, intelligently, why.

As far I am concerned, these individuals are totally ignorant (not stupid) of what it actually is that they claim to be advocating for. And in many, many ways, it really isn't their fault. Africans in the Diaspora are much like captive lions in that, our ancestors were both originally free in the wild until we were placed into zoos and laboratories for profit and study.

As the generations continued in captivity, our own knowledge-of-self, the psychic (if you will) link between an individual and their heritage(s), was broken. 'Freedom' for us then, became being reasonably adjusted to a false environment(s) that is based squarely upon a Eurocentric cultural and xenophobic hegemony.

They were never allowed to learn how to do it on their own. This is true. Poor education, poor living environments and the 'glass ceiling' of poor employment opportunities, as insisted on by White racist attitudes and polices, are what is at fault. In other words, White conservatives themselves are materially responsible for Black under-achievement. And they refuse to accept any responsibility for this.

And frankly, many seem to be so backwards in their thinking processes, that it is quite possible that they refuse to accept this reality simply because they don't comprehend the ways in which their polices work in the real world. As a famous American African many White conservatives like to often (selectively) quote once said:

'Let us be dissatisfied until from every city hall, justice will roll down like waters and righteousness like a mighty stream. Let us be dissatisfied until that day when the lion and the lamb shall lie down together, and every man will sit under his own vine and fig tree and none shall be afraid. Let us be dissatisfied. And men will recognize that out of one blood God made all men to dwell upon the face of the earth. Let us be dissatisfied until that day when nobody will shout “White Power!” — when nobody will shout “Black Power!” — but everybody will talk about God’s power and human power.'

– Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. - Address to the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (1967)

This viewpoint files in the face of some Afrocentric advocates. And for understandable reasons. But it remains to be said that the perspectives of many far-right Black radicals are indeed extreme and indeed fuelled more by pent-up frustration(s) rather than a mature understanding of the deeper issues involved. This is a problem. But there is a reason for this condition that deserves investigation (and compassion) instead of condemnation.

These (angry) Brothers and Sisters empirically represent the extensive, and lasting psychological damage that has been pressed against the backs of multi-generations of African Peoples and communities over the centuries. At home on the Mother Continent and across the entire Afro-Diaspora.

The Black-White Not Equal Justice Paradigm

Further, we are living in an entirely unfair moral universe when Irish and Palestinian extremism can be connected to their respective colonial oppressions and the reactionary attitudes that have developed with the African Diaspora seen as 'proof' of our innate criminality. And yes, there are Africans and other non-Europeans who are so angry that they cannot see straight. But this fact does not demean the character of the
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history behind the self-animosity.

We have all seen the stereotypical: often standing on soapboxes, lobbing insults at every Caucasian and identifiable (orthodox) Jew that happens to be passing by. And they are usually pretty easy to spot – donned in the latest surplus camouflage combat-wear and/or wrapped in Arabian-style robes, clamorously praising the melaninated, genetic merits of the 'Superior Black Man'.

Yet, when asked, many of these same individuals cannot explain – without yelling or otherwise being obtuse – their theories or positions. Without citing false and outdated conspiracy-riddled data, pseudo-history and religious faith. Obviously, there is no logical excuse for such a mentality (or behaviour) within an authentic 'liberation' struggle of any conscious people(s).

And clearly, if African nationalists and other Black resistance movements are going to respond to legitimate questions and critiques they don't like (even from their own people) with KKK-style animosity, they can expect to both alienate moderates favourable to the Black liberation struggle (but not its methods) and potential allies amongst other progressive forces.

These are only some of the many incapacitating sociopolitical factors that are operative within the struggle against colonialism in Africa and across the Black Diaspora. Conservative pan-Africanists (as a rule) have been shown to be more concerned with developing enough force to press their partisan demands than with representing their respective peoples democratically.

And until such subjects are addressed by the African community itself, the much greater question of how to achieve the actual liberation of Africa (and all oppressed peoples) will be lost in the din of macho posturing and empty promises. This is important. Especially since it isn't uncommon for some of the most vicious African gangsters and militia leaders to nickname themselves 'Hitler'.

As my own mentors had repeatedly warned me during my early political education, when it comes to politics, 'caveat emptor' is the only set rule. And many 'post-colonial' historians (a dubious discipline, since 'colonialism' never actually ended) are quick to remind us that popular revolutions, without an ideological or philosophical grounding, are at best, simply mob-rule affairs that violently replace one bad system with another.

Put another way, without democratic planning for the post-revolt period of reconstruction, (or, creation) it's only a matter of time before everyone is forced to eat yesterday's soup simply reheated by another chef in a different pan within the same kitchen as before.

This is undeniably true and we have seen this happen time and time again. Particularly in previously British territories such as Uganda (under colonial-by-product General Idi Amin Dada); the Jewish State in the Levant, (JSIL) or, The State of Israel, (formerly: the British Mandate for Palestine) and the Republic.
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of Zimbabwe, (formerly: Republic of Rhodesia) under Robert Mugabe. 1430

These are three, very good examples of anti-colonial revolutions in the name of freedom, gone horribly rightist for very different reasons. And in the case of Mugabe, his rightism emerged only when it became clear that being a leftist would no longer suffice to keep him in office. Like Stalin before him, his leftist ideals veered to the right.

Modern African Rightism in the Motherland

'Sometimes people mistake the way I talk for what I am thinking. I never had any formal education -- not even nursery school certificate. But, sometimes I know more than Ph.D.'s because as a military man I know how to act, I am a man of action.'

– Idi Amin, president of Uganda

Africa is not immune to far-right politics. And it is racist to think that Africa – as a continent – and Africans – as a variety of differing cultures and peoples – could never develop totalitarian societies. Let's start with the sad story of Uganda. A colonially-created confederation of older indigenous kingdoms, the English Crown – from the very start – plotted to keep the native population(s) at each other's throats by appointing rival heads of the various ethnicities as administrators and tax collectors over the politically-weaker clans.

The native spiritual cultures were subjected to attack as well, spurred on by British evangelicals – such as explorer Henry Morton Stanley – seeking to quickly Europeanise the African 'for his own good'.

Thus, the social splitting-up that began theologically between the newly converted Black Catholics and Protestants led to deeper became political damage within families and friendships. This is the classic 'divide-and-conquer' programme, (used successfully around the world) which helps create the false impression that conquered peoples can enjoy some level of prosperous, (limited) self-governance under occupation.

In truth, this was/is a really a clever means of cultural assimilation and a sly, psychological stop-gap of native liberation efforts before they get a chance to start.

But there is a (dangerous) flip-side to this practise as well. Playing group-against-group can often give rise to situations even the occupying power(s) cannot always foresee, rudely destroying the imperialist's superstitious belief in the concept of 'Conquest by Consent', or, the 'expected' era of peaceful native acquiescence to domination after overt hostilities have effectively ended. (See: Afghanistan and Iraq) In colonial Uganda, this illusion was dispelled after Sudanese (Nubian) mercenaries – employed to police the native Ugandans – began an armed resistance in 1897, forcing the British Army to import yet another set of colonial troops from India to help put down the rebellion. (Creating a link between South Asian and Ugandan Peoples that lasts to this day.)
Other minor rebellions and mutinies followed after the UK government and Fleet Street began levying taxes and fees on homes, (traditional huts) family earnings, private property and tribal privileges. Great Britain, in short, forcibly morphed the largely agricultural population into a new, salaried 'working-class' subjected to endless debt, political marginalisation and the ebb and flow of capitalist 'market forces' that did not exist prior to the invasion.

This both enriched British industrialists and helped to increase native dis-cohesion by strengthening the sociopolitical and cultural divisions between the Christianised Baganda, (who were 'leased' extensive arable lands and other concessions by the UK) and other native groups (Ankole; Acoli; Toro; Busoga; Iteso and Bunyoro, respectively) who were now the 'new proletarians' that supplied the warehouses and market stalls of the British Empire.

By the time Uganda was well into its early period of 'granted' independence from the United Kingdom in 1962, the nation's new President, Apollo Milton Obote – using 'African Socialism' as a cover for his own form of closet-capitalist, neocolonialist despotism – had by 1966, corrupted the national economy; abused the trust of many who were helping to maintain positive ethnic-tribal communication and so seriously weakened the political infrastructure that protests and labour strikes became a daily occurrence. Further, all dissent and free speech was met with state-terror at a level that rivalled the the former colonial regime.

The Obote government was doomed from the very start and for the usual reasons. He abandoned the original African Socialist path for a 'soft colonialism' relationship with the United Kingdom and the SOI. Essentially, leaving the basis of the old system intact, including the class-divisional aspects of Congolese society.

### Setting a Series of Bad Trends for the Future

A self-declared 'post-colonialist' African leader, his self-centred policies kept the population teetering towards open revolt for years. To defend against this, he simply abolished all other political parties; arrested his own political party rivals and had settled into his dictatorship by suspending the constitution.

Fears that his potential rival (and sometimes partner in crime) Kings African Rifles (KAR) veteran and Commander of the Ugandan Army, Idi Amin Dada – despised by leftist revolutionaries for his successful efforts against the Kenya Land and Freedom Army (KLFA) rebellion – would in time oust him, President Obote (reportedly) planned to have he and his lieutenants cashiered while he attended the 1971 Commonwealth summit.

Cdr. Amin's loyalists eventually learned of the plot, (they were actually tipped-off by Israeli intelligence officers operating in Africa) and effectively turned the tables. Amin's faction seized key control locations across the country; deposed Obote (who went into exile in Tanzania) via an open address to the public on Radio Uganda and began mass executing members of rival ethnic groups assumed to be loyal to the Obote regime.

---
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At first, chiefly because of Obote's indiscriminate use of violence and widespread corruption, Ugandans of all ethnicities were favourable to the military-led coup d'état. Many were apparently willing to forget that Cdr. Amin was a vital part of that previous corrupt infrastructure.

This forgiveness was also observed and padded in the UK, US and European establishment press as well, which called the coup a spontaneous, 'native-lead anti-communist action' struggling for a 'communist-Free Africa'.

Because of this, (racism was of course also a major factor) human and political rights violations in Uganda (and across the continent) were ignored by the international community as a matter of anti-Russian, political necessity. Brutal dictators in Africa, like Amin, received ample material and financial support from the capitalist powers of the West – and Israel – provided they did as they were told, when they were told.

In the case of Uganda this 'understanding' was violated time and time again by President Amin who changed political (and religious) colours several times during his dictatorship to adjust to changing political and social circumstances. This apathetic flexibility, (due more to Amin's complete lack of any formal education, aside from his field training in the British Army, than stupidity) became immediately clear one week after he officially took power:

'Germany is the place where when Hitler was the prime minister and supreme commander, he burned over six million Jews. This is because Hitler and all German people knew that Israelis are not people who are working in the interest of the world and that is why they burned the Israelis alive with gas in the soil of Germany.'

– Idi Amin, president of Uganda, part of a telegram sent to Kurt Waldheim, UN Secretary-General, and Golda Meir, Israeli premier, on 12 September 1972.

After declaring himself, 'His Excellency President for Life', Amin swiftly claimed a series of lofty executive titles and assumed complete control over the entire military apparatus and the Church. Next, he positioned military men, acting professional soldiers and paying cronies to government and civilian offices, effectively centralising and normalising martial law upon the entire civilian population. And this was just the beginning.

Objectively speaking, the differences between the former government and the new 'revolutionary' one should be viewed as a matter of degrees. For example, the Obote government's torturous covert intelligence service, the General Service Unit (GSU) soon became Amin's murderous State Research Bureau (SRB) who along with the Public Safety Unit (PSU) began to apply the specialised repression methods Amin had learned during his formative years with the KAR. Both regimes made vociferous promises to honourably represent and protect communal 'Black African' interests from foreign banks, and exploitive international firms, although they both did exactly the opposite. Amin in particular.

---

1445'From Pearl to Pariah: The Origin, Unfolding and Termination of State-Inspired Genocidal Persecution in Uganda, 1980-85', by Sabiiti Mutengesa - Published on: Dec 21, 2006
1446Israeli-Ugandan Relations in the Time of Idi Amin | Jerusalem Center For Public Affairs http://ow.ly/CYJdc (Dr. Arye Oded, October 1, 2006)
Both leaders personally encouraged racialist-based biases against the South Asian immigrant merchant (buffer) classes, (but not against Europeans) to regain public favour as their economies faltered; religious and tribal differences were exacerbated at every given opportunity (for the same reasons) and wages were purposefully kept miserably low and union organising was violently discouraged.

And most importantly, both governments allowed for the sporadic utilisation of opportunistic instances of overt police brutality – a problem common to fascist societies – that kept the general public trapped in a state of constant panic and total fear of the authorities. In the end, both were consciously being politically manoeuvred and they were both eventually easily out-played by their European (and Arab) handlers and subjected to exile.

If this sounds like just another typical 'post-colonial' African story, you would be right.

Afro-Tribal Divisiveness and Far-Rightism

Amin, like most African strongmen, recruited mostly from his own tribal group and had in time begun to place Muslims (some from other countries, like The Sudan) in command positions within the government and the military although they were a distinct ethnic minority. As a result, the army was largely comprised of loosely connected mercenaries from all across the continent.

The Ugandan Army was a relic of the British occupation. It was a force of rank and file natives quartered by tribal affiliation and overseen by White officers. After independence, this set-up had been retained by succeeding 'post-colonial' governments. Including the Obote government. Under Amin, military spending and military recruitment was progressively heavy, in large part due to indiscriminate purges of entire battalions commanded by officers Amin or his henchmen believed to be potential rivals.

Amin's administrators would juggle ethnic differences within the military ranks in an effort to prevent them from uniting against him. In fact, Amin's paranoia had reached such great heights that by the 1970's, only a handful of trusted troops were actually issued live ammunition. If Uganda did need to repel a popular revolt, they would have been unable to deal with it effectively. The end for Amin came when some in the army mutinied and fled to Tanzania, which caused an enraged Amin to accuse that country's president of the willful sabotage of the Ugandan Army, (which may or may not be true). Nevertheless, he invaded in 1978, eventually commandeering a small chunk of the other nation just over the border.

By 1979, Tanzanian forces and a rag-tag confederation of anti-Amin Ugandan exiles called the Uganda National Liberation Army (UNLA) fought back, forcing Amin to fall back on his military training – which was limited to suppressing domestic disturbances. Being a trained thug is not the same thing as opposing a well-trained and motivated national army. Subsequently, Kampala was lost and Amin and his inner-circle took flight.

After that major defeat, Amin took off for exile. He lived in Libya for a while and then Saudi Arabia, where he was pensioned off by the Saudi royal family and ordered to stay out of political matters while living there. However, in 1989 he and a few loyal hangers-ons had tried to lead a coup to retake Uganda which got as far as Kinshasa (then Zaire now Democratic Republic of the Congo / DRC) forcing fellow dictator President Mobutu Sese Seko to stop his campaign and have him sent back to the KSA.

In the end, Idi Amin Dadhah died in Jeddah, KSA in 2003, still believing that he was the rightful President of Uganda. Never, at any time, did he ever show any remorse for the utter misery he wrought upon his own countrymen and women. Not once. And believe it or not, there are still Ugandans and Revisionist Afrocentrists today who hail the late, great: 'his Excellency President for Life, Field Marshal Alhaji Dr. Idi
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Amin Dada, VC, DSO, MC, CBE as an respectable anti-colonial African leader who is only hated because he was a strong Black president and on 'good terms' with the Arab World. His fascist politics, his indiscriminate brutality, his childish selfishness and his insane craving for grandstanding is not seen as a political detriment. And that – I think – is a real serious problem.

What Idi Amin Dada's Dictatorial Career Really Represented

Idi Amin was a dictatorial maniac. This is true. And most importantly, he was an indigenous product of the British colonial system as practised in across Africa for decades. When taken into measure with how African leadership has developed on the continent since Arab and European invasions, people like Amin were a natural occurrence. And this is exactly why Africa; much of Asia and almost all of South America looks the way it does politically. Proof that the far-right only exists as a viable political option because the structural power groups that control the functioning of the international society persist in reviving it. Why? Because it serves their narrow-scope of vested interests and the expense of 'lesser peoples'.

Africa is seen as a continent of 'lesser peoples'. And when British officers began forming their domestic regiments from among the indigenous population(s), common footsoldiers could be bought for the promise of a cot and regular diet. They never did have to search hard for conscripts, because the sell-outs, the opportunists and the bullies came out in droves to don the uniform of their national and cultural oppressor. Many were simply hungry. But a vast number of them were seeking adventure and a chance to be on a social level above their fellow, colonialised citizens.

Idi Amin Dada was chief among these men. He worked hard to get the attention of the higher ranks and it paid off. His promotions in the Kings African Rifles (KAR) were made in the field, in respect to his ruthless attitude towards battle (especially against the Kenya Land and Freedom Army (KLFA) and with captured combatants. He was chosen for leadership not because of his intelligence, (Amin rose to become one of two native Ugandans to become commissioned officers in the British Colonial Army) but because he was eagerness to do what was asked of him by the English.

He was also willing to add Uganda to the anti-Soviet sphere of influence in Africa. This endeared him to the western powers. And then the Israelis and the Americans. That is, until he fell from their favour due to his conspicuous, ego-driven stupidity. For a brief period, he even tried to say that he was a 'Scientific Socialist' until that failed to divert public attention away from the fact that he and his cabinet officials had no real idea of how to run a government. Which was by design, since the British (nor any other European power) had ever intended for them to learn this necessary skill. Developing the psychological and economic dependence necessary to a successful occupation and exploitation programme.

Colonialism must promote an emotional dependence. It cannot exist without it. It is built-in to the process of occupation itself. Whether Amin understood this or not is a subject that is worthy of debate. But obviously, there isn't anything 'anti-colonialist' about any of this. But that isn't the point. The point is that we all should be more investigative about the politics of post-colonial Africa and then be willing to accept what we learn. Whether we like it, or not, very few of the modern leaders in Africa represent anything other than themselves.

With the assassinations of Patrice Lumumba and others like him, the chances for competent leadership in Africa were lost. He (and many others) were betrayed by simple greed and immature assumptions about personal importance and power. Amin was a 'typical' Third World leader that ignored 'bothersome' concepts such as the rule of law (which many dictators and monarchies object to) basic human and civil rights and simple common-sense.

He was not unique in this and there are numerous others around the world who can easily be fitted into this unethical mould. All of these hard-men have a similar, root similarity. They were all raised to believe (or came to believe) that the rules did not apply to them or others like them. People are playthings to be used and discarded at will. And it shows in that ways such leaders treat their own populations rather than serving their populations.
Clear Explanations are Better Than Poor Excuses

African dictators are not 'evil' because they are African. They are bad leaders for a number of explainable and avoidable reasons. Chiefly, because of the damages done by Arab and European colonialism. An anti-human system that encouraged division as a means of conquering a population. This is not difficult to understand. When a 'post-colonial' state begins suggesting racial hatreds; tribalism; misogyny; homophobia and the execution of 'witches', the common-welfare of the people(s) clearly is not a pressing concern. And in the end, the number of African people killed as a result of both native post-colonial governments has (unsurprisingly) never been officially accounted for.

Why? Because no one really wants to know, (estimates range from 300,000 to 500,000 deaths). This is not by accident. And this is in many ways a gift to the United States, the United Kingdom and the other European powers who turned a blind-eye to the internal violence of both regimes as they quietly supported them on a need-by-need basis during the anti-Soviet 'Cold War'. The military and intelligence aid they received from the White Supremacist Republic of South Africa was substantial, and the US and UK helped foot the bill. Why? Because many leaders in the African National Congress/ANC were active communists, and this suited their own political and economic agenda in Africa.

The UK Foreign Office (FO) and MI6 (the Secret Intelligence Service, or, 'Military Intelligence, Sect. 6) were perfectly willing to allow both Obote and Amin to tear Uganda to shreds – provided British interests continued to reap dividends from the situation. United States interest in manipulating both governments was equally Machiavellian. They were working (hard) to reduce the influence of both Russia and Cuba in Africa and were fearful of an indigenous Marxism that might find common-cause with the USSR and Eastern Bloc.

This was not an unsubstantiated hypothesis. In fact, former Stasi (Ministerium für Staatssicherheit/MfS) super agent Markus Wolf claims to have supplied assistance to the Cubans, South Americans and some African anti-colonial movements. In the book: 'Man Without a Face: The Autobiography of Communism's Greatest Spymaster', Wolf says that he travelled to Africa, the Caribbean and the Américas to represent KGB political objectives in these regions. And rumour has it (since I cannot find any hard confirmation on this) that the PLO and IRA had made solidarity linkages from time-to-time, giving MI6 and CIA something legitimate to be concerned about in regards to their own national security concerns.

Having said that was not a green-light to justify the methods the US and the other European powers used in Africa. On the whole, the counter-communist decision by Central Intelligence Agency officers to recruit Ugandan political leaders and military assets as proxy agents was (and still is) a major US foreign policy consideration against the dreaded 'African Red Scare'. It was this East-West ideological conundrum that was itself a root instigator of the social chaos that gripped the continent from the 1950's all the way through the 1980's.

This was especially true during the vicious colonialist crisis that erupted in the (Belgian) Congo (1960–1966) right after its formal independence from the legendary exploitation of King Leopold II and his numerous...
The Western Counter-Revolution in a Free Africa

'Nous ne sommes plus vos singes...'
('We are no longer your monkeys...')

Prime Minister Patrice Lumumba to King Baudouin I of Belgium, June 30, 1960

I ask the reader to please forgive the tangent, but this little-discussed history is important to remember if comprehending the reality of fascism in Africa is to have any political context. After submitting to United Nations (UN) pressure (and the 1959 Léopoldville riots) to allow the Congo to become a self-governing, post-World War Two state, (under UN Charter, Chapter XI; article 73) Belgium began to slowly liberalise its highly exploitative colonial policies in Africa.

After more than a century of Belgian and US manipulation, the Congo had known nothing else but undignified servitude to others through the threat of force. After the 1884–85 Berlin Conference saw the continent chopped-up to solve the problem of squabbling and military skirmishes between occupying European interests, Brussels went into Africa deeply. And with extreme prejudice.

Just months after the Conference, the United States (first among nations) recognised the false claim of King Leopold II of Belgium's 'absolute right' to the Congo region and everything (including human beings) within it. His administration of the erroneously titled, 'Congo Free State' (1885-1908) was not free at all. In truth, the entire Congo Basin was engineered into an enormous, private work camp that was run just like a huge penal colony, supplying Belgium and (and King Leopold personally) with copper, diamonds, rubber, ivory products and other raw materials that helped industrialise Europe. As well as enrich King Leopold II personally beyond measure.

The fact that more than 10 million Congolese died as a result of his private concentration camp is only considered a minor (and ugly) factoid of world history. So is the war King Leopold II fought to prevent any equally vicious Arab and African rivals from interfering with his profits, (see: 'Belgo-Arab War'). Although the deaths attributed to Leopold's rule did cause a major scandal in the morning newspapers of the time – leading to public calls in Europe for action to stop it – colonialism itself never missed a step during its march through the Congo.

While Belgians were truly horrified by the utter inhumanity of it all, they were not as equally objectionable to the basic exploitation itself. Or, mind you, the mind-bending racism behind it all. Only the sheer brutality of it and the limited breath of available profits for anyone else other than the king. All of these factors lead the Belgian government to eventually put an end to the CFS and to change its legal status from that of a personal deed to that of a standard Belgian colony, just another overseas territorial property of the state. So instead of being fleeced by one man, the Congolese were now 'free' to be robbed by an entire nation-state.

This was considered, at the time, to be 'more fair' than what had been going on before. And yes, the work deaths declined sharply. But the colonial insolence increased exponentially over the years as Congolese liberation was still being violently suppressed.

Illustration 184: King Leopold II of Belgium - Source: Unknown
Later Friction in the Congo

Authentic liberation and how to get it was still an issue of discourse in the Congo. As it was (is) all across Africa. And in the 1950's this question became a thorn-in-the-side of the west who wanted to keep Africans pro-democratic by repressing their efforts to develop functioning democracies. And this factors became important again when the United States recognised the Congo’s strategic interest during the Cold War.

The west was concerned that the Soviet Union would want relations with the post-colonial government in regards to natural stores of uranium, which is vital for the manufacture of nuclear materials, including weapons. Which of course, the US and UK were not going to allow if they could do anything about it. And they let the USSR (and Cuba) know, through formal and informal channels, that they were not welcome in the Congo. Or anywhere else in Africa.

Any and all native desires to be free of Belgium were stymied by one counter-revolutionary betrayal after another. Despite the utter disrespect Belgians and other Europeans showed to the Congolese, many were still psychologically and economically dependent upon White Power and told their ‘masters’ about every meeting and organised protest aimed at gaining native power.

While helpful to the Belgians, this wasn't enough to stem the tide. So the west also sought other subversive ways to slow-down emancipation efforts likely to significantly limit (or eliminate entirely) the possibility of Belgian post-colonial economic control and/or political influence.

This included a rather paternalistic plan by Belgian intellectuals to create the foundation for an assimilated, Catholicised business elite (Évolués) that would remain culturally tied to Brussels, thus, keeping the Congo's markets and labour force open to further exploitation. This idea achieved very little native support as many Congolese rejected this (correctly) as another form of proxy-colonialism and pushed on for a native solution to the problem.

The answer came in the form of pan-Africanist Patrice Émery Lumumba, the founder and chairman of the pan-ethnic/pan-tribal, Mouvement national congolais (MNC) and one of the leading anti-colonial, Congolese intellectuals of the day. In a strange turn of events, a successful late 1958 address by Lumumba (representing the MNC) at a large political gathering in Kinshasa inspired Joseph Kasa-Vubu of the Alliance des Bakongo, or, (ABAKO) to hold their own (ethnically partisan) nationalist rally. Unlike the MNC event however, colonial officials threatened ABAKO officers with arrest if the event went through as planned.

And although ABAKO attempted to cancel the meeting, hyped-up supporters occupied the intended site and refused to disperse after they were asked, leading to clashes with police and random physical attacks on Europeans. Making matters worse, the first group of agitators were joined by thousands of others spurred on by the looting, helped spread the unrest towards the European residential centres of the country.

The riot was finally halted by the colonial police, aided by armed European settler militias – and to a lesser extent – a number of anti-colonialist activists who were dismayed by the mindless, often racialist, aggression of the rioters.

This sudden burst of political chaos, the massive expenditure allocated to quell the countrywide unrest and lingering threats to European citizens in the country lead the Belgian government to reconsider its history of control over the Congo. Liberation came in 1960 with Lumumba as Prime Minister and Kasa-Vubu as President of the new republic. But trouble began again almost immediately.
The resource-rich Katanga province (under the leadership of the American-educated Moïse Tshombe) and South Kasai decided to attempt a western-backed secession, claiming to be morally opposed to suspected ‘communist elements’ within the MNC. This encouraged Belgium to dispatch soldiers (and international military freelancers) ostensibly to defend the European settler community, resident foreign clergy and (chiefly) Belgian commercial interests trapped in the middle of the situation. (See: ‘Operation Dragon Rouge’)

At least, that was the rationale offered by the international community as an excuse for post-colonial, White Christian military action in Africa after colonialism was supposed to be finished for good. The crisis in the Congo proved to all that the European was not ever interested in giving Africa back to its native population(s).

Not if they could prevent it.

International Intervention, Capitalist Profits and Failed African Dreams

'Dr. King wants the same thing I want. Freedom.'

– Malcolm X

The UN sent peacekeeping troops too, but they were under strict orders not to support the government in Léopoldville or to engage the secessionists militarily. PM Lumumba, after requesting and receiving no assistance from Europe, the US or the UN, turned to the Soviet Union for help. This desperate, but understandable decision caused a panic in the government, leading Kasa-Vubu to relieve Lumumba from his official duties and to remove him from office.

Many have reasonably suggested that this was done on orders from Brussels or the US, although I have not found any hard information to substantiate this. However, it is well-known that Lumumba was a target of both nation's intelligence services. And it would not be out of character for either of them (or both) to find a way to manoeuvre a political personality out of the way.

Knocking Lumumba out of the government bureaucracy makes perfect sense. This move aborted Patrice Lumumba’s struggle to develop a legitimate independence movement that had full economic control over the country's natural resources was seen as a problem for the capitalist powers. Besides being concerned about their own financial interests in the Congo, the west was worried that Lumumba and his government might take a position and decide to do business with the USSR, Cuba and the Eastern Bloc by turning Marxist.

This was not true, as Lumumba was not a communist and aggressively advocated for a neutralist position between the warring superpowers. He was open to negotiations and good diplomacy with the communist community, but he was never a member of their fold. And western intelligence agencies, (Belgium and the US in particular) knew this. They understood this quite well. But it did not matter in the slightest.

Why? Because Patrice Lumumba was sincere in his determination to free the people(s) of the Congo and

improve their depressed standard of living. And this was immediately threatening to a paranoid ownership-class. And they were able to place enough pressure on then UN Secretary General Hammarskjöld and his deputy, Ralph Bunche (Nobel Prize winner for helping the SOI during the 1948 Arab-Israeli War)\textsuperscript{1454} to demonise Patrice Lumumba in public and to provide his adversaries with the forward motion for a coup.

The final blow came when new Armée Nationale Congolaise (ANC) chief-of-staff Joseph D. Mobutu, (Mobutu Sese Seko) utilising the strife between the MNC and ABAKO to his advantage, methodically plotted (with ample assistance from Brussels and Langley, Virginia) an internal coup from within the ranks of the military, easily deposing the first native-staffed post-occupation government.\textsuperscript{1455}

Lumumba was later captured by ANC troops and sent back to Léopoldville before he was quickly transferred to secessionist Katanga State where he and his compatriots were tortured (just for sport) by Belgian and African officers before being summarily executed and (initially) disposed of in the bush. Official and unofficial investigations have since learned that President Tshombe, along with two government ministers and a number of Belgian military professionals, were all present when Lumumba, Maurice Mpolo and Joseph Okito were killed by an African-manned firing squad.\textsuperscript{1456}

It was also learned that Belgian colonial policemen and mercenaries took final responsibility for the physical cover-up by later exhuming, separating and destroying the cadavers in acid.\textsuperscript{1457} Katanga State got what it wanted, Belgian-financed copper and diamond concessions and the CIA had one less potential leftist government to worry about in their private, 'superpower' struggle against Russian 'sphere's of influence'.

Once this was settled, the UN began to (slowly) apply pressure against the breakaway Katanga State, helping ANC regulars quell much of the indiscriminate violence. However, the situation sharply changed direction again shortly after UN Secretary-General Dag Hammarskjöld and fifteen other passengers died in a still-unresolved plane incident over Northern Rhodesia on September 17th, of 1961.\textsuperscript{1458} An 'accident' that came at a very bad time. For some people, not for others.

Many questions still swirl in the air about what really happened to that flight. And many investigators have suspected from the very beginning,\textsuperscript{1459} with good reason, that the 'accident' was a planned event after their inspection of the wreckage. Other speculators have suggested that in regards to motive, it was well-understood at the time that international firms with mining concerns stood to lose billions if the pro-capitalist President Tshombe and his anti-communist Confédération des associations tribales du Katanga (CONAKAT) faction were removed from power. Hammarskjöld's possible decision to reverse this policy threatened this relationship. And with him not in place, the chances of this happening were unlikely.

Enter: The (Real) Dogs of War

\textsuperscript{1454}Ralph Bunche: UN Mediator in the Middle East, 1948-1949 http://ow.ly/RyKL1
\textsuperscript{1455}'Belgium Confronts Its Heart of Darkness; Unsavory Colonial Behavior in the Congo Will Be Tackled by a New Study', By ALAN RIDING - Published: September 21, 2002
\textsuperscript{1456}President 'ordered murder' of Congo leader | World news | The Guardian http://ow.ly/CYOWk - Wednesday 9 August 2000 20:05 EDT
\textsuperscript{1457}Report Reproves Belgium in Lumumba's Death - NYTimes.com http://ow.ly/CYP6a (Published: November 17, 2001)
\textsuperscript{1459}Dag Hammarskjöld: evidence suggests UN chief's plane was shot down | World news | The Guardian http://ow.ly/RySnd - Julian Borger and Georgina Smith in Ndola / Wednesday 17 August 2011 14:20 EDT Last modified on Tuesday 20 May 2014 12.11 EDT
The sunrise was the colour of bad blood. It leaked out of the east and stained the dark sky red, marked the scraps of the cloud with stolen gold. Underneath it the road twisted up the mountainside towards the fortress of Fontezarno - a cluster of sharp towers, ash-black again the wounded heavens. The sunrise was red, black and gold. The colours of their profession.

– Joe Abercrombie, ‘Best Served Cold’

Africa as a whole during the Cold War became a disposable proxy-battlefield for the est-west superpowers. And there wasn’t a thing the average native African – north or south – could do about it other than be angry over the situation. And because of these lopsided circumstances, all Africans were forced to make a decision and choose sides. Either you stood with Africa or you stood with the Europeans. There was not much of a real middle ground.

There were simply too many bodies to step-over to be neutral. Besides, most Africans and their overseas supporters were not sure exactly who was worse, the exploitative (and murderous) European countries and land-grabbing Euro-Settler class; exploitative (and murderous) native African political strongmen; unrestrained maniacal joy-killers or the crime warlords and their numerous mindless allies and admirers. Of course the conscious African is keenly aware that all of these dynamics factor into the multi-level problems and contradictions inherent within colonialism, post-colonialism and self-colonialism. The revisionist right-wing African ignores this history. And for a very basic reason, because this reality doesn’t fit his/her individual sense of personal grandeur and importance.

Let me be clear here. What I mean to say is that some right-wing Africans are pro-European and others are anti-European but regardless, they are both still two factions of the very same political theory. They seek systems of that favour ‘full-spectrum dominance’ for a limited number of elites in all political, military and economic matters to the detriment of the common masses. And it was this class of leaders, men such as Moïse Tshombe, who brought the Europeans back to ‘post-colonial’ Africa. Not communism.

Freedom in the Afterlife, But Not Now...

Remember – after European empire-states lost direct control over their colonial holdings, the native-led ‘post-colonial’ states continued to honour not only the internal functioning of the old systems, but they also maintained the European-set, border divisions as well. Indigenous Peoples suffered the most from this and many First Peoples in Africa have yet to regain their ancestral territories or to recover from the loss of cultural, family and tribal cohesion.

These problems were never solved by the time the US/UK-USSR ‘Cold-War’ began. And Mother Africa, from tip-to-tail, was at the centre of it. Although the African continent was in no political, cultural or military position to relax long enough to even decide what to do just after post-colonialism revolutions shook most of the continent.

As individual 'post-colonial' states, the actual situation was even more dire. After centuries of Arab and European colonialism and slave trading; foreign imposed subsistence-standards of living; widespread, fatal diseases brought on by crippling poverty and incessant warfare had shaped the African psyche into an almost complete state of helplessness. An physical and emotional devastation that has yet to be rectified, much less recognised by the world community.

For the people(s) of the embattled Congo region, these issues were constants. But by the 1960’s, these matters deepened even further once Soviet and Cuban military and political aides advising Lumumba and the government were unceremoniously ejected from the country. Mobutu, moved quickly to consolidate a new government, reset the military and protect his personal hold on inside-power as President Kasa-Vubu stood by. By 1964, the secessionist Tshombe was made PM after promising his western-backed support in suppressing the Maoist-inspired, quasi-mystical Simba Rebels (The Lions) who had overrun almost half of the country (and the pride of the ANC) through a campaign of relentless terrorism.

Moïse Tshombe responded to this by drafting exiled Katangese loyalists and openly recruited European mercenaries (and retired, higher-ranking national army soldiers) into executive officer positions within the ANC regular forces. The introduction of White mercenaries by post-colonial Black African leaders was a serious question for anti-colonial revolutionaries and still is.

This is particularly important because Some of these professionals had fought on the side of Tshombe when Katanga first attempted to leave the Congo and actually knew him personally. One of these White mercenaries was the Irish-born, South African soldier-of-fortune, Col. Thomas Michael 'Mad Mike' Hoare, who was brought in to mount a private mercenary army of European professional soldiers, African freebooters and adventurous White Africans to buttress a largely inefficient (and mostly Black African) Belgian-trained Congolese Army and gendarmerie.

**Playing the 'Kill-an-African' Game For Kicks and Prizes**

*Vive la mort, vive la guerre, vive le sacré mercenaire*

(Long live death, long live war, long live the cursed mercenary)

The accepted definition of what defines an authentic mercenary varies. And it all depends upon who you ask and what particular time-period you are discussing on what the term actually means. On its face, a mercenary is a military man who engages in (generally) foreign wars strictly for the pay and the adrenaline rush. Although many true 'professional adventurers' do harbour certain ideological and/or political scruples that will inform what sort of contracts and conflicts they choose to accept and perhaps die for.

I only mention this aspect of Africa's history with colonialism because I think that it is an important issue. What is it that motivates some people to engage in war and brigandage at the expense of their moral centre, if they have one? Trying to understand the political beliefs and the variety of greed that lead certain personalities to invade and occupy the African continent has a great deal to do with why things worked out in the ways that they did.

The famous – or infamous – French mercenary Robert Denard (born Gilbert Bourgeaud) was well-known for his dedication to a rabidly violent philosophy of right-wing anti-communism. He was actually convicted, (and later acquitted) in an 1954 assassination plot against the leftist Pierre Mendès-France and had maintained ties with the Organisation de l’Armée Secrète (OAS/Secret Army Organisation) the French far-right, the Service de Documentation Extérieure et de Contre-Espionnage, (SDECE) SIS/MI6 and CIA throughout his entire career. On the other end of the spectrum, 'Carlos the Jackal' (Ilich Ramirez Sanchez)¹⁴⁶¹ only worked for extremist left-wing/Marxist and Arab causes¹⁴⁶² and was marginally supported by Eastern Bloc intelligence agencies on behalf of the KGB as a Cold War intelligence

---

¹⁴⁶¹Ilich Ramirez Sanchez (Carlos the Jackal) 1949- http://ow.ly/RFvaU
¹⁴⁶²”Carlos the Jackal Fast Facts - CNN.com” ( http://twitthat.com/v5tW4 ) Updated 2037 GMT (0337 HKT) October 9, 2014
Do you see a dichotomy – if not a dissembling of ethics – involved here? What I mean to say is, aside from all of the indescribable physical and psychological terror both these men wrought against the societies they attacked, do you perceive any similarities? Was there really a purpose to any of this?

Did any of these 'operations' actually improve the living conditions of the poorer peoples of the world? Did things ever become more peaceful during all of this warfare? The answer of course is no. When Carlos the Jackal's murderous skill-set was no longer useful to communist politics, he was simply turned over to French intelligence authorities, the Direction de la Surveillance du Territoire (DST) in Sudan as if he were yesterday's rubbish to answer for his left-wing activities. Monsieur Denard on the other hand passed away peacefully at the ripe old age of 78, from natural causes in Paris, honourably remembered by the corporate-owned press and conservative Francophones for his right-wing mercenary warmongering.

A Conspiracy of Moral Certitudes

'I spent a great deal of time with Che Guevara while I was in Havana. I believe he was far less a mercenary than he was a freedom fighter.'

– Maureen O'Hara

(Most) people abhor war. However, war is immensely profitable. And just like any other 'thing' that is readily open to exploitation, the business of war is pursued by those who need and want it while the rest of us run for cover. World and religious leaders speak of 'waging peace' while rattling the sabres of their gendarmerie in the media outlets of the WWW.

This is a real-world, philosophical and moral dichotomy that reflects just how incredibly fucked-up things really are. And what's worse, most of the general public knows very little about this history of the background information – and therefore – doesn't realise (comprehensively) what is really going on.

In short, human-on-human exploitation and the conflicts such systems create engender a further descent into more egregious and/or inhuman measures. Both to stop both the outbreak of rebellion(s) and/or the abominable behaviour of the police/military forces used to suppress popular public dissent. These (logical) contradictions are duly recognised within both mainstream 'right' and 'left' spheres of thought. This is precisely why we speak in terms of 'extremes'. And these seemingly contradictory extremes are the greased cogs that allow systems of state/tribal and corporate exploitation (i.e., capitalism, imperialism/colonialism) to work.

Understanding this, it isn't very difficult then to see how differently 'The Jackal' was viewed by the world's controlling governments as opposed to the courtesy 'le Corsaire' received from the very same critics, before and after his death. They were clearly not treated equally despite the fact that they engaged in similar work. In fact, Denard's endeavours were massively worse than the Jackal's. And, his actions were endorsed and paid for by the 'democratic government's' of France, Great Britain and the United States, not Moscow.

This is true. Also, I for one perceive that, perhaps, it was a matter of racial and cultural bias as well as the global bi-polar struggle of the East-West divide. In the case of the South American playboy-terrorist Sanchez, (for argument's sake) accepted that his capture meant that he would never see freedom ever again. The Jackal was intelligent enough to understand that due to his past actions, the result of any court trial against him (if he were to survive capture) would be a forgone conclusion. He was under no illusions about his potential fate.

However when it comes to the warrior-for-hire in search of a more perfect Gallic Empire, Denard, (or Said Mustapha Mahdjoub) had been convicted of assassination plots and illegal coups, yet he passed away in relative peace, an old man living with Alzheimer's, in Europe. This is after never having serving a full sentence for his convictions, or ever publicly acknowledging the plight of his many victims or, the inherent 'wrongness' of his African adventures. Denard was certain that his strong, patriotic defence of France's imperial and conservative interests would absolve him. And he was right.

The Political Economics of Conscious Apathy

Partisan political wrestling aside, we should seriously ask ourselves why these two cases were treated so very differently. Chiefly, by looking at how their careers ended and are officially being remembered.

Frankly, I find that the ethical similarities between 'Carlos' and Denard greatly outweigh their political differences. Although the differences that do exist are relatively minor. What I mean by this is that as diametrically-opposed as these two examples are politically, they both believed in their respective causes and the value of political and social violence. Which in many ways, makes them two versions of the very same mad-dog mentality.

They truly believed in what they were doing. And further, I am of the mind that both of these men would have engaged in their mercenary activities despite the (uncertain) financial awards and the constant risk of death. It wasn't really about money. Not for them. Although many of the men who fought and died serving under them may have been purely involved – perhaps at a loose end, perhaps not – solely for the money, the murder and the glory. I can accept that amongst the professional soldier-class there were/are men (and perhaps some women) who actually believe in the causes in which they are involved. Whether I agree with their views or not. And this is, I think, a rational way to address the issue.

Many professional soldiers were and are of a particular mindset that finds moral justification in the 'good' war. And many more people, who are not professional soldiers – truly believe that through the totalitarian graces of Providence and the use of 'righteous' violence and Kiplingesque exploitation, eventually the world will achieve a desired political endgame. I view it is as a matter of getting to the root-issue of a very bad practise

This is why so many professional soldiers who gain contracts with 'legitimate' governments (still) take...
umbrage at the appellation, 'mercenary'. Especially today, in the era of the 'Private Military Contractor' which almost always exclusively contract(s) with large, multinational corporations, conservative governments with large overseas concerns, and right-wing private citizens with enough capital and political clout to launch modest operations of their very own.

Of Morals, Marxism and Mercenaries for Jesus

As long as there is man, there will be violence.
As long as there is violence, there will be war.
And as long as there is war... we will always have a job.

– Anonymous

Violence is ugly. So what is it that drives men (and some women) to seek fame, fortune and glory through conscious acts of political or theologically sanctioned bloodletting and brigandage? Why would seemingly intelligent people wish for bodies to be maimed and rendered into recognisable heaps of sinew in the name of a philosophy? Or in the name of a belief in the superiority of a deity? Or of a 'race'?

What defines a 'just cause' or war? Objectively speaking, 'Carlos' was a South American raised by a wealthy Marxist in a region that has known nothing else but the negativities of European colonialism. Could the Bordeaux born-and-raised Frenchman, Bob Denard, make the same claim? He was the child of a right-wing French soldier and raised in a sociopolitically conservative environment with ample means for a comfortable living. Both of these examples were products of their respective environments.

I am also of a mind that both men had an elevated, overblown personal sense of elitist entitlement that they felt gave them license to pillage and burn the 'useless' and the 'stupid'. I argue that this has a great measure of (undue) influence in the wild, wild world of politics and positive social cohesiveness. And further, I still question why logical, educated and reasonable people would be willing to sit-back and allow extremist ideas to shape the direction of the world's politics after WW2.

A Dark World Of Differing Values

In this sense, it is possible to make the argument that 'the Jackal' was a product of his historical, pro-European colonialist political environment. Think back of how the current Bishop of Rome, Pope Francis (Jorge Mario Bergoglio) was assumed (correctly) by many conservatives to be a radical theologian because of his Argentinian origins.

Carlos the Jackal – a mediocre, minor-level terrorist operator who botched more jobs than is publicly known – was labelled a 'lowlife' in the newspapers simply because he was from Venezuela. Not simply because he was a terrorist. That was a given.

But it remains to be said that even the Rote Armee Fraktion (RAF) (Red Army Faction) was given the assumption of having a political viewpoint in the German-language press. And in a total reversal of vociferously-stated values, at least one of their former founding-members, Horst Mahler, has gone from living as an extreme-left warrior to pontificating as an extreme-right ideologue after a life of leftist...
Along these same terms, an Irish mercenary could be forgiven for having at least a little sympathy for those living under colonialism. Especially, British empirical control. But this was not the case for 'Mad' Mike, (as he was identified by Eastern Bloc media) who would eventually become a living legend in the world of the professional soldier-for-hire. He consciously chose a side early on in his life. The 'Right' side. Initially trained in tactics and arms in officers school with the London Irish Rifles, Hoare served with the British Army reportedly (according to differing accounts) in India; Northern Africa; Burma and Italy during WW2 and departed with the rank of Captain. Later, after relocating Durban, South Africa, now Major Hoare began his first widely publicised mercenary action in late 1960 with his involvement in the Congolese Civil War. It is said that he threw in his lot due to his support for the rogue secession of the resource-rich, province of Katanga State and its anti-communist stance. President Tshombe (falsely) claimed that his breakaway Katanga Province, the region's richest area, choose to secede from the post-colonial government of Prime Minister Patrice Lumumba because the Congo had turned communist. And this line of reasoning was upheld to justify continued economic control from Brussels. Tshombe's government requested both diplomatic and military aid from Belgium to support their independence and political recognition from the United States to keep it. He knew that the US would do whatever it could to prevent the USSR, the Eastern Bloc and the Chinese government from achieving a foothold in the country. In July of 1960, the UN Security Council responded to PM Lumumba's request that they intervene in the Katanga declaration of independence (citing Belgian covert action) by adopting Resolution 143 calling for Brussels to depart from the Congo. Officially, Hoare was recruited to command a modest mercenary unit he codenamed, '4 Commando' to participate in Katanga's separation. However there is another, countering story. In other reports, it is suggested that 4 Codo was really only formed to carry out a specific objective, not to fight in the actual conflict. According to this version of events, Maj. Hoare and his 4 Codo were hired out by a wealthy South African industrialist to mount a rescue mission to save his son who was missing in the north of Katanga Province immediately following its contentious separation from the Belgian Congo. Hoare and his crew is said to have gone ahead with this assignment and eventually learned that he had been murdered by Baluba tribal irregulars running mad, taking advantage of the mayhem during the social breakdown of civil conflict. What happened next is not in dispute. What is in question is if Maj. Hoare was acting on the directives of his employer, or operating on his own initiative. Following their discovery of the mutilated corpse of the missing man, classic mercenary retaliation ensued. And a legend was born. The men of 4 Commando – on Hoare's orders – raided a nearby Baluba village, killed everyone in sight – and literally burned the entire community to the ground. It was a brutal and militarily unnecessary act. And it was this single episode act that earned him the infamous appellation, 'Mad Mike'. And it was also why the hard-men who fought for him were both hated and feared across the continent and around the communist world.

But to the venture capitalists keeping score at home, Major Hoare and his men were Christian heroes, representing the 'best of the west'. However, in spite of his willingness to engage in such lopsided battles, 4 Codo suspended operations and departed Katanga before the real civil war began in earnest. Which makes the story of his unsuccessful rescue operation and violent response towards random innocents sound more like what actually happened. Either way, Hoare became a household word (at the time) because of this.

And by 1964, now Colonel Mike Hoare and his merry band of mercenaries were back in the Congo to fight in the country's vicious civil war. This action was backed by most international observers who were shocked by the brutality of the Maoist-inspired Simbas of the Parti Solidaire Africain (PSA) who were terrorising non-combatant civilians and harassing government forces with indiscriminate raids. The mayhem that took place following the departure of UN Peacekeepers from Léopoldville was extreme to say the least. And Tshombe's European and North American investors were not about to let things get too far out of hand. Not if they could do anything about it.

This is why it would be wrong to say that Hoare and his men imposed themselves on the Congo. Because it is a documented fact that CONAKAT, an African-directed political party, had formally invited them to work in the country as a security force. And while it (factually) true that the American-educated Moïse Tshombe saw himself as the 'good chap' defending Katanga's resources for the benefit of his western backers, the other end of the story explains why he made certain decisions at the time. In the main, Tshombe bowed to pressure from Brussels, who in turn was being leaned-on (heavily) by the US intelligence services protecting American and European investment in Katanga's natural resources.

Not Exactly a Humanitarian Mission of Pro-African Mercy

This time, Col. Hoare (along with Jeremiah Puren) created another unit codenamed '5 Commando' (Commando Unit 5, 'Wild Geese', Angola) and launched into another rescue effort – this time alongside other professional mercenaries; Belgian paratroopers; exiled right-wing Cuban pilots, (brought in by the CIA) and European farmer militias – to save over 1600 White civilians and missionaries in Stanleyville held hostage (on the orders of Victor Gbenye) in the snowballing Simba rebellion.¹⁴⁷⁸ (See: 'Operation Dragon Rouge')¹⁴⁷⁹

Although the crisis in the Congo was an end-result of the African problem of Belgian colonialism, OP 'Dragon Rouge' was planned, executed and paid-for by a truly international effort. Belgian paratroopers were transported by the US Air Force and much of the other air support was provided by the CIA's private airline company, Air America and their Douglas B-26's.¹⁴⁸⁰ (See: 'JTF/LEO')

The L'Ommengang force was a mixture as well, with British, French, Belgian, German, Italian, South African, and Rhodesian mercenaries fighting alongside the Congolese troops. 5 Codo was called in primarily to deal with this problem, which that might have been much more of a crisis if it have not been stopped. The Simbas and some youth gangs were abusing their own people too, including women and children hiding and fleeing from the chaos.

Then things took a sudden turn for the worse. In the middle of 1964, 40 Simba rebels had easily taken control of Stanleyville when Congolese regulars (ANC) stationed there were frazzled by a (many say)
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unarmed 'attack' led by two of their spiritual priests waving fetishes and threats causing nearly every man
to flee back to his respective village. Worse, when the troops abandoned their posts, they left the
government's entire armoury behind for the Simbas to use for themselves. And despite their lack of any
formal military training, within a very short period, the Simbas managed to become a formidable fighting
force in Africa. Which is not to say that they were in any way disciplined troops.

He was later dismissed by President Joseph Kasa-Vubu in 1965. That same year, Mobutu again moved to
take power in Léopoldville, this time for himself by removing Kasa-Vubu and declaring himself the sole
leader. Fascism had returned to the Congo, which later became 'Zaire'.

Anti-Communist Apocrypha and Right-Wing Racialism

'I am apt to suspect the Negroes to be naturally inferior to the Whites. There scarcely ever was a
civilised nation of that complexion, nor even any individual, eminent either in action or in
speculation. No ingenious manufacture among them, no arts, no sciences.'

– David Hume

There is a much larger story here that begs for investigation. Many political analysts and observers,
(including this writer) are of the opinion that this single
ideological issue – anti-communism – viewed Euro-settler colonial
states such as (Apartheid-era) Republic of South Africa (RSA);
Mocambique (Portugal, 1498-1975) and the Republic of
Rhodesia (1970-1979) as needed working cogs within a much
larger scheme of anti-Soviet containment. Thus, their fight against
Black liberation was their fight as well. And long as the story can
be swung in favour of relaying that to the general public who has
to support these efforts though decreased services on the
homefront.

This is the political environment that made room for 'post-colonial'
nationalist leaders like both Obote and Amin possible in the first
place. I will go even further to insist that this part of the Cold War
is directly responsible for the current, depressed state of the
African continent in the 21st century. Slavery – a subject most Arabs and Europeans would rather not
have to deal with at all – did irreparable damage to the continent and incrementally decimated its human
population. Economically speaking, Africa has never recovered from this.

And psychologically, we have never recovered from the historical trauma. Although genetic historical trauma
is only currently recognised within the modern Ashkenazim (Jewish) population as a result of the
European Holocaust, however, centuries of enslavement, racist terrorism and intentional genocide against
Africans (and other Indigenous Peoples) across the Fourth World and within the colonial states of the
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Américas is not recognised as such. Despite the documented fact that numerous Indigenous scholars and activists have spoken of these genocidal dynamics for decades only to be ignored by extant, edification-al forces.

It Didn't Happen. It Just Did Not Happen

'Whenever I hear anyone arguing for slavery, I feel a strong impulse to see it tried on him personally.'

– Abraham Lincoln, speech, Mar. 17, 1865

White Supremacy is not an ideal too many Europeans openly admit to supporting. At least not after WW2. Yet, a number of contemporary Europeans and their Euro-settler cousins cling to their xenophobia like white on rice.

Plainly, White ears are blocked in this most sensitive regard. Even many liberal-minded Europeans (and their sycophantic true-believers) are not interested in listening to the stories of Black and Brown generational victims of ongoing European and Euro-settler ethnocides. Firstly, it goes against the post-Enlightenment theme of a biologically pure and 'civilised Europe' that stands culturally, morally and politically above the other nations not on the subcontinent.

Secondly, its simply a painful subject and historical responsibility to acknowledge that what you have (today) has been built and maintained by race-based sociopolitics and exploitative international economics.

Even though it can be said that most contemporary and adequately educated White People do not (outwardly) entertain ethnic biases against non-European minorities, it is entirely accurate to say that all self-identified White People are indeed racist – due to the observable fact that the great White masses do not challenge the global system of pro-European racial inequity and social prejudice. Until that discussion much needed discussion is had, there will be no real change. And it isn't that White People, on the whole, do not understand this, it is a matter of White People being willing to accept this as an undeniable fact.

Progressive advancement is a matter of enough members of the upper-classes willing to revolt against the unearned privilege of ethnic superiority. Be it White Europeans on the subcontinent in Western Europe racially agitating against Jews, Roma and African migrants, Arabisation in the Sudan or, a modern, 21st century Indonesians and Thai who believe that the Indigenous Peoples who have been displaced by their colonialism are ‘lesser beings’.
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Have there been White People(s) who did fight on behalf of non-White liberation? Of course. The Euro-Settler anti-slavery militant John Brown comes to mind immediately. So does Henry David Thoreau, Ms. Viola Liuzzo and the über-radical middle-class anarchist (terrorist) group the Weather Underground. If you are not aware of these names, your understanding of the domestic history of resistance and revolt within the United States is severely deficient. To list these names here (in no particular order) is certain to raise questions, because each example I have mentioned is interpreted differently whomever is telling the story.

Sure, the 1940 film 'Santa Fe Trail' wrongfully depicted John Brown as a religious zealot gone mad. But the cause in which he was fighting for – and his pre-emptive attack at Harper's Ferry – gave spark to the US Civil War. That side of the issue, his armed, White-led rebellion against the inhuman treatment of African slaves by racist system, is (still) treated as a non-issue. This, is unfair.

And it is equally unfair and unjust to ignore the bravery and sincerity of these anti-racist warriors and the American patriots they really were. Ms. Liuzzo and Mr. Thoreau were personally and unapologetically committed to universal human justice through a principled belief in conscious non-violence, while groups like The Weathermen were committed to pester the system through the use of terrorist violence. And there is a discernibly wide chasm between the two. And in a sane world, one could be forgiven for thinking that US schoolchildren would be taught about Ms. Liuzzo and Mr. Thoreau. But they aren't.

The upstanding, mostly Caucasian, Christian Americans who are queuing in all sorts of weather to support a politically bigoted chicken sandwich corporation are not patriots. If they were, they would use their considerable political influence to empirically address the violent deaths of more than a hundred fellow Americans in the US, (Africans) by out of control law enforcement officers and bigoted citizen-vigilantes within the first six months of 2012.

But they don't and they won't. In the United States of by God America, the highly suspicious deaths of numerous non-Whites in authoritative custody just isn't a subject of polite discussion. And the frightful murders of hard-working Mexican immigrants by 'Nativist' White Supremacists are simply disregarded as unfortunate ‘isolated incidents’. And the hard-hearted and blatant human rights abuses levied against Spanish-speaking immigrants and migrant workers cannot garner a moment of their precious time either.

This history is considered offensive by many insecure White People in the United States. This is true. And after much emotive bellyaching, Europocentric bigots, with connections to the creators and publishers of mainstream educational materials, had this perspective changed to suit their personal egotistic, zealous desires. Which is bad enough on its own, but becomes completely ridiculous due to the fact that so (very) few of the most vociferous Bible-thumpers actually practise what they preach. This sort of glaring hypocrisy is also seen within the burgeoning Christian-Zionism 'movement' (it's really interesting to recognise just how many terms and tactics neoconservatives have co-opted from the left-wing) which sides with the State of Israel be it right or wrong. And especially when it is acting in the wrong.

---
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Religious Piety as a Cloak for Fascist Mob-Rule

‘You can safely assume you’ve created God in your own image when it turns out that God hates all the same people you do.’

– Anne Lamott

The other important issue to consider is the level of acceptance accorded to Christian Zionists when they engage in terrorism. When Anders Behring Breivik murdered two separate left-wing political groups (on the same day) at Oslo and Utøya in Norway, (see: ‘2011 Norway attacks’) many on the right and the far-right cheered openly for him and supported him throughout his trial.

US right-wing blogger and Revisionist Zionist Pamela Geller not only defended what Breivik did after the act, but sometime before the Norway Attacks she had published an e-mail from Breivik on her website, Atlas Shrugs. In fact, Ms. Geller has some interesting connections to many far-right extremists in Europe and North America and no one in authority seems to care. And to date, she has never been held accountable for inspiring and encouraging right-wing violence against Muslims, ethnic minorities and left-wing activists (she doesn't like). Her form of nutcase, right-wing hysterical lunacy is fast becoming the 'new normal' within an Anglophone World stuck in an epidemic of xenophobic, fear-mongering stupid.

Take a look at her fellow troublemaker, Jihad Watch blogger and professional Islamophobe Robert Spencer is another name that has been connected to the Breivik affair. Chiefly, because his articles were repeatedly cited in the manifesto written by the terrorist. Further, Mr. Spencer (along with Ms. Geller) has been barred from entering Great Britain as a recognised right-wing extremist in 2013.

This is all true. And the connections both of these internet pundits enjoy with the Israeli far-right isn't anything to dismiss either. Take into account J.J. Goldberg's piece in The Forward (July 24, 2011) commenting on right-wing Israeli reactions on the internet right after the attacks:

Finally, Lenchner argues on very solid ground, that the vindictive mood reflected on the Web is immoral and un-Jewish, citing the biblical injunction “do not rejoice in the fall of your enemy.” His article has drawn hundreds of responses – more than any of the articles he complains about. They fall into four basic categories in roughly equal proportions:
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ז"ל ליצ'ר: 11: 7:04, 23.07.11 "The Forward" - דיווח של ונט" - Nachrichten
1.) Hurray, the Norwegians had it coming;

2.) What happened is horrible but maybe now they’ll understand what we’re up against;

3.) What happened is horrible and the celebrations here are appalling;

4.) This article is a bunch of lies, Ziv Lenchner invented this whole schadenfreude thing because he’s a lying leftist who wants to destroy Israel

It’s worth noting that at some point late on Saturday several readers found links to Norwegian news sites showing that some kids at the campground where the shooting took place had been brandishing signs a day or two earlier calling for a boycott of Israel. These links were posted (here and here) and the mood quickly got darker – sympathy for the shooting victims dropped fast.1518

Are you convinced yet that the world is indeed wrong? And is this really Zionism? And since when did neo-Nazis support the existence of the 'Jewish' State of Israel? Things have changed since the end of World War Two. And now some neo-Nazis support the Jewish State in the Levant because of a common enemy, Islam.

Anders Breivik represents this new variant of far-rightism in the Anglophone World. Breivik himself is an (admitted) extremist, right-wing terrorist with an intellectual soft-spot for equally-fascistic Jews who are committed to hating and hurting Muslims out of sheer spite. And the salient fact that Breivik is a modern, 'Third Way Position' neo-Nazi1519 who is directly connected to far-right, decidedly anti-Jewish terrorists all across the continent does seem to matter to many Israelis and zealous supporters of the SOI.1520 1521 Breivik also claimed during his trial that he was a member of the 'new' Knights Templars order.1522 (Not to be confused with the 'Knights Templar' that is found within free and accepted Freemasonry.)1523

Yes, you read that right. And the European authorities1524 (including INTERPOL) are taking him seriously.1525 What he is referring to is The Ancient Order of the Templar Knights, (AOTK) a supposedly 'reborn' (and British)1526 order of the original French-based 'Poor Knights of Christ and the Temple of Solomon' (Ordre du Temple or Templiers) created in Jerusalem (after the first of The Crusades) and garrisoned at the Al-Aqsa Mosque.

Briefly, so we can understand the background of this: although not much was heard of, or from them during the first several years of the order, the support they received (and why) was important. Being a monastic order, they were basically founded to help maintain Western Christian control over the conquest-acquired 'Holy Lands' of Western Asia. And in time, the organisation garnered the favour, blessing and legal protection of Pope Innocent II (see: 'Omne Datum Optimum')1527 which helped the brotherhood's influence spread all across Christian Europe.
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In short, the original Templars were a very large, multinational religious-based military force that served the political and economic needs of The Vatican as a counterweight to the possible Islamisation of continental Europe at that time. Romania's infamous Voivod, Vlad III, Prince of Wallachia, or, Vlad (Țepeș) Dracula was used by the Catholic Church in much the very same way.

Like the Templars, his nation's military forces did succeed in repelling the advance of the Ottoman Turkish Empire, much to the delight and gratitude of Christian Europe. As a reward, the Mother Church turned a moral blind eye to the unspeakable atrocities he regularly committed against his own captive civilian population. (Țepeș means, 'impaler', Prince Vlad's chosen instrument of terror.)

The quasi-mystical legends – true and false – surrounding the lasting interest in the history of the Templars and modern concerns on the subject of foreign-born Muslims immigrating to Europe, altering the cultural and ethnographic make-up of the Western World (and how it sees itself).

Today's neo-Nazis with an Abrahamic religious bent have regurgitated this piece of ancient history as a means of lending a modern credence to their backwards-minded ideas.

What the neo-Nazis are now trying to do – in order to stay alive as a movement – is to change allegiances from a purely racial ideology to a broader, more pan-cultural set of theories that can include some non-Whites and a few, far-right Zionist Jews.

To loosely paraphrase an old axiom – 'Any enemy of the (Arab) Muslim World is a dear friend of mine' – seems to be the operative attitude here. Which raises a number of questions, Such as: are pro-Israeli Zionists willing to work alongside and protect post-WW2 neo-Nazis waging a terrorist against the Arab World?

And – will future Christian-Zionist terrorists like Anders Breivik receive support, care and shelter for their activities from sympathisers in the Israeli government and within the US pro-Israel groups such as American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC)?

---

Euro-Fascism and Settler Colonialism in the 'Holy Land'

'If my theory of relativity is proven successful, Germany will claim me as a German and France will declare that I am a citizen of the world. Should my theory prove untrue, France will say that I am a German and Germany will declare that I am a Jew.'

– Albert Einstein

This is a fair question ask, since the avowedly Zionist State has clandestinely worked to side-by-side with fascists when it was in their political interests. In Africa, the Jewish State in the Levant did its subversive bit in the old days to shore up (by then, Field Marshall) Idi Amin Dada, building his air force and training his secret police in the dark arts of torture, black propaganda and human intelligence (HUMINT). So did the CIA and SIS/MI6, but only to a very limited (almost technical) degree. And only in terms of combating Soviet
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influence in Africa and any chances of a viable, pan-Indigenous Black-led left-wing movement.

Remember, when Amin first took power, he was praised by the European press and many western governments as an anti-communism crusader. His coup d'état was formally recognized by the UK; the US and the JSIL, while the Organization of African Unity (OAU) along with Tanzania; Kenya; Zambia and numerous left-wing armed revolutionary fronts openly challenged the coup leaders leading to a poorly planned, disastrous counter-coup sponsored by President Julius Nyerere.

In the case of Israel, they materially supported the Amin government all the way up until his relationship with Libya and the PLO resulted in the Entebbe Hijacking and the successful IDE (Sayeret Matkal) raid (lead by Lt. Col. Yonatan Netanyahu, the brother of the current SOI prime minister and the only casualty of the operation) that freed the hostages and killed all of the terrorists in one fell swoop.

What really concerned Israel's spymasters, (aside from the hijacking itself) was the choice of the terrorists to direct the Air France aircraft (flight 139) to enter and land in Entebbe, Uganda, where they were greeted warmly by MOSSAD's paid 'boy', Idi Amin Dada. Further, the fact that the Amin government gave sanctuary to the PFLP-EO (Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine – External Operations) and the Revolutionäre Zellen (RZ) hijackers after their action certainly proved either willful collaboration on Amin's part. Or, at the very least, the dictator inside Amin took advantage of another opportunity for international grandstanding to serve his massive – syphilis-influenced – ego. And after this fiasco, one would think that the Israeli intelligence services would never try to develop such a foolish relationship ever again.

Wrong. MOSSAD would carry on with this tricky practise when Israel's conservatives decided to assist the creation of HAMAS out of whole cloth as a right-wing counterweight to the more inclusive (and left-wing) Palestinian Liberation Organisation (PLO) which worked with a number of diverse Palestinian organisations on principle. (See: '1987 – The founding of Hamas') This is a fact.

And to be clear, (and despite the titles used in many of my references) it is incorrect to assert that the MOSSAD 'built' HAMAS as an oppositional anti-Jewish terrorist organisation. That simply isn't true. And admittedly, the subject is incredibly complex and it would be pretty much impossible to convey within the short space of this essay and do the issue any real justice.

From what is factually understood from verifiable data is that MOSSAD chose – as an intelligence tactic – to back a religious Palestinian liberation effort rather than negotiate with a purely secular nationalist movement that could (and would) concretely unite disparate and multi-ethnic (such as indigenous Arab Jews) Palestinian factions towards a single goal.

For the PLO and FATAH, (Movement for National Liberation) their form secular nationalism has never been bound to a religious or even a single political ideology. While HAMAS is a direct off-shoot of the fundamentalist Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood, which had been proselyting and circulating religious
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instruction within the Palestinian refugee camps since the early 1950's.

And when Israeli governments decided to support fundamentalist religious movements to push-back against the secular leftists of the PLO-FATAH, they tacitly gave a green-light to people such as Sheikh Ahmed Yassin, the leader of the Palestinian al-Ikhwān and a founder and spiritual leader of HAMAS. Even worse, officials of the Israeli government met on a regular basis with HAMAS representatives and other far-right religious organisations who were often kidnapping and killing members and factions of the PLO.

Going deeper still, according to Andrew Higgins, writing for the Wall Street Journal in 2009, Israeli intelligence supported far-right Islamist extremists as a matter of political policy. This plan was aimed against the leftist nationalists of the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine (PFLP) lead by George Habash and Yasser Arafat and his FATAH faction of the PLO. This shocking report even goes so far as to suggest that the Israeli intelligence services backed HAMAS founder cleric Sheikh Yassin, before the organisation began using terrorism to get their point across:

When I look back at the chain of events I think we made a mistake," says David Hacham, who worked in Gaza in the late 1980s and early '90s as an Arab-affairs expert in the Israeli military. "But at the time nobody thought about the possible results. This is all pretty bad business. And it is all true. Just as the al-Ikhwān (MB) did in Egypt, HAMAS founded mosques; clinics; schools and employment whenever and wherever possible. (See: 'Mujama al-Islamiya') This included providing relief for the poverty-stricken families, single women and orphaned children left behind by IDF raids into Palestinian neighbourhoods and villages. This benevolent behaviour endeared the MB to the refugee population and those who supported their welfare. So in effect, by allowing (and sometimes encouraging) a far-right religious organisation to develop respect in the 'hearts and minds' of the Palestinian people, the Zionist authorities assumed that this would cripple the Palestinian left-wing. And frankly, that part of the plan worked. But not in the way that they would have liked.

MOSSAD's clandestine plan did indeed have an effect. Because what Israel got in return for this ruse was a classic case of intelligence 'blowback'. HAMAS began as an organisation under their own banner in 1987. By 1989, they began taking action against the SOI, distributing propaganda and attacking IDF garrisons as well as engaging in factional gun battles against the PLO's collective. MOSSAD was seeking the latter, not the former. And now, after an historic election that was politically pursued by the United States, HAMAS is the chosen government agency of the Palestinian People within Occupied Palestine.

Frankly, I cannot put it any better than journalist David Rose did in a 2008 piece entitled: 'The Gaza Bombshell' for Vanity Fair whose lead-in was quoted by Jon Perr for the DailyKos:

After failing to anticipate Hamas's victory over Fatah in the 2006 Palestinian election, the White House cooked up yet another scandalously covert and self-defeating Middle East debacle: part Iran-contra, part Bay of Pigs. With confidential documents, corroborated by outraged former and current U.S. officials, the author reveals how President Bush, Condoleezza Rice, and Deputy National-Security Adviser Elliott Abrams backed an armed force under Fatah strongman Muhammad Dahlan, touching off a bloody civil war in Gaza and leaving Hamas stronger than ever.

– Hamas rule in Gaza? President Bush built that
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Again, this is all true. A colossal clusterfuck of cowboy Israeli intelligence planning and US gun-boat diplomacy that in the end amounted to a much bigger problem. This matters to the west. Because among the connections HAMAS did and does have, other than with MOSSAD, Iran's government and the original Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt (who still provided them with funds and recruits) is with Hizbullah, (Party of Allah) another far-right, theologically-based Muslim organisation (founded, trained and funded by the Qods, (Jerusalem) Force section of Iranian intelligence) that is also an elected political party representing (Shi'a) Lebanon. It is also an armed front that is recognised as a terrorist organisation by most western governments. But like HAMAS, Hizbullah is a political entity recognised by the governments of the Arab World. Automatically bringing into question the legitimacy of the terrorist label. Especially since the revolutionary Marxist Iranian group the MEK has been removed from the US terrorism list through highly-paid lobbying in Washington DC.

Scratching the Ugly, un-Admittedly Fascist Surface

'The trouble with lying and deceiving is that their efficiency depends entirely upon a clear notion of the truth that the liar and deceiver wishes to hide.'

– Hannah Arendt

The Israeli government's quiet support for religious-based far-right activities in the Gaza Strip has come back to haunt them as well as the secular Palestinians not content to live under a far-right government or social system. Israel's cock-up ruined what little hope their was for an all-inclusive liberated Palestine. As with Idi Amin Dada, Israel's short-sighted government thinkers were not as interested in thinking about where their polices would lead as much they were about hurting the PLO. Their obsession with suppressing an Arab leftist liberation movement by any means necessary was more than a miscalculation, it was a desperate gamble that failed miserably. And in many ways – because of this comedy of errors – who then is really responsible for the back-and-forth, cyclical chaos in the region? The victim or the victimiser? Who had more control over the situation from the very beginning? And why wasn't it stopped (by the controlling agents) before things were obviously getting out of hand? This is a question that continues to gnaw at what is called the 'Israel-Palestine Problem'. If (all) violence is wrong, why isn't such behaviour not condemned universally? Is it the same thing when a nation-state uses violence as opposed to when a 'liberation movement' does? Is self-defence 'violence'? And, when is a liberation movement not a liberation effort any longer but becomes a self-replicating machine of sociopolitical oppression?

Zionist Bombs Versus Palestinian Bombs

'Blacks in South Africa “want to gain control over the white minority just like the Arabs here want to gain control over us,” reasoned one Israeli chief of staff, General Rafael Eitan. “And we, like the white minority in South Africa, must act to prevent them from taking over.’

– The Secret Arms Deal Between Israel and Apartheid South Africa:
The Daily Beast, (06.22.10)

Double-standards in (all) societies exist. And it is foolish to believe that an avoidance of fair-play rules are exclusively unavoidable by-products of bad, non-White, non-Judeo-Christian societies. The US, UK, Australia, New Zealand and Canada are all pro-Eurocentric sociopolitical oligarchies to one degree or another. And worse, all of these Anglophone nations steadfastly deny the reality and hypocrisies of their own...
unequal systems.

This is also very true when it comes to the question of terrorist violence versus the violence that occurs as a result of a liberation movement. Who gets to decide which is which? And by what standard(s) is this to be judged by and by what authority will this be evaluated under? The weak, or the strong? And when things go wrong with liberation struggles, can those caught-up inside the whirlwind of the movement perceive when things are out of hand?

Further, will they act (intelligently) to right the wrongs or sit by, watching the struggle become cancerous and change into something that looks more like another version of the old repressions? This question can be reasonably asked of those who identify as Zionists and as supporters of the Jewish State in the Levant (SOI) and should be asked. Only the modern Zionist isn't asked to explain anything the SOI does. It goes against the grain of the accepted rhetoric.

The State of Israel is (falsely) perceived as a 'victim' trapped in an Arab sea of hatred. The accepted legend that is as mythological as the Biblical story of the Exodus. The animosity most Arab States have for the SOI is real, however very few Zionists will ever endeavour to explain why. Because, to do so – within a fair, objective and impartial way – would be self-incriminating once the actual facts are thoroughly examined.

For instance, it is not exactly a major secret that the JSIL or SOI (or Occupied Palestine/Gaza/West Bank) achieved its final territorial independence through right-wing, Revisionist Zionist terrorism (see: 'King David Hotel bombing') and a series of shady, covert deals with rank Judeophobes on all sides of the political coin. (See: The Uganda Proposal)

Regrettably, the right-wing faction that has completely overrun the Zionist movement after the second world war has been more likely to view the concept of 'freedom' as an emotive rallying cry for yet another new oppressor. Just as what happened in much of 'post-colonial' Africa. (See: Priyamvada Gopal, 'Mugabe, Britain and the abuses of anti-colonialism' and an excellent counter argument by Mark Richardson on Zimbabwean politics.)

Factually speaking, nationalism; colonialism; racialism and misogyny are all manifestations of class-rooted xenophobia. And this is where the Teutonic Ashkenazi economist Karl Marx was factually correct; the ongoing struggle of the class-war is, without debate, the main driver of human history. As minutely detailed in his exhaustive triple-volume critique, 'Das Kapital: Kritik der politischen Ökonomie' (1867) the economic system of capitalism, or the accumulating of material wealth by the bourgeoisie through exploitation of the proletariat gives cause to revolution or, political change(s), in an social effort by the downtrodden to 'equalise' the inequality:

"Communal property — always distinct from the State property just dealt with — was an old Teutonic institution which lived on under cover of feudalism. We have seen how the forcible usurpation of this, generally accompanied by the turning of arable into pasture land, begins at the end of the 15th and extends into the 16th century. But, at that time, the process was carried on by means of individual acts of violence against which legislation, for a hundred and fifty years, fought in vain. The advance made by the 18th century shows itself in this, that the law itself becomes now the instrument of the theft of the people's land, although the large farmers make use of their little independent
methods as well. [15] The parliamentary form of the robbery is that of Acts for enclosures of Commons, in other words, decrees by which the landlords grant themselves the people’s land as private property, decrees of expropriation of the people. Sir F. M. Eden refutes his own crafty special pleading, in which he tries to represent communal property as the private property of the great landlords who have taken the place of the feudal lords, when he, himself, demands a “general Act of Parliament for the enclosure of Commons” (admitting thereby that a parliamentary coup d’état is necessary for its transformation into private property), and moreover calls on the legislature for the indemnification for the expropriated poor."

- **Das Kapital**, Ch. 27: 'Expropriation of the Agricultural Population from the Land'

Orthodox 'Marxism', or 'Scientific Socialism', (as it was sometimes called by Marx' partner-in-communism, Friedrich Engels) being materialist at its philosophical base, does not respect private ownership of communal necessities, nor, the extraordinary hoarding of economic or social influence by individuals or groups with 'specialised' interests.

While it is completely accurate (and fair) to state that communism as a working ideal has often fallen short of its lofty promises, unlike its rival capitalism, a real effort has been made by the political left to fight for a more just human society. The political right simply cannot make that claim without delving into historical revisionism and Europocentric hyperbole.1549

**An Imbalance of Yet Another Anti-Power Hypothesis**

'*The best revenge is to be unlike him who performed the injury.'*

– **Marcus Aurelius**

The State of Israel is not (exactly) what it portrays itself to be. But fascism isn't about communal needs and/or harmony. Just the opposite. It seeks, as a political framework, to break the collective will of everyday people – who are historically bent towards against engaging in opportunistischen aggression, hence the need for *war psychology*.1550 The purposeful creation of social disorder – in order to rebuild the general population, emotionally, into a nationalist state-of-mind which is to be blunt, not unlike the predatory and reactionary non-thinking common to individuals involved in the criminal underworld.

Which makes sense, since the twilight world of the gangster is (traditionally) almost always quite neoconservative in theology, politics and psyche and steadfastly über-capitalist in its accepted economic philosophy. Personalised, individualistic greed and avarice is the common coin of that subculture. As is the systematic application of strong violence. Which is the lingua franca of the criminal milieu worldwide.

To refute this reality is sheer ignorance at best or revisionist pop-culture at its very worst. For a variety of very obvious reasons, the gangster, like the soldier and police officer, is glamorised as a demi-god that is absolved of any social responsibility or need for restraint. Popular culture in all 'developed countries' is inundated with pro-police/pro-military propaganda, with the United States leading them all1551 with its obsession with 'war-porn' and violent popular media.1552 1553

---

1549'The Ideas of Karl Marx' http://ow.ly/CQ1sX by Alan Woods Friday, 21 June 2013
1550Ben Harris, 'Preparing the human machine for war' http://ow.ly/RTXjZ - July/August 2013, Vol 44, No. 7 - Print version: page 80
1553America loves its war porn: “American Sniper” and the Hollywood propaganda machine - Salon.com http://ow.ly/RU3JR - Saturday, Feb 21, 2015 3:00 PM UTC
This is illogical. Especially in light of the noted propensity that (many) of these persons exhibit for indiscriminate acts of aggression against the general public, against themselves; the vulnerable and even each other as a result of their maddening experiences. In regards to these subjects, the literate public appears to accept this and understands that if individuals can suffer seriously negative (and debilitating) reactions to violent experiences, that such conditions are ‘normal’.

Even worse, many accept that if war and conflict is inevitable and (purposefully) allowed to fester, that the social implosion of the victims and the vengeful animosity that develops in response to it is also, ‘normal’. And further, that as ‘responsible people’ they should simply work harder to find a ‘better’ way to adjust to these changes by improving ‘security’ and spending more money and energy on punitive measures as opposed to effective remedies to these ills.

Regardless of political bent, it is clear that in many ways, attempts at ‘levelling the playing field’ mirrors what is being done by powerful nation-states to poorer countries and populations in order to sustain themselves. Unable to defend themselves from imperial and/or colonial aggression and exploitation, the needy prey upon those who have, causing a backlash that often takes its own independent course. And destroys a nation/people from within.

A Bourgeois Discipline of Rightish Structuralisms

Social and cultural implosion is a recipe for failure. And fascism it would seem has a particular problem with this effect since it is a general result of their isolationist polices. This is true. So why is there still a debate about this?

We have both the historical record and the logical to consider in this regard. When far-right ideologies have been given a free hand to 'equally partake and participate' in the functioning of the extant political system. The very same political systems these ultraconservatives openly promise to selfishly destroy and forcibly change to suit their own particular view of society upon others.

The right-wing argument isn't in defence of human liberation, its only in favour of whatever respective school of undisputed despotism any given neoconservative yodeller believes in or is enamoured by. This is what we are talking about here, not simply Nazism but fascism, the root political and moral philosophy of intellectual cowards and of the schoolyard bully. And keeping the discourse about über-conservative extremism levelled at the German fascist example is a self-limiting dynamic aside from the point being made with Godwin's Law.

As we briefly saw above with the Revisionist Zionist State of Israel, if we restrict the definition of fascism to the Nazis, what does this do to an objective investigation and criticism of a Jewish nation that uses right-wing policies to maintain its cultural/racial hegemony? And why isn't this a question outside of Zionist circles? Further, why is it not asked – out loud – about how any thinking person of conscience in an ‘advanced’ society could or would admire, much less entertain such an appalling set of nilistic ideas?

1554Combat soldiers more likely to commit violent crimes: study | Reuters http://ow.ly/RU37x - Fri Mar 15, 2013 5:42am EDT
1556Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - Psych Central http://ow.ly/RU4bj -
1557Letters of Note: Life unworthy of life - October 1939: Hitler signs the following memo, backdated to September, and the euthanasia program becomes official. Now named Action T4, the program no longer discriminates with regards to age and all persons, young and old, can now be killed should they be “unworthy of life.” As noted in the memo, Karl Brandt and Philipp Bouhler are handed the reigns and over the next few years will oversee the murder of approximately 200,000 people. Action T4's need to kill humans in such vast numbers will also result in the introduction of “death by gas” to the Nazi regime. Transcript and translation.
I also ask why the people(s) of the recently (historically-speaking) unified Regno d'Italia, (Kingdom of Italy, 1918) the newly-constructed, highly intellectual city-states of Deutschland (Germany, 1918), or the decidedly independent people(s) of deeply Catholic Spain in the early 20th century were so interested in political xenophobia and strong conservatism? And why did the concept of 'race' matter so much by the middle of the century after the ethnic cleansing episodes of the Great War?

If the crudely sexed-up White Supremacist theorem of a racially 'pure', European 'natural balance' were indeed correct, fascism itself as an idea would never have developed within the geographical confines of Europe, proper. But Europe has never been, at any time in its sub-continental history, a genetically, much less racially, homogeneous human population. Just like everyone else on Earth, modern Europeans are the end-result of untold generations of human social interaction and genetic evolution. Meaning, the concept of 'race' may have a social meaning, but scientifically speaking, there is no such thing as 'race'.

And those who cannot see past skin-colour are really missing the point. Pale-skinned Indigenous Saami People of Scandinavia (and the Kola Peninsula: Russian Territories) have more genetically in common with Dark-skinned North Africans (Berbers) than they do with Western Europeans despite their outer physiology.

**The Western European Aryan 'Master Race' Myth**

'We do not need to explain how the Aryans entered and settled in the Dravidian country, and subjugated and oppressed the Dravidians. Nor do we need to explain how before the Aryans entered the Dravidian country, the Dravidian country had a civilization and arts of the highest rank.'

– Periyar E.V. Ramasamy

Let's cut to the ideological chase. All educated people know precisely why most charter members of the extant establishment work overtime to maintain their unfair social and political advantages. It is the epitome of denialism to pretend that those who have access to unearned privilege will not do (often) questionable things to maintain that power.

To reject this basic psychological trait as an unrelated dynamic is a mistake. And if we are to apply an objective analysis to the pressing question of fascism, class-war and the xenophobias that give these negatives their shape, ignoring the psycho-cultural aspects of tribalism and class-disparity and their joint-relationship to class-conscious material accumulation will not help illuminate the problem. Instead, it will hinder the process and negate any effective progress by pretending that – somehow – the (individuals) who are overtly bigoted should be ignored, (if not pitied) while the structural causes and corresponding economic support-factors that maintain racial unfairness avoid logical, deconstructive scrutiny.

Xenophobia is a reaction. And I charge that those who control their respective societies do very little to

---

1558 See: Paul Bookbinder, 'Weimar Germany: The Republic of the Reasonable' - Manchester University Press, Jan 1, 1996 (http://is.gd/StdHOJ)

1559*We Are Not Our Ancestors: Evidence for Discontinuity between Prehistoric and Modern Europeans* - (Ellen Levy-Coffman, August 17, 2006- EXCERPT – The model of European genetic ancestry has recently shifted away from the Neolithic diffusion model towards an emphasis on autochthonous Paleolithic origins. However, this new paradigm utilizes genetic reconstructions based primarily on contemporary populations and, furthermore, is often promoted without regard to the findings of ancient DNA studies. These ancient DNA studies indicate that contemporary European ancestry is not a living fossil of the Paleolithic maternal deme; rather, demographic events during the Neolithic and post-Neolithic periods appear to have had substantial impact on the European genetic record. In addition, evolutionary processes, including genetic drift, adaptive selection and disease susceptibility, may have altered the patterns of maternal lineage frequency and distribution in existing populations. As a result, the genetic history of Europe has undergone significant transformation over time, resulting in genetic discontinuity between modern-day Europeans and their ancient maternal forbearers.

address the issue until indiscriminate violence in the civil sector becomes too large a problem to ignore. Since xenophobia is used as a tool to create and maintain divisions, nearly all human societies to some degree or another observe some form of unofficial discrimination as a means of marginalising the populace into ownership or servant roles within a given society.

What I posit here is the obvious. That social fairness – much less the resemblance of judicial or moral certitude – is possible. But we have to work for it. Because the rich and powerful will not do the 'right thing', not because they are 'evil', but because it simply isn't in their personal list of immediate interests.

Some societies are more vicious than others and a small number are more honest about the practise, but the basic rules are still the same. Almost all powerful societies/nations are inherently exploitative and therefore, internally corrupt. And without a sincere and holistic effort to equalise the root issues of this inequity, the situation cannot change. The centre of the problem is the issue of loss; the (perceived or actual) diminution of social power by those who will have to adjust to a fairer sociopolitical environment. This is the ideological (and emotional) struggle Karl Marx was referring to. And this is the political and economic reality behind why fascism is still is still a problem – it is an effort to preserve (or create) privilege. Nothing else.

The 'Original Sin' of Racialist Exclusion and Eugenics

'If we cut up beasts simply because they cannot prevent us and because we are backing our own side in the struggle for existence, it is only logical to cut up imbeciles, criminals, enemies, or capitalists for the same reasons.'

– C.S. Lewis, God in the Dock: Essays on Theology and Ethics

Racism is not an attitude, but a system (or systems) of ethnic hierarchies within a given society. However, all race-conscious societies are – as a rule – always social systems which are maintained (primarily) by xenophobic attitudes that vindicate the use of targeted force and unfair coercion of both the marginalised as well as the general population. But it is important to understand that tribalism – racialism's root causal agent – was a necessary if, not a functional ideal, in the ancient world.

This (very) human character trait is an important factor to consider, and we should do so without lumbering ourselves with our contemporary understanding of ethnic prejudices. In the past, few people were ever very successful at being a non-member of a human grouping. Aside from the threat of animal predators, a single person or family unit – alone – was always an easier target for assault, robbery and slavery by another group of 'connected' humans.

In this sense, 'belonging' was often a matter of survival in the pre-historical period and we can see the remnants of this experience right-up through to modern history. Especially when it comes down to the highly partisan ideas and rhetoric found within Nativist-fuelled, far-right nationalist attitudes and movements.

The continued existence of xenophobia and fascist ideas, in this view, is an extreme reaction to the 'Multiculti' (multi-cultural) phenomenon occurring throughout the Anglophone World. Western Europe, North America and Australasia are all trying to adjust to an ever-increasing mass influx of economic migrants and refugees fleeing bloody sectarian conflicts; vicious civil wars; errant drone strikes and from sexual abuse, torture and murder by far-right Islamic terrorist organisations.
These people are running because they have to. If they stay where they are, they will die. And the odds are high that they would die in a horrible fashion and would soon be forgotten except by all who had known and loved them. They are merely numbers and statistical casualties to the governments who are behind the problem. And it is patently cruel to blame them for their (respective) situations since it is pointless to fault common citizens for the brutality of their governments in systems where sociopolitical inequality is built-in to the system and maintained through brute force.

False Representations of Normality

And it isn't that the anti-immigration crowd doesn't understand this. They do. But it is a queer mixture of 50-percent ignorant xenophobia and another 50-percent of ethnocentric exceptionalist denial. There is a reason for this. Because to acknowledge the causes for why so many of these desperate people are coming into the 'White World' in such numbers would be self-convicting. As well as contradictory since it is they – within the democracies – who theoretically have the power to change the global situation, they created.\(^{156}\)

To the statisticians that report to the generals who in turn report to the men and women within government – who all owe their careers to the business community and landed gentry – this is not new news. They know full-well that these human casualties are not (really) people, but indications of the effective utilisation of hard power. Which lends factual and moral credence to the rational discussion that the powerful nations and the wealthy elements that control them are the main players and direct beneficiaries of these (solvable) universal problems.

I do not see this perspective as a far-out belief that can be easily dismissed by an authoritative argument. The facts speak for themselves. The world situation, in brief, can be summed up as the logical futility that the social dynamics of all human existence mandates the 'survival of the fittest' as the Supreme Law. This is an ultraconservative and rightist viewpoint, regardless of whichever political party one may happen to belong to. And a damn good argument can (and should) be made from this that much of the planets mayhem has been spurred-on by first colonialist and later, imperialist policies that were rationalised under an elitist cultural insistence that certain people(s) have a 'right' to control others. Including the practise of applying brute force to encourage concision.

Further, it is only half-true that group-identity is no longer an issue of contention in a technologically advanced, culturally-cosmopolitan world. One unfortunate aspect of colonialism is the development of 'normalising' on the part of the colonised. Today, more women in Africa are chemically-straightening their naturally curly hair than ever before, falsely associating straight hair with not just beauty, but with financial and romantic rewards they assume come with appearing more 'White'. In 'post-colonial' Africa, no less. Which goes to show just how colonised the Black World really is.

This too is true, but it does not answer the entirety of the question at hand. Group and cultural identity concepts were not created nor caused by capitalism. But this does not mean that capitalism has not made undue use of tribalism and ethnocentrism when it would serve a need towards a profitable end result. This is not at all the same thing as the sort of pro-English propaganda that was disseminated domestically during the Second World War to keep Britons motivated during hard times. Or, the type of negative propaganda that is aimed at the demonisation of a particular people, religion or nation.

What I am talking about is the residual damage colonialism incurs, passively. In Thailand and South Asia, (and in Africa) skin-bleaching creams, soaps and pills are top-selling items that promise to make one 'whiter'. And in the African Diaspora, these 'products' have left thousands scarred for life from chemical burns and unintentional poisoning when desperate people have ingested these snake-oil 'cures' in their zeal to be reborn as European-looking Black people. In occupied Tibet, the Sinoisation of the Indigenous population has created the very same, pro-Han, self-hating racism amongst the people that in seen in similar situations across the world. And in many cases, this cultural destruction is permanent.

\(^{156}\)pbs.org: Lula: 'Blue-Eyed' Bankers and Emerging Markets http://ow.ly/S100O - September 24, 2009 at 12:00 AM EDT
Capitalism, then, as an economic process, takes advantage of this by expanding on it in order to profit from both the marginalising effects of racism and the unquestionably self-defeating desire to normalise with it as a means of survival. And as long as sales can be made from this form of self-colonialism, the companies behind these substances will not stop. Moreover, the social (and political) by-product of all this is a deeper entrenchment of culturally unrelated Europocentric ideals.

And what is worse about this, is that these things are being done without any real, objective substance, (to speak of). Seemingly, many of these Black and Brown People want to 'look' White and to take personal advantage of what they perceive as the 'perks' of Europocentric social power, without actually trying to work towards building these things (materially) for themselves.

Why is this? And why is this not a valid question. When the US entertainer Beyoncé Knowles is defended as a leading feminist by African women, just what sort of female empowerment is she really representing? What is it based on? Her looks? Her ability to earn a great deal of money by dancing in provocative outfits and in sexually-themed music videos? Just what is the modern conception of feminism?

Because if Beyoncé represents the movement to liberate women from traditional social oppression, the 'movement' then is based on a dangerously narrow concern for the superficial and the transitional, which is in turn mindlessly perpetuated by the popular culture and accepted as 'proper' group-think as a legitimate political platform. Which is on it face, utterly ridiculous. Especially since this form of politic was both created and disseminated by the very same male-dominated controlling interests the feminist 'movement' is supposed to be rallying against in the first place.

This is nonsense thinking. But, it can be very useful to the extant system at large in their desire to 'positively direct' sociopolitical planning in their favour and/or, to subject marginalised sectors of the society to extensive abuse and manipulation – with their ready, unwitting assistance. Led by a strong belief in the 'rightness' of the larger society's controlling agency and its ability to provide them with a means by which they may attain their personal interests.

Very few people, given the option in a very competitive society, will refuse to accept and make use of an unearned privilege – even though it is generally understood that being fair benefits everyone in society. But that's the point. On the whole, most 'Whites' do indeed support ethnic inequality and institutional unfairness (simply because) they believe that it works in their positive favour. This isn't true, but the idea of 'being better' than someone else, is itself, a major emotional factor that should not be ignored.

However, it seems to be pretty clear that White racism continues to exist (and to flourish) well into the 21st century principally because the vast majority of White People want it to exist. If this were not so, European cultural hegemony (as a singularly accepted paradigm) would have ended shortly after Western European expansion following the Spanish Reconquista of Europe from Moorish (Islamic) control.

This did not happen. In fact, after the much documented international expeditions of Alexander the Great and the incredible multicultivastness of the classical Roman Empire, one has to wonder why and how so many Europeans can be so obtuse after so many centuries of cosmopolitan imperialism and colonial settlement. This is a fair question. And it lands squarely at the feet of the dominant Europocentric world-view and the history of how a sizeable minority from an insignificant subcontinent came to control so much of the Earth's surface.

White racism is real. And the reasons for its continued existence is (I think) an emotive carry-over from the earliest days of human existence when being alone was often extremely hazardous. There is a consciousness The old tribal/clan ties that bound people together are not necessarily negative, but they can be taken to incredibly xenophobic extremes that have very little to do with individual-to-group identity or an ethnic-group's literal survival as a human population. The gentlemen thugs of the ethnic/economic upper-castes prefer to pretend that White/Elite privilege doesn't exist – or when pushed – that it is a good thing. Because, it works to their material and emotive advantage as beneficiaries of the operative status quo.

International European and Euro-Settler domination was not achieved through peaceful means. Simply look at the historical record of the victors, mostly because they openly boast of their accomplishments in mass
murder and brigandage as 'conquests' and 'empires'. So let us avoid beating about the revisionist mulberry bush of denial and finally admit to who has done what to whom.

And while I personally view 'White Guilt' as a pointless exercise in hypocrisy, it is simply nutty for Europeans and their descendants to deny why the world looks the way it does. And I say this in particular to both the active and passive White Supremacists, if non-Europeans have done absolutely nothing of worth, ever, it is then clear that the White man of Western Europe is solely responsible for the shape of the modern world. Good and bad. However, after more than two decades of antifascist activism, direct action and investigative journalism, I have never found a single far-rightist willing to accept responsibility for what Europe has wrought. Not one.

The same thing can be said about most of the mainstream, non-extremist, 'moderate' Caucasian population. Today is Columbus Day, the national United States holiday that celebrates the violent European invasion, occupation and decimation of Indigenous North America via the Christoforo Colon 1492 expedition to fleece the Caribbean island nations of the Awarak and Taino Peoples for the glory of Catholic Spain. How many White Americans will cheer today about this as they wear official Washington Redskins sports team gear? How many Indians and 'Latinos' will dance along as a form of 'conflict resolution'? How many colonialised Africans will just be glad to have the day off? And how many Asians of the invisible 'Model Minority' will even care?

Ignorance of past and contemporary history should by rights be viewed as a sin. Each social group I have just listed has, at some point in its history, experienced periods of supranationalism that have extended into internally-violent, closed-societies and sometimes outright acts of ethnocentric brutality. Any educated person who argues otherwise and/or who promotes the idea that this is a gross exaggeration or misunderstanding of history is a liar. The historical record is clear.

Once this is acknowledged, you are left with only one – albeit generalised – but logical conclusion: that White/European world power persists because the average Caucasian sincerely believes that White racialism keeps them (as individuals) ahead of the game. Since groups are comprised of individuals and nation-states are created from united groups, it stands to reason that fascist, or, ultraconservative ideas can easily arise when negative social and economic conditions bring into question the living conditions of people not used to doing without.

Because for a person to accept fascism, one must first accept and approve of xenophobic marginalisation; theological totalism; gender disparity and exploitation; wanton mass murder (at the state-level) and racist-based hate as a credible political prerogative, not merely a perspective. To deny this is simply ridiculous and willfully ignorant of the ugly facts duly recorded within the public record.

Holocaust Denialism, 'Whitesplaining' and shoddy scholarship aside, (for a moment anyway) the total breakdown of human morality that was fascist Europe during the middle of the 20th century is an undeniable historical event. It is also an indelible stain upon the history of humanity, as was the purposeful genocides of Indigenous Americans by European settlers and of African slaves by both Arabs and Europeans known as The Maafa, or, 'Great Disaster'. Arguments that attempt to contradict these historical realities are not merely disingenuous but are flatly insane.

And as I have suggested earlier, such individuals are not merely living in denial, they are highly dangerous to human society and the survival of the species. And as a general rule of thumb, they should be repressed, by any ethical means deemed necessary, whenever and wherever they make themselves visible to the general public. To not do so is sheer folly.

And to be fair, I also do not in any way deny that my opposition to far-right philosophies – regardless of its ethnic, religious or national origin – is also based upon my own personal experiences as a non-European living in the Anglophone World and as an Indigenous Person living under occupation in North America. I offer no apologies for being multi-ethnic either, but the fact remains that the xenophobic paranoia of the Anglophone society defines my life – and ultimately my ethnic identity – through the imposition of the 'One-
'One-drop rule', which literally denotes that the presence of any African ancestry, to any degree, defines an individual as 'Black' in the eyes of US colonial law.

White society as a whole would like us all to forget about the US military execution of Indigenous Americans at Wounded Knee in 1890 (the worse mass-shooting incident in US history); the shameless inhumanity of the Dred Scott decision; the violent murder of Sitting Bull by assimilationist 'Indian police'; the White Supremacist killing of Emmett Till for the trivial offence of speaking to a White woman, the intentional bad-jacketing of American Indian Movement activist Anna Mae Aquash; Troy Anthony Davis and Trayvon Martin.

No other president in US history has faced such brazen racist disrespect from the body politic since Abraham Africanus the First. And not since Mr. Lincoln, 'The Emancipator', has the mainstream press played such a brazen role in maliciously attempting to undermine the credibility of the chief executive and his office simply because the president's ethnic background may not be of purely European stock.

And here too we must be straightforward about the fact that the racist indignation this president (and his entire family) is receiving is without question politically, if not socially, bipartisan. From Harry Reid’s segregationist-era observation that Mr. Obama is a ‘light-skinned’ African-American ‘With no Negro dialect, unless he wanted to have one’ to Mr. Romney’s bluntly bigoted opinion that Africans do not have what it takes intellectually to do business with the court of St. James, it is clear that White racism as an idiosyncratic gripe is clearly back in full effect.

We are part of an Anglo-Saxon heritage, and he feels that the special relationship is special’, the adviser said of Mr Romney, adding: ‘The White House didn’t fully appreciate the shared history we have.

Please bear in mind that Mr. Romney’s public relations mouthpiece said this while they were in London, asking the conservative-leaning, largely unemployed British public for their hard earned foreign currency to help the poor, GOP multi-millionaire and the rest of his nationalistic Republican pals campaign to get the Black fellow out of the White House.

Most importantly, these candid opinions were expressed by two US political heavyweights during an election cycle, proving the old Afro-American adage -- ‘Two White men may hate each other, but they will join forces if only temporarily to destroy a Negro (or an Aboriginal) -- to be astonishingly true.

This isn’t solely a matter of personal opinion but a historically sober observation of the objective facts. If both political parties are led by elected representatives who swear to uphold the moral ideals of the country and to interpret the political extensions of their local constituencies, their actions (and visible inaction) in regards to institutional racial stratifications, violence against ethnic minorities and social indignity speaks volumes for the people of the nation as a whole.

And if we are at all interested in achieving a universally fair and ethical paradigm of race-neutral social justice, we must be willing to make a concerted effort to take heed of straight-faced declarations of WhitePrivilege without making excuses for it. White racism exists. Period. And pretending that it does not exist

Candid expressions of racial angst can help us understand a great deal, especially in terms of the largely unacknowledged psychological conundrum many Euro-Americans appear to suffer when faced with legitimate questions about the circumstances of their near-total control over the sociopolitical direction of the
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entire planet. Europocentric ethnic bias, institutional racism and the intense emotions surrounding concepts of European Power, unearned White Privilege and Euro-cultural supremacy.

It is quite clear to intelligent and educated people that European capitalists, whether rich or poor, Republican or Democrat, in principle ‘own’ the world system. And by way of this economic fiat, it is ‘they’ who directly control its political, social and economic development as well as the means by which the chronicle will be told. Meaning, the built-in biases and ideologies of the dominating sociopolitical and martial consciousness will be accurately reflected, true or false, within its apparatus of national or individualist expression.

According to the Romney camp and others of his philosophical ilk, only White people can understand other White people. Further, his statement also strongly suggests that only Western Europeans can comprehend the intricate political realities and social complexities of the Anglophone world. This is true. And he isn’t standing alone in this dim-witted, revisionist viewpoint. According to Reagan-era neo-conservative apologists such as Dinesh D’Souza, Mr. Obama is genetically precluded from understanding the White man’s world because, if for nothing else, his father was an Indigenous African born and raised in Kenya who was personally involved in the active struggle to resist and remove British colonialism from his country.

According to the Indian-born Mr. D’Souza and the entire pro-Zionist US right-wing, this is a monumentally bad thing:

‘So who was Barack Obama Sr.? He was a Luo tribesman who grew up in Kenya and studied at Harvard. He was a polygamist who had, over the course of his lifetime, four wives and eight children. One of his sons, Mark Obama, has accused him of abuse and wife-beating. He was also a regular drunk driver who got into numerous accidents, killing a man in one and causing his own legs to be amputated due to injury in another. In 1982 he got drunk at a bar in Nairobi and drove into a tree, killing himself’. 1568

--- ‘How Obama Thinks’, D. D’Souza

Mind you, this piece was written by someone who is considered to be a serious scholar and was published as a cover story in no less an capitalist establishment journal than Forbes. And it is intellectually shocking to witness a journal such as Forbes stoop so low as to entertain, much less promote, such lowbrow xenophobic chauvinism. But apparently this is the journalistic current of the times. Psychology Today some of you may remember recently featured an opinion piece by Dr. Satoshi Kanazawa entitled, ‘Why Are Black Women Less Physically Attractive Than Other Women’ that nonchalantly suggested in coldly academic terms that African women are biologically inferior to females of other groups because of excess amounts of testosterone. No, seriously, he really said that. And what’s worse about this is the reality that his scientific argument that Black women are biologically revolting due to aggressive DNA is both dangerously racist and viciously misogynist at the same time.

Even in the age of Obama, the perception of African women as rambunctious, fat-arsed, sexually energetic and crazed, angry warrior-women who by nature brutally buck both their men and the extant White dominating society just for the sport of it is a very real phenomenon. This is a longstanding, colonialistic inequity that has buttressed the depressed social position of Black women and the discrimination and insensitivity displayed towards them since first contact with non-Indigenous Africans. And now, this history of bigotry has been ‘scientifically’ validated by Dr. Kanazawa’s shameful demonstration, proving empirically that the racist, Europocentric ideas behind racial science have never really gone away. The past is prologue. A leading proponent of the ‘evolutionary psychology’ school of modern eugenics, he scrawls a pragmatically worded text that upon sober review indubitably ranks on par with the sort of eugenicist literature that was produced by the ‘Racial Hygiene’ directorate under the Third Reich:

‘What accounts for the markedly lower average level of physical attractiveness among black women? Black women are on average much heavier than nonblack women. The mean body-mass index (BMI) at Wave III is 28.5 among black women and 26.1 among nonblack women. (Black and nonblack men do not differ in BMI: 27.0 vs. 26.9.) However, this is not the reason black women are less physically attractive than nonblack women. Black women have lower average level of physical attractiveness net of BMI’.

--- ‘Why Are Black Women Less Physically Attractive Than Other Women’ [sanitised version - PDF]
Given the historical, emotional, cultural and historical imperatives of the concepts involved, commentary such as this should be regarded as the trashy, pro-colonialist, Nazi-friendly semantic scrimmage that it really is. It is an egotistic argument for institutional anti-African racism and little else. Such written work can serve no purpose other than to present enter into the public record rational arguments for why some select people should have everything and why others, generally marginalised ethnicities and the poor, are somehow uniquely responsible for their own disenfranchisement.

Additionally, I find a sense of colonialist irony in the fact that both of these commentaries were written by non-Europeans who hail from cultures that have a clear history of embracing strict racial stratifications. Dr. Kanazawa is Japanese and if we are to fall in line with the ‘objective logic’ of the social conservatives, it would be entirely valid to suggest that his far-right professional viewpoints are in large part a direct result of Japan’s documented sense of racist social hegemony and that his self-described ‘scientific fundamentalism’ sits on the bookshelf right next to ‘The Bell Curve’. Is this out of line? I think not. This is the stated logic of the conservative movement.

In the case of Mr. D’Souza’s fondness for raising the ridiculous, his intellectual scrimmages with Social Darwinism could in fact be a direct result of his own ‘tribal’ origins as a Portuguese-colonised Indian from the Goa. He personifies the eternally grateful Indian-American immigrant experience as an advocate of the free market, fit to denigrate the first African US president by identifying his ‘African-ness’ as a negative biological trait. His own native country, India, leads the world in racialised social stratifications which are codified in both secular law and religious tradition (and law). Some non-Whites, like Mr. D’Souza, are clearly comfortable with White racist power and will knowingly sink towards the lower levels of human dignity in an effort to qualify this twisted sense of belonging to a xenophobic system.

Non-European conservatives like D’Souza are dedicated to racial stratifications. These are people who cannot psychologically separate themselves from those who have historically and sociopolitically oppressed them. This is what self-colonialism looks like. But, this is not however an argument for ethnic or racial partisanship. It is a statement of human-on-human observation in terms of clan dynamics and social negotiation. One does not need to be a rank tribalist to realise that unchecked tribalism as an element is at the root of both nationalist and separatist extremism and that the resulting racialisms that develop socially and politically are directly related to these arbitrary divisions.

The victims of nation-on-nation and intra-social aggression are rarely allowed to tell their side of the story. This is why the thesis suggesting that the emphatic and widespread pathological sentiments leaning towards pro-Eurocentric, pro-capitalist predispositions cannot be in any way psychologically normal makes sense. Our deep-seated emotive acquiescence to negative Anglophone paradigms is a psychological manifestation of our historical domination under the yoke of the European capitalist-class power structure.

Popular media plays a significant and influential role in the indignant, pro-establishment ‘framing’ of common issues, mainstream news reportage, the arts and the visible persistence of antiquated social marginalisations and dynamics that should have ended several generations ago in a truly conscientious international society.1571

I cannot stress this enough: racial bias(es) and official and unofficial marginalisation is a serious, international problem. And the issue has been here for as long as human societies have been forming on the planet. This is undeniable. The real question is and always has been, what are we to do about it?

FIN
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Conclusions and Recommendations

As I mentioned at the outset, this compilation was the Columbus Day-to-Columbus Day end result of an Indigenist look at fascism historically from the bottom-up. Many will disagree and find frustration with both my liberal scope on the subject as well as my lack of a concrete 'solution' to actually 'solving' the issue.

Again, my intent here is not to provide answers as much as to offer the results of what I have sussed out on the issue within my own research. I suppose the whole point of releasing my unfinished, (but not competed) notes on a series of essays I never got around to publishing separately was to provide the data to others in the hope of encouraging further investigative research into the matter. Anti-fascist activists and theoreticians can make use of this material to further their own understanding of the subject and educate the masses about what is, and what isn't fascism. And what we should all know how to recognise it when it makes itself visible to the public sphere.

In my personal opinion, the best and most sure way to stop fascism is to prevent it from developing in the first place. Waiting for an exact replication of the German model of the 1930's before you take the issue seriously is poor judgement if not clear evidence of intellectual and rational poverty.

Education and sharing in anything, is the first step towards clarity. Even if you end-up somewhere you never expected.

- TheAngryindian
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Endnotes
In addition to Winston S. Churchill's war journalism, (or undercover spy work) he served (commissioned) in the South African Light Horse (SALH) under General Sir Redvers Henry Buller VC, GCB, GCMG, for the remainder of the Second Boer War (1899-1902) including his participation at the the Siege of Ladysmith. Before this, Churchill was taken prisoner by a Boer commando unit after an ambush raid on a military transport he was travelling with. He was almost killed during the attack by then Boer field commander General Louis Botha, later, Prime Minister of the Transvaal and Republic of South Africa Prime Minister (1910). Churchill met Botha again many years after the war when both were politicians and they developed a fairly close friendship.

Rudolf Rocker, 'Die Mission einzelner Volksseelen, s. 4:9.' - [Excerpt] – 'It isn’t because of the whims of the Europeans that the Indian population has died out, but because of the Indian population had to acquire those forces that led it to die out.'

[Except] Mein Kampf: Vol. 1: A Reckoning – Chapter Nine – THE 'GERMAN WORKERS' PARTY': ONE DAY I received orders from my headquarters to find out what was behind an apparently political organization which was planning to hold a meeting within the next few days under the name of 'German Workers' Party' - with Gottfried Feder as one of the speakers. I was told to go and take a look at the organization and then make a report. The curiosity of the army toward political parties in those days was more than understandable. The revolution had given the soldiers the right of political activity, and it was just the most inexperienced among them who made the most ample use of it. Not until the moment when the Center and the Social Democracy were forced to recognize, to their own grief, that the sympathies of the soldiers were beginning to turn away from the revolutionary parties toward the national movement and reawakening, did they see fit to deprive the troops of suffrage again and prohibit their political activity.

BBC News - Wikileaks: Russia branded 'mafia state' in cables http://ow.ly/FzdxG (2 December 2010) -- The cables, published by the Guardian newspaper, paint a picture of a corrupt Russia centred around the leadership of Mr Putin. Bribery in the political system totals an estimated $300bn (£192bn) a year, the paper says. In one cable from January 2010, Spanish prosecutor Jose "Pepe" Grinda Gonzales claimed that in Russia, Belarus and Chechnya "one cannot differentiate between the activities of the Government and OC (organised crime) groups".

Herr Erwin Rommel, along with Wehrmacht Colonel Claus von Stauffenberg and other high-ranking military officials, was a part of the 'the 20 July plot' attempt at invoking the 'Operation Valkyrie' protocol – the official plan for martial law in Germany to be implemented by the domestic Reserve Army – by removing (and executing) head-of-state Adolf Hitler and the upper-echelons of the Nazi state in favour of a new (nationalist) form of government.

Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction Modifies Language on Collateral Damage Estimates for Drone Strikes | Public Intelligence - The update to Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction (CJCSI) 3160.01A “No-Strike and the Collateral Damage Estimation Methodology” incorporates technical updates to the process for estimating collateral damage as well as lessons learned from the conflicts in Libya and Afghanistan. Despite its release restrictions, a previous version of the instruction was provided in full to the ACLU in 2011 after the group filed a Freedom of Information Act request for documents related to the military's use of armed drones. The version of the instruction obtained by the ACLU, which is dated February 2009, contains significantly different language in numerous key sections of the document that describe the accuracy and processes for predicting collateral damage.

Clarification: Not to be confused with the designation, 'General Service Unit' or, GSU as also used by the modern military and police forces of the Republic of Kenya. Originally created by Great Britain to combat anti-colonial and secessionist revolts in 1948.

The Iranian intelligence service is called the 'Ministry of Intelligence and Security', (MOIS) or Vezarat-e Ettela’at vu Amniat-e Keshvar (VEVAK) in Farsi. – Source: Federation of American Scientists – 'December 2012 | Figure 1. Structure of Iran’s Intelligence Agencies' / www.fas.org [http://fas.org/irp/world/iran/mois-loc.pdf]